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ABSTRACT

Aims. In this work we present a revised measurement of the phase-averaged optical polarisation of the Vela pulsar (PSR B0833-45), for which only one value has been published so far (Wagner & Seifert 2000).

Methods. Our measurement has been obtained through an accurate reanalysis of archival polarisation observations obtained with the FORSI instrument at the VLT.

Results. We have measured a phase-averaged linear polarisation degree \( P_L = 9.4\% \pm 4\% \) and a position angle \( \theta = 146^\circ \pm 11^\circ \), very close to the ones of the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets detected by Chandra and of the pulsar proper motion.

Conclusions. We have compared the measured phase-averaged optical polarisation with the expectations of different pulsars' magnetosphere models. We have found that all models consistently predict too large values of the phase-averaged linear polarisation with respect to the observed one. This is probably a consequence of present models' limitations which neglect the contributions of various depolarisation effects. Interestingly, for the outer gap model we have found that, assuming synchrotron radiation for the optical emission, the observed polarisation position angle also implies an alignment between the pulsar rotational axis and the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets.
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1. Introduction

Polarisation measurements of pulsars and of their synchrotron nebulae are uniquely able to provide deep insights into the highly magnetised relativistic environment of young rotating neutron stars. Besides the radio band, optical observations are primarily suited to provide such insights. Being the first, and the brightest \((V \sim 16.5)\), optical pulsar identified so far, it comes as no surprise that the first optical polarisation measurements were obtained for the Crab pulsar (PSR B0531+21). Strong polarisation is actually expected when the optical emission is produced by synchrotron radiation which, in the case of the Crab pulsar, is certified by its power-law spectrum (see, e.g., Sollerman et al. 2000). Indeed, the polarisation of the Crab pulsar optical emission was discovered (Wampier et al. 1969) soon after its optical identification (Cocke et al. 1969). Accurate optical phase-resolved polarisation measurements of the Crab pulsar (e.g. Smith et al. 1988; Kanbach et al. 2005) have shown the phase dependence of the polarisation degree, with a maximum of \( \sim 50\% \) in the bridge between the main and the interpulse. Optical polarisation measurements are extremely useful in providing observational constraints to test the different models proposed for the magnetospheric activity of pulsars. Unfortunately, despite the number of pulsars detected in the optical band has increased significantly in the last ten years (see Mignani et al. 2004 and Mignani 2005 for updated reviews), the Crab pulsar is still the only one for which both precise and repeated polarisation measurements have been obtained. For the other young pulsars (age \( \lesssim 10,000 \) years) only preliminary or uncertain phase-
averaged optical polarisation measurements were reported so far. For the second youngest (∼1600 years) optical pulsar PSR B0540-69 (V ∼ 22.5), considered the Crab pulsar “twin” because of its overall similarities in age, period and energetics (e.g. Caraveo et al. 2001a), polarisation observations with the VLT were reported by Wagner & Seifert (2000). However, the polarisation measurement (≈5% with no quoted error) was certainly contaminated by the contribution of the compact (∼4” diameter) surrounding synchrotron nebula (Caraveo et al. 2001a). For PSR B1509-58, the next youngest (∼5000 years) pulsar with a candidate optical identification, the value of the optical polarisation is also very uncertain. The original counterpart (V = 22) proposed by Caraveo et al. (1994) was discarded by Wagner & Seifert (2000) on the basis of the lack of evident optical polarisation in favour of a much fainter (R ∼ 26) object hidden in the PSF wings of the star, whose detection has been now confirmed by IR observations (Kaplan & Moon 2006). However, both the difficulties in the PSF subtraction and the faintness of the counterpart make the reported polarisation measurement (∼10 %, also quoted with no error) only tentative. A preliminary polarisation measurement was reported by Wagner & Seifert (2000) also for the Vela pulsar (PSR B0833-45), the third brightest (V ∼ 23.6) and youngest (∼10000 years) optical pulsar. Finally, for the older (∼100000 years) PSR B0656+14 (V ∼ 25) phase-resolved optical polarisation measurements have been obtained by Kern et al. (2003). Like for the Crab, also for PSR B0656+14 the polarisation degree is phase-dependent, with a maximum (∼100 %) in the bridge between the two pulses. For the Vela pulsar, Wagner & Seifert (2000) published only the value of the linear polarisation degree. The need to obtain a more complete description of the polarisation properties of Vela pulsar by including e.g., the linear polarisation position angle has prompted us to undergo a careful reanalysis of the original data set. The knowledge of the polarisation position angle is indeed an additional important piece of information to test different models on the optical emission of pulsars. This paper is organised as follows: observations, data analysis and results are described in Sect. 2, while the comparison with existing pulsar emission models is discussed in Sect. 3.

