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1. INTRODUCTION

In pure Euclidean Yang-Mills field theories on a lattice field, variables range over the group manifold itself. This manifold is compact and a non-trivial Riemannian space. The gauge groups we will consider are SU(N), N = 2,3, but our results can be immediately generalized to any N. In this article we reformulate such theories in an equivalent fashion in terms of fields taken from the flat non-compact space $\mathbb{R}^N$. They transform as N dimensional representations of SU(N).

We will therefore call them "bosonic spinorial variables" for the gauge field. The integration is over a Gaussian measure instead of a Haar measure. A straightforward change of notation leads then to a boson operator formulation of Yang-Mills lattice field theories.

Our approach is based on Bargmann's realization of group representations of SU(N) \(^1\), which makes use of Hilbert spaces of entire analytic functions over $\mathbb{C}^N$ or powers of $\mathbb{C}^N$. This formalism is equivalent to the so-called boson operator calculus \(^2\). For technical reasons and for the sake of mathematical clarity we prefer to use spaces of analytic functions in this article.

The lattice $\Lambda$ is assumed to be hypercubic, to have dimension $D$ and the boundary conditions are presumed to be periodic. Let $\ell$ denote the links and $p$ the plaquettes of $\Lambda$. We define the partition function by the standard ansatz

$$Z = \int_{\Lambda} \prod_{\ell \in \Lambda} (du_\ell) e^{S(\{u_\ell\})}$$

$$u_\ell \in SU(N)$$

(1)

The action $S$ can be represented as a sum of contributions $S_p$ of each plaquette $p$

$$S(\{u_\ell\}) = \sum_{\text{plaquettes}} S_p$$

(2)

We assume that each $S_p$ can be expanded into characters

$$e^{S_p} = \sum_{\{R\}} \text{dim} R \cdot \chi^R(u_{op}) f_R(\beta)$$

(3)

Here $\{R\}$ is the set of irreducible unitary representations of SU(N), $\chi^R$ are the corresponding characters. $\beta$ is the inverse temperature or the inverse coupling constant squared and $f_R(\beta)$ are "dynamical factors" that specify the
action. The Wilson action $^3$ or the generalized Villain action $^4$ are included as special cases. The argument $u_{3p} \in SU(N)$ is the usual product of group elements $u_k$ along the boundary $3p$ of $p$.

For the purpose of generality we use (3) as a starting point. After the introduction of bosonic spinorial variables all integrations over gauge group variables can be performed exactly. If we are able to sum over $R$ for certain given functions $f_R(\beta)$, we can study the reformulated Yang-Mills theory both for $\beta \to 0$ (the strong coupling limit) as for $\beta \to \infty$ (the weak coupling limit). Without this summation over $R$ the reformulated Yang-Mills theory can only be studied in the strong coupling domain. Since all integrations in the strong coupling expansion are now Gaussian, they are elementary. Vector coupling coefficients of $SU(N)$ do not arise. This is of particular interest, since for $N \geq 3$ analytic expressions for vector coupling coefficients are not known.

Of course one cannot expect that a complicated invariant contraction of $SU(N)$ vector coupling coefficients can be replaced by an elementary integral, but it is certainly possible to replace it by several or many such integrals. We can only hope that up to a certain order the number of terms generated is small enough to be listed up by a computer. We can say that our result combines the algebra of the group with the combinatorics of the lattice and admits a unified graphical approach to strong coupling expansions.

The method of integrating over the group $SU(N)$ can be extended to groups $U(N)$ as well. It can then be compared with the technique developed in Ref. 5 which does not yield vector coupling coefficients of $U(N)$ either. Our method sums up contributions to one irreducible representation which leads to a considerable reduction of the number of terms.

We introduce Bargmann spaces for $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ in Section 2. Whereas each representation of $SU(2)$ is self-conjugate, representations of $SU(3)$ occur in conjugate pairs in general. Some relevant properties of the conjugation matrix are derived in Section 3. Using the delta function kernels for the Bargmann spaces, we integrate tensor products of representation operators $T^R_U$ over the group $SU(N)$ in Section 3. As a final tool we derive the projection kernels that allow us to contract the operators $T^R_U$ to characters $\chi^R(u_{3p})$ in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to some miscellaneous remarks on strong coupling expansions and their generating functions.

