***CP* violation in heavy-flavour hadrons**

Greig A. Cowan, on behalf of the LHCb collaboration*

University of Edinburgh, UK

Measurements of *CP*-violating observables in *B* meson decays can be used to determine the angles of the Unitarity Triangle and hence probe for manifestations of New Physics beyond the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Standard Model paradigm. Of particular interest are precise measurements of the angles γ and β . Also of great importance are studies of *CP*-violation involving B_s^0 mesons, in particular the phase ϕ_s , which is a golden observable in flavour physics at the LHC. Complementary to these studies is the continuing search for direct and indirect *CP*-violation in the charm system, where the experimental precision is now at the 10^{-3} level. I will present new and recent results in these topics, and in *CP*-violation searches in baryon decays, with specific emphasis on the measurement programme at the LHC.

Keywords: Flavour physics; *CP* violation; LHC

1. Introduction

The violation of *CP* symmetry, the combination of the discrete symmetries of charge-conjugation (conjugation of all internal quantum numbers) and parity (reversing spatial coordinates), is a necessary condition to generate the baryon asymmetry of the Universe¹. However, the level of *CP* violation allowed within the quark sector of the SM is many orders of magnitude too small^{2–4} to explain astronomical observations, demanding experimental searches for new sources of violation. Heavy-quark hadrons provide an excellent laboratory to perform such searches as they allow the exploration of high energy scales well beyond the direct reach of the LHC. This approach has been successfully applied in the past with, for example, the observation of B^0 meson mixing^{5,6} leading to the first estimates of the top quark mass before it was directly discovered. The large heavy-quark production cross-sections at the LHC^{7,8} lead to large samples of exclusively reconstructed *b* and *c* hadron decays that have been used to make precision measurements of *CP* violating observables. These proceedings summarise the latest of these measurements, focussing on those using 3 fb^{-1} of data collected by the LHCb experiment⁹ in *pp* collisions at the LHC during 2011 and 2012, unless otherwise stated. Recent comprehensive reviews can be found in Refs.^{10,11} and references therein.

---

*28th International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions at High Energies, 7 - 12 Aug 2017
Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China
email: g.cowan@ed.ac.uk

2. CP violation in the Standard Model

The only source of CP violation within the SM is due to the non-zero value of the phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix, to which all CP -violating observables are related. The unitarity of the matrix leads to relations between elements (e.g., $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$), which are convenient to visualise as a triangle (*the* Unitarity Triangle) where all sides are of a similar magnitude, the angles are defined as

$$\alpha \equiv \arg \left[-\frac{V_{td}V_{tb}^*}{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*} \right], \quad \beta \equiv \arg \left[-\frac{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}{V_{td}V_{tb}^*} \right], \quad \gamma \equiv \arg \left[-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*} \right].$$

These angles can be measured using a variety of different CP violating observables covering both tree-level quark transitions, where the impact of New Physics (NP) contributions is expected to be small¹², and loop-level transitions, which are sensitive to new higher-mass particles. One of the goals of studying the heavy-quark sector is to compare measurements of these quantities to check for the overall consistency of the CKM mechanism. Figure 1 shows the latest global fit of the CKM matrix parameters to experimental measurements and Lattice QCD calculations^{13,14} showing that the SM is working well. However, there is still room for NP contributions at the level of $\sim 10\%$ ^{15,16}, implying that a new set of precision measurements is required.

Fig. 1. Global fit¹⁵ to the CKM matrix parameters, showing consistency between measurements when interpreted in terms of SM quark transitions.

In the quark sector, the neutral mesons (P^0) can oscillate into their antiparticles ($\bar{P}^0$), resulting in the physical states ($P_{H,L}^0$) being admixtures of the flavour eigenstates: $P_H^0 = pP^0 + q\bar{P}^0$ and $P_L^0 = pP^0 - q\bar{P}^0$, where p and q are complex coefficients ($|p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1$). The physical states have well defined masses and lifetimes, and the parameters $\Delta m = m_H - m_L$, $\Delta\Gamma = \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H$ and $\Gamma = (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_H)/2$ control the

decay-time-dependent decay rates of the mesons. In particular, Δm controls the oscillation frequency.

CP violation depends on the quantity $\lambda_f = \frac{q}{p} \frac{\bar{A}_f}{A_f}$. Here, f is the final state that the P hadron decays to with amplitude A_f and the $\bar{P}$ hadron decays to with amplitude $\bar{A}_f$. Three types of CP violation are allowed: in neutral meson mixing ($|q/p| \neq 1$); in the interference between neutral meson mixing and decay ($\arg(\lambda_f) \neq 0$) and in hadron decay ($|\bar{A}_f/A_f| \neq 1$). Only CP -violation in decay is allowed for charged mesons and baryons.

3. CP violation in B meson mixing

Semileptonic $B_{(s)}^0$ decays are dominated by tree-level quark transitions, implying that there should be no CP violation in decay, and therefore provide a clean system to search for CP in mixing. The so-called semileptonic (or flavour-specific) asymmetry is defined as $A_{sl} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \bar{f})} \approx \frac{\Delta\Gamma}{\Delta m} \tan \phi_M$, where ϕ_M is the mixing phase from the $B_{(s)}^0$ mixing matrix. These asymmetries are predicted to be very small in the SM, at the level of 10^{-4} or less¹⁰, and therefore any measurement of a significantly non-zero effect would be a clear sign of beyond-the-SM physics.