2. Observations and Data Analysis

2.1. Observations

Phase-averaged polarimetry observations of the Vela pulsar were obtained at the first Unit Telescope (UT1) of the ESO Very Large Telescope with FORS1 on April 12 1999 as a part of the instrument commissioning\(^1\) FORS1 (FOcal Reducer Spectrograph), a multi-mode instrument for imaging and (long-slit/multi-object) spectroscopy equipped with polarimetric optics, is described in Appenzeller et al. (1998). Observations were performed in Standard Resolution (SR) mode (0″/2/pixel) through the R Bessel filter (λ = 6570 Å; ∆λ = 1500 Å), with an average seeing of ∼0″7 and airmass varying between 1.4 and 1.9. The instrument set-up was chosen to allow for linear polarisation measurements. A total of 6 frames were taken with the instrument position angle (hence the Wollaston axis) oriented at +10° North to East. One 1000 s plus two 380 s exposures were obtained with the fast axis of the half-wave retarder plate parallel to the Wollaston prims (rotation angle 0°) and the remaining three (1000 s each) at rotation angles 22.5°, 45°, and 67.5°, respectively (see Table 1 for a log of the observations). In this work we have used only the longest of the exposures performed with the 0° retarder plate angle. Science data and the associated calibrations have been retrieved through the ESO archive interface\(^2\) and reduced following the standard approach for de-biasing and flat-fielding. The master flat field has been obtained from the median-combination of sky images obtained during twilight with no polarimetric optics in. No observations of polarimetric standard stars were performed during the night, but analysis of the polarimetric data taken in the context of the polarimetric calibration plan shows that the instrument is stable (Fossati et al 2007). We have finally obtained four reduced images corresponding to the four retarder plate angles. As an example, we show in Fig. 1 the reduced image taken with the 0° retarder plate angle. We have checked for the presence of extended polarisation features which could be spatially associated with the X-ray nebula detected around the pulsar by Chandra (Pavlov. 2001) but we have found none, thus confirming the quick analysis briefly reported in Mignani et al. (2003).

2.2. Data Analysis

We have then used our reduced images to compute the linear, phase-averaged polarisation degree of the Vela pulsar. For a given source, the linear polarization degree \(P_L\) is calculated as:

\[
P_L = (P_Q^2 + P_U^2)^{1/2}
\]

Table 1. Summary of the polarimetric observations of the Vela pulsar field taken with FORS1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seq.</th>
<th>Angle(°)</th>
<th>Exposure (s)</th>
<th>Seeing (″)</th>
<th>Airmass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45.</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) see http://archive.eso.org/archive/eso_data_products.html

\(^2\) http://archive.eso.org/
Applying the recipe described in Bagnulo et al. (2006) we have obtained photometric accuracies of the source and the background. For all retarder plate angles we have used standard aperture photometry using the photometric package of IRAF and using optimised apertures for both the source and the background. For all retarder plate angles we have obtained photometric accuracies of $\sim 8\%$, or better. To minimise the contamination from the three brighter stars close to the pulsar position (see Fig. 1) we have also measured the source counts through PSF photometry. However, the results obtained with the two approaches do not differ significantly.