Notations are the same as in Ref. 6), those for links and plaquettes of the lattice $\Lambda$ and the cells of the dual lattice $\Lambda^*$ are again compiled in an Appendix.
2. THE BANGMANN SPACES

The Bargmann space for $SU(2)$ is a Hilbert space $H_u(C^2)$ of entire analytic functions over $C^2$ with a Gaussian measure $u$

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in C^2, \quad z_\ell = x_\ell + iy_\ell, \quad \ell = 1, 2$$

$$d\mu_2(z) = \pi^{-2} \prod_{\ell=1,2} \left[ dx_\ell dy_\ell e^{-x_\ell^2 - y_\ell^2} \right]$$

and a scalar product

$$(f, g)_u = \int d\mu_2(z) f(z) \overline{g(z)}$$

We define a unitary representation of $SU(2)$ in $H_u(C^2)$ by the definition

$$T_u f(z) = f(u^T z), \quad u \in SU(2)$$

The irreducible unitary representation $R = j, \quad j \leq \frac{1}{2} Z_+$ of $SU(2)$ is carried by a subspace $Q_j$ of $H_u(C^2)$ consisting of analytic homogeneous polynomials of degree $2j$. The standard basis in $Q_j$ consists of the polynomials

$$v^j_m(z) = \frac{z_1^{j+m} z_2^{j-m}}{[(j+m)! (j-m)!]^{1/2}}$$

The projection $f \mapsto f|_{Q_j}$ of any vector onto its component in $Q_j$ is established by a kernel

$$f|_{Q_j}(z) = \int d\mu_2(z') Q^j(z, z') f(z')$$

with

$$Q^j(z, z') = \sum_{m=-j}^{+j} v^j_m(z) \overline{v^j_m(z')} \quad (2j)! (z \cdot \overline{z'})^{2j}$$

\[ (z \cdot \overline{z'})^{2j} \]
Here we denote

$$Z \cdot Z' = \sum_{i=1,2} Z_i Z_i'$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

The linear functional $\delta_z$

$$f(z) = (\overline{\delta_z}, f)_\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

is bounded and can consequently be given as a kernel

$$\delta_z(z') = \exp (Z \cdot \overline{z'})$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

This is the basic property of the Bargmann spaces which will be exploited in this article. We obtain immediately

$$\delta_z(z') = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_j^z (z, z')$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

so that $H_\mu (\mathbb{C}^2)$ decomposes into the orthogonal direct sum

$$H_\mu (\mathbb{C}^2) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

The Bargmann space for $SU(3)$ is a similar space $H_\mu (\mathbb{C}^6)$ of entire analytic functions over $\mathbb{C}^6$ with a Gaussian measure $\mu$

$$(z, z') \in \mathbb{C}^6, \ z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ z' = \begin{pmatrix} z_1' \\ z_2' \\ z_3' \end{pmatrix}, \ z_i = x_i + i y_i, \ i = 1, 2, 3$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

and

$$d\mu_6(z, z') = \pi^{-6} \prod_{i=1,2,3} \left[ dx_i dy_i e^{-x_i^2 - y_i^2} dx_i' dy_i' e^{-x_i'^2 - y_i'^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

and a scalar product similar to (6). A unitary representation of $SU(3)$ is defined in $H_\mu (\mathbb{C}^6)$ analogously to (7).
Define

\[ W_i(z, z') = \sum_{j,k}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} z_j z_k' \]  

Then all homogeneous polynomials of the type

\[ W_{\{\lambda, \mu\}^3}(z, w(z, z')) = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i w_i(z, z')^{\lambda_i} \]

span a subspace \( Q_{(\lambda, \mu)} \) of \( H_{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) that carries the irreducible unitary representation \( R = (\lambda, \mu) \) of \( SU(3) \). 

The set of functions (19) is linearly dependent due to

\[ \sum_{i=1}^3 z_i W_i(z, z') = 0 \]  

An orthonormal basis system can be extracted from it by the method of Gelfand and Zetlin \(^8\), \(^9\). In this work we rely only on the existence of such a basis.

Denote a state of the Gelfand-Zetlin basis for the representation \( R \) by

\[ U_\alpha^R(z, w(z, z')) \]

We may then introduce the kernel for the projection operator onto the subspace \( Q_R \) by

\[ Q_R^R(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta'); z, w(z, z')) = \sum_\alpha U_\alpha^R(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta')) \times U_\alpha^R(z, w(z, z')) \]  

However, we are now forced to compute this kernel by other arguments. Homogeneity and invariance suggest the ansatz \( R = (\lambda, \mu) \)

\[ Q_R^R(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta'); z, w(z, z')) = N_R^R(\zeta, z)^\lambda (w(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot w(z, z'))^\mu \]  