Experimentally, the quantity measured is the untagged decay-time, t , dependent charge asymmetry between semileptonic $B_{(s)}^0$ decays with a positive or negatively charged muon, defined as $A_{\text{meas}}(t) = \frac{N(D^- \mu^+ \nu, t) - N(D^+ \mu^- \nu, t)}{N(D^- \mu^+ \nu, t) + N(D^+ \mu^- \nu, t)} \approx A_D + \frac{A_{sl}}{2} + (A_P - \frac{A_{sl}}{2}) \cos(\Delta m t)$. This is sensitive to A_{sl} along with other production, A_P , and particle detection, A_D , asymmetries. In the case of the measurements from the LHCb collaboration^{17,18} these asymmetries can be controlled to high precision using data calibration samples and by reversing the LHCb dipole magnet, thereby allowing a precision measurement of the CP asymmetries in both the B^0 and B_s^0 systems.^a Figure 2a shows the current experimental situation for CP violation in B meson mixing. The global average values are $A_{sl}^d = (-0.21 \pm 0.17)\%$ and $A_{sl}^s = (-0.06 \pm 0.28)\%$ ¹⁹, consistent with SM expectations.

The left-most green ellipse in Figure 2a corresponds to the dimuon asymmetry measured by the D0 collaboration²⁰, which is a measurement of a linear combination of A_{sl}^d and A_{sl}^s . Although it is inconsistent with SM expectations at $\sim 3\sigma$ it has been proposed^{21,22} that there may be additional contributions from a non-zero value of $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$, which is expected to be very small in the SM. The most precise measurement of this quantity has recently been made by the ATLAS collaboration²³ (Figure 2b), obtaining $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d = (-0.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-2}$. An update of the 1 fb^{-1} measurement²⁴ of $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$ from the LHCb collaboration is eagerly anticipated.

^a For the B_s^0 system, the time-integrated rate can be measured as the fast B_s^0 oscillations wash out the production asymmetry.

Fig. 2. (a) HFLAV combination¹⁹ of A_{SL}^d and A_{SL}^s from several experiments compared to the theory ($\times 10$) predictions¹⁰. (b) Efficiency-corrected ratio of the observed decay-length distributions for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays²³.

4. CP violation in the interference of B meson mixing/decay

In the case where the $B_{(s)}^0$ or $\bar{B}_{(s)}^0$ mesons decay to the same final state, f , the decay-time-dependent CP asymmetry is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f}(t) - \Gamma_{B^0 \rightarrow f}(t)}{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow f}(t) + \Gamma_{B^0 \rightarrow f}(t)} = \frac{S_f \sin(\Delta m t) - C_f \cos(\Delta m t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma t/2) + A_f^{\Delta \Gamma} \sinh(\Delta \Gamma t/2)}, \quad (1)$$

where $|S_f|^2 + |C_f|^2 + |A_f^{\Delta \Gamma}|^2 = 1$ by definition.

4.1. The B^0 system

In the B^0 system, $\Delta \Gamma_d \approx 0$ and only the numerator of Eq. 1 needs to be considered. The canonical decay mode used by the B-factories to measure this asymmetry is $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, which proceeds predominately via a tree-level $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ transition. In the case where the sub-dominant penguin diagrams can be neglected^{25–28}, $S_{J/\psi K_S^0} \approx \sin 2\beta$.

The LHCb collaboration has recently used its Run 1 data to measure S_f and C_f in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ ²⁹, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K_S^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ decays³⁰ using a flavour-tagged³¹ decay-time-dependent analysis. The asymmetry for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ decays can be seen in Figure 3a. The individual measurements and their combination are shown in Figure 3b, where the systematic uncertainty is dominated by background tagging asymmetry. The LHCb-averaged values are $S_{[c\bar{c}]K_S^0} = 0.760 \pm 0.034$ and $C_{[c\bar{c}]K_S^0} = -0.017 \pm 0.029$. Together, these measurements reduce the tension between the world average value for $\sin 2\beta$ and the indirect determination from global fits^{15,16}. The consistency between the results

Fig. 3. (a) CP asymmetry as a function of decay time for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays²⁹, showing a clear oscillation. (b) LHCb combination of CP violation parameters as measured using $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ ²⁹, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K_S^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ ³⁰ decays.

Fig. 4. HFLAV average of CP parameters in $B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ decays¹⁹.

using the electron and muon channels for charmonium reconstruction also help to build confidence in the electron reconstruction performance of LHCb, which is particularly relevant when viewed through the prism of recent anomalies in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions³².

Decays such as $B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ are governed by $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}d$ quark transitions and therefore measurements of the decay-time-dependent asymmetry gives complementary information about $\sin 2\beta$ that can be used to constrain the size of potential penguin contributions to the decay^{25–28}. Figure 4 summarises the current situation with these measurements from the BaBar, Belle and LHCb³³ collaborations. The

Belle result is outside of the physical region ($S_{DD}^2 + C_{DD}^2 < 1$), which may have been an indication of large hadronic effects. However, the latest LHCb measurement shows that these terms are small and consistent with zero, with the phase shift induced by the penguin diagrams measured to be $\Delta\phi = -0.16^{+0.19}_{-0.21}$ rad.

4.2. The B_s^0 system

Decay-time-dependent CP asymmetries in the B_s^0 system using $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ transitions are sensitive to the CKM phase $\beta_s \equiv \arg \left[-\frac{V_{ts}V_{tb}^*}{V_{cs}V_{cb}^*} \right]$. Typically measurements are made of the experimentally observable phase ϕ_s , which is equal to $-2\beta_s$ if the penguin contributions to the decay can be neglected. Global fits give a precise Standard Model prediction for ϕ_s of -36.5 ± 1.3 mrad¹⁵. Deviations from this value would be a clear sign for NP, strongly motivating the need for more precise experimental measurements.

The golden mode for measuring ϕ_s is using a flavour-tagged decay-time-dependent angular analysis of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\phi(K^+K^-)$ decay. This channel has a high branching fraction and the presence of two muons in the final state leads to a high trigger efficiency at hadron colliders. An angular analysis is necessary to disentangle the interfering CP -odd and CP -even components in the final state, which arise due to the relative angular momentum between the two vector resonances. In addition, there is a small ($\sim 2\%$) CP -odd K^+K^- S-wave contribution that must be accounted for.