By substituting the values of $f^o$ and $f^e$ measured for the Vela pulsar for all of the four retarder plate angles in Eqs. (2) and (3), we have thus obtained $P_Q = 0.02\% \pm 3.7\%$ and $P_U = -9.4\% \pm 4.0\%$, where the errors on $P_Q$ and $P_U$ are given by Eq. (3) of Fossati et al. (2007). These values have been then corrected as explained in the FORS User Manual and in Fossati et al. (2007) to account for the chromaticity of the retarder waveplate. These values are measured in the detector reference frame, which was oriented $+10^\circ$ North to East (see Sect. 2.1). In order to refer them to the celestial reference frame we have applied a counter-rotation with respect to the instrument position angle, using Eq. (9) of Landi Degl’Innocenti et al. (2007) with $\chi = -10^\circ$. We thus end up with $P'_Q = 3.6\% \pm 3.7\%$ and $P'_U = -8.7\% \pm 4.0\%$ where $P'_Q$ and $P'_U$ represent the linear polarization measured using the North Celestial Meridian as reference direction.

### 2.3. Results

By substituting $P'_Q$ and $P'_U$ in Eq. (1), we have finally obtained $P_L = 9.4 \pm 4\%$, where the associated error has been calculated using Eq. (6) of Fossati et al. (2007). This relatively large error is justified by the fact that, owing to the faintness of the target ($R = 23.9$), the uncertainty on the background subtraction dominates the photometric errors on $f^o$ and $f^e$ and ultimately those on $P_Q$ and $P_U$. We have checked that our measurement is not affected by systematics effects like, e.g. instrumental or the background polarisation of the supernova remnant. The FORS1 instrumental polarisation has been carefully characterized by Patat & Romaniello (2006) who found a significant spurious polarisation (at the level of $\approx 1.5\%$) close to the edges of the CCD, while close to the field center is of the order $0.03\%$, or less. Since our target has been positioned at the center of the CCD, our measurement is not affected by the instrumental polarisation. We have finally evaluated the background polarisation produced by the supernova remnant by repeating our measurements on several objects of different brightness close to the pulsar position, and we have found that its effect is probably less than $1\%$, i.e. well within our global error budget. A better characterization of the background polarization introduced by the supernova remnants will be possible only when higher signal-to-noise data are available. While our measured polarisation degree is consistent with the one of Wagner & Seifert (2000), who reported $P_L = 8.5\%$, our associated error turns out to be much larger with respect to their quoted $0.8\%$. The source of the large discrepancy in the error estimate is not clear, although we speculate that Wagner & Seifert underestimated the error due to the neglect of the background subtraction contribution to the global error budget, since $0.8\%$ is approximately the error that we would obtain considering the photon noise as the only source of measurement indetermination.

From the values of $P'_Q$ and $P'_U$ we have computed the linear polarization position angle $\theta$, defined as the angle from the North Celestial meridian to the major axis of the polarization ellipse (Landi Degl’Innocenti et al. 2007). For
$P_Q^* > 0$, the correct expression to compute $\theta$ is (Landi Degl’Innocenti et al. 2007):