The renormalization constant results from arguments presented below

\[ N_R^R = \frac{\lambda + 1}{\mu! (\lambda + \mu + 1)!} \]
If we exchange the role of $z, z'$ in (21) we obtain a projection operator on a different subspace (if $\lambda \neq 0$) of $H^\mu_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{g}^6)$. In fact the space $H^\mu_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{g}^6)$ contains the representation "3" of $SU(3)$ (i.e., $\lambda = 1, \mu = 0$) twice and "3" (i.e., $\lambda = 0, \mu = 1$) only once. The sum of $Q^R$ over $R$ cannot yield the $\delta$ kernel of $H^\mu_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{g}^6)$, which by direct generalization of (13) is

$$\delta_{\zeta, \zeta'}(z, \bar{z}) = \exp(\zeta \cdot \bar{z} + \zeta' \cdot \bar{z}')$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

Instead we consider the "generating function" for the kernels $Q^R$

$$\exp \left( \zeta \cdot \bar{z} + \omega(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot \omega(z, \bar{z}') \right) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + \mu + 1)!}{(\lambda + 1)!} Q^\lambda_{\mu}(\zeta, \omega(z, \bar{z}'))$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

We multiply one such function by a conjugate one and integrate

$$\int d\mu \zeta, \zeta' \ exp \left\{ \zeta \cdot \bar{z} + \omega(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot \bar{\omega} \right\} \times \ exp \left\{ \bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{z} + \bar{\omega}(\xi, \xi') \cdot \omega_0 \right\}$$

$$= (1 - \omega_0 \cdot \omega)^{-2} \exp \frac{z_0 \cdot \bar{z} - (z_0 \cdot \omega_0)(\bar{z} \cdot \bar{\omega})}{1 - \omega_0 \cdot \bar{\omega}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

This integral converges whenever $\omega_0, \bar{\omega}$ are small enough. Both $z, \omega$ and $z_0, \omega_0$ have been considered as independent variables.

If we set

$$\omega_0 = \omega(z_0, \bar{z}_0)$$

or

$$\omega = \omega(z, \bar{z})$$

then the second term in the exponent vanishes. Assume that $\omega_0$ is expressed in this fashion but that $\omega$ is independent of $z$. In this case we expand both sides of (26) in powers and obtain by homogeneity arguments

$$\left[ \lambda! \mu! \ \lambda'! \mu'! \right]^{-1} \int d\mu \zeta, \zeta' \ (\zeta \cdot \bar{z})^\lambda (\omega(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot \bar{\omega})^\mu \times (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{z}_0)^{\lambda'} (\bar{\omega}(\xi, \xi') \cdot \omega_0)^{\mu'}$$

$$= \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \delta_{\mu, \mu'} \frac{(\lambda + \lambda')^\mu (z_0 \cdot \bar{z})^\lambda (\omega_0 \cdot \bar{\omega})^\mu}{\lambda! \mu!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)$$
Any polynomial \( f(\zeta, \zeta') \in \mathbb{Q}_{(\lambda, \mu)} \) can be obtained from
\[
(\zeta \cdot \bar{\zeta})^\lambda (w(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot \bar{w})^\mu
\]
by fixing the values for \( z, w \) and taking linear combinations (\( z, w \) are independent). Thus we obtain from (27)
\[
\frac{\lambda + 1}{\mu! (\lambda + \mu + 1)!} \int d\mu_0 (\zeta, \zeta') f(\zeta, \zeta') (\bar{\zeta} \cdot z)^\lambda \times (\overline{w(\zeta, \zeta')} \cdot w(z, z'))^\mu
\]
\[
= f(z, z')
\]
(28)

This proves (22), (23).

It is a typical complication of \( SU(3) \) compared with \( SU(2) \) that the two functions (24) and (25) are different!

3. - CONJUGATE REPRESENTATIONS

If \( T_u \) is a representation then
\[
T_u^c = T_{u^{-1}^c}
\]
(29)

is called the "conjugate" representation. For \( SU(2) \) we have
\[
u^{-1}^c = \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon^{-1}
\]
\[
\varepsilon = \iota \sigma_2 \in SU(2)
\]
(30)