The CDF³⁴, D0³⁵, ATLAS³⁶, CMS³⁷ and LHCb³⁸ collaborations have all measured ϕ_s (in addition to other mixing-related parameters of the B_s^0 system) using the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decay. Additional information can be obtained by utilising the region of the K^+K^- invariant mass spectrum above the $\phi(1020)$ meson, where higher spin K^+K^- resonances are expected to contribute. Such a flavour-tagged decay-time-dependent amplitude analysis has just been performed by the LHCb collaboration³⁹, which finds the dominant component of the high-mass spectrum comes from the $f_2'(1525)$ meson (Figure 5) and measures $\phi_s = 119 \pm 107 \pm 34$ mrad. The LHCb detector has excellent time resolution (~ 45 fs) and tagging power ($\sim 4\%$), both of which are crucial to the measurement. Combining the LHCb results from $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ (low mass), $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$ (high mass) and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ decays⁴⁰ gives $\phi_s = 1 \pm 37$ mrad.

The global combination of ϕ_s and $\Delta\Gamma_s$ using the measurements referenced above in addition to $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ ⁴¹ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+D_s^{*+}$ ⁴² decays gives average values of $\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.090 \pm 0.005$ ps⁻¹ and $\phi_s = -21 \pm 31$ mrad. The combination is dominated by the statistical uncertainty from the LHCb $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ result and are consistent with the SM predictions^{10,15}. However, there remains space for new physics contributions at $O(10\%)$ and as the experimental precision improves it is essential that there is good control over hadronic effects^{43,44} that could mimic the signature of beyond-the-SM physics.

A related CP -violating phase, $\phi_s^{s\bar{s}s}$, can be measured by applying similar anal-

Fig. 5. (a) Distribution of $m_{K^+K^-}$ from $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^-$ decays. The total fit function is overlaid in blue, while the $\phi(1020)$, $f_2'(1525)$ and $K^+ K^-$ S-wave contributions are shown by the long-dashed pink, brown and green lines, respectively. (b) HFLAV combination¹⁹ (white contour) of ϕ_s and $\Delta\Gamma_s$ from several experiments (coloured contours) as discussed in the text.

ysis methods to B_s^0 meson decays that occur via $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$ transitions. The LHCb collaboration has performed such an analysis using $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\phi$ ⁴⁵, measuring $\phi_s = -0.17 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$ rad, which is consistent with the SM predictions, all of which are very close to zero^{46–48}. Updated measurements of CP -violating parameters in charmless $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ decays have also recently been reported by the LHCb collaboration⁴⁹, including a first measurement of $A_{K^+K^-}^{\Delta\Gamma}$.

5. CP violation in b hadron decay

5.1. The CKM angle γ

The CKM angle γ is the only CP violating parameter that can be measured from tree-level decays^b and the uncertainty on its theoretical prediction is constrained to be $< O(10^{-7})$ ¹². Together these make measurements of γ a “standard candle” within the SM with which other loop-level determinations can be compared in order to look for the effects of new CP violating contributions. The canonical technique to measure γ is to exploit the interference between the different decay paths in $B \rightarrow DK$ decays. Depending on the final state of the D^0 meson there are different analysis methods (e.g., GLW^{50,51}, ADS^{52,53} and GGSZ⁵⁴) that vary in their sensitivity to γ and the other hadronic parameters that describe the strong dynamics of the B and D meson decays. Unlike the measurement of $\beta_{(s)}$, there is no dominant channel in which to measure γ and a combination of several modes is required to achieve the maximal sensitivity.

A new result⁵⁵ from the LHCb collaboration is an update, using Run 2 data, of the measurements of the CP -violating observables in $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{(*)0} K^\pm$ and $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{(*)0} \pi^\pm$ decays using the GLW method. Figure 6 shows the invariant mass

^bThere are some caveats.

distribution of the $D^0 h$ system. In the case of $B^\pm \rightarrow D^0 K^\pm$ decays a clear asymmetry is visible between the oppositely-charged modes. After controlling for small detector and production asymmetries using the Cabibbo-favoured $B^\pm \rightarrow [K^\pm \pi^\mp]_D \pi^\pm$ mode, the CP asymmetry is measured to be $A_K^{KK} = +0.126 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.002$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic.

For the first time the collaboration has also used a partial reconstruction technique to measure the CP observables using the modes with excited $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \gamma$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$ decays where the photon or π^0 is not reconstructed. This approach avoids the efficiency penalty that would need to be paid to fully reconstruct these channels. The partially reconstructed decays correspond to the structures at lower mass in Figure 6, where the different shapes for the $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \gamma$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$ contributions allow the decay rates and CP asymmetries to be measured separately for each. The CP asymmetry in the $B^\pm \rightarrow (D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0) K^\pm$ channel is measured as $A_K^{CP, \pi^0} = -0.151 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.011$, which is different from zero at 4.3σ . The corresponding asymmetry for the γ mode is $A_K^{CP, \gamma} = +0.276 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.047$.

Another new result is the measurement of the CP -violating observables in $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ decays, with $K^{*\pm} \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^\pm$. This updates Ref.⁵⁶, using two- and four-body D meson final states⁵⁷ in addition to Run 2 data. The branching ratio of the $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ decay is of similar magnitude to $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^\pm$ (described above), but the overall event yield in LHCb is lower due to the efficiency for reconstructing K_s^0 mesons. Figure 7 shows the invariant mass distributions of the DK^* systems for the two-body Cabibbo-favoured and ADS D^0 decay modes. The decays are isolated with high signal purity and this result corresponds to the first 4.2σ evidence of the ADS mode, with the rate measured to be $R_{K\pi}^+ = 0.020 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001$. The measurements for the decay rates and CP asymmetries are consistent with and more precise than the corresponding analysis from the BaBar collaboration⁵⁸. In the future they will help to further constrain γ and the related hadronic parameters for this system.

