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Abstract

The top quark pair production cross section is measured in pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV. The analyzed data have been collected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC and correspond to an integrated luminosity of $27.4 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. The measurement is performed by analyzing events with at least one charged lepton. The measured cross section is $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 69.5 \pm 8.4 \text{ pb}$, in agreement with the expectation from the standard model. The impact of the presented measurement on the gluon distribution function is illustrated through a QCD analysis at next-to-next-to leading order.
1 Introduction

At hadron colliders, the top quark, the heaviest elementary particle of the standard model (SM), is an important topic for investigations. The evolution of its pair-production ($t\bar{t}$) cross section ($\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$) as a function of center-of-mass energy is of interest for the extraction of the top-quark pole mass and can be used to constrain the gluon distribution function at large longitudinal parton momentum fraction, $x$. Precise measurements of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section in proton-proton (pp) collisions have been published at $\sqrt{s}$ values of 7 and 8 TeV [1–4] and 13 TeV [5–8] by the CMS [9] and ATLAS [10] experiments at the LHC. In November 2015, the LHC delivered pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV. The fraction of $t\bar{t}$ events initiated by gluon-gluon collisions grows monotonically with center-of-mass energy. It is around 73% at 5.02 TeV and, when compared to around 86% at 13 TeV, as calculated with POWHEG [11–13] at next-to-leading order (NLO) using the NNPDF3.0 NLO [14] parton distribution functions (PDFs), makes this new data set partially complementary to the higher energy samples. In addition, future measurements of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section in nuclear collisions at the same nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy [15, 16] would profit from the availability of a reference measurement in pp collisions at 5.02 TeV, without the need to extrapolate from measurements at different $\sqrt{s}$.

In the SM, top quarks in pp collisions are mostly produced as $t\bar{t}$ pairs and then each top quark decays predominantly to a W boson and a bottom (b) quark. Top-quark pair events are categorized according to the decay of the two W bosons. In $t\bar{t}$ events where one W boson decays leptonically and the other hadronically ($\ell+$jets channel), the final state presents a typical signature of one isolated lepton, two jets from the W boson decay, missing momentum and two b jets. On the other hand, in $t\bar{t}$ events where both W bosons decay leptonically (dilepton channel), the final state contains two leptons of opposite electric charge, missing momentum, and at least two jets coming from the hadronization of the b quarks. The $\ell+$jets channel presents a large branching fraction with a moderate amount of background, while the dilepton channel is characterized by the large selection purity that can be achieved in this final state, thus compensating for its smaller branching ratio.

This analysis extends the first measurement of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV [17] using $t\bar{t}$ candidate events with $\ell+$jets, where leptons are either electrons or muons, and dilepton ($e^+\mu^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$) final states. In the former case, the cross section is extracted by a fit in different categories, while in the latter a simpler but more robust event-counting approach is used. The two results are then combined in the final measurement.

As previously mentioned, top-quark pair production probes the gluon distribution in the proton. In particular, $t\bar{t}$ production at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV accesses the high-$x$ region, where the gluon distribution is poorly known. Improvements of our knowledge of the gluon distribution at high $x$ are essential for accurate theory predictions for cross sections in the SM and interactions beyond the SM at hadron colliders. In this analysis the impact of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section measurement on the uncertainty in the gluon distribution is illustrated through a QCD analysis at next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO).

This document is structured as follows. Section 2 gives a summary of the data and simulation samples used. After the discussion of the object reconstructions in Section 3 and the required triggers and event selection in Section 4, Section 5 describes the determination of backgrounds. The different sources of systematic uncertainties are discussed in Section 6. The extraction of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ is presented in Section 7 and the impact of the presented measurement on PDFs of the proton is discussed in Section 8. Conclusions are drawn and the summary of all results is given in Section 9.
2 Data and simulation samples

This analysis is based on data collected by the CMS detector [9] during the 5.02 TeV pp run of November 2015 [18], corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 27.4 pb$^{-1}$. The average number of collisions in the same bunch crossing (“pileup”) is estimated online to be around 1.4 in this data set, assuming a minimum bias cross section of 65 mb.

Several Monte Carlo (MC) event generators are used to simulate signal and background events. The NLO POWHEG (v2) [12, 19] generator is used for tt events, assuming a top quark mass of 172.5 GeV. For the default tt MC sample, these events are passed to PYTHIA (v8.205) [20, 21] to simulate parton showering, hadronization, and the underlying event. An alternative sample is obtained by showering the events with HERWIG++ [22]. The NNPDF3.0 NLO [14] PDFs with $\alpha_S = 0.118$ are utilized in the MC calculations.

The MG5_aMC@NLO generator [23] is used to simulate W boson production with additional jets (W+jets) events and Drell–Yan quark-antiquark annihilation into lepton-antilepton pairs through Z boson or virtual photon exchange (referred to as “Z/γ*”). The simulation includes up to two extra partons at matrix element level, and the FXFX merging procedure [24] is used to interface with PYTHIA. Low mass Z/γ* events (20-50 GeV) are simulated with PYTHIA. Single top quark plus W boson events (tW) are simulated using POWHEG (v1) [25, 26] interfaced to PYTHIA, and are normalized to the approximate NNLO cross sections [27]. The contributions from WW and WZ (referred to as “WV”) are simulated with PYTHIA, and are normalized to the NLO cross sections calculated with the MCFM program [28]. All generated events undergo a full GEANT4 [29] simulation of the detector response.

