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ABSTRACT

We have used the Parkes radio telescope to study the polarized emission from the anomalous X-ray pulsar XTE J1810–197 at frequencies of 1.4, 3.2, and 8.4 GHz. We find that the pulsed emission is nearly 100% linearly polarized. The position angle of linear polarization varies gently across the observed pulse profiles, varying little with observing frequency or time, even as the pulse profiles have changed dramatically over a period of 7 months. In the context of the standard pulsar “rotating vector model,” there are two possible interpretations of the observed position angle swing coupled with the wide profile. In the first, the magnetic and rotation axes are nearly aligned and the line of sight remains in the emission zone over almost the entire pulse phase. We deprecate this possibility because of the observed large modulation of thermal X-ray flux. We have also measured the Faraday rotation caused by the Galactic magnetic field, $RM = +77 \, \text{rad m}^{-2}$, implying an average magnetic field component along the line of sight of $0.5 \, \mu \text{G}$.

Subject headings: pulsars: individual (XTE J1810–197) — stars: neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

Anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) and soft-gamma repeaters are neutron stars many of whose attributes at X-ray, gamma-ray, and infrared wavelengths (see Woods & Thompson 2006, for a review) are best understood in the context of the magnetar model (Duncan & Thompson 1992), according to which their high-energy emission results from the rearrangement and decay of ultra-strong magnetic fields. Much remains to be learned about magnetars, of which only a dozen are known.

XTE J1810–197 is an AXp with spin period $P = 5.54 \, \text{s}$, unusual in being transient. Identified in early 2003 when its X-ray luminosity increased 100-fold (Ibrahim et al. 2004), by 2007 it has returned to the quiescent state it maintained for at least 24 years (Gotthelf & Halpern 2005). Uniquely for a magnetar it emits radio waves (Halpern et al. 2005), that turned on by early 2004. Unlike in ordinary rotation-powered pulsars, the radio pulses have a flat spectrum and vary in luminosity and shape on daily timescales (Camilo et al. 2006).

Radio emission from XTE J1810–197 links magnetars and ordinary pulsars, and provides a new window for learning about the physical characteristics of a magnetar. For instance, while in principle radio emission could be generated in the corona from closed or open magnetic field lines, the large pulse profile and flux density changes observed on short timescales (Camilo et al. 2007) appear to point to the latter (cf. Beloborodov & Thompson 2007).

Here we report on observations of the polarized emission from XTE J1810–197 in an attempt to shed some light on the geometry of the radio-emitting regions of this magnetar.
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TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (MJD/mmdd)</th>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Integration (hr)</th>
<th>Backend</th>
<th>$S_{\text{peak}}$ (mJy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53852/0427</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53862/0507</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53879/0524</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53913/0627</td>
<td>1.369$^a$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>DFB</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53986/0908</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>DFB</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53989/0911</td>
<td>8.356$^c$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>WBC$^d$</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53993/0915</td>
<td>3.222</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>DFB$^b$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54002/0924</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>DFB</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54021/1013</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>DFB$^b$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54021/1013</td>
<td>3.222</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>DFB$^a$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54022/1014</td>
<td>3.222</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>DFB</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54060/1121</td>
<td>1.369$^b$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>DFB</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: — Observations at 1.4 GHz used the central beam of the multibeam receiver at a variety of feed angles, unless otherwise noted. Observations at 3.2 GHz were performed with the 10/50 cm receiver, and 8.4 GHz observations used the Mars receiver. We used the wide-band correlator (WBC) or the digital filterbank (DFB) spectrometer. The integration times were divided into scans interspersed with calibration observations. Scans were divided into subscans where an integer number of pulsar periods (minimum of two) were folded modulo the pulsar period. Unlike noted otherwise, the total bandwidth recorded was 256 MHz (with 128, 512, or 1024 channels across it), and there were 2048 phase bins across each folded pulse profile (2.7 ms resolution).

$^a$ 1024 phase bins.
$^b$ H-OH receiver.
$^c$ 512 MHz bandwidth.
$^d$ Data folded at half the pulse period.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

We have observed XTE J1810–197 with the Parkes 64-m telescope in New South Wales, Australia, in full-Stokes polarimetry mode for a total of 20 hr on-source between 2006 April and November. Table 1 summarizes the relevant observations.