so that both representations are equivalent. In the basis (8) we have in fact
\[
D_{m m'}^i(\nu) = (\nu^i_{m'}, T_u \nu^i_{m})_\mu
\]
(31)
\[
D_{m m'}^{i^c}(\nu^{-1}^c) = (-1)^{j - m + j' - m'} D_{-m', -m'}^i(\nu)
\]
(32)
In the case of SU(3) and relying on the basis (19) we define a substitution $C$
\[
  z_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i(z, z') \\
  \mathcal{U}_i(z, z') \rightarrow z_i
\]  
and introduce the $C$ matrix with respect to the Gelfand-Zetlin basis
\[
  \mathcal{U}^R_{\alpha}(z, \mathcal{U}(z, z')) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^R_{\alpha'}(\mathcal{U}(z, z'), z) = \sum_{\alpha'} \mathcal{U}^{Rc}_{\alpha}(z, \mathcal{U}(z, z')) \mathcal{C}^{R}_{\alpha' \alpha}
\]
By inspection of Eq. (19) we obtain
\[
  \mathcal{C}^{Rc} = (\lambda^c, \mu^c), \quad \lambda^c = \mu, \mu^c = \lambda
\]
By definition of the Gelfand-Zetlin basis $^8,^9$ we have reality of $\mathcal{C}^R$
\[
  (\mathcal{C}^R)^\dagger = (\mathcal{C}^R)^T
\]
and by repeated application we get
\[
  \mathcal{C}^{Rc} = (\mathcal{C}^R)^{-1}
\]
If we introduce matrix elements
\[
  \mathcal{D}^R_{\alpha\alpha'}(u) = \langle \mathcal{U}^R_{\alpha}, T_u \mathcal{U}^R_{\alpha'} \rangle \mu
\]
we have in addition (in matrix notation)
\[
  \mathcal{C}^R \mathcal{D}^R(u^{-1}, T) = \mathcal{D}^{Rc}(u) \mathcal{C}^R
\]
Using
\[
  \mathcal{D}^R_{\alpha\alpha'}(u) = \mathcal{D}^R_{\alpha'\alpha}(u^T)
\]
and applying (39) once for $u$ and once for $u^T$ we can argue by means of Schur's lemma that
\[
  (\mathcal{C}^R)^{-1} = \gamma^R (\mathcal{C}^R)^T
\]
The constant $\gamma^R$ turns out below to be

$$\gamma^R = \frac{(\mu + 1)!}{(\lambda + 1)!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

Besides the invariant sum over the basis of the space $Q_R$ we have also to deal with invariant sums of the kind

$$\sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} v^R_{\alpha'}(z, w(z, z')) c^R_{\alpha \alpha} v^R_{\alpha}(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta'))$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} v^R_{\alpha}(w(z, z'), z) v^R_{\alpha}(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta'))$$

$$= N^R (\zeta \cdot w(z, z'))^{\lambda} (w(\zeta, \zeta') \cdot z)^{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

On the other hand we may use (41) and get

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} v^R_{\alpha'}(z, w(z, z')) v^R_{\alpha}(\zeta, w(\zeta, \zeta')) c^R_{\alpha \alpha'} (\gamma^R)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha'} v^R_{\alpha'}(z, w(z, z')) v^R_{\alpha'}(w(\zeta, \zeta'), z) (\gamma^R)^{-1}$$

$$= N^R c^R (z \cdot w(\zeta, \zeta')) (w(z, z') \cdot \zeta)^{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

Comparison of (44) and (43) yields

$$\gamma^R = \frac{N^c}{N^R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Inserting (23) gives (42).

4. - INTEGRATION OVER THE GROUP

From the introduction we know that for each link $l = (x, \rho)$, $\rho = 1, 2, \ldots, D$ *) we have an integration

$$\int d\mu(x, \rho)$$

*) For the notations of links and plaquettes, see the Appendix.
over the group. Each plaquette having this link on its boundary contributes a factor \( D_{\alpha\alpha'}^R(u) \); more precisely, we have the integral

\[
\int du (x,\rho) \prod_{\sigma \neq \rho} D^{R(x,\rho,\sigma)}_{\alpha_\sigma \alpha'_\sigma} (u(x,\rho)) \prod_{\tau \neq \rho} D^{R(x-x,\rho,\tau)}_{\alpha_\tau \alpha'_\tau} (u(x,\rho))^{-1}
\]

In the second product we use (39) and (40) to transform the factors into

\[
D^{R(x-x,\rho,\tau)}_{\alpha'_\tau \alpha_\tau} (u(x,\rho))^{-1} = \left( \mathcal{C}^{-1} R^{*(x-x,\rho,\tau)}(u(x,\rho)) \mathcal{C} R^{(x-x,\rho,\tau)}(u(x,\rho)) \right)_{\alpha'_\tau \alpha_\tau}
\]

We know already from Ref. 6) that for \( SU(2) \)

\[
D^{j}_{mm'}(u) = (\psi^j_m, T_u \psi^j_{m'}) \mu = \int d\mu_2(\xi) d\mu_2(\eta) \psi^j_{m}(\xi) K_2(u;\xi,\eta) \psi^j_{m'}(\xi)
\]

with

\[
K_2(u;\xi,\eta) = \exp\{ (u^T \xi) \cdot \eta \}
\]