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{P_Q^*}{P_L^*} \right)$$

where $\theta$ counted eastward from the North Celestial meridian. For our values of $P_Q^*$ and $P_L^*$ we obtain $\theta = -34^\circ \pm 11^\circ$ or, equivalently, $\theta = 146^\circ \pm 11^\circ$. The error on $\theta$ has been computed using Eq. (7) of Fossati et al. (2007). We have checked for a possible coincidence between the pulsar phase-averaged optical linear polarisation position angle $\theta$ and the position angle $\theta_X$ of the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets detected around the pulsar by Chandra (Pavlov et al. 2001). These structures are most commonly interpreted in terms of particle outflows from the pulsar equatorial wind and of collimated outflows along the pulsar spin axis (Pavlov et al. 2001; Helfand et al. 2001). Alternatively, they are interpreted in terms of particle outflows along the pulsar’s magnetosphere open field lines and of collimated outflows along the pulsar magnetic axis (Radhakrishnan & Deshpande 2001; Deshpande & Radhakrishnan 2006). For $\theta_X$ different estimates exist, e.g. $310^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$ (Helfand et al. 2001), $307^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (Pavlov et al. 2001), and $310.63^\circ \pm 0.07^\circ$ (Ng & Romani 2004). It has also been noted (e.g. Helfand et al. 2001) that $\theta_X$ apparently coincides with the position angle $\theta_\mu$ of the pulsar proper motion. For $\theta_\mu$, based on HST observations Caraveo et al. (2001b) give $307^\circ \pm 2^\circ$, while Dodson et al. (2003) with the VLBI give $301^\circ \pm 1.8^\circ$. Interestingly, our measurement of $\theta$ is not very different (within $\approx 2\sigma$) from the available measurements of $\theta_X$ and $\theta_\mu$, suggesting an alignment between the optical linear polarisation direction, the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets, and the pulsar proper motion. Although the chance occurrence probabilities of this alignment are not negligible, it is tantalising to speculate about it as a tracer of the connection between the pulsar’s magnetospheric activity and its dynamical interactions with the surrounding medium. More precise measurements of the pulsar optical polarisation, possibly supported by still to come polarisation measurements in X-rays, will hopefully provide a further observational handle for more robust theoretical speculations.

3. Discussion

We have then compared our measurement of the Vela pulsar phase-averaged optical polarisation degree with the expectations of various pulsar magnetosphere models. We have used the polarisation code of Dyks et al. (2004, hereafter DHR04) which can calculate the linear polarisation degree for the emission region of the outer gap model (Cheng et al. 1986; Romani & Yadigaroglu 1995) and its two-pole version (Dyks & Rudak 2003, hereafter DR03). The code carefully takes into account the macroscopic properties of the emission region (3D spatial extent, differential aberration, propagation delays, cumulation of radiation emitted from different parts of the emission region at the same pulse phase) but it ignores much of micro physics. For instance, the intrinsic polarisation degree $P_{L\text{intr}}$ of emitted radiation is assumed to be the same within the entire emission region (it is an input parameter of the code) and the polarisation angle is given by projection of “bulk” electron acceleration on the observer’s sky. The acceleration is only determined by the curvature of magnetic field lines and the corotation of pulsar magnetosphere (no gyration component). Within the emission region of a given model, the radiation power is independent on the altitude (the same number of photons is emitted per centimetre of electron’s trajectory in the observer’s frame). In the magnetic colatitude the emissivity is limited to a narrow range of the magnetic field line footprint parameter.

3.1. Comparison with the outer gap model

For the outer gap model we assumed that the emission region extends from the null charge surface (Romani & Yadigaroglu 1995) up to $r_{\text{max}} = 1.5 R_{\text{lc}}$ with $\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.95 R_{\text{lc}}$ ($R_{\text{lc}} = cP_{\text{rot}}/2\pi$ is the light cylinder radius, where $P_{\text{rot}}$ is the pulsar rotational period and $c$ is the speed of light), we considered magnetic field lines with footprint parameter $0.75 \lesssim R_{\text{cor}} \lesssim 0.95$, and we weighted the emission in magnetic colatitude by a Gaussian centred at $\rho_{\text{cor}}^0 = 0.85$ ($\sigma = 0.05$). All the previous parameters are defined in DHR04. For the Vela pulsar emission geometry different estimates exist, e.g. $\alpha = 71^\circ$, $\zeta = 65^\circ$ (Radhakrishnan & Deshpande 2001), where $\alpha$ is the dipole inclination and $\zeta$ is the viewing angle (see, e.g. Fig. 1 of DR03), $\zeta = 63.6^\circ$ (Ng & Romani 2004) from two different geometrical models of the Vela pulsar X-ray nebula; $\alpha \simeq 70^\circ$, $\zeta \simeq 61^\circ$ (DR03), from the two-pole model of the $\gamma$-ray profile; $\alpha \simeq 55^\circ$, $\zeta \simeq 49^\circ$ (Johnston et al. 2001) from a fit to the position angle curve at 0.6 and 1.4 GHz; $\alpha \simeq 137^\circ$, $\zeta \simeq 143.5^\circ$ (Johnston et al. 2005) from a fit at 1.4 GHz; $\zeta = 53.8^\circ$ (Krishnamohan & Downs 1983) from a fit of the position angle curve at 2.3 GHz and for $\alpha = 60^\circ$. In the following, we will assume $\alpha = 71^\circ$ as a representative value.