We have used the three available receiver/feed combinations that have well-characterized polarization properties: H-OH (1.4 GHz), 10/50 cm (3.2 GHz), and Mars (8.4 GHz). Due
to common availability, at 1.4 GHz we have also used the central beam of the multibeam receiver, although its polarimetric characteristics are less ideal compared to those of the H-OH receiver (Johnston 2002). The H-OH and 10/50 cm systems have orthogonal linear feeds, while the Mars package receives dual circular polarizations. In all cases a pulsar calibrated signal can be injected at an angle of 45° to the feed probes.

To record data we used either the digital filterbank (DFB) or the wide-band correlator (WBC). The bandwidth, frequency- and time-resolution varied depending on receiver and spectrometer, but typical values were, respectively, 256 MHz, 128 channels, and 2048 bins across the pulse profile (see Table 1 for details). An integer number of pulse periods were folded and recorded to disk in PSRFITS format for off-line analysis. Because the dump time of the spectrometers is \( \geq 10 \) s, a minimum of two pulse periods were folded in each subscan, with \( \sim 10 \) more common. Typically, scans lasting up to \( \sim 1 \) hr were interspersed with \( \sim 1 \) min observations of the pulsed calibrator in order to determine the relative gain and phase between the two feed probes. For our purposes, the main difference between the 3-level sampling/correlation WBC and the 8-bit precision DFB was the latter’s much greater sensitivity to radio frequency interference, which we excised in the frequency- and time-domain during analysis.

We used existing observations of the flux calibrator Hydra A, whose flux density is 43.1, 20.3 and 8.4 Jy at 1.4, 3.2 and 8.4 GHz respectively, to determine the system equivalent flux density for the receivers and to flux-calibrate the pulse profiles.

All data were analyzed with the PSRCHIVE software package (Hotan et al. 2004). As part of the analysis we corrected the Stokes parameters \((Q, U, I, \text{and circular polarization } V)\) for the position of the feed probes relative to the telescope meridian and for the parallactic angle of the observation. We also observed strong pulsars with known polarization characteristics (such as the Vela pulsar) to provide a check on our polarimetric calibration. Analysis of these pulsars yielded linear polarization \( L = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2} \), position angle of linear polarization \( \text{PA} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(U/Q) \), and \( V \) matching those in the literature (e.g., Johnston et al. 2005, Johnston & Weisberg 2006).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To complete polarization calibration for XTE J1810–197 we had to compute the amount of Faraday rotation suffered by the radiation in its passage through the Galactic magnetic field. We determined the rotation measure by measuring PA as a function of frequency within the 256-MHz band at 1.4 GHz when the pulsar was strong. The resulting value, \( \text{RM} = 76 \pm 4 \) rad m\(^{-2}\), did not vary within the quoted uncertainty either as a function of pulse phase or time. The RM was then used to correct the measured PAs and frequency-integrated \( L \) at all frequencies to their values at infinite frequency so that a comparison could be made between frequencies (e.g., Karastergiou & Johnston 2006). The PAs and \( L \) shown in Figure 1 therefore represent those emitted at the pulsar. We also display in the Figure the Stokes \( I \) and \( V \).

Together with the integrated column density of free electrons to XTE J1810–197, \( DM = 178 \) cm\(^{-3}\) pc (Camilo et al. 2006), the RM can be used to determine the average magnetic field strength parallel to the line of sight weighted by electron density. 1.2 RM/DM = 0.5 \( \mu G \). This fairly small value appears reasonable given the location of the pulsar, \((l, b) = (10^{\circ}73, -0^{\circ}16)\) and \( d = 3.5 \) kpc, for which the large-scale Galactic field is mostly in the perpendicular direction, and with at least one reversal along the line of sight (e.g., Han et al. 2006).

In spite of the variability of the profiles shown in Figure 1 there are three striking and constant aspects to the polarization profiles. First, the fractional linear polarization is extremely high, close to 100%, and remains high at all frequencies measured here\(^6\). Secondly, there is a shallow increase in the PA as a function of rotational phase, which remains essentially unchanged regardless of time or frequency of the observations. The rate of change is reasonably constant over the “main” pulse profile components and is around 0.5 deg\(^{-1}\). Finally, there is little or no circular polarization \((\lesssim 5\%)\) in the integrated profiles at any frequency (Fig. 1), or in individual pulses at 1.4 GHz except for occasional levels up to \( \sim 10\% \) of total intensity in the “precursor” pulse components (cf. Fig. 2).

