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Abstract

To achieve high luminosity at the collision point of the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) the normalized horizontal and vertical emittances of the electron and positron beams must be reduced to 500 nm and 4 nm before the beams enter the 1.5TeV linear accelerators. An effective way to accomplish ultra-low emittances with only small effects on the electron polarization is using damping rings operating at 2.86 GeV equipped with superconducting wiggler magnets. This paper describes a technical design concept for the CLIC damping wigglers.
Design and System Integration of the Superconducting Wiggler Magnets for the CLIC Damping Rings

I. INTRODUCTION

CLIC (Compact Linear Collider) is a study for an electron-positron collider in the TeV range. Fundamentally, CLIC will be the next generation of high-energy linear electron-positron linear colliders. It will use superconducting wiggler magnets. This paper describes a technical design concept for the CLIC damping wiggler magnets.

To achieve high luminosity at the collision point of the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) the normalized horizontal and vertical emittances of the electron and positron beams must be reduced to 500 nm and 4 nm before the beams enter the 1.5 TeV linear accelerators. An effective way to accomplish ultra-low emittances with only small effects on the electron polarization is using damping rings that can be only achieved with superconducting wiggler magnets. This paper describes the baseline design for the CLIC damping wiggler magnets.

I. INTRODUCTION

CLIC (Compact Linear Collider) is a study for an electron-positron collider in the TeV range. Fundamentally, CLIC will be the next generation of high-energy linear electron-positron linear colliders. It will use superconducting wiggler magnets. This paper describes a technical design concept for the CLIC damping wiggler magnets.

To achieve high luminosity at the collision point of the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) the normalized horizontal and vertical emittances of the electron and positron beams must be reduced to 500 nm and 4 nm before the beams enter the 1.5 TeV linear accelerators. An effective way to accomplish ultra-low emittances with only small effects on the electron polarization is using damping rings. But by using the more challenging Nb$_3$Sn technology the magnetic flux density amplitude $B_w$ can be increased (see Fig. 2 for the parameters of the wiggler magnets), which may open the possibility to shorten the damping rings. Moreover, the enthalpy margin for Nb$_3$Sn wiggler magnets with the same loadline margin as Nb-Ti wiggler magnets is larger, which will result in more stable operation. First promising tests show that Nb$_3$Sn wiggler magnets can reach the high magnetic flux density, but more detailed studies are required before a final decision can be taken.

To test the wiggler system with beam, two prototypes of different concepts of superconducting wiggler magnets are foreseen to be installed in the ANKA storage ring [4]. The operational parameters for Nb-Ti are: $B_w = 3.0$ T, 35 periods, 56 mm period length, a beam stay clear of 13 mm and a magnetic gap $g$ of 18 mm. The design values for a Nb$_3$Sn wiggler magnet are (except for the magnetic flux density which is $B_w = 4.0$ T) identical. The test of the Nb$_3$Sn damping wiggler can be performed in the same cryostat.

The schematic layout of the cryostat is shown in Fig. 3. The essential of the design is that the vacuum chamber and superconducting coils have minimum thermal contact and that they are cooled by using separate cooling circuits. In between the vacuum chamber and the coils is a small vacuum (10$^{-4}$ Pa) gap. The vacuum chamber is spaced to the coils by using small spacers with a low thermal conductivity. So, if the vacuum chamber receives heat load from the beam the coils are only slightly affected. The vacuum chamber with a vacuum...
Excitation and damping occurs due to the emission of synchrotron radiation. The damping is based on the effect that emission of synchrotron radiation reduces both the longitudinal and the transverse momentum of the electron. However, the cavities only restore the longitudinal momentum leading to a reduction of the transverse momentum. The equilibrium emittance calculated by considering these two effects is called zero-current emittance.

- Excitation and damping occurs due to the emission of synchrotron radiation. The damping is based on the effect that emission of synchrotron radiation reduces both the longitudinal and the transverse momentum of the electron. However, the cavities only restore the longitudinal momentum leading to a reduction of the transverse momentum. The equilibrium emittance calculated by considering these two effects is called zero-current emittance.

- Intrabeam scattering causes an increase of the zero-current emittance (determined by the effects mentioned above) due to small angle multiple Coulomb scattering.

In this paper, a conceptual design for superconducting vertical and horizontal racetrack damping wiggler magnets (see Fig. 4) is presented, which allows to derive very quickly an optimized wiggler magnet design for damping rings. Moreover, a full analysis of the heat load in racetrack damping rings equipped with superconducting damping wiggler magnets in series in a racetrack damping ring is derived. The heat load analysis shows that conduction cooling has to be applied to superconducting wiggler magnets. So far, to the knowledge of the authors, all existing superconducting damping wiggler magnets are cooled by using bath cooling. A design concept for conduction cooling is presented.

A. Design requirements

In the following section we discuss the choice of wiggler parameters and technology required to achieve the ultra-low emittance. Both bending magnets and wiggler magnets contribute to the damping time and the emittances. A detailed general mathematical analysis of the dependence of the emittances and the damping times on the various parameters can be found in [7]. The concept and the list of the parameters for the CLIC damping rings can be found in [8, 9]. Three effects are determining the equilibrium emittance:

- Excitation and damping occurs due to the emission of synchrotron radiation. The damping is based on the effect that emission of synchrotron radiation reduces both the longitudinal and the transverse momentum of the electron. However, the cavities only restore the longitudinal momentum leading to a reduction of the transverse momentum. The equilibrium emittance calculated by considering these two effects is called zero-current emittance.

- Intrabeam scattering causes an increase of the zero-current emittance (determined by the effects mentioned above) due to small angle multiple Coulomb scattering.