The expected yields for signal are normalized to the value of the SM prediction for the tt production cross section:

$$\sigma^{\text{NNLO}} = 68.9^{+1.9}_{-2.3} \text{(scale)} \pm 2.3(\text{PDF})^{+1.4}_{-1.0}(\alpha_S) \text{ pb}$$

as calculated with the TOP++ program [30] at NNLO in perturbative QCD, including soft-gluon resummation at next-to-next-to-leading-log order [31], assuming a top quark mass $m_t = 172.5$ GeV and with the NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDF set, using $\alpha_S = 0.118$ [14]. The uncertainty of 0.1% on the LHC beam energy [32] translates into an additional uncertainty of 0.22 pb on the expected cross section, with negligible impact on the acceptance of all the channels included in this analysis.

3 Object reconstruction

All the objects are reconstructed with the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [33, 34]. The PF algorithm reconstructs and identifies each individual particle using an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector.

The electron momentum is estimated by combining the energy measurement in the ECAL with the momentum measurement in the tracker, taking into account bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The momentum resolution for electrons with transverse momentum $p_T \approx 45$ GeV from $Z \rightarrow ee$ decays ranges from 1.7% for non-showering electrons in the barrel region to 4.5% for showering electrons in the endcaps [35].Muon candidates are reconstructed combining the information from the muon spectrometer and the silicon tracker. This results in a relative transverse momentum resolution of 1.3–2.0% in the barrel and better than 6% in the endcaps, for muons with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV and within
the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.4$. The $p_T$ resolution in the barrel is better than 10% for muons with $p_T$ up to 1 TeV [36, 37]. The energy of photons is directly obtained from the ECAL measurement, corrected for zero-suppression effects. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momenta measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for zero-suppression effects and for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energy.

The isolation of electron and muon candidates from nearby jet activity is evaluated as follows. For each electron and muon candidate, a cone of $\Delta R = 0.3$ and $\Delta R = 0.4$, respectively, is constructed around the direction of the track at the primary event vertex (defined as the vertex with the largest value of the sum of $p_T^2$ over all its associated charged tracks), where $\Delta R$ is defined as $\sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$, and $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the distances in pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle. Excluding the contribution from the lepton candidate, the scalar sum of the $p_T$ of all particle candidates that are inside $\Delta R$ and are consistent with arising from the primary event vertex is calculated to define a relative isolation discriminant, $I_{\text{rel}}$, through the ratio of this sum to the $p_T$ of the lepton candidate. The neutral-particle contribution to $I_{\text{rel}}$ is corrected for energy deposits from pileup interactions using two different techniques for electrons and muons. For muons, half (0.5) of the momenta of the charged hadron PF candidates not originating from the primary vertex are subtracted. The 0.5 prefactor accounts for the different fraction of charged and neutral particles in the cone. For electrons, the FASTJET technique [38] is used, in which the median of the energy-density distribution of neutral particles (within the area of any jet in the event) multiplied by the geometric area of the isolation cone - scaled by a factor that accounts for the residual dependence of the average pileup deposition on the electron $\eta$ - is subtracted.

The efficiency of the lepton selection is measured using a “tag-and-probe” method in same-flavor dilepton events enriched in Z boson candidates, following the method of Ref. [39]. The sample of $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events used for muon efficiency extraction is selected by the same trigger requirement used by the main analysis (Section 4), while the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ sample for electron efficiency extraction makes use of events that fired a diphoton trigger with symmetric transverse energy, $E_T$, thresholds of $E_T = 15$ GeV covering the full tracker acceptance. Pairs of photon candidates above such $E_T$ threshold are accepted only if their invariant mass are above 50 GeV. The trigger selection requires a loose identification using cluster shower shapes and a selection on the hadronic over the electromagnetic energy of the photon candidates. Based on a comparison of lepton selection efficiency in data and simulation, the event yield in simulation is corrected using data-to-simulation scale factors.

Jets are reconstructed from the PF particle candidates using the anti-$k_T$ clustering algorithm [40] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Jets closer than $\Delta R = 0.3$ to the nearest muon or electron are discarded. Jet energy corrections extracted from full detector simulation are also applied as a function of jet $p_T$ and $\eta$ [41] to data and simulation. A residual correction to the data is applied to account for the data-simulation discrepancy in the jet response.

The missing transverse momentum vector is defined as the projection on the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in an event. Its magnitude is referred to as $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ and corrections to jet momenta are propagated to $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ calculation.
4 Event selection

The event sample is selected by a loose online filter (unprescaled trigger) and further cleaned offline to remove noncollision events, such as beam-gas interactions or cosmic muon events. Collision events containing one high-\(p_T\) muon (electron) candidate are selected by requiring values of \(p_T\) (\(E_T\)) greater than 15 (40) GeV and of \(|\eta|\) less than 2.5 (3.1). The measured trigger selection efficiency is higher than 90%.

In the \(\mu(e)+\text{jets}\) final state, events are required to have been triggered by one muon (electron) candidate, as previously described. Electrons are selected if they have \(p_T > 40\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.5\). Further identification and isolation criteria are applied to the electron candidates. Electrons reconstructed in the ECAL barrel (endcap) are required to have \(I_{rel} < 4\%\) (\(< 5\%\)). In addition, electron candidates in the transition region between the barrel and endcap sections of the ECAL are excluded. Muons are required to have \(p_T > 25\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.1\). Dedicated identification criteria are applied and \(I_{rel}\) is required to be \(< 15\%\). Events are rejected from the analysis in case they contain extra electrons (muons) that are reconstructed using a looser set of identification criteria and have \(p_T > 15\) GeV (\(> 10\) GeV).