The emission from XTE J1810–197 changed in character in late 2006 July (Camilo et al. 2007). While daily variations continue unabated, generally the pulse profiles are broader (compare Figs. 1a and 1b) and the fluxes are lower (the peak flux densities listed in Table 1 attest to this). In contrast, the general polarization characteristics do not seem to vary. This suggests that the gross observed changes in profile morphology are not due to detectable changes in the underlying magnetic field geometry of the emission regions.

With the variability of the integrated profiles as a caveat, we nevertheless attempt to compare the profiles at 1.4, 3.2 and 8.4 GHz. In order to isolate long-term variations, we consider for this purpose only data taken in a one-week period in 2006 September. The double peaked profile gets narrower as the frequency increases and the ratio of the leading to trailing component becomes larger (Fig. 3). Also, the slow PA sweep (and absolute value of the PA) is identical at all frequencies, as expected in the “rotating vector model” of Radhakrishnan & Cooke (1969). This is consistent with the radius-to-frequency mapping paradigm in which lower frequencies are emitted farther from the star than higher frequencies (e.g., Cordes 1978). Without detailed geometrical information, however, it is difficult to quantify this effect (for a brief discussion of radius-to-frequency mapping concerning XTE J1810–197, see Camilo et al. 2006, Dyks et al. 2007).

In the very early days of pulsar astronomy, it was realized that the observed PA swing could be used to derive the geometry of the star under the assumption that the PA was related to the projection of the dipolar field lines on the plane of the sky (Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969). Unfortunately, it is difficult to determine the geometry in the majority of pulsars mainly because of the small longitude range over which they emit (e.g., Everett & Weisberg 2001). This is true of XTE J1810–197 also. In addition, it is a priori unclear whether a dipolar field structure holds true in this pulsar, although we proceed on the assumption that it might and see where that leads us. In our post-2006 July data, neither \( \alpha \) (the angle between the magnetic and rotation axes) nor \( \beta \) (the angle of closest approach of the line of sight to the magnetic axis) can be constrained. The earlier data, with the appearance of pulse components far from the main component (Fig. 2), are more promising in this regard. Here, however, the main uncertainty is whether there is 90° of PA rotation between the widely

---

\(^6\) Camilo et al. (2006) reported that the pulsar was 65% linearly polarized at 1.4 GHz. The discrepancy arises from then-uncorrected Faraday rotation within the observing band.
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spaced components. Formal fits to the data both with and without an extra 90° of phase are reasonable (see Fig. 4). In the former case, the fits yield values of α near 70° and high values of β near 20°–25°. Without the added orthogonal jump, the fits yield α \(\approx 4°\) and β \(\approx 4°\) implying that the magnetic and rotation axes are almost aligned.

The polarization characteristics of XTE J1810–197 are very similar to those seen in young pulsar profiles (Johnston & Weisberg 2006). They too are highly linearly polarized, often have double profiles, and show a slow swing of PA across a wide profile. Johnston & Weisberg (2006) showed that a single cone of emission originating from relatively high in the pulsar magnetosphere could explain the observed characteristics of young pulsars and it is tempting to make the same case here. However, there is a significant difference in the polar cap radius, \(\propto P^{1/2}\) (and light cylinder radius \(cP/2\pi\)), between a young pulsar with a period of 0.1 s and XTE J1810–197 with its 5.5 s period. This makes it difficult to see how such a wide (≈ 0.15°) observed pulse profile can be produced unless (a) the emission height is very large or (b) the magnetic and rotation axes are almost aligned. We will discuss these two possibilities in turn.