The physical analysis of damping and excitation, without considering the effect of intrabeam scattering, allows the following conclusions to be drawn: in order to make the wiggler section as short as possible, the magnetic flux density \( B_w \) has to be large. Also the damping time \( \tau \) is...
shorter the larger $B_w$ is. But a high wiggler magnetic flux density $B_w$ only produces low emittances when the product of $|B_w^2|$ and the period length $\lambda_w$ is small. Therefore, the ideal damping ring has wigglers with a large magnetic flux density $B_w$ at a short period length $\lambda_w$.

However, the effective emittance in the ring will be larger than the zero-current emittance because of the effect of intrabeam scattering. The final normalized emittance of the beam $\gamma \epsilon_u$, with $u = x, y, z$ is given by:

$$\gamma \epsilon_u = \gamma \epsilon_{u,0} + \gamma \epsilon_{u,IBS}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The normalized emittance growth from intrabeam scattering is proportional to the brightness of the beam, that is,

$$\gamma \epsilon_{u,IBS} \propto \frac{N^Q}{\epsilon_u^b \epsilon_u^b \epsilon_u^z},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $N$ is the number of particles per bunch and $\{Q, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ is a set of positive real numbers. The effect of intrabeam scattering in existing rings is small, but in the first design studies [8] of the CLIC damping rings, the magnitude of the final emittance was dominated by intrabeam scattering. Therefore, in [3] the damping rings were re-optimized such that $\gamma \epsilon_z / \gamma \epsilon_x,0 \leq 1.9$.

Fig. 5 shows the calculated emittance taking into account all the previously mentioned effects for beam energies between 2 and 4 GeV. The emittances have a broad minimum between 2 and 3 GeV. From Fig. 5, an energy of 2.86 GeV was chosen. A more detailed analysis can be found in [3].

In the next step, $B_w$ and $\lambda_w$ for the damping wigglers have to be specified. In Fig. 6 the area is marked where the horizontal, vertical, and longitudinal emittance requirements of the CLIC damping rings are met.

The maximum achievable flux density strength $B_w$ as a function of the period length ratio $g/\lambda_w$ and the pole magnetic flux density $B_p$ can be derived in free space from Maxwell’s magneto-static equations:

$$B_w = \frac{B_p}{\cosh \left( \frac{\pi g}{\lambda_w} \right)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $B_p$ is the pole field on the iron (see Fig. 2).

The gap of superconducting wiggler magnets is determined by the required aperture and space to intercept the heat and radiation load from the beam. An aperture of 13 mm is foreseen in the damping rings. To intercept the heat and radiation load from the beam, 2.5 mm space on each side is required. Therefore, the magnetic gap was set to 18 mm for these calculations.

The normalized horizontal and vertical emittances have to be pre-damped from 100 $\mu$m rad for electrons and $9.7 \times 10^5$ $\mu$m rad for positrons to 63 $\mu$m rad (horizontal) and 1.5 $\mu$m rad (vertical) in pre-damping rings [10]. For the emittance calculations the normalized vertical zero-current emittance was set to 4 nm rad, and the normalized longitudinal zero-current emittance was set to 6000 eV m. The total wiggler length in one ring was set to 104 m. Fig. 6 shows the results of this study. Fig. 6 (top) shows the normalized horizontal emittance as it is the most critical and can be used to decide on the required wiggler parameters. The red and the blue curves show the maximum achievable field for superconducting wiggler magnets with Nb$_3$Sn and Nb-Ti wire technology, respectively. The red and the blue points present the proposed Nb$_3$Sn and Nb-Ti working points. The parameters of the wiggler magnets represented by these points are presented in Tab. 1. Fig. 6 (bottom) presents the effect of intrabeam scattering (IBS) on the normalized emittance, for example a value of 1.2 means that 20% of the emittance is generated by the effect of IBS.

Fig. 6 (top) shows that only wigglers with a period length $\lambda_w$ of less than 80 mm and with a sinusoidal wiggler field with a magnetic flux density amplitude $B_w$ larger than 2.2-2.5 T fulfill the requirements of the CLIC damping rings. For period lengths $\lambda_w$ of 50 to 80 mm and magnetic flux densities $B_w$ of 2.8-4.5 T the effect of intrabeam scattering (Fig. 6 (bottom)) can be minimized.

In the prototype phase the wiggler magnets will be tested in the ANKA storage ring and will also be used as a light source. Therefore, a smaller period length
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II. WIGGLER MAGNET DESIGN

In this section we present a conceptual design for superconducting wiggler magnets. From the requirements of the CLIC damping rings, the gap size \( g \), the period length \( \lambda_w \) and the minimal required magnetic flux density in the center of the gap \( B_w \) are assumed to be given.

The choice of the wiggler coil design, the choice of the strand technology (Nb-Ti or Nb\(_3\)Sn) and the choice of the wire bundle dimension are discussed in the following. Further on, in the case of vertical wiggler magnets, the bending radii of the end-coils have to be determined.

The parameters required for the optimization are summarized in Tab. II and illustrated in Fig. 2, where \( B_w \) refers to the amplitude of the magnetic flux density, \( B_p \) to the pole field and \( B_s \) to the surface field on the conductor. We chose not to investigate the graded coils (coils with changing engineering current density over the wire bundle) because they require the use of multiple power supplies and current leads. All magnetic calculation were performed by using the Opera software package [11].

