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Abstract
Collimation with hollow electron beams is currently one of the most promising concepts for active halo control in the High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC). To ensure the successful operation of the hollow beam collimator the unwanted effects on the beam core, which might arise from the operation with a pulsed electron beam, must be minimized. This paper gives a summary of the effect of hollow electron lenses on the beam core in terms of sources, provides estimates for HL-LHC and discusses the possible mitigation methods.

INTRODUCTION

For high energy and high intensity hadron colliders like the HL-LHC, halo depletion is deemed necessary in order to control the targeted stored beam energy in the range of several hundred MJ [1]. Measurements at the LHC furthermore indicate strongly overpopulated tails. Explicitly, around 5% of the beam population is stored in the tails above 3.5 beam $\sigma$ (compared to 0.22% in case of a Gaussian distribution) [2].

For a controlled depletion of the tails, the hollow electron lens (HEL) currently presents the best solution as it acts in amplitude space and not in tune space like other alternative solutions currently investigated at CERN [3 – 5]. In addition, the concept of halo control with a HEL has already been successfully demonstrated at the Fermilab Tevatron proton-antiproton collider [6]. A first conceptual design for an HEL for HL-LHC can be found in the CDR [7], and the most relevant parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Hollow Electron Lens Parameters as in [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>length $L$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>desired range of scraping positions</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>$\sigma_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gun solenoid, $B_g$</td>
<td>0.2-0.4</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>main solenoid, $B_g$</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compression factor ($k = \sqrt{B_m/B_g}$)</td>
<td>2.2-5.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak yield $I_p$ at 10 keV</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inner/outer cathode radius, $r_1/r_2$</td>
<td>6.75/12.7</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EFFECTS OF THE HEL ON THE BEAM CORE

In the ideal case, the HEL is installed in a position with round protons beams and the electron beam is a uniform hollow distribution in radius $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ with inner radius $r_1$ and outer radius $r_2$. For the HL-LHC, typical values are $r_1 = 4\sigma_p = 1.1$ mm, $r_2 = 7.5\sigma_p = 2.1$ mm yielding $\theta_{\text{max}} = 375$ mrad based on the HEL parameters listed in Table 1. Due to the radial symmetry, the field thus vanishes in this case for $r < r_1$ and the straight part therefore leaves all particles with $r < r_1 = 4\sigma_p$ unperturbed. Effects on the beam core can arise from the bends of the HEL and from residual electromagnetic fields also in the straight part of the HEL originating from imperfections in the electron beam profile, space-charge distortions and transport. In both cases the kick exhibited on the beam core is non-linear [8, 9]. In DC operation this effect is considered to be negligible based on experimental studies at the Tevatron proving a depletion of the halo without any distortion of the core [10]. For the HL-LHC HEL, the effect of the bends has been evaluated in simulations in [11] and is considered to be negligible. The non-linear effect of profile imperfections in DC mode has not yet been studied, but compared to other machine non-linearities present (e.g. field and alignment errors), the effect is likely to be negligible. The picture however changes drastically in case of pulsed operation of the HEL. In this case any residual field from the HEL introduces noise leading to a tightening of the tolerances by orders of magnitude to ensure no additional emittance growth or lifetime degradation. Pulsing is being considered to extend the range of depletion rates, if needed. This is in particular the case if no strong non-linearities like beam-beam or octupoles and high chromaticity are present [12–14]. For this purpose two different pulsing patterns are currently considered:

• **random:** the e-beam current is modulated randomly: at every turn the kick is varied between 0 and its maximum value following a uniform distribution.

• **resonant:** the e-lens is switched on only every $n^{th}$ turn with $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ and the maximum kick is applied.

The random mode introduces white noise on the beam which is in general very dangerous as it excites all frequencies and thus also the betatron frequency of the beam. The resonant excitation however only excites certain resonances, explicitly pulsing every $n^{th}$ turn drives $n^{th}$ order resonances. To obtain a first estimate of the tolerances on the profile imperfections and also the effect of the bends in case of pulsed operation,
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only the dipole contribution is considered. Scaling in both cases the field to the HEL parameters listed in Table 1, i.e. \( L = 3 \) m, \( I_e = 5.0 \) A, \( E_e = 5 \) keV, \( E_p = 7 \) TeV, \( B_g = 5 \) T, one obtains [15]:

- **HEL bends**: \( \theta_{\text{Bends}} = 0.5 \) nrad (Under the assumption of 10\% difference between entrance and exit bend and an S-shape of the HEL.)

- **profile imperfections**: \( \theta_{\text{Bends}} = 15 \) nrad estimated from measured current-density profiles.