In the first case, knowledge of the geometry and the observed pulse width can be used to compute an emission height. For values of α near 70° and β \(\approx 25°\), one can use equation (2) of [Gil et al. (1984)] to derive the cone opening angle \(\rho\). In turn the emission height can be computed as \(\approx 2cP\rho^2/9\pi\), or \(\approx 20000\) km. This is about 10% of the light cylinder radius — similar to the value in other young pulsars (Johnston & Weisberg 2006). If this scenario were typical of magnetars in general then the beaming fraction would be high and most bright radio active magnetars would likely be detectable in pulsations. In the second case, for small values of α, the line of sight could remain wholly within the emission beam leading to the observed wide profile. In this case, if α were to vary slightly with time (for reasons unknown), there could be a large effect on both the observed beam shape and torque, both of which have been observed to vary significantly (Camilo et al. 2007). Perhaps the emission in this particular magnetar could be in part a direct function of the quasi-alignment between the rotation axis and magnetic axis, or perhaps the alignment might occur as a natural process in magnetars. In either case, the small polar cap size would make the beaming fraction of such magnetars rather low. The main difficulty with this interpretation is that the radio and X-ray beams appear to be nearly aligned (Camilo et al. 2007) and the observed modulation of thermal X-rays is very large (≈ 50%; Gotthelf & Halpern 2007), which would be hard to obtain from a nearly aligned rotator.

In summary, the polarized emission from XTE J1810–197 shares many characteristics of those in young pulsars generally. The emission is highly linearly polarized with little evolution with frequency, the pulse profile is wide and double, and there is only a shallow swing of PA through the main pulse. This leads to the possibility that the “standard” pulsar ideas of emission along open magnetic field lines also hold here. In this case, either the magnetic and rotation axes are almost aligned, or the emission originates high above the surface of the star, which is our preferred interpretation. Obvious remaining differences between XTE J1810–197 and other pulsars are its pulse profile variability (which does not appear to be accompanied by corresponding gross changes in the magnetic field geometry), fluctuating flux density, and flat spectrum.
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Fig. 1.— Representative polarimetric profiles of XTE J1810–197 over time and frequency. The red and blue lines represent the amount of linear and circular polarization, respectively, and the black traces give total intensity. The position angles (PAs) are displayed for bins where the linear polarization signal-to-noise ratio > 4 and have been corrected for rotation measure. In order to highlight the emission region, we show 90° of pulse phase and a PA range of 30°–90°. Each data set was folded using a different ephemeris, and no attempt was made to align the panels precisely. Panel (a) shows a 5 min integration with 2048-bin resolution when the pulsar was strong (emission on this day was also detected at other phases; see Fig. 2). Panel (b) displays a 19 min integration when the pulsar had weakened and its profile had broadened considerably. Panel (c) shows a 2.7 hr integration, and (d) a 3.9 hr integration. Panels (b)–(d) are displayed with 512 bins across the full pulse period.
Fig. 2.— Selected polarimetric profiles of XTE J1810–197 at 1.4 GHz on MJD 53913 (see also Fig. 1[a] for data collected 6 hr earlier). Each panel contains 16.6 s of data (three pulses) folded modulo the pulsar period. The top two panels are contiguous in time, with the one at bottom recorded 6 min later. In order to highlight the emission region, we show in each panel 150° of pulse phase. Traces and symbols are as in Figure 1.
FIG. 3.— Position angles (top) and total intensity profiles (bottom) for XTE J1810–197 at three frequencies (blue corresponds to 1.4 GHz data, green to 3.2 GHz, and red to 8.4 GHz). All data were obtained within a one-week period, and 125° of phase are displayed with 512 bins across the full pulse period. The 1.4 GHz and 8.4 GHz data were presented in a somewhat different form in Figure 1, while those at 3.2 GHz (195 pulses, or 18 min) are from MJD 53993. Starting with the previous best determination of RM (+76 ± 4 rad m⁻²), we aligned the profiles by adjusting both phase and PA in all three frequencies, obtaining a more precise value RM = +77 ± 1 rad m⁻². Longitude 0° on the plot is arbitrary.

FIG. 4.— Bottom panel: Polarimetric profile of XTE J1810–197 at 1.4 GHz based on 17 min of data from MJD 53913. The full 360° of pulse phase are shown. The black trace shows the total intensity emission, while red displays linear polarization and green represents the level of circular polarization. Top panel: Position angle data (black points) and the best-fit rotating vector model curves for the almost aligned (blue line) and almost orthogonal (green line) cases discussed in the text. In the latter instance the emission from the main pulse component and the precursor component must arise from different orthogonal modes, thus necessitating the 90° phase jumps in the fitted curve.