Fig. 2 shows the modelled Vela pulsar optical pulse profile and the phase-resolved polarisation properties for the representative case of $\alpha = 71^\circ$ and $\zeta = 65^\circ$ and for the same emission region parameters assumed above. As it is seen from the top panel, this set of parameters can reasonably well reproduce the observed optical pulse profile of the Vela pulsar (see, e.g. Gouiffes 1998), with two peaks separated by $\approx 0.25$ in phase. Thus, we are confident that they provide a good approximation of the Vela pulsar’s emission geometry. The two lower panels show the modelled polarisation position angle $\psi$, measured from the projected pulsar rotational axis, and the depolarisation factor $\Delta P = P_L^{\text{mod}}/P_L^{\text{intr}}$, defined as the ratio between the modelled polarisation degree $P_L^{\text{mod}}$ at the observer’s location and the intrinsic polarisation degree of the emitted radiation.
Fig. 2. Phase-resolved polarisation properties of the Vela pulsar calculated for a simplified outer gap calculation (DHR04) with the emission region parameters described in the text and assuming $\alpha = 71^\circ$ and $\zeta = 65^\circ$. The panels show: a) the pulse profile; b) the modelled polarisation position angle $\psi$; c) the depolarisation factor (see text for the definitions). The radio pulse is expected near phase zero. One and a half rotation period is shown. The light curve is over plotted in panels b and c for reference (grey line).

Fig. 3. Modelled phase-averaged linear polarisation degree $P_L^{\text{mod}}$ of the Vela pulsar as a function of the viewing angle $\zeta$ for a simplified outer gap calculation (DHR04) and for a dipole inclination $\alpha = 71^\circ$ (solid). As a reference, curves for $\alpha = 60^\circ$ (dashed) and $\alpha = 80^\circ$ (dotted) are also shown. The thick and the thin lines correspond to an intrinsic optical polarisation $P_L^{\text{intr}} = 75\%$ and $P_L^{\text{intr}} = 13\%$, respectively. The range of viewing angles $\zeta$ derived with various methods (see text) is marked with the vertical shaded band. The measured phase-averaged polarisation degree $P_L$ (this work) is shown as the horizontal grey band.

...ted radiation $P_L^{\text{intr}}$. From our model we have derived the phase-averaged value of the depolarisation factor, where the average is done over the Stokes parameters. We obtained $DP = 0.68$, a typical value for many sets of model parameters. From this value we have then computed the phase-averaged modelled polarisation degree $P_L^{\text{mod}}$ for different values of the intrinsic polarization $P_L^{\text{intr}}$.

