Performance of the ATLAS Tau Trigger system with 7 TeV pp collisions at the LHC

Stefania Xella
Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

Identification of hadronic tau lepton decays

What do taus look like in the detector?

Simplified Detector Transverse View

Muon Spectrometer

HadCAL

EMCAL

Solenoid

TRT

SCT

Pixels

A tau jet (signal)...

...vs. a QCD jet (background)

Decay Mode			B.R.
leptonic		$\tau^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm + \nu + \nu$	17.8%
		$\tau^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm + \nu + \nu$	17.4%
hadronic	1-prong	$\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm + \nu$	11%
		$\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm + \nu + n\pi^0$	35%
	3-prong	$\tau^\pm \rightarrow 3\pi^\pm + \nu$	9%
		$\tau^\pm \rightarrow 3\pi^\pm + \nu + n\pi^0$	5%
	other		~5%

Identification of hadronic tau lepton decays requires input data from the calorimeter and the tracking detectors

The ATLAS Experiment

... a BIG experiment :

39 Countries
176 Universities
3000 Physicists
(more than 1000 students)

ATLAS calorimeter

ATLAS tracking detector

Transition Radiation
Tracker : TRT

Pixels

Si Strips Tracker : SCT

ATLAS Trigger

ATLAS Trigger

- The first level trigger (L1) finds regions of activity in the detector (muon detector or calorimeter), and passes this information to the second level trigger (L2). Simple, fast selection applied.
- The second level accesses the data in the region of activity determined by L1 (a few percent of the total). Noise subtraction in calorimeter can be applied. Dedicated tracking algorithms are run.
- The third level trigger (or Event Filter, EF) can operate in the region determined by L2 or on the full event. Offline reconstruction algorithms are run online. Sophisticated selection can be applied.

Tau trigger focuses on final states with one or more **hadronic decays** of tau leptons. This allows **efficient detection** of Standard Model (SM) and Beyond the SM **physics processes** like $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$, $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau \nu$, $Z' \rightarrow \tau \tau$, ...

ATLAS tau trigger motivation

Note: safety factor 5 for QCD background not included

ATL-PHYS-PROC-2008-079

ATL-PHYS-PROC-2009-001

ATLAS Tau Trigger Implementation

Trigger Tower = $0.1 \times 0.1 \eta \times \Phi$
Sum of several calorimeter cells

Local maximum (0.2×0.2 core region) should be above threshold e.g. HA6 means threshold is 6 GeV

$$|\eta| < 2.5$$

Track and calorimeter cell information are combined, for the first time tau dedicated selection on number of tracks, isolation and lateral shape in calorimeter is applied. No noise suppression applied.

L2

3D noise-suppressed clusters of cells

Noise suppression using topological clustering algorithm and offline calibration procedure is applied. Run identification algorithms online, then use cut-based selection optimized in simulation wrt offline identified tau leptons.

EF

HLT tau trigger identification

L2

EF

Typical rejection of L2 + EF with respect to L1 rate is > 10

Tau Trigger performance

$$\text{Efficiency} = \frac{N \text{ true taus passing tau trigger \& reconstructed as offline tau jets}}{N \text{ true taus reconstructed as offline tau jets}}$$

Fraction of offline reconstructed tau candidates passing L1, L2 and EF **tau12_loose** trigger, as a function of the E_T of the offline tau candidate. Distributions are done on Monte-Carlo (MC) $W \rightarrow \tau (\text{had}) \nu$ events. Requirements for E_T are 5 GeV at L1, 7 GeV at L2 and 12 GeV at EF.