Backgrounds from \(W+\text{jets}\), QCD multijet, and \(Z/\gamma^*\) events can be further reduced by counting the number of jets identified as originating from b quarks (“b jets”) in the selected events. The distinct signature of two b jets, expected in \(t\) and \(\ell\) decays, is rare to occur in background processes, and thus exploited in the analysis. In addition, in the \(\ell+\text{jets}\) final state two light jets are expected to be produced after the decay of one of the W bosons for signal events. The resonant nature of these light jets carries a distinctive hallmark with respect to the main backgrounds.

In the \(\ell+\text{jets}\) analysis, jets are selected if they have \(p_T > 30\) GeV and \(|\eta| < 2.4\). The flavor of the jets is identified using a combined secondary vertex algorithm [42] with an operating point which yields a b-jet identification efficiency of 67% and a misidentification (mistag) probability of about 1% and 15% for light-flavor jets (u, d, s, and gluons) and c jets, respectively. The event selection requires at least two non-b-tagged jets to be identified as candidates from the \(W \rightarrow q\bar{q}'\) decay (Section 7.1). Additional jets, passing the b-identification criteria, are counted and used to classify the selected events in none (0b), exactly one (1b), or at least two (≥2b) tagged jet categories. The efficiency of the b-identification algorithm is measured in-situ, simultaneously with the signal cross section.

Dilepton events are required to contain at least one muon candidate at trigger level. No requirement on the presence of electron candidates is made at trigger level due to a relatively high-\(p_T\) threshold (40 GeV) of the filter. Electrons are selected if they have \(p_T > 20\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.4, I_{rel} < 9\%\) (if in the barrel) or \(I_{rel} < 12\%\) (if in one of the endcaps). As in the \(\ell+\text{jets}\) channel, electrons in the transition region between the barrel and endcap sections of the ECAL are excluded. Muons are required to have \(p_T > 18\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.1, I_{rel} < 15\%\). Jets are considered if they have \(p_T > 25\) GeV and \(|\eta| < 3\). Events are subsequently selected if they have a pair of leptons passing the criteria listed above (\(e^\pm\mu^\mp\) or \(\mu^\pm\mu^\mp\)) with opposite charge. In events with more than one pair of leptons passing the above selection, the two leptons of opposite charge that yield the highest \(p_T\) sum are selected for further study. Events with \(\tau\) leptons contribute to the measurement only if they decay to electrons or muons that satisfy the selection requirements, and are included in the simulations. Candidate events with dilepton invariant masses of \(M_{\ell\ell} < 20\) GeV are removed to suppress events from decays of heavy-flavor resonances and low-mass \(Z/\gamma^*\) processes. Dilepton events with two muons in the final state are still dominated by \(Z/\gamma^*\) background events. In order to suppress this contribution, events in this channel are further required to have a dilepton invariant mass outside a \(Z\) mass window; i.e. events falling within \(76 < M_{\ell\ell} < 106\) GeV are vetoed. As a further requirement to reject \(Z/\gamma^*\) events, a cut on
the missing transverse momentum of $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 35$ GeV is imposed. Finally, the presence of at least two jets satisfying the criteria listed above is required.

Table 1 summarizes the basic selection requirements that are applied in the $\ell$+jets and dilepton analysis.

**Table 1: Basic selection criteria applied in the three final states considered in this analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>$\ell$+jets</th>
<th>Dilepton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Trigger</td>
<td>one $\mu$ (e) candidate, $p_T &gt; 25$ ($E_T &gt; 40$) GeV</td>
<td>one $\mu$ candidate, $p_T &gt; 15$ GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Leptons</td>
<td>exactly 1 $\mu$ or e</td>
<td>$e^\pm \mu^\mp$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$M_{\ell\ell}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$\mu^\pm \mu^\mp &gt; 20$ GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Z veto</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$p_T^{\text{miss}}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jets</td>
<td>$\geq 2$</td>
<td>$&gt; 35$ GeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5 Background estimation

### 5.1 $\ell$+jets final state

In the $\ell$+jets analysis, all background processes are estimated from simulation, with the exception of the QCD multijet background. Due to its large cross section, there is a non-negligible probability that the latter can mimic a $t\bar{t}$ event yielding $\ell$+jets in the final state, and thus pass the offline event selection. Both the contribution from hard fragmentation of $c$ and $b$ quarks whose hadrons decay semi-leptonically, and the contribution from fake leptons from either punch-through hadrons or collimated jets with a high electromagnetic fraction, can yield $\ell$+jets-like topologies.

The estimation of the QCD multijet background is separately performed in 0b-, 1b- and 2b-jet categories using a control region where either the muon candidate fails a looser isolation requirement ($I_{\text{rel}} > 20\%$) or the electron candidate fails the identification criteria. The choice of the QCD multijet control region has been made in such a way to minimize the contamination of the signal and W+jets events, while keeping a high statistics sample for the estimation of this type of background. The initial normalization of the QCD multijet in the signal region is derived from events with $p_T^{\text{miss}} < 20$ GeV. Events in both the signal and control region fulfilling this requirement are counted. After subtracting the expected contributions from non-QCD processes, the ratio of the number of events observed in the control region, with respect to the number of events observed in the signal region, is used as a transfer factor to normalize the QCD multijet estimate. In both the electron and muon channel, a 30% uncertainty is assigned to the estimate of the expected contribution from non-QCD processes, resulting in both a normalization and a shape uncertainty on the distributions for the QCD multijet processes. The variations are applied independently in the signal and control regions in order to find the uncertainty envelope assigned to the QCD estimate. A more accurate normalization for this contribution is obtained by the fit performed to extract the final cross section, described in Section 7.1.