TABLE II. Nomenclature.

| \( B_w^* \) | Maximum achievable field at \( \lambda_w \) |
| \( B_p^* \) | Corresponding values at \( B_w^* \) |
| \( B_p^{\text{eng}} \), \( B_w^{\text{eng}} \), \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \) | Design operating values |

We assume that the design parameters \( B_w^{\text{eng}} \), \( \lambda_w^{\text{eng}} \) and \( g^d \) are given; the design pole field \( B_p^{\text{eng}} \) can be directly calculated by using

\[
B_p = B_w^{\text{eng}} \cosh \frac{g^d}{\lambda_w^{\text{eng}}}.
\]

Fig. 7 (top) can be used to find the required engineering current density \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \) from the intersection of \( B_p = B_w^{\text{eng}} \) and the design period length \( \lambda_w^{\text{eng}} = \lambda_w^* \), where \( ^* \) denotes the maximum achievable pole field \( B_p^* \) for a period length \( \lambda_w \) at the engineering current density \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \). In this first step of the optimization, the design pole field \( B_p^d \) is set to the maximum achievable pole field \( B_p^* \) for a given period length \( \lambda_w \) at the engineering current density \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \).

After determining the required engineering current density \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \) for the desired pole field in Fig. 7 (top), \( B_p^d = B_p^* \); the maximum field on the conductor \( B_p^* \) can be read off from the intersection of \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \) and the period length \( \lambda_w \) in Fig. 7 (bottom). If the intersection point of \( J_w^{\text{eng}} \) and the period length \( \lambda_w^* \) are below the critical surface shown for Nb-Ti (Bochvar Strand) or Nb\(_3\)Sn (OST RRP) it can be, in principle, realized.

If a strand is used that is different from the two shown in Fig. 7 the engineering current density has to be converted correspondingly into the current in the strand with

\[
\lambda_w^*= \lambda_w^{\text{eng}} (\gamma_B / \gamma_{\text{eff},0})
\]

\( \lambda_w^* = 2 \text{;} \lambda \) was assumed to satisfy the needs of both ANKA and CLIC. The Nb-Ti wiggler magnet will be operated with a loadline margin of 85% and the Nb\(_3\)Sn wiggler magnet with a loadline margin of 68%. The loadline margin for Nb\(_3\)Sn was chosen larger for additional margin because the manufacturing and operation of Nb\(_3\)Sn wiggler magnets is purely R&D. Each of the 104 superconducting wiggler magnets has 34 periods. 26 wigglers will be installed in each of the straight sections of the two damping rings. This discussion shows that the development of short-period superconducting wiggler magnets with a high magnetic flux density strength \( B_w \) and small gap \( g \) is required.

Hybrid-permanent wiggler magnets are not able to reach the required large magnetic flux densities. The magnetic saturation induction of iron (\( B = 2.15 \text{T} \)) can be considered as the theoretical upper limit for the pole field of hybrid-permanent magnet wigglers.
where we define the filling factor \( \kappa \) for the wiggler magnets as the average number of wires per unit area. For the calculations presented here, a filling factor of 1.24 strands/mm\(^2\) was assumed. As Fig. 7 reveals, \( B_p \propto J_{\text{eng}} \). Therefore, the part of the coil generating the field seen by the beam must be densely wound. In vertical wiggler magnets, the layer jump can be conveniently placed on the side averted from the beam. In horizontal racetrack coils, usually the layer jump is placed in the straight part, resulting in an around 10% smaller filling factor compared to that of vertical wiggler magnets.

We have to introduce the critical surface in order to determine if the chosen strand is superconducting at the chosen \( B_s \) (shown for the two sample strands in black in Fig. 7 (bottom)). A superconducting filament in a magnetic field is superconducting as long as the current is smaller than the critical current. For a Nb-Ti strand, the critical current is [14]

\[
I_c = C B_s^{1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{B_s}{B_c(T)} \right)^\beta,
\]

where \( L_c \) shows the bending radii for Nb-Ti and Nb\(_{3}\)Sn. For the Nb-Ti Bochvar Institute strand, \( C = 3300, \alpha = 0.72, \beta = 1.1, \) and \( B_c(4.2) = 10.68 \). For a Nb\(_{3}\)Sn strand, the critical current is [15, 16]

\[
I_c = \frac{C}{\sqrt{B_s}} \left( 1 - \frac{B_s}{B_c(T)} \right)^2.
\]

For the OST RRP 0.8 mm strand, \( C = 11030, B_c(4.2, 0) = 24.92 \).

In vertical racetrack wigglers, the bending radius can be chosen at will. It is useful to choose it in a way that the Lorentz force acting on the wire is compressive. Fig. 8 shows the bending radii for Nb-Ti and Nb\(_{3}\)Sn wiggler magnets at which the force becomes zero. For larger bending radii, the Lorentz force is compressive; for smaller bending radii, the Lorentz force is tensile. In horizontal racetrack wiggler magnets this option obviously does not exist because the bending radii are given by the pole width. Because the pole width is much smaller than the radii shown in Fig. 8, the Lorentz forces in the end-coils of horizontal wiggler magnets are tensile. In the straight section, the wire bundles are compressed towards the unsaturated iron. If no iron is used, the forces will be tensile. A yoke on top of a vertical wiggler magnet reduces the resulting force on the wire bundles on the upper straight part. One has to be careful at the first and last coils of the wiggler magnets. Forces acting away from the wiggler body occur and have to be supported by an adequate mechanical structure.

Wiggler magnets have to be transparent for the beam. That means, the first and second field integrals

\[
I_g = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} B_g(z)dz,
\]

\[
II_g = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \int_{s_0}^{s} B_g(z')dz'dz,
\]

to be zero over the wiggler magnet have to vanish. Two different designs are commonly used to compensate the first and second field integral, either a symmetric (odd number of poles) or an antisymmetric (even number of poles) design. In superconducting wiggler magnets the antisymmetric

\[
\lambda_w \text{ [mm]}
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 8. Optimized end-coil radius } r \text{ for Nb-Ti and Nb}_3\text{Sn wiggler magnets with zero force in the end-coil.}
\]
design is preferred. The first field integral automatically becomes zero and reduces the possibility of beam trips in the case of a quench [17]. Further, the integrals of the higher even multipoles (sextupole, decapole, . . . ) are canceled automatically because they are equal in size but different in sign. The second field integral has to be minimized by varying the number of conductors or the geometry of the last coils by using a numerical calculation method. If the magnet is operated at different current levels, the first and last pole should be thick enough to not saturate.