5.2. γ combination

As stated above the best precision on γ is obtained by combining information from many $B \rightarrow DK$ decay modes. An updated combination from LHCb has recently been performed⁵⁹ that utilises 85 observables and contains 37 parameters. It includes the $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{(*)0} K^\pm$ and $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ results described above^c and an updated decay-time-dependent measurement of the CP asymmetry in $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$ decays⁶⁰. The final measurement is $\gamma = 76.8_{-5.7}^{+5.1^\circ}$. The HFLAV collaboration have combined this with existing measurements from the B-factories to obtain $\gamma = 76.2_{-5.0}^{+4.7^\circ}$, where the precision is dominated by the LHCb measurement. Many more updates of $B \rightarrow DK$ channels can be expected with Run 2. The aim is to have sub-degree-level precision at the end of the LHCb phase 1 upgrade in 2024 by

^cIn fact, only the $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ results from Ref.⁵⁶ are used.

Fig. 6. Distribution of invariant mass of the $Dh^\pm$ system for (left) B^- and (right) B^+ decays. The bachelor hadron is a (top) kaon and (bottom) pion. In the case of the $B^\pm \rightarrow [K^+ K^-]_D K^\pm$ decays a clear asymmetry is visible between the distributions. The structures at lower masses is due to partially reconstructed $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \gamma$ and $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$ decays where the γ or π^0 particle is missed.

Fig. 7. Distribution of invariant mass of the DK^* system for (left) B^- and (right) B^+ decays. Both the (top) Cabibbo-favoured and (bottom) ADS $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ decay modes are visible.

which point LHCb will have collected 50 fb^{-1} .

5.3. First evidence of CP violation in the baryon system

So far there has been no observation of CP violation in b baryon decays. However, since they are governed by the same quark-level transitions as meson decays there is potential for non-zero effects in the SM^{61–63}. For example, charmless decays of b baryons have contributions of similar magnitude from both tree and penguin decays, which give rise to sensitivity to the CKM angle α . No sign of CP violation has been found in such charmless two⁶⁴ or three-body^{65,66} Λ_b^0 or Ξ_b baryon decays,

Fig. 8. 1-CL plot, using the profile likelihood method, for the γ combinations split by analysis method. (yellow) GGSZ methods, (orange) GLW/ADS methods, (blue) other methods and (green) the full combination.

Fig. 9. (a) The invariant mass distributions for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi\pi\pi$ used to extract the asymmetries. (b) The P -odd and CP -odd asymmetries as a function of $|\Phi|$, the magnitude of angle between the $p\pi_{\text{fast}}^-$ and $\pi_{\text{slow}}^- \pi^+$ decay planes in the Λ_b^0 rest frame.

however, a recent study⁶⁷ of four-body $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$ decays has revealed the first evidence for CP -violation in the baryon sector.

The measurement is performed by using the four-body decay topology to compute triple products, which are odd under the motion reversal operator, $\hat{T}$.^d The triple products are defined as $C_{\hat{T}} = \vec{p}_p \cdot (\vec{p}_{h_1^-} \times \vec{p}_{h_2^+})$ and $\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} = \vec{p}_{\bar{p}} \cdot (\vec{p}_{h_1^+} \times \vec{p}_{h_2^-})$ for Λ_b^0 and $\bar{\Lambda}_b^0$ decays, respectively. The corresponding $\hat{T}$ -odd asymmetries are $A_{\hat{T}}(C_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{N(C_{\hat{T}}>0) - N(C_{\hat{T}}<0)}{N(C_{\hat{T}}>0) + N(C_{\hat{T}}<0)}$ and $\bar{A}_{\hat{T}}(\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{N(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}>0) - N(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}<0)}{N(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}>0) + N(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}<0)}$, where N refers to the number of observed $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$ candidates. From these $\hat{T}$ -odd asymmetries it is possible to build P -odd and CP -odd observables, defined

^dThe $\hat{T}$ operator is equivalent to the parity operation for spinless particles.

as $a_P^{\hat{T}^{\text{odd}}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} + \bar{A}_{\hat{T}})$ and $a_{CP}^{\hat{T}^{\text{odd}}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} - \bar{A}_{\hat{T}})$, respectively. The CP -odd observable is insensitive to production and detection asymmetries that affect standard CP -asymmetries (e.g., those based on event yields) and is also formed from a different combination of strong and weak phases.

Figure 9a shows the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi\pi\pi$ invariant mass from Ref.⁶⁷ that has been used to measure $a_{CP}^{\hat{T}^{\text{odd}}}$. The global measurement is consistent with CP symmetry but it has been noted⁶⁸ that there is increased sensitivity to CP violating effects by looking at differential distributions. Figure 9b shows $a_{CP}^{\hat{T}^{\text{odd}}}$ as a function of the phase space of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi\pi\pi$ decay. Using this and an alternative binning scheme, the p-value for the CP -symmetry hypothesis is evaluated as 9.8×10^{-4} , which is equivalent to a 3.3σ deviation of $a_{CP}^{\hat{T}^{\text{odd}}}$ from zero. This constitutes the first evidence of CP violation in baryon decays. With the larger data samples to be collected in Run 2 and beyond it will be possible to perform a full amplitude analysis of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi\pi\pi$ channel to understand where the CP asymmetry arises in the phase space of the decay.

Similar methods using $\hat{T}$ -odd observables have been used to search for CP violation in rare Λ_b^0 decays^{69,70} and the charm system⁷¹. In each case the results are consistent with the hypothesis of CP conservation.

6. Study of b -baryon oscillations

As noted in Section 1 the origin of the baryon asymmetry in the Universe is unclear. Baryon number violation (BNV) has never been seen experimentally, with strong constraints imposed by the measured proton and bound-neutron lifetimes. However, beyond-the-SM models containing flavour-diagonal six-fermion vertices^{72–75} could permit BNV without violating existing constraints. Unambiguous experimental observation of such BNV would be the observation of baryon-antibaryon oscillations of hadrons containing quarks of all three generations (*i.e.*, usb), such as the Ξ_b^0 baryon.

