Michael R. Greenberg

Nuclear Waste Management, Nuclear Power, and Energy Choices

Public Preferences, Perceptions, and Trust

Contents

- 1 Managing the Nuclear Legacies 1**
 - 1.1 Introduction 1
 - 1.1.1 Science and Technology 2
 - 1.1.2 Nuclear Weapons Proliferation 3
 - 1.1.3 Economic Costs and Benefits 3
 - 1.1.4 Multilayered Policy Puzzles 5
 - 1.1.5 Public Participation in Areas with Nuclear Facilities 6
 - 1.2 Showered with Mixed Messages 7
 - 1.3 Organization of the Book 12
 - References 13
- 2 The United States Nuclear Factories 15**
 - 2.1 Introduction 15
 - 2.2 Nuclear Weapons Factories 17
 - 2.3 Federal Government Management of Defense Nuclear Materials . . . 24
 - 2.3.1 Office of Environmental Management 24
 - 2.3.2 Legal Framework for Defense Wastes 26
 - 2.4 Geography of Nuclear Defense Sites 28
 - 2.4.1 Hanford 30
 - 2.4.2 Idaho National Laboratory 31
 - 2.4.3 Savannah River 31
 - 2.4.4 Oak Ridge 32
 - 2.4.5 Los Alamos 33
 - 2.4.6 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant 33
 - 2.4.7 Closed Sites: Fernald, Mound, and Rocky Flats 34
 - 2.4.8 Economic Dependence and Public Preferences 34
 - 2.5 Nuclear Power 35
 - 2.5.1 Nuclear Power Life Cycle 36
 - 2.5.2 Nuclear Power Debates 37

2.5.3	Uncertain Status and Future of Nuclear Power	38
2.5.4	Geography of Nuclear Power, Other Electrical Energy Sources, and DOE Nuclear Facilities in the United States . . .	39
	References	41
3	Public Stakeholders: What We Know and Expect	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Surveys, 2005–May 2011	46
3.3	Theory	47
3.3.1	Affect and Worry	47
3.3.2	Trust	50
3.3.3	Demographic Attributes	50
3.3.4	Cultural Worldviews	51
3.3.5	Personal History	51
3.4	More Detailed Presentations	52
3.4.1	European Studies	52
3.4.2	United States Surveys	54
3.5	Post-TMI and Chernobyl Surveys	58
	References	61
4	CRESP Surveys of Major US Department of Energy Environmental Management Site Regions and of the National Population, 2005–2010	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Locations	66
4.3	Survey Design	67
4.4	Questions	72
4.4.1	Future Nuclear Use	72
4.4.2	Legacy Waste Management	72
4.4.3	Correlates	73
4.5	Results	75
4.5.1	Question 1. Future Nuclear Use	75
4.5.2	Question 2. Legacy Waste Management	77
4.5.3	Question 3. Correlates	79
4.6	Summary and Lessons Learned	88
4.6.1	Limitations	88
4.6.2	Results: New Activities at Legacy Sites	89
4.6.3	Results: Legacy Waste Management	89
4.6.4	Results: Correlates	89
4.6.5	Policy-Related Results	90
	References	90
5	Impact of the Fukushima Events on Public Preferences and Perceptions in the United States, 2011	93
5.1	Introduction	94
5.2	Design of the Survey and Questions	94

- 5.2.1 Questions 94
 - 5.2.2 Sampling Locations 99
 - 5.2.3 Survey Implementation 99
 - 5.3 Results 100
 - 5.3.1 Question 1. Preference Responses 100
 - 5.3.2 Question 2. Correlates of Six Factors 107
 - 5.4 Summary and Lessons Learned 118
 - 5.4.1 Design Changes and Limitations 118
 - 5.4.2 Results: New Activities at Legacy Sites 119
 - 5.4.3 Results: Impact of Fukushima Events on Preferences
for Nuclear Power 119
 - 5.4.4 Results: Legacy Waste Management 120
 - 5.4.5 Results: Correlates 120
 - 5.4.6 Policy-Related Results 121
 - References 121
- 6 Nuclear Waste Management: Building a Foundation
to Enhance Trust 123**
 - 6.1 Introduction 124
 - 6.2 Public Presentations: An Opportunity 124
 - 6.3 Decision-Makers and the Public: Building a Foundation 125
 - 6.3.1 Nuclear Waste Management Issues 126
 - 6.3.2 Nuclear Power in the Energy Mix,
Deliberation, and Trust 128
 - 6.4 The Public Participation Challenge and Public Trust 129
 - 6.4.1 Five Publics 130
 - 6.4.2 Trust and the Five Publics 131
 - References 135
- Index 137**