In the last paragraph, we saw that all even multipoles are canceled automatically. Finally we have to ensure that the influence of the odd numbered multipoles (quadrupoles, octupoles, . . . ) remains also acceptably small. Tolerances for the dynamic field integral \( \int B_y ds \) have to be determined by performing tracking studies to specify the allowable range, where \( s \) denotes the trajectory of the particles. It is shown in [18] that it is not sufficient to consider \( \int B_y dz \), where \( z \) follows the global coordinate of the overall beam direction. The good-field region is defined as the region where the relative change in magnetic flux density is less than \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \).

Fig. 9 shows this region for typical wiggler designs. The maximum deflection of the beam in a wiggler magnet in the CLIC damping rings is \( x = 2.65 \times 10^{-3} B_w \lambda_w^2 \), where all values have to be entered in SI units. For \( B_w = 3 \text{T} \) we find around \( \pm 30 \mu \text{m} \). The beam size is smaller than 1.2 mm. We suggest to choose a good-field region of at least 4 mm.

The final step in the magnet design of a superconducting wiggler is the quench analysis. A quench is a transition from the superconducting to the normal-conducting state followed by a thermal runaway. When the superconductor becomes normal conducting, the current flows through the stabilizing copper of the strand causing resistive heating of the magnet, which has to be switched off. Wigglers can be built and protected in modules; in the extreme each half period can be protected separately by means of a parallel resistor within the magnet cold mass, as was done for the LHC beam diagnostics undulator [19]. If a coil of the wiggler quenches, the parallel resistor acts both as energy extraction for the quenched coil and by-pass for the current. This protection scheme is feasible because the stored energy in a single coil of a wiggler magnet is relatively small (< 10 kJ). A PSpice simulation was performed. As input parameter the resistance increase value after the occurrence of a quench was extrapolated from the CERN-KIT short model wiggler (Section III). The analysis has shown that even the protection of many wiggler magnets powered in series is feasible.

A. Influence of field errors

Magic fingers magnetic shims can be used to compensate both for small errors of the first and second field integrals and for small multi-pole errors due to mechanical errors in the wiggler magnets [18]. Additional steereers can be used for compensation of the field integrals.

The horizontal equilibrium emittance and the damping time [7] without considering the effect of intrabeam scattering vary less than 5% over a hypothetical, one million damping rings with Gaussian distributed errors of the magnetic flux density amplitude \( B_w \) and period length \( \lambda_w \). The standard deviation for this study was set to: \( \sigma(B_w) = 0.2 \text{T} \) and \( \sigma(\lambda_w) = 1 \text{mm} \). A standard deviation of \( \sigma(B_w) = 0.2 \text{T} \) corresponds to an error in the pole height of \( \pm 1.5 \text{mm} \) if \( B_p = \text{const} \) and \( \lambda_w = \text{const} \). These tolerances can be easily achieved. Therefore, no special care has to be taken to maintain small tolerances during the manufacturing of the wiggler magnets.

Notice that if the yaw, pitch, or roll angles obtained with magnet alignment do not meet the target values, higher-order field components are introduced which are not represented in the field model described above.

B. Temperature level of SC coils

The operation at 1.9 K instead of 4.3 K increases the performance of a Nb-Ti wiggler by around 20% (\( \lambda_w = 56 \text{mm} \)). However, a Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn wiggler with currently tested strands reaches almost the same \( B_w \) at 4.3 K and 1.9 K due to self-field instabilities. Only the latest Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn strand development may reach larger currents at 1.9 K [20]. Cooling at around 4.2 K can be economically provided by standard cryocoolers. Therefore, cooling at 1.9 K is not pursued. The power consumption at 1.9 K would be above 200 kW instead of 76.9 kW and could not be provided by cryocoolers (Section IV).

The wire bundle shown in Fig. 2 is encased in epoxy resin. Therefore, the superfluid helium cannot come in direct contact with the superconducting wire. As a result the large thermal conductivity of superfluid helium at 1.9 K is only of limited advantage. Therefore, we choose to operate the wiggler magnets at 4.2 K, which eases cooling considerably and allows for cooling the wiggler magnets with cryocoolers.
TABLE III. Parameters of short models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CERN-KIT</th>
<th>CERN-BINP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period, mm</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored Energy, kJ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap, mm</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{ac}$, T at 4.2 K</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{ac}$, T at 1.9 K</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_r$ at 4.2 K, A</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_r$ at 4.2 K, T</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_r$ at 1.9 K</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Sc ratio</td>
<td>1.8/1</td>
<td>1/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># poles</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strand</td>
<td>LHC #3 [22]</td>
<td>Bochvar Institute [12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN VERIFICATION

Two Nb-Ti vertical racetrack short-models were successfully manufactured and tested in two independent collaborations. In the framework of the CERN collaboration with the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany, a 40 mm period, Nb-Ti short-model was manufactured reaching up to 2.4 T at 1.9 K. The magnet reached short sample current after 13 quenches. The results are published in [21]. In the framework of the CERN-Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (BINP), Russia, collaboration, Nb-Ti short-models with a period length of 50 mm were manufactured reaching up to 2.2 T at 4.2 K. The magnet reached short sample current after 20 quenches. The parameters of the two successfully tested short models are summarized in Tab. III. These tests have proven that Nb-Ti wiggler magnets in the proposed parameter space can be manufactured and operated.