### 5.2 Dilepton final state

Final states with two prompt leptons can also originate from background processes, primarily from $Z/\gamma^* (\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e^\pm \mu^\mp$ or $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$), $tW$, and $WV$ events. Other background sources, such as $W$+jets events or $t\bar{t}$ with decays into one lepton and jets, can contaminate the signal sample
6 Systematic uncertainties

The luminosity normalization has been independently estimated offline from the Pixel Cluster Counting method. The estimation takes into account integration and normalization uncertainties adding up to a total of ±2.3% [18].

The uncertainties on the electron trigger, identification and isolation efficiencies are estimated by changing the values of the data-to-simulation scale factors by one standard deviation (±1σ), as obtained from the “tag-and-probe” method [39]. The uncertainty on the muon identification and isolation efficiency, including the trigger efficiency, is 3% and covers the full deviation of the scale factor from unity.

The impact of the uncertainty in the jet energy scale (JES) is estimated by changing the $p_T$- and $\eta$-dependent JES corrections by a flat factor of 2.8%. The uncertainty in jet energy resolution (JER) is estimated through $\eta$-dependent changes in the JER corrections. The uncertainty due to the use of $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel is dominated by the unclustered part of $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ calculation. Last, a 30% uncertainty is assigned on the efficiency to tag non b-jets in the $\ell$+jets analysis.

Theory uncertainties on $t\bar{t}$ production involve the systematic bias related to the missing higher-order diagrams in POWHEG, which are estimated through studies of the signal acceptance by changing the renormalization ($\mu_R$) and factorization ($\mu_F$) scales in POWHEG within the range $[\mu/2, 2\mu]$ ($\mu = \mu_R = \mu_F$). In the $\ell$+jets analysis the impact of $\mu_R/\mu_F$ scales are examined
independently, while in the dilepton analysis they are varied simultaneously. In both analyses, these variations are applied independently at matrix-element (ME) and parton-shower (PS) level. The uncertainty arising from the hadronization model mainly affects the JES and the fragmentation of jets. The hadronization uncertainty is determined by comparing samples of events generated with POWHEG, where the hadronization is either modeled with PYTHIA or HERWIG++. This also includes differences in the parton shower model and the underlying event. The uncertainty from the choice of PDF is determined by reweighting the sample of simulated tt events according to the RMS of the NNPDF3.0 replica set [14]. Two extra variations of αS are added in quadrature, to determine the total PDF uncertainty.

Furthermore, in the ℓ+jets analysis the independent variation of the µR/µF scales in the W+jets simulation is taken into account. Due to limited statistics in the simulation, an additional bin-by-bin uncertainty is assigned by generating an alternative shape to fit, where the bin prediction is varied (±1σ), keeping all the other bins at their nominal expectation. The uncertainty assigned to the QCD multijet background includes the statistical uncertainty of the data, the uncertainty from the non-QCD multijet contributions subtracted from the control region (Section 5.1) and an additional 30% normalization uncertainty. Finally, the theory uncertainty on the cross sections for the backgrounds are included and 30% is assigned given that tW, Z/γ∗ and multibosons produce several jets and b-jets in the final state under study.

In the dilepton channel, an uncertainty of 30% is assumed for the cross sections of tW and WV backgrounds, to cover theory uncertainties and limited statistics in the simulation. The uncertainty on the Z/γ∗ estimation is calculated from the statistical uncertainty and an additional 30% from the variation of the scale factor in the different levels of selection, resulting in uncertainties of 34% and 80% in the e±μ± and μ±μ± channel, respectively. The systematic uncertainty in the estimated Non-W/Z background is given mainly by the statistical uncertainty of the method used. A value of 90% is estimated and 100% is assigned in the e±μ± and μ±μ± channel, respectively.

7 Measurement of the tt cross section

7.1 ℓ+jets final state

In the ℓ+jets analysis, the tt cross section is measured in the visible phase space by means of a fit. Two variables are independently considered for the fit, which are sensitive to the resonant-behavior of the light jets produced in the W boson decay in a tt event. Given that these light jets, here denoted by j and j', are correlated at production, they are also expected to be closer in phase space, when compared to extra jets in the event. The distance ∆R can thus be used as a metric to rank all the non-b-tagged jets in the event, maximizing the probability of selecting the jets from the W boson decay in cases where more than two non b-tagged jets are found. From simulation we expect that the signal peaks at low ∆R, while the background is uniformly distributed up to ∆R ≈ π. Above that value less events are expected and background processes are predicted to dominate. The M(j,j') variable also has distinctive, peaking, properties for the signal in contrast with a non-peaking background continuum. From simulation we expect that the min ∆R(j,j') variable is robust against signal modeling uncertainties such as the choice of the µR/µF scale and jet energy scale and resolution, while the M(j,j') variable tends to be more affected by such uncertainties. Consequently, the min ∆R(j,j') variable has been chosen to extract the tt cross section.