For \( SU(3) \) we have similarly

\[
D^{R}_{\alpha\alpha'}(u) = (\psi^R_\alpha, T_u \psi^R_{\alpha'}) \mu = \int d\mu_6(\xi,\xi') d\mu_6(\eta,\eta') \psi^R_\alpha(\xi,\omega(\xi,\eta)) \psi^R_{\alpha'}(\xi,\omega(\xi',\eta'))
\]

\[
\times K_3(u;\xi,\eta) K_3(u;\xi',\eta') \psi^R_{\alpha'}(\xi,\omega(\xi,\eta'))
\]
with

\[ K_3(u; \zeta, z) = \exp \left\{ (u^T \zeta) \cdot \overline{z} \right\} \quad \zeta, z \in \mathbb{C}^3 \]  

(51)

Both kernels in the integrals (48) and (50) are obtained by operating with \( \tau_u \) on the left arguments of the delta functions (13) and (24), respectively.

Thus we are left with integrals of the type

\[ L_{K, N}(\{\zeta(u)\}, \{\overline{z}(u)\}) = \int du \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{K} (u_i \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(u)) \right\} \]  

(52)

with \( K = 2D - 2 \) for SU(2) and \( K = 2(2D - 2) \) for SU(3). For SU(2) the result was already reported in Ref. 6)

\[ L_{K, 2}(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_2(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\})^n}{n! (n+1)!} \]  

(53)

with

\[ \Omega_2(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{K} \begin{vmatrix} \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(i) & \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(j) \\ \zeta(j) \cdot \overline{z}(i) & \zeta(j) \cdot \overline{z}(j) \end{vmatrix} \]  

(54)

For SU(3) the result is similar

\[ L_{K, 3}(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\}) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_3(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\})^n}{n! (n+1)! (n+2)!} \]  

(55)

with

\[ \Omega_3(\{\zeta(i)\}, \{\overline{z}(i)\}) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{K} \begin{vmatrix} \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(i) & \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(j) & \zeta(i) \cdot \overline{z}(k) \\ \zeta(j) \cdot \overline{z}(i) & \zeta(j) \cdot \overline{z}(j) & \zeta(j) \cdot \overline{z}(k) \\ \zeta(k) \cdot \overline{z}(i) & \zeta(k) \cdot \overline{z}(j) & \zeta(k) \cdot \overline{z}(k) \end{vmatrix} \]  

(56)

The expression for general SU(N) can easily be guessed at, the first term in the series for \( L_{K, N} \) is always one.

The result for SU(2), (53), (54) has been derived by explicitly performing the integrations \(^6\). For SU(3) the integration proceeds as follows.

Each matrix \( u \in SU(3) \) up to a set of Haar measure zero can be decomposed into
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_\xi \mathbf{v} \\
\mathbf{v} &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & -\beta & \alpha \end{array} \right) \in \text{SU}(2) \\
\text{so that } \mathbf{u}_\xi \text{ boosts the vector} \\
\mathbf{\eta} &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \\
\text{into} \\
\mathbf{u}_\xi \mathbf{\eta} &= \mathbf{\xi} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\xi_i|^2 = 1 \\
\text{implying } \mathbf{\xi} \in S^5. \text{ It is easy to see that the Haar measure on } \text{SU}(3) \text{ is the} \\
\text{product of the Haar measure on } \text{SU}(2) \text{ with the normalized uniform measure on } S^5 \\
\text{where} \\
d\mathbf{u} &= d\mathbf{\omega}(\mathbf{\xi}) \; d\mathbf{v} \\
\text{and} \\
d\mathbf{\omega}(\mathbf{\xi}) &= \frac{2}{\pi^3} \; d^2 \xi_1 \; d^2 \xi_2 \; d^2 \xi_3 \; d\left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\xi_i|^2 - 1 \right)
\end{align*}

Denote

\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_\xi &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \xi_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ \xi_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ \xi_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{array} \right) \in \text{SU}(3) \\
\text{where } b_1, c_1 \text{ are functions of } \xi \text{ but not of } \mathbf{v} \text{ due to} \\
\overline{\xi}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} b_j c_k \\
\text{Now integrate first over } \mathbf{v}, \text{ using the known result for } \text{SU}(2). \\
\Omega_2 \text{ (54) is obtained in the form [Using (65)]}
\[ \Omega_2 = A \cdot \bar{\xi} \]  

In addition we are left with exponential factors

\[ \exp \left( B \cdot \xi \right) \]

Both \( A \) and \( B \) are certain functions of \( \zeta^{(1)}, \bar{Z}^{(1)} \). Integration over the measure [63] yields

\[ 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A \cdot B)^n}{n! (n+1)! (n+2)!} \]

This method of integration can be used for an inductive derivation of the integral \( L_{K,N} \) for arbitrary SU(N).