IV. THERMAL DESIGN

This section presents a thermal design for the CLIC damping wiggler. It will be the first time that 26 superconducting wiggler magnets will be operated in one straight section. In this chapter we address the challenges related to this operation mode. For all following calculations the baseline parameters were used. The wiggler baseline design is the Nb-Ti HR design presented in Tab. I (left column). The baseline energy of the CLIC damping rings is 2.86 GeV.

A. Magnetically and electrically induced heat loads

Eddy currents will occur due to the varying magnetic flux density during the ramp-up or a quench of the wiggler magnets. For the test device in ANKA the ramping time is crucial, because during injection the wiggler has to be shut down. Therefore, the test device will be built by using laminated iron to minimize eddy currents during the ramp-up.

Resistive joints cannot be avoided. The horizontal racetrack wiggler magnets will have around 75 joints per meter wiggler length. Tab. IV summarizes the achieved resistance values normalized to a 1-cm overlap length. Cold welded Nb-Ti filament joints lead to the smallest resistances:

$$P < rac{nRI^2}{L} < 1 \text{ mW/m},$$

where $P$ is the power, $L$ the length of the wiggler, $n$ the number of joints, $R$ the resistance of one joint, and $I$ the current in the strand. In the case of vertical racetrack wiggler magnets, the only joints are the interconnections to the current leads. This would yield for 4 interconnections operated at 855 A approximately 15 mW heat load.

B. Beam induced heat loads

1. Image currents

In [25] the anomalous skin effect was measured for metals with a small resistance at high frequencies. In this regime Ohm’s law can no longer be applied because the free path of the conduction electrons is similar to the penetration depth of the electric field [26].

At cryogenic temperatures the electrical resistivity of pure metals such as OFHC copper is up to a factor of 300 lower than at room-temperature. Therefore, the average power deposition per unit length due to the wakefield of the beam in the extreme anomalous skin effect regime of a cold beam pipe for aluminum or copper (coating) is given by [27]:

$$P/L = \frac{\Gamma (\frac{1}{B}) c Z_0 I_{av}^2}{4 b \eta_f} \approx 1 \text{ W/m}.$$  

The presented values for the image current power deposition were calculated with the values defined and given in Tab. V.

While good conductors do enter the anomalous skin effect regime at cryogenic temperatures and high frequencies, poor conductors do not. For poor conductors such as uncoated stainless steel or TiZrV ternary alloy, called Non Evaporable Getter (NEG) coating, the heat load can be estimated by normal skin effect formulas:

$$P/L = \frac{\Gamma (\frac{1}{B}) c \sqrt{Z_0}}{\sqrt{32 b \eta_f}} \frac{I_{av}^2}{\sigma^2} \approx 32 \text{ W/m}.$$  

TABLE IV. Comparison of different joint technologies [23, 24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint Technology</th>
<th>Electrolytic Cu</th>
<th>Soldered</th>
<th>US welded</th>
<th>Cold welding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nb-Ti Sn</td>
<td>20 nΩ cm</td>
<td>40 nΩ cm</td>
<td>8 nΩ cm</td>
<td>&lt; 1 pΩ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-Ti Sn</td>
<td>20 nΩ cm</td>
<td>40 nΩ cm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 10 nΩ cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A material with poor conductivity is not acceptable because of its high heat load. Therefore, all the following calculations assume a high-conductivity material for the beam pipe.

2. Electron clouds

The heat load estimation due to electron clouds in the electron and positron damping rings were performed with the ECloud code [28]. Fig. 10 (top) shows the heat load induced by electron clouds in the electron damping ring. Multipacting is a phenomenon of resonant electron multiplication in which a large number of electrons is built up, leading to remarkable power losses, heating of the beam pipe and beam instabilities [29]. The electron beam is not affected by multipacting for values of a secondary electron emission yield \( \delta_{\text{max}} \) up to 2.4.

In the positron ring, multipacting appears for a secondary electron emission yield \( \delta_{\text{max}} > 1.3 \) and causes significantly stronger e-cloud effects over one train passage for values above 1.4–1.5; see Fig. 10 (bottom). As electron clouds cause not only heat load but also beam instabilities, low secondary electron emission yield coating is needed for the positron damping ring. The needs for the beam-pipe coating to avoid heating from e-clouds and from image currents are contradictory, because a large electron emission yield means usually a low resistivity of the beam-pipe coating. A thin-film low-secondary-yield coating may be sufficient to reduce the secondary electron emission, such a thin-film coating may not decrease the beam pipe conductivity; surface treated grooved copper or amorphous carbon might be another solutions [30].

The effect of e-cloud at low emittance rings is studied in detail at CesarTA [31].

3. Synchrotron radiation

Most synchrotron radiation generated in the damping wiggler has to be absorbed at ambient temperature to avoid heating of the superconducting coils. Fig. 11 shows the principle of synchrotron radiation emission and absorption. The radiating charged particle is moving on a sinusoidal trajectory in the horizontal \( xz \)-plane. The angles of observation in horizontal and vertical directions are \( \theta \) and \( \psi \). The emitted light, which will not be absorbed by up-stream absorbers (yz-plane), shown in cones, will partly irradiate the beam pipe. As an example \( \psi_{\text{max}} \) is shown, the maximum angle at which wiggler W3 can irradiate synchrotron radiation on the beam-pipe. The heat load on the beam pipe is calculated on nodes, which are equidistantly spaced. In this example only the synchrotron radiation of the two last wigglers can hit the beam pipe. Rectangular absorbers are proposed, because of manufacturing constraints. The power emitted from wiggler magnets is mainly concentrated within a light-
cone of small opening angle $K/\gamma \approx 3 \text{ mrad}$, where $K$ is the wiggler’s deflection parameter and $\gamma$ is the relative energy. However, the distance between the first wiggler and the last wiggler is around 80 m, which implies that a large part of the generated synchrotron radiation would irradiate the beam pipes of the up-stream superconducting wiggles. A detailed mathematical description of the calculation method is given in Appendix A.