In order to maximize the sensitivity of the analysis, the min ∆R(j,j') distributions are simultaneously fitted in 0b-, 1b- and ≥2b-jet categories. The fit is separately performed in the µ+jets
and $\ell+$jets channel and the output is further combined in the $\ell+$jets channel. The number of events expected and observed in each category prior to the fit is summarized in Table 2. Fair agreement is observed between data and expectations.

Table 2: Expected and observed event yields in the different categories used in the $\ell+$jets analysis, prior to the fit. With the exception of the QCD multijet estimate, for which the total uncertainty is reported, the uncertainties reflect the limited statistics in the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>0b</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>≥2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>$3.03\pm0.02$</td>
<td>$5.6\pm0.03$</td>
<td>$2.49\pm0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W+$jets</td>
<td>$776\pm17$</td>
<td>$1704\pm26$</td>
<td>$13\pm2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z/\gamma^*$</td>
<td>$136\pm4$</td>
<td>$162\pm5$</td>
<td>$1.7\pm0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD multijet</td>
<td>$0.52\pm0.01$</td>
<td>$1.01\pm0.02$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ signal</td>
<td>$442\pm132$</td>
<td>$493\pm148$</td>
<td>$3.6\pm1.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1381\pm133$</td>
<td>$2408\pm150$</td>
<td>$57.7\pm2.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution for the $M(j,j')$ variable is shown in Fig. 1, while the distribution for the $\min \Delta R(j,j')$ variable is shown in Fig. 2. The distributions have been combined for the $\mu+$jets and $\ell+$jets channel to maximize the statistics and are shown for events with different b-tagged jet multiplicities. Fair agreement is observed between data and pre-fit expectations.

A profile likelihood ratio (PLR) method, similar to the one employed in Ref. [44], is used to perform the fit. In our analysis we consider, in addition, the b-tagging efficiency scale factor ($SF_b$) as a parameter of interest of the fit. The PLR is therefore written as:

$$\lambda(\mu, SF_b) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\mu, SF_b, \hat{\Theta})}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}, SF_b, \hat{\Theta})} \tag{2}$$

where $\mu = \sigma / \sigma_0$ is the signal strength (ratio of the observed $t\bar{t}$ cross section to the expectations from theory) and $\Theta$ is a set of nuisance parameters which encode the effect on the expectations due to variations of the sources of the systematic uncertainties described in Section 6.
Figure 2: Distribution of the min $\Delta R(j,j')$ variable for $\ell+$jets events in 0b- (left), 1b- (center) and $\geq$2b- (right) jet categories. The distributions observed in the data are compared to the sum of the expectations for the signal and backgrounds prior to any fit. The QCD multijet background is estimated from data (cf. Section 5.1). The shaded band represents the statistical and integrated luminosity uncertainties on the expected signal and background yields.

Figure 7.1 (left) shows the contours at the 68% confidence level (CL) obtained from the scan of the profile likelihood as function of $\mu$ and $S_B$. The expected results are compared to the observed results and found to be in agreement within 1$\sigma$. The signal strength is obtained after profiling the b-tagging scale factor and the result is $\mu = 1.00^{+0.10}_{-0.09} \text{(stat)}^{+0.09}_{-0.08} \text{(syst)}$. As a cross check, the signal strength is also extracted by fitting only the total number of events observed in each of the six categories. The observed value $\mu = 1.03^{+0.10}_{-0.10} \text{(stat)}^{+0.21}_{-0.11} \text{(syst)}$ is in agreement with the analysis of the min $\Delta R(j,j')$ distributions. Figure 7.1 (right) summarizes the results obtained for the signal strength fit in each channel separately from the analysis of the distributions or from event counting. In both cases the uncertainties are dominated by systematic uncertainties but with a large contribution from statistical uncertainties. In the $\ell+$jets combination, the $\mu+$jets channel is expected and observed to carry the largest weight.

In order to estimate the impact of the uncertainties on the measured signal strength, the fit is repeated after fixing one nuisance at a time to its postfit value within the postfit uncertainty ($\pm 1\sigma$). The impact on the signal strength fit is then evaluated from the difference induced in the final result from this procedure. By repeating the fits, the effect of some nuisance parameters being fixed may be reabsorbed by a variation of the ones being profiled, owing to correlations. As such, the systematic uncertainties obtained and summarized in Table 3 can only be interpreted as the observed post-fit values, and not as an absolute, orthogonalized, breakdown of uncertainties. Extra uncertainties affecting the estimation of the acceptance may be induced by the choice of the $\mu_R/\mu_F$ scale at ME and PS level, PDF and hadronization model of$t\bar{t}$signal, and thus need to be accounted for. The combined acceptance in the $\mu+$jets and $\epsilon+$jets channel is estimated to be $A = 0.3014 \pm 0.0073$ with the uncertainty being dominated by the variation of the $\mu_R/\mu_F$ scale (PS) and the hadronization model of $t\bar{t}$ signal. With respect to the cross-check analysis, the analysis of the distributions is less prone to the uncertainties in the QCD multijet background, jet energy resolution, and signal modeling. In both cases, the signal modeling uncertainties and the b-tagging efficiency are the leading uncertainties.