Fig. 3 presents the modelled phase-averaged polarisation degree $P_L^{\text{mod}}$ of the Vela pulsar as a function of the viewing angle $\zeta$ for two different values of the intrinsic optical polarisation $P_L^{\text{intr}}$ (see caption). As it is seen, for $\zeta \approx 65^\circ$ the modelled polarisation degree is much larger than the observed one if $P_L^{\text{intr}} = 75\%$, while consistency would require $P_L^{\text{intr}}$ to be as low as 13\%. Fig. 3 also shows that for large $\alpha$ the modelled polarisation degree $P_L^{\text{mod}}$ does not vary significantly for viewing angles $\zeta \approx 40^\circ$. Thus we find, in agreement with Chen et al. (1996), that the phase-averaged polarisation $P_L$ for the outer gap models is usually more sensitive to the intrinsic properties of the emitted radiation than to the global geometric parameters ($\alpha$, $\zeta$). While this makes it difficult to constrain $\alpha$ and $\zeta$ from the comparison of the modelled and observed $P_L$, it also implies that our conclusions are less affected by the uncertain knowledge of the pulsar’s emission geometry. Thus, our simulation based on the standard outer gap model overpredicts the value of the phase-averaged polarisation, unless the intrinsic polarisation is much lower than expected. This is most likely due to the fact that the code of DHR04 does not takes into account the convolution of the single electron emission beam with the structure of the emission region/magnetic field, which can be an important source of depolarisation, cf. Fig. 4b and Fig. 6c in Chen et al. (1996) and eq. (42) in Epstein (1973). For large $\alpha$, and for $\zeta > 30^\circ$ the two-pole caustic model (DR03), which includes the strong emission from below the null charge surface, predicts roughly two times smaller $P_L$ than the standard outer gap model. However, the two-pole models (including the “extended slot gap” of Muslimov & Harding 2004) can not reproduce the observed optical pulse shape of the Vela pulsar. This may just indicate different emission regions for the optical and
for the $\gamma$-ray band, where the two-pole geometry performs quite well.

We have then derived the phase-averaged modelled polarisation position angle $\psi$, where, as before, the average is done over the Stokes parameters. Fig. 4 presents the modelled phase-averaged polarisation position angle $\psi$ as a function of the viewing angle $\zeta$ for the same range of dipole inclinations $\alpha$ as in Fig. 3. The range of viewing angles $\zeta$ derived with various methods (see text) is marked with the vertical shaded band.

![Fig. 4. Phase-averaged modelled position angle $\psi$ as a function of the viewing angle $\zeta$ for the same range of dipole inclinations $\alpha$ as in Fig. 3. The range of viewing angles $\zeta$ derived with various methods (see text) is marked with the vertical shaded band.](image)

A striking result visible in Fig. 4 is the proximity of $\psi$ to $\sim 90^\circ$ within the large range of $\alpha$ and $\zeta$. To understand it we have recalculated the model of Fig. 2 with various kinematic effects temporarily removed from our code. We have run the code successively without the sweepback of magnetic field lines, without centrifugal acceleration and without aberration. This exercise has shown that it is the last effect (the aberration of photon emission direction associated with transition from the corotating frame to the observer’s frame) which is the most important in fixing $\psi$ near $\sim 90^\circ$. This contribution of corotational velocity to the emission direction in the observer’s frame is large because the optical emission of the outer gap model originates from altitudes that are a considerable fraction of the light cylinder radius.

It is now interesting to compare the modelled polarisation position angle $\psi$ with the observed one $\theta$. However, we have to caution that a straight comparison between the two angles is not possible since the former is measured from the projected pulsar rotational axis, while the latter is measured from North. The modelled and observed polarisation position angles are thus related by the equation $\psi = \theta - \psi_0$, where $\psi_0$ is the position angle of the pulsar’s projected rotational axis defined in the usual way, i.e. measured eastward from North. Thus, equating $\theta = 146^\circ \pm 11^\circ$ (see Sect. 2.3) and $\psi$ allows for a direct measurement of $\psi_0$.