The heat load depends on the distance between the absorbers and therefore on the wiggler length (Tab. VI). From Tab. VI results the choice of two meter long wiggler magnets, because the heat load from synchrotron radiation increases rapidly. Shorter wiggler magnets are not chosen, because each cryostat requires an approximately two times 0.5 m long cold-warm transition which reduces the compactness of the ring. The corresponding lattice design is presented in Tab. VII.

Fig. 12 shows the heat load distribution on the beam pipe of the two last installed wiggler magnets (numbers 25 and 26) downstream of absorbers installed after quadrupoles aligned with their focusing planes. The horizontal aperture of the wiggler has to be large enough to reduce the heat load from synchrotron radiation (Tab. VIII). Fig. 13 shows the contribution of each wiggler to the total heat load on the last and next-to-last wiggler. The total heat load from synchrotron radiation on the beam pipe downstream of a vertical absorber is less than 2 W and around 40 W downstream of a horizontal absorber. The first six wiggler magnets in the straight section of the damping rings are subject to less heat load.

### TABLE VI. Heat load on last wiggler beam pipe after a horizontal absorber for different wiggler lengths $L$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$, m</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$, W</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VII. Lattice for heat load calculation of synchrotron radiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Length, m $2\alpha_1$, mm $2\alpha_1$, mm</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal absorber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggler</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition and quadrupole</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical absorber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggler</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition and quadrupole</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam pipe (heat load)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIG. 11. Principle of synchrotron radiation emission (left), absorber scheme (middle), and absorber layout (right).

### FIG. 12. Spatial distribution of synchrotron radiation on the beam pipe for the 25th wiggler with a total heat load of 40 W (top) and the 26th wiggler with a total heat load of 2 W (bottom) for the Nb-Ti baseline design (Units in Figure: W/mm²).

### FIG. 13. Contribution of each wiggler to the total heat load on the last and next-to-last wiggler.

### TABLE VIII. Heat load on the 25th beam pipe for different horizontal apertures (widths).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width, mm</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat load,W</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Absorption of synchrotron radiation in the beam pipe

The critical photon energy $\epsilon_c$ of the CLIC damping wiggler can be calculated according to [32]:

$$\{\epsilon_c\}_{\text{keV}} = 0.665\{E\}^2_{\text{GeV}}\{B_w\}_T \approx 16 \text{ keV}. \quad (13)$$
The spectral intensity decreases rapidly for photon energies above \( \epsilon_c \).

The measured intensity \( I \) transmitted through a layer of material with thickness \( l \) is related to the initial intensity \( I_0 \) according to the Beer-Lambert law [33]:

\[
\frac{I(l)}{I_0} = e^{-\mu l},
\]

where \( l \) denotes the penetration depth. The attenuation coefficient is \( \mu \). If we limit the transmitted energy to 1% of the initial intensity, the penetration depth can be calculated by \( l_{1\%} = 4.6 \frac{1}{\mu} \). For a photon energy of \( 3\epsilon_c \approx 50 \text{ keV} \), the attenuation coefficients for common beam pipe materials and the corresponding penetration depths are given in Tab. IX. The maximum penetration depth \( l_{\text{max}} \) at the full radiation cone opening angle, shown in Fig. 14, is also given in Tab. IX. It was assumed that the synchrotron radiation changes the angle to the beam axis when entering the vacuum chamber by Snell’s law. In the following it was assumed that this angle changes by a factor of 5. The maximum penetration depth is much smaller than the material thickness of the beam pipe (1 mm). Therefore, all synchrotron radiation will be absorbed in the inner part of the beam pipe and no heat will be deposited directly into the superconducting coils.

### 5. Absorber heat load

The absorber design was optimized such that the heat load on the vertical and horizontal absorbers is approximately balanced and reaches, after some 5 to 10 absorbers, its maximum value. Fig. 11 shows the principle layout of the absorbers. They will be water-cooled. After the last wiggler magnet a dump will be installed to absorb the remaining synchrotron radiation. Fig. 15 shows the heat load on each of the 26 absorbers.

A detailed mathematical description of the calculation method is given in Appendix B.

### C. Cooling concept and related heat loads

Fig. 16 compares the standard cooling concept (bath cooling, left) with the cooling concept for the CLIC damping wigglers proposed in the introduction (indirect cooling, right and Fig. 3). For indirect cooling the whole wiggler magnet is in an insulation vacuum. The helium is contained in the heat exchangers. In bath cool-
Mechanically less demanding beam pipe. Bath gives a summary of all heat load sources

Less complex cryogenic structure because all heat is contained in the heat exchangers.

- Mechanically less demanding beam pipe. Bath cooling would require a stainless steel beam pipe to sustain the pressure increase during a quench. Without this requirement a 2 mm smaller gap can be realized, resulting in a more than 10% higher gap-field $B_w$.

- Less complex cryogenic structure because all helium is contained within the heat exchangers resulting in smaller helium mass, smaller valves, tubes, etc.

- Cryostat design for exchangeable coils and vacuum pipes for maintenance and repairs is less complex.

An insulating vacuum of $10^{-4}$ Pa will be established to minimize the heat transfer to the superconducting coils by convection. The superconducting coils and the beam pipe will be mounted with minimal thermal contact and with materials such as glass-fiber reinforced plastics or kevlar stripes with a large strength to heat conductivity ratio. All surfaces should be wrapped with polished well-conducting metal foils or aluminized Mylar foils with small emissivities to reduce heat transfer by radiation.

The temperature of the beam pipe will be stabilized at around 20 K where the heat conductivity $k$ of copper is highest (Fig. 17) resulting in an iso-thermal beam pipe. Cryocoolers for this temperature level are available, and radiation to the superconducting coils is kept to a minimum.