5. - EVALUATION OF TRACES

Though we could well use the original lattice we prefer to rely on the dual lattice \( \Lambda^* \) to formulate the evaluation of traces. It simplifies our language. The combinatorics of these contractions has been disentangled in Ref. 5). We follow the procedure developed in that article. In the Figure we project a D cell of \( \Lambda^* \) on the \( \sigma \) co-ordinate plane and draw the plaquettes in the \( \sigma \) plane of \( A \) along which the traces have to be performed. Each plaquette encircles a (D-2) cell of \( \Lambda^* \) which, in the Figure, appears as a point.

Consider a (D-1) cell \([x',\rho]\) of \( \Lambda^* \). On its boundary it has the (D-2) cells \([x',\rho,\sigma]\), \(\sigma \neq \rho\), and \([x'+\sigma,\rho,\sigma]\), \(\sigma \neq \rho\). To each (D-1) cell belongs one "link kernel" \( L_{K,N} \), \( K = (N-1)(2D-2) \), [52] [For SU(N)]. For each boundary (D-2) cell of \([x',\rho]\) \( L_{K,N} \) depends on an (N-1) plot of pairs of variables \( \zeta, \bar{z} \). We label these variables by

\( (\zeta, [x',\rho], \bar{z}, [x',\rho]) \), \( (\zeta', [x',\rho], \bar{z}', [x',\rho]) \), ...

and

\( (\zeta, [x'+\sigma,\rho,\sigma], \bar{z}, [x'+\sigma,\rho,\sigma]) \), ...

Thus \( \text{Tr}_{N} \) depends on \( 4(N-1)(D-1) \) arguments ranging over \( C^{N} \). We may graphically represent these variables by pairs of bundles of \( N-1 \) lines each, one a \( z \) bundle and the other a \( \xi \) bundle, connecting the centre of the \( (D-1) \) cell with the centre of any of its \( (D-2) \) cells on the boundary.

A \( (D-2) \) cell is common to the boundaries of four \( (D-1) \) cells. Thus four pairs of bundles meet on one \( (D-2) \) cell. Evaluating the traces connects these bundles in a certain fashion. We study first the case of \( SU(2) \) where each bundle consists of a single line. We abbreviate the label of a \( (D-2) \) cell by \( p \) (as for a plaquette).

**Case a:** \( p = [x', \rho, \sigma] \)

\[
\sum_{m} D_{m, m}^{(p)} \left( u_{[x', \sigma]}^{-1} \right) D_{m, m}^{(p)} \left( u_{[x', \rho]}^{-1} \right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_{m} (-1)^{p-\rho} u_{m}^{(p)} (z(1)) u_{m}^{(p)} (z(2)) = Q_{p}^{(p)} (z(1), \omega(z(2))) \quad z(1) = z_{[x', \sigma]}^{p}, \quad z(2) = z_{[x', \rho]}^{p}
\]

\[
(68)
\]

with

\[
\omega(z)_{i} = \sum_{j} \epsilon_{ij} z_{j}^{p} \quad \epsilon_{12} = +1
\]

\[
(69)
\]

**Case b:** \( p = [x' + \rho, \sigma] \)

\[
\sum_{m} D_{m, m}^{(p)} \left( u_{[x' + \rho, \sigma]}^{-1} \right) D_{m, m}^{(p)} \left( u_{[x', \sigma]}^{-1} \right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_{m} u_{m}^{(p)} (\xi(1)) u_{m}^{(p)} (\xi(2)) = Q_{p}^{(p)} (\xi(2), \xi(1)) \quad \xi(2) = \xi_{[x', \sigma]}^{p}, \quad \xi(1) = \xi_{[x' + \rho, \sigma]}^{p}
\]

\[
(70)
\]
Case c : $p = [x', \rho, \sigma, \xi]$

$$
\sum_{m} D_{m}^{\rho} \left( \mu_{[x', \rho]} \right) D_{m}^{\rho} \left( \mu_{[x' + \xi, \sigma]} \right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{m} \nu_{m}^{\rho} (\zeta(1)) \nu_{m}^{\rho} (\zeta(2))
$$

$$
= Q^{p} \left( \zeta(1), \zeta(2) \right), \quad \zeta(1) = \zeta_{[x', \rho]}, \quad \zeta(2) = \zeta_{[x' + \xi, \sigma]}
$$

(71)