For $\psi = 98^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ (polarization parallel to the bulk acceleration) we obtain $\psi_0 = 48^\circ \pm 12^\circ$. Instead, for $\psi = 8^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ (polarization perpendicular to bulk acceleration) we obtain $\psi_0 = 138^\circ \pm 12^\circ$. The first case implies that the pulsar projected rotation axis is almost perpendicular to the axis of symmetry of the X-ray jets and arcs, while the second case implies that it is almost aligned to them (see Sect. 2.3). If we assume that this geometry is the favoured one (e.g. Helfand et al. 2001; Pavlov et al. 2001), then $\psi_0 = 138^\circ \pm 12^\circ$, $\psi = 8^\circ \pm 5^\circ$ and the optical radiation actually is polarised perpendicular to the bulk acceleration assumed in our code. This is consistent with a synchrotron radiation origin of the optical emission. The other way around, if we assume that the optical emission is due to synchrotron radiation, our observed polarisation position angle would imply that the pulsar rotation axis is aligned with the axis of symmetry of the X-rays jets and arcs.

Thus, our results would imply that there is a substantial alignment between the optical polarisation position angle, the pulsar rotation axis and proper motion vector, and the axis of symmetry of the X-ray nebula. Evidence for a similar alignment has been also found from phase-resolved radio polarisation measurements of a number of radio pulsars, including Vela (Johnston et al. 2005).
3.2. Comparison with other models

We have qualitatively evaluated other models by comparing the predicted vs. observed polarisation properties published for the Crab pulsar which has a very similar geometry to the Vela pulsar. The viewing angle $\zeta \simeq 60^\circ$ for both Crab and Vela (Ng & Romani 2004) and the dipole inclination $\alpha$ is believed to be large in both cases (e.g. Rankin 1990). Furthermore, as we have shown above, the polarisation properties are found to depend rather weakly on $\alpha$ and $\zeta$.

One of the more sophisticated models is the striped wind model (Petri & Kirk 2005). However, this model does not account for magnetic field irregularities in the emission/reconnection region and this is probably why the phase-averaged polarisation degree calculated for the Crab pulsar is much larger (19-31%, Petri & Kirk 2005) than the observed one. A version of the outer gap model by Chen et al. (1996) is also notably advanced, as it includes convoluation of the single electron emission beam with spatial distribution, electron energy distribution and pitch angle distribution. The neglected magnetic field irregularities are probably less important in this case because the emission originates from a region well inside $R_{lc}$. However, the assumed simplified geometry of the emission region (2D, no radial extent) seems not to be adequate as it does not allow to reproduce the observed pulse profile of the Crab pulsar. Accordingly, this model does not account for the depolarisation effect produced by the cumulation of radiation emitted from different altitudes. Using Fig. 6 in Chen et al. (1996) one can calculate a partial phase-averaged polarisation degree that is limited to the phase range within $\pm 44^\circ$ around the maximum of main pulse. The result (9.4%) is two times larger than the value observed for the Crab pulsar within the same phase range (5.1% or 4.2% if the DC emission is excluded).

4. Conclusions

We have studied the Vela pulsar phase-averaged optical polarisation properties by reanalysing the data set used by Wagner & Seifert (2000). The fraction of linear, phase-averaged polarisation ($P_L = 9.4\% \pm 4\%$) is qualitatively similar to what they found but with a larger error that we attribute to a more realistic error analysis, thus underscoring the need for observations with higher signal-to-noise ratio. In addition, we have measured for the first time the optical linear polarisation position angle ($\theta = 146^\circ \pm 11^\circ$) finding that it is apparently aligned with the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets and with the pulsar’s proper motion vector. We have compared our results with the expectations of the outer gap as well as of various pulsar magnetosphere models. Interestingly, for the outer gap model we have found that for synchrotron optical emission the observed linear polarisation position angle $\theta$ implies that also the pulsar rotational axis is aligned with the axis of symmetry of the X-ray arcs and jets. For all models we found that the computed phase-averaged polarisation degree is typically much larger than the observed one. The most probable reason for this is that these models are too idealised and do not account for some depolarisation effects. Measurements of phase-averaged polarisation of pulsars can thus help to identify the weaknesses of various models and stimulate the development of the complex numerical codes required to calculate the expected polarisation properties. More optical polarisation observations of pulsars, now in progress with the HST, will help to provide the very much needed observational grounds to settle theoretical models.
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