The heat load is deposited in the center of the cross-section of the 2 m long beam pipe (Fig. 12) and has to be transported over $\leq 50$ mm to the outer side of the beam pipe. The resulting temperature difference is smaller than $\Delta T < 1 \frac{Q_l}{l} \approx 0.3$ K, with the heat load $Q_l = 50$ W, the distance from the center of the beam-pipe to the heat exchanger $l = 50$ mm, the averaged heat conductivity $k = 2000$ W/(m K)$^{-1}$ (RRR = 80), and cross-section $A \geq 2 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$.

An overview of heat leak calculation methods for cryostats is given in [34-38]. The coils are in an insulation vacuum; therefore, the total heat load on the coils results mainly from conduction (current leads, and fixing structure) and is less than 1 W. Radiation and convection can be minimized by using a 60 to 80 K shield. No heat load induced by the beam is deposited directly into the coils because all heat load can be intercepted by a metallic beam pipe of a thickness of about 0.5 mm. The beam pipe can be cooled at a higher temperature level (20 K), which greatly reduces the consumed power for cooling. Tab. X gives a summary of all heat load sources occurring in the CLIC damping wiggler at the different temperature levels.

**TABLE X. Summary of the average heat loads (all sources) at the different temperature levels in Watts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Shield 80 K</th>
<th>Beam pipe 20 K</th>
<th>SC coils 4.2 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synchrotron radiation (HA)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchrotron radiation (VA)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SR</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image currents</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Clouds</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convection</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joints</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current leads</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Average)</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coils are in an insulation vacuum; therefore, the total heat load on the coils results mainly from conduction (current leads, and fixing structure) and is less than 1 W. Radiation and convection can be minimized by using a 60 to 80 K shield. No heat load induced by the beam is deposited directly into the coils because all heat load can be intercepted by a metallic beam pipe of a thickness of about 0.5 mm. The beam pipe can be cooled at a higher temperature level (20 K), which greatly reduces the consumed power for cooling. Tab. X gives a summary of all heat load sources occurring in the CLIC damping wiggler at the different temperature levels.
D. Large scale cooling concept and power consumption

A total of 104 wiggler systems is needed for the two CLIC damping rings. Two cooling schemes are investigated: (1) A large scale cryogenic system connected to all wiggler magnets with cryogenic transfer lines. (2) Each wiggler magnet is independently cooled with small cryocoolers. In recent years cryocoolers have shown a considerable increase in performance, reliability and a dramatic decrease in costs.

The efficiency of large cryogenic plants can be calculated according to the Carnot efficiency [40] and with efficiency data of large-scale cryogenic plants taken from [41]. These data are in good agreement with more recent CERN experience (for example [42]):

\[
P(T_{op}) \approx \frac{T_{op} - 1}{\alpha C^\beta - \gamma} C,
\]

where \( T_{op} = 320 \text{K} \), \( C = [10, \ldots, 10^6] \) W is the capacity of the cryoplant and \( T = [4.2, \ldots, 80] \) K the operating temperature of the cryoplant. The fitting parameters are: \( \alpha = 35.7 \), \( \beta = 0.05 \), and \( \gamma = 33.9 \). Tab. XI presents the expected power consumption of the two rings with large scale cryogenic plants. Losses in the transfer-line can be kept small, for a well-shielded line 0.05 W/m [43]. In this calculation the losses of the distribution system were considered by adding 20% to the total required heat budget.

As an alternative to a large scale cryogenic plant, three different cryocoolers per wiggler with a total input power of around 24 kW [44], yielding a total power consumption of around 2.5 MW for the two damping rings are proposed.

Large scale cryogenic plants are favorable in terms of energy consumption and will consume around 5 times less electrical energy than small cryocoolers. But cryocoolers allow each wiggler to be operated independently from the others, which may increase the reliability of the CLIC damping rings. The CLIC damping rings should be designed with a certain amount of redundancy, that is, the emittance and damping time can also be met by using only a certain percentage of the damping wiggler magnets.

![Table XI. Power consumption of the cryogenic plants at the different temperature levels. The total power consumption is around 450 kW.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Level, K</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>4.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total heat load, kW</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnot Efficiency, %</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoplant Efficiency, %</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Power Consumption, kW</td>
<td>147.5</td>
<td>224.3</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

A conceptual design of superconducting damping wigglers for the CLIC damping rings was presented. Nb-Ti and Nb$_3$Sn superconducting CLIC damping wiggler magnets operated at 4.2 K meet the specifications. Hybrid-permanent magnet wiggler magnets cannot achieve the required magnetic fields. From the requirements of the CLIC damping rings, the gap size \( g \), the period length \( \lambda_w \) and the minimal required magnetic flux density in the center of the gap \( B_w \) of the wiggler magnets are given. Then, the choice of the wiggler coil design, the choice of the strand technology (Nb-Ti or Nb$_3$Sn) and the choice of the wire bundle dimension was discussed in detail in this paper. By using the presented methods and figures the superconducting wiggler design becomes straightforward. The quench behavior is not critical, the dipole field quality in the wiggler magnets required for the damping rings can be met easily. Further, was discussed that operation at 1.9 K is only of limited advantage and will therefore not be pursued. After showing that the magnet design of wiggler magnets for the CLIC damping rings is feasible, the design was verified by building two Nb-Ti short models, which both reached short sample current. The usage of Nb$_3$Sn superconductor wire may increase the mid plane flux density \( B_w \) by 50%, leading to a better beam quality. However, the manufacturing of Nb$_3$Sn wiggler magnets is challenging due to the required heat treatment and the brittleness of the strand afterwards.

The first trial coils showed that Nb$_3$Sn wiggler magnets are within the technological reach. The results will be published elsewhere.