Case d : $p = [x', \rho, \sigma, \xi]$

$$
\sum_{m} D_{m}^{\rho} \left( \mu_{[x', \sigma, \xi]}^{-1} \right) D_{m}^{\rho} \left( \mu_{[x' + \xi, \rho]} \right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{m} (-1)^{p-m} \frac{\nu_{m}^{\rho} (\zeta(1))}{\nu_{m}^{\rho} (\zeta(2))}
$$

$$
= Q^{p} \left( \omega(\zeta(1)), \zeta(2) \right), \quad \zeta(1) = \zeta_{[x' + \xi, \rho]}, \quad \zeta(2) = \zeta_{[x' + \xi, \rho]}
$$

(72)

Thus at one (D-2) cell $p = [x', \rho, \sigma]$ we have contractions with the following "plaquette kernel" $P_{n}^{p}$:

$$
P_{2}^{p} (\{ \zeta_{\epsilon(p)}^{p} \}, \{ Z_{\epsilon(p)}^{p} \}) =
$$

$$
= Q^{p} \left( Z_{[x', \rho]}, \omega \left( Z_{[x', \rho]}^{p} \right) \right) \quad Q^{p} \left( Z_{[x' + \xi, \rho]}, \zeta_{[x' + \xi, \rho]}^{p} \right)
$$

$$
\times Q^{p} \left( Z_{[x' - \xi, \rho]}, \zeta_{[x' - \xi, \rho]}^{p} \right) \quad Q^{p} \left( \omega \left( \zeta_{[x' - \xi, \rho]}^{p} \right), \zeta_{[x' - \xi, \rho]}^{p} \right)
$$

(73)

In the case of $SU(3)$ the situation is very similar and we obtain the plaquette kernel $P_{3}^{p}$:

$$
P_{3}^{p} (\{ \zeta_{\epsilon(p)}^{p} \}, \{ Z_{\epsilon(p)}^{p} \}) =
$$

$$
= Q^{p} \left( Z_{[x', \sigma]}, \omega \left( Z_{[x', \sigma]}^{p}, Z_{[x', \rho]}^{p} \right) \right) \quad \omega \left( Z_{[x', \rho]}, Z_{[x, \rho]}^{p} \right)
$$

(74)
\[ Z = \int \prod_{p} \mu_{\psi} (z_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, z_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \omega_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \]

\[ Z = \int \prod_{p} \mu_{\psi} (z_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, z_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \omega_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \]

With the reduction obtained so far we can write the partition function as

\[ Z = \int \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\psi} (z_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, z_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \omega_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \]

\[ Z = \int \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\psi} (z_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, z_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \omega_{p}^{\psi \rho}, \xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \prod_{p, e(p)} \mu_{\xi} (\xi_{p}^{\psi}, \omega_{p}^{\psi}, \xi_{p}^{\psi \rho}) \]

(75)

for SU(3), say.

The gauge invariance of the original Yang-Mills theory has been fully preserved. In other words, the number of superfluous field variables has not been reduced. In fact, a gauge transformation

\[ \mu(x, p) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(x) \mu(x, p) \mu(x, p) \]

(76)

entails

\[ Z^{p}[x', p] \rightarrow \mu(x') \mu(x', p) \mu(x', p) \]

\[ \xi^{p}[x', p] \rightarrow \mu(x', p) \mu(x', p) \mu(x', p) \]

(77)

and similarly for \( z', \xi', \) etc. Both the plaquette kernels and the link kernels are invariant under the gauge transformation (77).
6. REMARKS

In the case of SU(2) we can perform half of the integrations in (75) by means of an auxiliary integration as follows. We make use of

$$Q^i(\zeta, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^+ \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2i+1}} \exp\left(\tau \zeta \cdot \overline{z}\right)$$

(78)

This leads to the replacement of

$$P_2^p\left(\left\{\xi_{\ell(p)}, z\right\}\right) = (2\pi i)^{-4} \int_0^+ \frac{d\tau_i^p}{\tau_i^{2i+1}} \left\{ \exp\left\{ \tau_1^p \cdot [x', \sigma] \cdot \mathcal{W}\left(\frac{z^p}{[x', p]}\right) \right\} + \tau_2^p \cdot \overline{\zeta} \cdot [x', p] + \tau_3^p \cdot \overline{\zeta} \cdot [x', \sigma] + \tau_4 \cdot \mathcal{W}\left(\frac{\zeta^p}{(x'_{\sigma}, p)}\right) \cdot \overline{\zeta} \cdot [x'_{\sigma}, p] \right\}$$

(79)

The exponentials are delta functions [see Eq. (13)]. The integration over these delta functions introduces $\zeta$ into the arguments of the link kernels. It can easily be shown that the integration over appropriately chosen remaining variables $\zeta, z$ converges absolutely for any finite lattice whenever

$$|\tau_i^p| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and all } p, i$$

(80)

There results then an analytic function $G\{\tau\}$ which is holomorphic around zero and whose Taylor expansion yields the strong coupling expansion of $Z$. This "generating function" $G\{\tau\}$ was first introduced in Ref. 6).