A new result⁷⁶ from the LHCb collaboration measures the decay-time-dependent ratio between the rates of same-sign (SS) and opposite-sign (OS) decays of the Ξ_b^0 baryon. The ratio is defined as $R(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^- \pi^+)}{\Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-)} \approx (\omega t)^2$, where ω is the mixing frequency. Here, SS (OS) means that the charge of the proton from the charged $\Xi_c \rightarrow pK\pi$ decay is the same (opposite) to the charge of the pion from the strong decay of the excited $\Xi_b'^*$ baryons that tags the initial flavour of the Ξ_b^0 candidate. Figure 10 shows the mass difference distribution for the LHCb data. The two narrow peaks visible near threshold in the OS-tag sample are due to the excited $\Xi_b'^*$ baryons. The red histogram shows the corresponding distribution for the SS tags, which is consistent with the background-only hypothesis. In seven bins of decay time the ratio $R(t)$ is evaluated using a likelihood fit to the mass distribution, which allows an upper limit to be set on the mixing frequency. The limit is $\omega < 0.08 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ at 95% CL, determined using a likelihood ratio test and the CLs method.

Fig. 10. Spectra of the mass difference $\delta m = m(\Xi_b^0 \pi) - m(\Xi_b^0) - m_\pi$ for the OS-tagged (black points with error bars) and SS-tagged (red, hatched histogram) decays. Inset: detail of the region $2.0 < \delta m < 5.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.

7. Charm

Studies of the charm system provide the only way to investigate CP violation using up-type quarks. As in the neutral B meson system, neutral D mesons undergo oscillations, but in this case they are dominated by long-distance effects and the mixing parameters ($x = \Delta m/\Gamma$, $y = \Delta\Gamma/(2\Gamma)$) are expected to be small. Likewise, very small CP -violating effects are expected⁷⁷. As yet there is no evidence for CP violation in the charm system, but the very large data samples being collected by the LHCb experiment provide an ideal place to precisely test theoretical predictions and search for new sources of CP violation.

At hadron colliders it is possible to tag the initial flavour of D^0 mesons using two different methods, depending on how they were produced. The flavour is determined either by the charge of the pion from promptly-produced $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ decays or the charge of the muon from semileptonic B decays ($B \rightarrow D^0 \mu^- X$). These independent samples have different properties in terms of background and reconstruction efficiencies, leading to different systematic uncertainties in the final measurements.

The large yields available in the charm system can also be used to search for CP violation in strong interactions. The LHCb collaboration has recently used $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays to search for CP violating $\eta^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays⁷⁸, finding no signal in the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum. This constrains the branching fractions to be less than $\sim 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL, which are comparable with or better than existing limits⁷⁹.

Fig. 11. (a) Distribution of the mass difference between the D^* and D^0 mesons in the prompt sample. (b) CP violating asymmetries for $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays using the prompt and semileptonic-tagged samples. The 68% confidence level contours are displayed where the statistical and systematic uncertainties are added in quadrature.

7.1. Direct CP violation in the charm system

Direct CP violation in the charm system can be explored by measuring the asymmetries in the decay of D^0 mesons to CP eigenstates (i.e., $D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-$). Experimentally the raw yield asymmetry is measured, $A_{\text{raw}} \equiv \frac{N(D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-) - N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-)}{N(D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-) + N(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-)}$, and subsequently corrected for small production and detection asymmetries using data control modes in order to determine the CP asymmetry, $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-) = A_{\text{raw}}(D^0 \rightarrow h^+h^-) - A_P(D^{*+}) - A_D(\pi_s^+)$.

The LHCb collaboration has recently measured $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-)$ using a prompt-tagged sample (Figure 11a)⁸⁰. While still statistically limited, the dominant systematic uncertainty in the result relates to control of the nuisance asymmetries. Combining $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-)$ with an earlier measurement of the difference in CP asymmetries between the kaon and pion modes, $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) - A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$, allows $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ to be extracted. Figure 11b shows the result for the two CP asymmetries, which are consistent with CP symmetry. These results have been combined with independent measurements from the semileptonic-tagged sample⁸¹ to provide an overall LHCb result of $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (0.04 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10)\%$ and $A_{CP}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = (0.07 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.11)\%$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. These results are now approaching the per-mille level of uncertainty but still do not show any signs of CP violation. Likewise, there are no indications of CP violation in other modes such as $D^\pm \rightarrow \eta'\pi^\pm$ and $D_s^\pm \rightarrow \eta'\pi^\pm$ ⁸². A search for CP violation in $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decays using an energy test⁸³ reports a mild tension with CP -symmetry that requires further exploration with Run 2 data.

Fig. 12. Measured asymmetry $A(t)$ in bins of t/τ_D , where τ_D is the world average value for the D^0 lifetime¹⁹, for (a) $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and (b) $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays. The solid line shows a linear fit to the data, with a slope equal to the best estimate of $-A_\Gamma$.

7.2. Indirect CP violation

As discussed in above, the mixing parameters and decay-time-integrated CP asymmetries in the charm system are small. Based upon this, a measurement of the decay-time-dependent CP asymmetry of D^0 decays to CP eigenstates is sensitive to the indirect CP violating parameter A_Γ . Here, $A_\Gamma \equiv \frac{\hat{\Gamma}_{D^0 \rightarrow f} - \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow f}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{D^0 \rightarrow f} + \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow f}}$, is the asymmetry between the inverse effective lifetimes of the D^0 meson and its antiparticle. Within the SM, its magnitude is expected to be $\lesssim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ and independent of the final state, f , owing to the small CP violation in decay.