The system integration of the superconducting damping wiggler was discussed in detail. Therefore, all known heat load sources from the beam and from operation are qualitatively and quantitatively discussed. Eddy currents and the heat load from resistive joints are small. The major source of heat load is beam-induced. Image currents and electron clouds can be kept small, but have contradictory requirements. To reduce the electron clouds, especially in the positron ring, the beam pipe should be coated with a material with small electron emission yield, usually a material with poor conductivity. On the other hand, for the reduction of image currents the surface of the beam pipe should have high conductivity. Surface treated grooved copper requires further studies. The most important beam induced heat load is from synchrotron radiation. In this paper an optimized absorber scheme is presented with the corresponding heat load from synchrotron radiation on the beam pipes of the wiggler magnets. Further, the calculation scheme for the heat load on the beam pipes of the wiggler magnets and the absorbers is derived and presented.

The heat load study is used to discuss the cooling concept. Standard bath cooling is not an option; therefore, an advanced cooling concept is presented and conceptually derived. Two large scale cooling concepts are presented and compared to each other. Large scale cryogenic
TABLE XII. Nomenclature for synchrotron radiation calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a, b)</td>
<td>1/2 of beam pipe’s (x) and (y) dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_e, d_q)</td>
<td>Element size in (xy) and (xz)-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k, l)</td>
<td>Sequence number of wiggler and absorber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n, m)</td>
<td>Number of elements in (xy) and (xz)-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p)</td>
<td>Number of downstream wigglers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_1, \tau_2)</td>
<td>1/2 of (l)-th absorber’s (x) and (y) dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_{i,j}, Y_{i,j}, Z_{i,j,k})</td>
<td>Node’s coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta, \psi)</td>
<td>Angle between ray and (xz) and (yz)-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta_{k,l}^{max}, \psi_{k,l}^{max})</td>
<td>Max angle of (k)'s ray irradiating beam pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta_{k,l}^{max}, \psi_{k,l}^{max})</td>
<td>Max angle of (k)'s ray irradiating (l)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

plants are favorable in terms of energy consumption and will consume around 5 times less electrical energy than small cryocoolers. But cryocoolers allow independent operation of each wiggler, which may increase the reliability of the CLIC damping rings. The technical concept will be tested at the ANKA storage ring in Karlsruhe.

Appendix A: Calculation method of synchrotron radiation heat load

The radiation power emitted by an electron moving on a sinusoidal trajectory is [45, 46]:

\[
\frac{dP}{d\Omega} = \frac{d^2P}{d\Omega d\psi} = \frac{3\gamma^2}{\pi^2} P_T f_k(\gamma \theta, \gamma \psi), \quad (A1)
\]

where \(\theta\) and \(\psi\) are the observation angles in the horizontal and vertical directions (Fig. 11), and \(P_T\) is the total power integrated over all angles and frequencies:

\[
\{P_T\} \approx 0.633\{E\}^2_{\text{GeV}} \{B_w\}_T \{L\}_n \{I\}_n, \quad (A2)
\]

where \(L\) is the total length of the wiggler and \(I\) is the average current of the beam. The total dissipated power from one Nb-Ti wiggler magnet will be 14 kW.

Tab. XII describes the nomenclature used in the following derivation. The angular dependence is given by:

\[
f_k(\gamma \theta, \gamma \psi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2 \alpha \left( \frac{1}{D^3} - \frac{4 (\gamma \theta - K \cos \alpha)^2}{D^5} \right) d\alpha,
\]

where \(D = 1 + (\gamma \psi)^2 + (\gamma \theta - K \cos \alpha)^2\) and the deflection parameter \(K\) is given by:

\[
K = 0.934 \{\lambda_\omega\}_\text{cm} \{B_w\}_T \approx 15.7. \quad (A3)
\]

Equation (A1) cannot be integrated analytically and therefore a numerical method was developed to calculate the heat load on the beam pipe.

Fig. 18 shows the cross section of the elliptic beam pipe with the axes \(a\) and \(b\). The ellipse is divided into a mesh of \(4n\) equidistant segments with a length of \(d_e = \frac{C}{4n}\) (\(C\) is the circumference of the ellipse). In the example shown in Fig. 18 \(n\) is 5. In a next step it is investigated how much radiation hits the beam pipe segment from a downstream wiggler. In these calculations it is assumed that absorbers as shown in Fig. 19 are in position. A computer program was written to calculate the radiation generated in each longitudinal segment and also to calculate if and where the radiation hits the beam pipe. The total power deposited on the beam pipe is finally integrated and allows calculating the total deposited power on the vacuum chamber.

Appendix B: Heat load on absorbers

The heat load on each quadrant of the absorber \(l\) irradiated by each wiggler \(k\) can be calculated by triple numerical integration of Equation (A1). The positive boundaries \(\theta_{k,l}\) and \(\psi_{k,l}\) (Fig. 19) for the calculation of the positive quadrant are given by:

\[
\theta_{k,l} = \arctan \frac{r_l}{d_{k,l}}, \quad (B1)
\]

\[
\psi_{k,l} = \arctan \frac{s_l}{d_{k,l}}, \quad (B2)
\]

where \(d_{k,l}\) is the distance between the wiggler \(k\) and the absorber \(l\). The parameters \(r_l\) and \(s_l\) are the horizontal and vertical apertures of the absorber \(l\).

The maximum angles \(\theta_{k,l}^{max}\) and \(\psi_{k,l}^{max}\) at which synchrotron radiation from wiggler \(k\) hits the absorber \(l\) is:

\[
\theta_{k,l}^{max} = \min_{i\neq[k,l-1]} \theta_{k,i}, \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_{k,k} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (B3)
\]

\[
\psi_{k,l}^{max} = \min_{i\neq[k,l-1]} \psi_{k,i}, \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_{k,k} = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (B4)
\]
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