After correcting for the acceptance, the total cross section is measured to be

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}) = 68.9 \pm 6.5 \text{(stat)} \pm 6.1 \text{(syst)} \pm 1.6 \text{(lumi)} \text{ pb} = 68.9 \pm 9.1 \text{ (total)} \text{ pb},$$

attaining a total 13% relative uncertainty, in agreement with the SM prediction.
Figure 3: Left: the 68% CL contour obtained from the scan of the likelihood, as function of the signal strength and the b-tagging scale factor in the ℓ+jets analysis. The solid (dashed) contours represent the contours observed in data (expected from simulation). The solid (hollow) point represents the observed fit result (SM expectation). Right: summary of the signal strengths separately obtained in the μ+jets and the e+jets channel, and after their combination in the ℓ+jets channel. The results of the analysis from the distributions (Distr.) are compared to those of a cross-check analysis based on event counting (Count). The inner (outer) bars correspond to the statistical (total) uncertainty of the signal strengths. Bands represent the total uncertainty of the signal strengths in the ℓ+jets channel.
Table 3: Estimated impact of each source of uncertainty on the analysis of distributions and on the cross-check from event counting. “Other backgrounds” contains the residual contribution from $Z/\gamma^*$, tW and WV events. The total uncertainty is obtained by adding in quadrature statistical, systematic and theory uncertainties. The values quoted have been symmetrized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>$\Delta \mu / \mu$</th>
<th>Distr.</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistical uncertainty</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic uncertainty</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental uncertainties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W+jets background</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD multijet background</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Other backgrounds”</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy scale</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy resolution</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b-tagging efficiency</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron efficiencies</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon efficiencies</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theory uncertainties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadronization model of $t\bar{t}$ signal</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R / \mu_F$ scale of $t\bar{t}$ signal (PS)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R / \mu_F$ scale of $t\bar{t}$ signal (ME)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.01$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Dilepton final state

Figure 4 shows the multiplicity of jets (left) and the scalar $p_T$ sum of all jets ($H_T$) (right), for events passing the dilepton pair criteria in the $e^\pm \mu^\mp$ channel. Figure 5 shows the invariant mass (left) and $p_T$ (right) of the dilepton pair, after requiring at least two jets in the event in the $e^\pm \mu^\mp$ channel. Figure 6 shows the missing transverse momentum (left) and invariant mass of the dilepton pair (right) in the $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$ channel for events passing the dilepton criteria and Z veto, and after the missing transverse momentum requirement, respectively. Predictions take into account the efficiency corrections described in Section 4 and the background estimations discussed in Section 5.2. Agreement is observed between data and the predictions for signal and background.

The $t\bar{t}$ production cross section is measured by counting events and applying the expression

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{N - N_B}{B R \cdot \epsilon \cdot A \cdot L},$$

where $N$ is the total number of dilepton events observed in data, $N_B$ is the number of estimated background events, $A$ is the acceptance, $\epsilon$ the selection efficiency, $BR$ the branching fraction into the $e^\pm \mu^\mp$ or $\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$ final state, and $L$ is the integrated luminosity.

Table 4 shows the total number of events observed in data, together with the total number of signal and background events expected from simulation or estimated from data, after the full set of selection criteria (Table 1). The detector, trigger and reconstruction efficiency, as estimated from data, amounts to $\epsilon = 0.57 \pm 0.02$ (0.45 \pm 0.02), while the acceptance, as estimated from MC, is found to be $A = 0.52 \pm 0.01$ (0.47 \pm 0.01) in the $e^\pm \mu^\mp$ ($\mu^\pm \mu^\mp$) channel. The statistical uncertainty (from MC) is included in the uncertainty of $A$. 
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Figure 4: Distributions of the jet multiplicity (left), and scalar $p_T$ sum of all jets (right) in events passing the dilepton pair criteria in the $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ channel. The $Z/\gamma^*$ and Non-W/Z backgrounds are determined from data (cf. Section 5.2). The shaded band represents the statistical and integrated luminosity uncertainties on the expected signal and background yields. The last bin of the distributions contains the overflow events.
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Figure 5: Distributions of the invariant mass (left) and $p_T$ (right) of the dilepton pair after requiring at least two jets in the $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ channel. The $Z/\gamma^*$ and Non-W/Z backgrounds are determined from data (cf. Section 5.2). The shaded band represents the statistical and integrated luminosity uncertainties on the expected signal and background yields. The last bin of the distributions contains the overflow events.
Figure 6: Distributions of the missing transverse momentum (left) in events passing the dilepton criteria and Z veto, and invariant mass (right) of the dilepton pair after the missing transverse momentum requirement in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel. The shaded band represents the statistical and integrated luminosity uncertainties on the expected signal and background yields. The last bin of the distributions contains the overflow events.

Table 4: Number of dilepton events obtained after applying the full selection. The results are given for the individual sources of background, $t\bar{t}$ signal, and data. The uncertainties correspond to statistical and systematic components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$e^+e^-$</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-W/Z leptons</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/$\gamma^*$ leptons</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ signal</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed Data</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 summarizes the magnitude of the statistical and systematic uncertainties from different sources contributing to the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section. All sources of uncertainties are added in quadrature to yield the total uncertainty.