The LHCb collaboration has recently measured A_Γ using a prompt-tagged sample of $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays⁸⁴. Two different methods are used to measure the asymmetry, an approach that is binned in the D^0 decay time and an unbinned method. Both approaches use the large sample of $D \rightarrow K\pi$ decays to control production and detection asymmetries. They each give consistent measurements, with the binned result being slightly more precise and chosen as the nominal result. Figure 12 shows the binned asymmetries as a function of decay time for the kaon and pion decay modes. The value of A_Γ is given by the gradient of the linear fit to these asymmetries. These values are combined to give $A_\Gamma = (-0.13 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$. They have subsequently been combined with the semileptonic-tagged sample⁸⁵ to obtain $A_\Gamma = (-0.29 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$. This is the most precise measurement of a CP -violating observable in the charm system ever made.

7.3. Charm mixing and indirect CP violation

The measurement of charm mixing parameters and a search for CP violation can be performed by studying the decay-time-dependent ratio of yields of Cabibbo-suppressed to Cabibbo-favoured $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ decays. This ratio is defined separately for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ decays and is related to the mixing parameters via a second-order expansion of the mixing equations (assuming small mixing) given by $R(t)^\pm = R_D^\pm + \sqrt{R_D^\pm} y' \frac{t}{\tau} + \frac{(x'^\pm)^2 + (y'^\pm)^2}{4} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^2$, where τ is the average D^0 lifetime

Fig. 13. Distribution of the D^{*+} invariant mass in the double-tagged (DT) sample for (a) RS and (b) WS decays. (c) Efficiency corrected ratios of WS/RS decays and fit projections for the DT (red open circles) and prompt (black filled circles) samples.

and the x', y' have been rotated from the nominal mixing parameters by the strong phase in the $D \rightarrow K\pi$ decay. A recent result⁸⁶ from the LHCb collaboration uses a double-tagged (DT) technique (using the charge of the pion and the muon from the semileptonic B decays ($B^0 \rightarrow (D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)\mu^- X$)) to provide a very pure sample of events (Figure 13a and b) that cover a complementary region of the decay time spectrum than has been previously studied using a prompt-sample⁸⁷. Figure 13c shows the ratios for D^0 and $\bar{D}^0$ decays and their difference for both the DT and prompt samples. The ratios increase as a function of time, consistent with charm mixing, allowing x', y' to be measured for the DT sample alone and both samples combined. The complementary coverage of the DT and prompt sample gives an improved precision, by 10–20%, for the charm mixing parameters from the combined fit even though the DT analysis is based on almost 40 times fewer candidates than the prompt analysis. The data are consistent with the hypothesis of CP conservation (both for decay and interference of mixing and decay).

8. Looking to the future

The majority of beauty and charm sector measurements are statistically limited, which strongly motivates the case for a new set of precision measurements of CP -violating observables to constrain the size of (or perhaps find) new physics contributions. The LHCb collaboration recently submitted an expression of interest⁸⁸ regarding future phase 1b and 2 upgrades that would operate during LHC runs 4 and 5, respectively. It is expected that using the data collected with the improved detector it will be possible to improve the precision on γ to 0.4° , ϕ_s to 9 mrad (see

Fig. 14. Projection of how precision on ϕ_s from LHCb measurements will scale as a function of time for different decay modes. Information taken from Ref. ^{89,90}.

Figure 14) and achieve precision on charm mixing and CP violation parameters at the level of 10^{-4} . These will allow unprecedented sensitivity to the small effects of new physics. Crucially, as the precision improves it is essential to control hadronic effects that can hide small non-Standard Model effects. Other studies can be found in Refs. ^{89,90}.

9. Summary

This year is the 40th anniversary of the discovery of the b quark⁹¹. In that time there has been huge progress in using the physics of heavy-flavour hadrons to make detailed studies of CP -violation, both experimentally and theoretically. So far all measurements are consistent with Standard Model predictions, confirming validity of the CKM mechanism. The LHCb experiment is now leading the way in terms of precision measurements in the b and c sectors, with new explorations of CP violation in baryons just beginning. It will be fascinating to observe how the SM is able to withstand the next round of precision measurements that will be made over the next decade.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the organisers of the Lepton Photon conference and acknowledges the support of the Science and Technology Facilities Council (UK) grant ST/K004646/1.

References

1. A. D. Sakharov, Violation of CP invariance, C asymmetry, and baryon asymmetry of the universe, *Soviet Physics Uspekhi* **34**, p. 392 (1991).
2. M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff and O. Pene, Standard model CP violation and baryon asymmetry, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9**, 795 (1994).
3. P. Huet and E. Sather, Electroweak baryogenesis and standard model CP violation, *Phys. Rev.* **D51**, 379 (1995).