No identification applied to offline tau candidates. Tau trigger candidates are matched to true tau candidates in the simulated events.

tau12_loose

E_T cut at EF

Efficiency wrt true taus offline reconstructed with $E_T > 12$ GeV is ~ 80%

Tau Trigger menu

The list of tau triggers running online (tau trigger menu) includes

- ❖ primary physics triggers for various luminosities : single tau triggers or tau triggers combined with other triggers like missing E_T , etc..
- ❖ monitoring triggers to verify during each run the performance of the detector components needed for optimal online tau identification
- ❖ calibration triggers

Type	Motivation	Example of Trigger
Single	$H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu$	tau38_loose
Double	$H, Z' \rightarrow \tau \tau$	2tau11_loose
Combined	$H/H^+, tt, W, Z$	tau12_loose_xe15 tau12_loose_e10_loose tau12_loose_2b15
HLT monitoring only	Monitor L2+EF variables	tauNoCut
Calibration	Hadronic	trk9_loose

$10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

ATLAS tau trigger performance in real data

13

ATLAS tau trigger has been running online during whole 2010

At first, the HLT tau trigger was in monitoring mode, which means no rejection was applied online

This has allowed to study the HLT performance before it was needed actively online

Since April 2010, with increasing LHC luminosity, the L1 output rate has become too high to be saved directly to tape, so tau trigger HLT has become active.

ATLAS tau trigger variables

Variables for L1 and HLT selection well described over several orders of magnitude of E_T without yet tuning Monte-Carlo simulations for underlying event or other effects.

Shape variables are most sensitive to Imperfect simulation of underlying event

ATLAS tau trigger L1 background efficiency

15

HA5

HA11

Fraction of the offline tau reconstructed candidates matched to a L1 trigger object with $E_T > 5$ and 11 GeV as a function of the uncalibrated E_T of the offline tau candidate. The small differences at low E_T can be attributed to inefficiencies in the forward region of the detector.

8 different L1 tau thresholds are present in the ATLAS trigger menu

ATLAS tau trigger HLT background efficiency

16

Fraction of the background offline tau candidates passing L1, L2 and EF **tau12_loose** trigger as a function of the E_T of the offline tau candidate.

Requirements for E_T are : $> 5 \text{ GeV}$ at L1, $\geq 7 \text{ GeV}$ at L2 and $\geq 12 \text{ GeV}$ at EF.

Distributions are done on Monte-Carlo (MC) Minimum Bias simulated with PYTHIA and on data from Minimum Bias stream.

Without any ATLAS-specific tuning for underlying event, the PYTHIA simulation reproduces remarkably well the observed background rejection performance in the data. Tuned PYTHIA simulations are expected to show better agreement with data results, as observed in recent tau identification offline studies.

ATLAS tau trigger online rates

Primary tau trigger items for physics analyses requiring final states with tau leptons decaying hadronically and large missing transverse energy.

At $10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, a simpler requirement of L1 tau $E_T > 5 \text{ GeV}$ with at least one track with $p_T > 6 \text{ GeV}$ at L2 and missing $E_T > 15$ at EF can be afforded (tauNoCut_hasTrk6_EFxe15_noMu).

For higher luminosities, at L2 and EF trigger levels a more sophisticated tau identification and missing E_T thresholds at all trigger levels must be required (tau12_loose_xe15_noMu and tau12_loose_xe20_noMu).

ATLAS tau trigger online rates

Dynamical prescaling during a run allows to optimize efficiency of physics sample collection. Examples from a few single tau triggers.

ATLAS first W tau nu candidate event

19

Tau trigger efficiency determination from data

20

TAG and PROBE method

Single tau trigger efficiency:

Using $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ events (~ 500 events in 100 pb^{-1}) triggered by electron or muon single trigger + offline basic selection applied. Tau trigger (probe) efficiency measured on the opposite side of the (tag) trigger.

Combined tau+missing E_T trigger :

Using $t\bar{t}$ events (~ 300 in 100 pb^{-1}), triggered by 4jets trigger.

Bootstrap method

Eg. $B = \text{tau50_loose}$
 $A = \text{tau12_loose}$

Requires one has a method for measuring the efficiency of A

Summary

ATLAS tau trigger focuses on efficient collection of physics processes involving a tau lepton decaying hadronically in the final state

A varied tau trigger menu is in place, with single high p_T and combined lower p_T tau trigger items, which allows to guarantee an ample spectrum of tau physics samples for future studies

The ATLAS tau trigger has been operational during the whole 2010 first in monitoring mode and then in active rejection mode, and its performance in real data is remarkably close to what was expected from past studies on simulated ATLAS data

Optimizations are being prepared, to account for what we learnt from real data background distributions.

We are ready to trigger on new physics !