Using the definitions above, the yields from Table 4, and the systematic uncertainties from Table 5, the inclusive cross section is measured to be:

$$\sigma_{tot}(pp \to t\bar{t}) = 76.5 \pm 18.7 \text{ (stat)} \pm 4.4 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.8 \text{ (lumi)} \text{ pb} = 76.5 \pm 19.3 \text{ (total) pb}$$

in the $e^+e^-$ and

$$\sigma_{tot}(pp \to t\bar{t}) = 59.2 \pm 28.7 \text{ (stat)} \pm 10.6 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.4 \text{ (lumi)} \text{ pb} = 59.2 \pm 30.6 \text{ (total) pb}$$

in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel, respectively. The separate total systematic uncertainty without integrated luminosity, the part attributed to the integrated luminosity, and the statistical contribution are added in quadrature to obtain the total uncertainty. The cross sections, measured with a relative uncertainty of 25% and 52%, respectively, are in agreement with the SM prediction (Eq. 1) within the large uncertainty of the measurement.
Table 5: Summary of individual contributions to the systematic uncertainty on the $\sigma_{tt}$ measurement in the dilepton channel. The absolute uncertainties $\Delta\sigma_{tt}$ in pb as well as relative uncertainties ($\Delta\sigma_{tt}/\sigma_{tt}$) are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$e^+\mu^-$</th>
<th>$\mu^+\mu^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>$\Delta\sigma_{tt}$ (pb)</td>
<td>$\Delta\sigma_{tt}/\sigma_{tt}$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron efficiencies</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muon efficiencies</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy scale</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy resolution</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing transverse energy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R/\mu_F$ scale of $t\bar{t}$ signal (PS)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R/\mu_F$ scale of $t\bar{t}$ signal (ME)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadronization model of $t\bar{t}$ signal</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC statistics</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$tW$ background</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV background</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z/\gamma^*$ background</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non $W/Z$ background</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total systematic (w/o luminosity)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated luminosity</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical uncertainty</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3 Combination

The individual results are combined by using the BLUE method [45]. All systematic uncertainties are considered as fully correlated across all channels, with the following exceptions: the uncertainty associated to the limited statistics in the MC is taken as uncorrelated; the electron identification is not relevant for the $\mu\mu$ channel; the $b$ tagging and QCD multijet uncertainties are only considered for the $\ell+\text{jets}$ channel; also in the $\ell+\text{jets}$ channel, the $WV$ and $Z/\gamma^*$ backgrounds are not considered separately.

The inclusive cross section is measured to be:

$$\sigma_{tt}(pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}) = 69.5 \pm 6.1 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 5.6 \; (\text{syst}) \pm 1.6 \; (\text{lumi}) \; \text{pb} = 69.5 \pm 8.4 \; (\text{total}) \; \text{pb}.$$ 

The weights of the individual measurements, to be understood in the sense of Ref. [46], are 81.8% for $\ell+\text{jets}$, 13.5% for $e\mu$ and 4.7% for $\mu\mu$ channel.

The robustness of the combination is tested by performing an iterative variant of the BLUE method [47] and varying some assumptions on the correlations of a series of systematics. The post-fit correlations between the nuisance parameters in the $\ell+\text{jets}$ channel have been checked and found to introduce negligible impact.

Figure 7 presents a summary of results for $\sigma_{tt}$ at various center-of-mass energies, including the combination of the Tevatron measurements at 1.96 TeV [48] and the most precise CMS measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV [1], and 13 TeV [7, 44], compared to the NNLO+NNLL predictions as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ for $p\bar{p}$ and $pp$ collisions [31] for the NNPDF3.0 [49] PDF set. Our result is also compared to the predictions for the MMHT14 [50], CT14 [51], and ABM12 [52] PDF sets.
Figure 7: Top quark pair production cross section in \( p\bar{p} \) and pp collisions as a function of the center-of-mass energy; the Tevatron combination at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \) TeV is displayed, as well as CMS results at 7, 8 and 13 TeV in the dilepton and \( \ell \)+jets channel. The measurements are compared to the NNLO+NNLL theory predictions.
8 QCD analysis

To illustrate the impact of the the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV on the PDFs, these data are used in a QCD analysis at NNLO together with the combined measurements of neutral- and charged-current cross sections of deep inelastic electron-proton scattering (DIS) at HERA [53] and the CMS measurement of the muon charge asymmetry in $W$ boson production [54]. The latter data set is used in order to improve the constraint on the light quark distributions.

The version 2.0.0 of the open-source QCD fit framework for PDF determination xFitter [55, 56] is used with the partons evolved by using the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi equations [57–62] at NNLO, as implemented in the QCDNUM 17-01 / 13 program [63]. The treatment and the choices for the central values and variations of $c$ and $b$ quark masses, strong coupling constant and the fraction of the strange content of the proton follow that of earlier CMS analyses e.g. Ref. [54]. The scales $\mu_r$ and $\mu_f$ are set to $Q$, which denotes the four-momentum transfer in case of the DIS data, the mass of the $W$ boson in case of the muon charge asymmetry, and the mass of the top quark in case of top-quark production, respectively.

The systematic uncertainties for all the three channels of top-quark pair production and their correlations are treated the same way as in the combination, as described in Section 7.3. The theoretical predictions for the top-quark pair production are obtained at NNLO by using the Hathor calculation [64], assuming the top-quark mass of $m_t = 172.5$ GeV. The bin-to-bin correlations of the experimental uncertainties for the muon charge asymmetry and for the inclusive DIS cross sections are taken into account. The theoretical predictions for the muon charge asymmetry are obtained as described in Ref. [54].