4. M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff, O. Pene and C. Quimbay, Standard model CP violation and baryon asymmetry. Part 2: Finite temperature, *Nucl. Phys.* **B430**, 382 (1994).
5. C. Albajar *et al.*, Search for $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ oscillations at the CERN proton - anti-proton collider. 2., *Phys. Lett.* **B186**, 247 (1987), [Erratum: *Phys. Lett.* **B197**, 565 (1987)].
6. H. Albrecht *et al.*, Observation of $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing, *Phys. Lett.* **B192**, 245 (1987).
7. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the b -quark production cross-section in 7 and 13 TeV pp collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, p. 052002 (2017), Erratum in preparation.
8. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurements of prompt charm production cross-sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *JHEP* **03**, p. 159 (2016).
9. A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, The LHCb detector at the LHC, *JINST* **3**, p. S08005 (2008).
10. M. Artuso, G. Borissov and A. Lenz, CP violation in the B_s^0 system, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, p. 045002 (2016).
11. T. Gershon and V. V. Gligorov, CP violation in the B system, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **80**, p. 046201 (2017).
12. J. Brod and J. Zupan, The ultimate theoretical error on γ from $B \rightarrow DK$ decays, *JHEP* **01**, p. 051 (2014).
13. A. Bazavov *et al.*, $B_{(s)}^0$ -mixing matrix elements from lattice QCD for the Standard Model and beyond, *Phys. Rev.* **D93**, p. 113016 (2016).
14. S. Aoki *et al.*, Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77**, p. 112 (2017).
15. J. Charles *et al.*, Current status of the Standard Model CKM fit and constraints on $\Delta F = 2$ New Physics, *Phys. Rev.* **D91**, p. 073007 (2015).
16. M. Bona *et al.*, The unitarity triangle fit in the Standard Model and hadronic parameters from lattice QCD: a reappraisal after the measurements of $\Delta m_{(s)}$ and $BR(B \rightarrow \tau \nu_\tau)$, *JHEP* **10**, p. 081 (2006).
17. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the CP asymmetry in $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, p. 061803 (2016).
18. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the semileptonic CP asymmetry in $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, p. 041601 (2015).
19. Y. Amhis *et al.*, Averages of b -hadron, c -hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of summer 2016 (2016), arXiv:1612.07233.
20. V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Study of CP -violating charge asymmetries of single muons and like-sign dimuons in $p\bar{p}$ collisions, *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, p. 012002 (2014).
21. G. Borissov and B. Hoeneisen, Understanding the like-sign dimuon charge asymmetry in $p\bar{p}$ collisions, *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, p. 074020 (2013).
22. Y. S. Amhis, T. Aushev and M. Jung, Mixing and mixing-related CP violation in the B system, in *8th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM 2014) Vienna, Austria, September 8-12, 2014*, 2015. arXiv:1510.07321.
23. M. Aaboud *et al.*, Measurement of the relative width difference of the $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ system with the ATLAS detector, *JHEP* **06**, p. 081 (2016).
24. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurements of the B^+ , B^0 , B_s^0 meson and Λ_b^0 baryon lifetimes, *JHEP* **04**, p. 114 (2014).
25. S. Faller, M. Jung, R. Fleischer and T. Mannel, The Golden Modes $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{(S,L)}$ in the Era of Precision Flavour Physics, *Phys. Rev.* **D79**, p. 014030 (2009).
26. M. Jung, Determining weak phases from $B \rightarrow J/\psi P$ decays, *Phys. Rev.* **D86**, p. 053008 (2012).
27. K. De Bruyn and R. Fleischer, A Roadmap to Control Penguin Effects in $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$, *JHEP* **03**, p. 145 (2015).

28. P. Frings, U. Nierste and M. Wiebusch, Penguin contributions to CP phases in $B_{d,s}$ decays to charmonium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, p. 061802 (2015).
29. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, p. 031601 (2015).
30. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K_S^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)(\mu^+\mu^-)K_S^0$ decays (2017), LHCb-PAPER-2017-029, in preparation.
31. R. Aaij *et al.*, New algorithms for identifying the flavour of B^0 mesons using pions and protons, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77**, p. 238 (2017).
32. M. Pepe Altarelli, Rare decays of heavy hadrons, *these proceedings* (2017).
33. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP violation in $B \rightarrow D^+D^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, p. 261801 (2016).
34. T. Aaltonen *et al.*, Measurement of the bottom-strange meson mixing phase in the full CDF data set, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, p. 171802 (2012).
35. V. M. Abazov *et al.*, Measurement of the CP -violating phase $\phi_s^{J/\psi\phi}$ using the flavor-tagged decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ in 8 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, p. 032006 (2012).
36. G. Aad *et al.*, Measurement of the CP -violating phase ϕ_s and the B_s^0 meson decay width difference with $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decays in ATLAS, *JHEP* **08**, p. 147 (2016).
37. V. Khachatryan *et al.*, Measurement of the CP -violating weak phase ϕ_s and the decay width difference $\Delta\Gamma_s$ using the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi(1020)$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$, *Phys. Lett.* **B757**, 97 (2016).
38. R. Aaij *et al.*, Precision measurement of CP violation in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, p. 041801 (2015).
39. R. Aaij *et al.*, Resonances and CP -violation in $\bar{B}_s^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$ decays in the mass region above the $\phi(1020)$ (2017), submitted to JHEP.
40. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the CP -violating phase ϕ_s in $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^-\pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B736**, p. 186 (2014).
41. R. Aaij *et al.*, First study of the CP violating phase and decay-width difference in $B_s^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B762**, p. 253 (2016).
42. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the CP -violating phase ϕ_s in $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+D_s^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, p. 211801 (2014).
43. S. Faller, R. Fleischer and T. Mannel, Precision physics with $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ at the LHC: the quest for new physics, *Phys. Rev.* **D79**, p. 014005 (2009).
44. B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta and D. London, Reducing penguin pollution, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A28**, p. 1350063 (2013).
45. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP violation in $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi\phi$ decays, *Phys. Rev.* **D90**, p. 052011 (2014).
46. M. Beneke, J. Rohrer and D. Yang, Branching fractions, polarisation and asymmetries of $B \rightarrow VV$ decays, *Nucl. Phys.* **B774**, 64 (2007).
47. M. Bartsch, G. Buchalla and C. Kraus, $B \rightarrow V(L)V(L)$ decays at next-to-leading order in QCD (2008).
48. H.-Y. Cheng and C.-K. Chua, QCD factorization for charmless hadronic B_s decays revisited, *Phys. Rev.* **D80**, p. 114026 (2009).
49. Measurement of time-dependent CP violating asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays at LHCb (Jan 2017), LHCb-CONF-2016-018.
50. M. Gronau and D. London, How to determine all the angles of the unitarity triangle from $B^0 \rightarrow DK_S^0$ and $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D\phi$, *Phys. Lett.* **B253**, 483 (1991).
51. M. Gronau and D. Wyler, On determining a weak phase from CP asymmetries in charged B decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B265**, 172 (1991).
52. D. Atwood, I. Dunietz and A. Soni, Enhanced CP violation with $B \rightarrow KD^0(\bar{D}^0)$

