Advanced Alignment of the ATLAS Inner Detector

Jonathan Stahlman, on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

The primary goal of the ATLAS Inner Detector (ID) is to measure the trajectories of charged particles in the high particle density environment of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) collisions. This is achieved using a combination of different technologies, including silicon pixels, silicon microstrips, and gaseous drift-tubes, all immersed in a 2 Tesla magnetic field. With nearly 750k alignable degrees of freedom, it is crucial that an accurate model of the detector positions be produced using an automated and robust algorithm in order to achieve good tracking performance. This has been accomplished using a variety of alignment techniques resulting in near optimal hit and momentum resolutions.

The Inner Detector

Figure 1. Cut-away view of the ATLAS detector

Pixel Detector:

- 1744 modules
- Active element size: $50 \mu\text{m} \times 400 \mu\text{m}$
- 80 M channels
- Arranged in 3 barrel layers and 3 end-cap rings per side
- Expected resolution: $10 \mu\text{m} \times 115 \mu\text{m}$
- Number of hits per track: 3
- Alignable DoF: 10,464

Figure 2. Cut-away view of the ID Barrel Geometry

Semiconductor Tracker (SCT):

- 4088 modules
- Active element size: $80 \mu\text{m} \times 12 \text{ cm}$
- 6 M channels
- Arranged in 4 barrel layers and 9 end-cap rings per side
- Expected resolution: $17 \mu\text{m} \times 580 \mu\text{m}$
- Number of hits per track: 8
- Alignable DoF: 24,528

Figure 3. Cut-away view of the ID end-cap geometry

Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT):

- 350,048 straw tubes
- Active element size: $4 \text{ mm} \times 71 \text{ cm}$ (barrel), $4 \text{ mm} \times 39 \text{ cm}$ (end-cap)
- Arranged in barrel modules (parallel to LHC beam) and in end-cap wheels (perpendicular to LHC beam)
- Expected resolution: $130 \mu\text{m}$
- Number of hits per track: 30
- Alignable DoF: 701,696

Alignment Algorithm

The alignment employs a track based alignment algorithm which seeks to minimize the track hit residuals. The residual is the distance from a recorded hit to an extrapolated track position within a detector element. To minimize the residuals, one constructs a χ^2 as below, where $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a})$ is the vector of residuals which depend on $\mathbf{t}$, the track parameters and $\mathbf{a}$, the alignment parameters. $\mathbf{V}$ is the covariance matrix of the hit residuals. Minimizing this χ^2 and Taylor expanding the solution to first order around an initial residual $\mathbf{r}_0$ shows that a matrix inversion is needed to determine the changes $\delta \mathbf{a}$ to the alignment parameters.

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{\text{tracks}} \mathbf{r}^T(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}) \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a})$$

Minimization Condition:

$$\frac{d\chi^2}{d\mathbf{a}} = 0 \Rightarrow 2 \sum_{\text{tracks}} \left[\left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{a}} \right)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{r} \right] = 0$$

Taylor Expansion: $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{a}} \delta \mathbf{a}$

$$\sum_{\text{tracks}} \left[\left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{a}} \right)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{a}} \right) \right] \delta \mathbf{a} + \sum_{\text{tracks}} \left[\left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{a}} \right)^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_0 \right] = 0$$

Figure 5. The hit residual distributions for each sub-detector of the ID after the full alignment. The hit resolution approaches that of the perfectly aligned simulation.

Alignment Results

The final alignment procedure uses a combination of the Global and Local χ^2 residual minimizations. The hit resolution achieved in 7 TeV data achieves similar performance to that of the simulation, which uses a perfectly aligned geometry.

Systematic Misalignments

The χ^2 alignment cannot correct for all possible detector misalignments due to the presence of so called weak modes. A weak mode is a coherent detector deformation which biases the track parameters but leaves the χ^2 unchanged. For example, the "curl" weak mode consists of systematic misalignments in ϕ as function of the detector element radius, as in Figure 6. A "curl" results in a charge-antisymmetric bias in the reconstructed momentum.

Figure 6. The "curl" weak mode

Figure 7. Measured momentum biases throughout the detector before a momentum constrained alignment. The forward regions are subject to the largest momentum biases.

Correcting Weak Modes

Weak modes can be corrected by applying an external constraint in the alignment algorithm. The track momentum was corrected using calorimeter information and the momentum was then fixed during the alignment procedure. As a result, the biases previously observed were significantly reduced, as in Figure 8. This also resulted in a near optimal Z mass resolution, as in Figure 9.

Figure 8. The measured momentum biases after a momentum constrained alignment. Few significant biases remain.

Measuring the Effects of Weak Modes

Weak modes causing track parameter biases can be detected by using external information about the track parameters, such as the energy deposited by electrons in the calorimeter or the invariant mass of a known particle resonance. In particular, shifts in the reconstructed Z mass in $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays can be used to estimate the track momentum biases for muons. Parametrizing the momentum biases charge-antisymmetrically as in the equation below, the measured bias parameter δ_{sagitta} is plotted as a function of the muon pseudorapidity (η) and ϕ in Figure 7.

$$p_{\text{Reco}} = p_{\text{True}} (1 + q \delta_{\text{sagitta}} p_{T, \text{True}})$$

Figure 9. The Z mass distribution before (black) and after (red) the momentum constrained alignment.