The procedure for the determination of the PDFs follows the approach used in the QCD analysis Ref. [54] and results in a 14-parameter fit. The parameterized PDFs are the gluon distribution, $xg$, the valence-quark distributions, $xu_v$, $xd_v$, and the u-type and d-type anti-quark distributions, $x\bar{U}$, $x\bar{D}$. The relations $x\bar{U} = xu$ and $x\bar{D} = xd + xs$ are assumed at the initial scale of the QCD evolution $Q_0^2 = 1.9$ GeV$^2$:

\begin{align}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{d} t} &= \frac{2 \pi}{
\end{align}

The normalization parameters $A_{u,v}$, $A_{d,v}$, and $A_g$ are determined by the QCD sum rules, the $B$ parameters are responsible for small-$x$ behavior of the PDFs, and the parameters $C$ describe the shape of the distribution as $x \rightarrow 1$. Additional constraints $B_{U} = B_{\bar{U}}$ and $A_{U} = A_{\bar{U}}(1 - f_s)$ are imposed, with $f_s$ being the strangeness fraction, $f_s = \bar{s}/(\bar{d} + \bar{s})$, which is fixed to $f_s = 0.31 \pm 0.08$ as in Ref. [65], consistent with the determination of the strangeness fraction by using the CMS measurements of $W + c$ production as in Ref. [66].

Using the top-quark production cross sections allows the release of an additional parameter in Eq. 5, as compared to the analysis in Ref. [54]. The global and partial $\chi^2$ values for each data set are listed in Table 6, where the $\chi^2$ values illustrate a general agreement among all the data.

If not specified otherwise, “electron” indicates both, electron and positron in the context of HERA data.
sets. The somewhat high $\chi^2/n_{dof}$ values for the combined DIS data are very similar to those observed in Ref. [53], where they are investigated in detail.

Table 6: Partial $\chi^2$ per number of data points, $n_{dp}$, and the global $\chi^2$ per degrees of freedom, $n_{dof}$, as obtained in the QCD analysis of DIS data, the CMS muon charge asymmetry and the inclusive cross sections of top-quark pair production at $\sqrt{s}=5.02$ TeV. For HERA measurements, the energy of the proton beam is listed for each data set, with electron energy being $E_e = 27.5$ GeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data sets</th>
<th>Partial $\chi^2/n_{dp}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 neutral current, e$^\pm$p, $E_p = 920$ GeV</td>
<td>449/377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 neutral current, e$^\pm$p, $E_p = 820$ GeV</td>
<td>71/70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 neutral current, e$^\pm$p, $E_p = 575$ GeV</td>
<td>224/254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 neutral current, e$^\pm$p, $E_p = 460$ GeV</td>
<td>218/204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 neutral current, e$^-$p, $E_p = 920$ GeV</td>
<td>218/159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 charged current, e$^+$p, $E_p = 920$ GeV</td>
<td>43/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERA1+2 charged current, e$^-$p, $E_p = 920$ GeV</td>
<td>53/42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS W$^\pm$ muon charge asymmetry $A(\eta_{\mu})$, $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV</td>
<td>2.4/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS inclusive $t\bar{t}$ 5.02 TeV, e$^\pm$ $\mu^\mp$</td>
<td>1.03/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS inclusive $t\bar{t}$ 5.02 TeV, $\mu^\pm$ $\mu^\mp$</td>
<td>0.01/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS inclusive $t\bar{t}$ 5.02 TeV, $\ell$+jets</td>
<td>0.70/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlated $\chi^2$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global $\chi^2/n_{dof}$</td>
<td>1387/1145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental uncertainties of the measurements are propagated to the extracted QCD fit parameters using the Monte-Carlo (MC) method [67, 68]. In this method, 400 replicas of pseudo-data are generated, with cross sections allowed to vary within the statistical and systematic uncertainties. For each of them, the PDF fit is performed and the uncertainty is estimated as the RMS around the central value. In Fig. 8, the gluon distribution with its relative uncertainties is shown, as obtained in the QCD analyses with and without top-quark production cross sections. Moderate reduction of the uncertainty in the gluon distribution at high $x$ is observed, once the top-quark pair production cross sections are included into the fit. The uncertainties in the valence quark distributions remain unaffected. All changes of the central values of the PDFs are well within the fit uncertainties.

In addition, possible effects of variations of the model input parameters and the initial PDF parametrization are investigated in the same way as in the similar analysis of Ref. [54]. These model and parametrization uncertainties are evaluated for the cases when top quark-pair production cross sections are included in or excluded from the fit, respectively, and no changes are observed.

In summary, the top-quark cross section measurements provide additional constraints on the gluon distribution at high $x$, however their impact is small due to large experimental uncertainties.
Figure 8: The gluon distribution as functions of $x$ at the scale of $100000 \text{ GeV}^2$. The results of the fit including top-quark measurements (shaded band), and without those (hatched band) are compared. The fit uncertainties as obtained by using the MC method, are shown. In the bottom panel, the relative fractional uncertainties are presented.

9 Summary

In summary, the first measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ is presented for events with one or two leptons and at least two jets using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $27.4 \text{ pb}^{-1}$. The final measurement is obtained as the combination of the measurements in the individual channels. The result is $69.5 \text{ pb}$, with a total relative uncertainty of 12%, which is consistent with the SM prediction. The impact of the measured $t\bar{t}$ cross section on the proton PDFs is studied in a QCD analysis at NNLO and a moderate decrease of the uncertainty in the gluon distribution at high fractions $x$ of the proton momentum carried by the gluon is observed.
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