- modes and extraction of the CKM angle γ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3257 (1997).
53. D. Atwood, I. Dunietz and A. Soni, Improved methods for observing CP violation in $B^\pm \rightarrow KD$ and measuring the CKM phase γ , *Phys. Rev.* **D63**, p. 036005 (2001).
  54. A. Giri, Y. Grossman, A. Soffer and J. Zupan, Determining gamma using $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^\pm$ with multibody D decays, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, p. 054018 (2003).
  55. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP observables in $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{(*)0}K^\pm$ and $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{(*)0}\pi^\pm$ with $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi, KK, \pi\pi$ decays (2017), arXiv:1708.06370, submitted to Phys. Lett. B.
  56. R. Aaij *et al.*, Study of the decay $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ with two-body D decays (Dec 2016), LHCb-CONF-2016-014.
  57. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP observables in $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$ decays using two- and four-body D -meson final states (2017), LHCb-PAPER-2017-030, in preparation.
  58. B. Aubert *et al.*, Measurement of CP violation observables and parameters for the decays $B^\pm \rightarrow DK^{*\pm}$, *Phys. Rev.* **D80**, p. 092001 (2009).
  59. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the CKM angle γ from a combination of $B \rightarrow DK$ analyses (Jul 2017), LHCb-CONF-2017-004.
  60. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP asymmetry in $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\mp K^\pm$ decays (Jan 2017), LHCb-CONF-2016-015.
  61. W. Bensalem, A. Datta and D. London, T violating triple product correlations in charmless $\Lambda_{(b)}$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B538**, 309 (2002).
  62. M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Triple product asymmetries in Λ_b and Ξ_b decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B749**, 104 (2015).
  63. I. I. Bigi, Bridge between hadrodynamics & HEP: regional CP violation in beauty & charm decays, *PoS ICHEP2016*, p. 531 (2016).
  64. T. A. Aaltonen *et al.*, Measurements of Direct CP -violating asymmetries in charmless decays of bottom baryons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, p. 242001 (2014).
  65. R. Aaij *et al.*, Searches for Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 decays to $K_s^0 p \pi^-$ and $K_s^0 p K^-$ final states with first observation of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 p \pi^-$ decay, *JHEP* **04**, p. 087 (2014).
  66. R. Aaij *et al.*, Observations of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ \pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ K^-$ decays and searches for other Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 decays to $\Lambda h^+ h^-$ final states, *JHEP* **05**, p. 081 (2016).
  67. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of matter-antimatter differences in beauty baryon decays, *Nature Physics* **13**, p. 391 (2017).
  68. G. Durieux and Y. Grossman, Probing CP violation systematically in differential distributions, *Phys. Rev.* **D92**, p. 076013 (2015).
  69. R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \phi$ decay, *Phys. Lett.* **B759**, p. 282 (2016).
  70. R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of the decay $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ a search for CP violation, *JHEP* **06**, p. 1 (2017).
  71. R. Aaij *et al.*, Search for CP violation using T -odd correlations in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, *JHEP* **10**, p. 005 (2014).
  72. C. Smith, Proton stability from a fourth family, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, p. 036005 (2012).
  73. G. Durieux, J.-M. Gerard, F. Maltoni and C. Smith, Three-generation baryon and lepton number violation at the LHC, *Phys. Lett.* **B721**, 82 (2013).
  74. D. McKeen and A. E. Nelson, CP violating baryon oscillations, *Phys. Rev.* **D94**, p. 076002 (2016).
  75. K. Aitken, D. McKeen, A. E. Nelson and T. Neder, Baryogenesis from oscillations of charmed or beautiful baryons (2017), arXiv:1708.01259.
  76. R. Aaij *et al.*, Search for baryon-number-violating Ξ_b^0 oscillations (2017), arXiv:1708.05808, submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
  77. B. Bhattacharya, M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, CP asymmetries in singly-Cabibbo-suppressed D decays to two pseudoscalar mesons, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, p. 054014 (2012),

- [Phys. Rev.D85,no.7,079901(2012)].
78. R. Aaij *et al.*, Search for the CP -violating strong decays $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, *Phys. Lett.* **B764**, p. 233 (2016).
  79. C. Patrignani *et al.*, Review of Particle Physics, *Chin. Phys.* **C40**, p. 100001 (2016).
  80. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP asymmetry in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B767**, p. 177 (2017).
  81. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP asymmetry in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-K^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$ decays, *JHEP* **07**, p. 041 (2014).
  82. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of CP asymmetries in $D^\pm \rightarrow \eta'\pi^\pm$ and $D_s^\pm \rightarrow \eta'\pi^\pm$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B771**, p. 21 (2017).
  83. R. Aaij *et al.*, Search for CP violation in the phase space of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Lett.* **B769**, p. 345 (2017).
  84. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the CP violation parameter A_Γ in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, p. 261803 (2017).
  85. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the time-integrated CP asymmetry in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 K_s^0$ decays, *JHEP* **10**, p. 055 (2015).
  86. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurements of charm mixing and CP violation using $D^0 \rightarrow K^\pm\pi^\mp$ decays, *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, p. 052004 (2017).
  87. R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of $D^0-\bar{D}^0$ mixing parameters and search for CP violation using $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, p. 251801 (2013).
  88. R. Aaij *et al.*, *Expression of Interest for a Phase-II LHCb Upgrade: Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era*, Tech. Rep. CERN-LHCC-2017-003, CERN (Geneva, 2017).
  89. *Impact of the LHCb upgrade detector design choices on physics and trigger performance*, Tech. Rep. LHCb-PUB-2014-040, CERN-LHCb-PUB-2014-040, CERN (Geneva, 2014).
  90. *ATLAS B-physics studies at increased LHC luminosity, potential for CP-violation measurement in the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ decay*, Tech. Rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2013-010, CERN (Geneva, 2013).
  91. S. W. Herb *et al.*, Observation of a Dimuon Resonance at 9.5-GeV in 400-GeV Proton-Nucleus Collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39**, 252 (1977).