In order to study the weak coupling domain of SU(2) Yang-Mills theories one can sum the character expansion. Denote

$$\tau^p = \sum_{i=1}^4 \tau_i^p$$

(81)
and sum
\[ \Theta(\tau, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1) \tau^{-2j} f_j(\beta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (82)

For standard forms of actions such as Wilson's \(^3\) or the generalized Villain action \(^4\), \(\Theta(\tau, \beta)\) is entirely analytic in \(\tau^{-1}\). We may modify the definition (82) by adding irrelevant holomorphic functions that vanish at \(\tau = 0\), e.g.,
\[ \tilde{\Theta}(\tau, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2j+1)(\tau^{-2j} - \tau^{2j+2}) f_j(\beta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (83)

For the Wilson action this sum yields
\[ \tilde{\Theta}_w(\tau, \beta) = (1 - \tau^2) e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta (\tau + \tau^{-1})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (84)

In that case we obtain
\[ Z = \int \prod_{p \in \Lambda^*} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left[ \frac{d\tau_p}{2\pi i \tau_p^4} \right] \tilde{\Theta}_w(\tau_p^4, \beta) G(\{\tau\}) \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (85)

Also determines the dynamics in the weak coupling domain.

For SU(3) the kernel (25)
\[ \exp \left[ \tau \xi \cdot \overline{z} + \omega \cdot \overline{\omega}(\xi, \xi') \cdot \overline{\omega}(z, \overline{z'}) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (86)

can be used for an auxiliary integration still analogous to (79). However, this does not render the integrations trivial, it increases the polynomial order of \(\Omega_p\) by the integration, and analyticity of the generating function \(G(\{\tau, \omega\})\) is doubtful. Nevertheless \(G(\{\tau, \omega\})\) still exists as a formal power series connected with the strong coupling expansion.

Finally we mention how the transition to the boson operator formalism is achieved from (75). This can be formulated rigorously for the strong coupling expansion for which each order is a polynomial expression in the variables \(\xi^p\), \(z^p\). In each polynomial expression let all holomorphic factors appear to the right of all antiholomorphic factors. Then replace
\[ \zeta^p_e, \zeta^p_e \] by \[ b^p_e, a^p_e \]
\[ \bar{\zeta}^p_e, \bar{\zeta}^p_e \] by \[ b^p_e, a^p_e \]

each of which is an \( N \)-plet of bosonic creation or annihilation operators.
Finally replace the integration by taking the standard Fock space vacuum expectation value.
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APPENDIX

Notations for the lattice $\Lambda$ and its dual $\Lambda^\ast$. The lattice $\Lambda$ consists of sites

$$x = n_1 \frac{1}{2} + n_2 \frac{3}{2} + n_3 3 + \ldots + n_D D$$

A link starting at $x$ and ending at $x + \rho$ is denoted by $(x, \rho)$. A plaquette spanned by two links $(x, \rho), (x, \sigma)$, $\rho \neq \sigma$, is denoted by $(x, \rho, \sigma)$ or $(x, \sigma, \rho)$. The dual lattice $\Lambda^\ast$ has the same structure (hypercubic) as $\Lambda$, denote its sites by $x'$. Its links, plaquettes, etc., may be denoted in the same fashion as for $\Lambda$. However, for our purposes another notation is more practical. We denote a $D$ cell spanned by the links $(x', \rho)$, all $\rho$, by $[x']$; a $(D-1)$ cell spanned by the links $(x', \rho), \rho \neq \sigma$, by $[x', \sigma]$; a $(D-2)$ cell spanned by the links $(x', \tau), \tau \neq \rho, \tau \neq \sigma$, by $[x', \rho, \sigma]$ or $[x', \sigma, \rho]$.

The duality transformation is a one-to-one map of $\Lambda$ on $\Lambda^\ast$ so that

$$x' \leftrightarrow x, \quad x = x' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\rho \rho$$

and

sites $x \leftrightarrow D$ cells $[x']$

links $(x, \rho) \leftrightarrow (D-1)$ cells $[x' + \rho, \sigma]$ plaquettes $(x, \rho, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (D-2)$ cells $[x' + \rho + \sigma, \rho, \sigma]$.

These objects of $\Lambda$ are orthogonal to their images in $\Lambda^\ast$ so that the centre of the object coincides with the centre of its image.
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FIGURE CAPTION

The $x'$ D cell projected onto the $\rho \varphi$ plane. (D-1) cells appear as lines, (D-2) cells as points. The plaquettes of $\Lambda$ encircling the (D-2) cells are drawn.
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FIG. 1