The contribution from the bends is, thanks to the S-shape of the HEL, therefore negligible compared to the profile imperfections. The estimate of the profile imperfections is based on measurements of the 1-inch gun prototype built at Fermilab, which might be pessimistic as misalignments and orbit errors could present a considerable contribution. There is currently a serious effort ongoing to mitigate these imperfections and provide better measurements for the new e-gun built at CERN. The approximation by a simple dipole field is also done in view of cross-checking the simulations with experiments as in the LHC almost arbitrary spectra of dipole noise can be generated with the transverse damper (ADT) [16]. The results of this first experiment and the comparison with simulations are summarized in the following sections and further details can be found in [15, 17].

**DESCRIPTION OF THE LHC EXPERIMENT**

As experiments at top energy are always not very efficient because of the long recovery times in case of beam losses, this first experiment has been performed at injection energy. To minimize the emittance growth due to intra-beam scattering, low intensity bunches have been used instead of nominal bunches, in which case the estimated emittance growth is approximately 5%/h instead of 24.3%/h [18]. The beam and machine parameters are summarized in Table 2. The 48 single bunches were grouped in batches of 4. Each batch of 4 bunches experienced the same excitation amplitude with in total 5 excitation amplitudes plus 4 references bunches. In addition, the damper was active for 24 bunches and not active for the other 24 bunches in order to study if the observed effects can be mitigated with the transverse damper. The same parameters were also used for the simulations.

**LIFETRAC SIMULATIONS**

In order to obtain a realistic machine model, the latest LHC error tables as used for MADX [19] and SixTrack [20] have been used and all \( a_i, b_i, i \leq 2 \) errors have been scaled to obtain around 1 mm rms orbit and 15\% average peak beta-beat, which are the values currently measured at injection [21]. For this first test, only one seed has been simulated. As model of the beam core a 6D Gaussian distribution cut at 6 \( \sigma \) of \( 10^4 \) particles has been used, which was tracked over \( 10^6 \) turns using the tracking code Lifetrac [22]. Based on earlier estimates of the estimated kick, simulations for 12 nrad and 120 nrad maximum kick amplitude were conducted. For 12 nrad kick amplitude no effect on emittance, losses, bunch length and beam distribution were observed. For 120 nrad, the largest losses are observed for pulsing every 7\(^{th}\) and 10\(^{th}\) turn, while for all other pulsing patterns hardly any losses are observed [15]. The same observation is also made in case of the emittance. However, no continuous emittance growth is observed, but rather a change of the distribution within the first \( 10^4 \) turns to a new steady state with larger emittance. The sensitivity to pulsing every 7\(^{th}\) and 10\(^{th}\) turn can be illustrated with the FMA analysis (Fig. 1) which reveals an excitation of the 7\( Q_x \) and 10\( Q_{x/y} \) resonances. Both resonances are driven by the sextupoles and octupoles as the same observations are made for the case without errors and only sextupoles and octupoles.

**RESULTS OF THE EXPERIMENT AT THE LHC AND EXTRAPOLATION TO HL-LHC**

In this first experiment, the two pulsing patterns featuring the strongest losses and emittance growth, 7\(^{th}\) turn in horizontal (H) and 10\(^{th}\) turn vertical (V), were tried together with pulsing patterns showing no or only a very small effect, 3\(^{rd}\) turn H, 3\(^{rd}\) turn V, 8\(^{th}\) turn H. As the kickers of the transverse damper are not synchronized in time, the pulsing could only be applied in one plane in order to ensure a clean frequency spectrum. During the experiment the losses were measured.
with the Fast Beam Current Transformer (FBCT) and the emittance and transverse profiles with the Beam Synchrotron Radiation Telescope (BSRT). In addition, a q-Gaussian fit:

\[ f_{q-Gauss} = c + a \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{C_q(q, \beta)} e_q(-\beta(x - \mu)^2) \]

has been applied to the BSRT profiles (for details see [17]). Here \( c \) and \( a \) are parameters introduced to model the background of the profiles and \( q, \mu \) and \( \beta \) the q-Gaussian fit parameters. A value of \( q > 1 \) indicates overpopulated tails with respect to a Gaussian distribution and \( q < 1 \) underpopulated tails. For \( q \to 1 \) the distribution becomes Gaussian. The following main observations could be made:

- **7\textsuperscript{th} turn H:** large losses (10-20\% for 15 nrad excitation amplitude, see Fig. 2), no or very small emittance growth, depletion of the large amplitude tails (decrease of \( c \) in the q-Gaussian fit to the BSRT profiles) and increase in the middle of the distribution (increase of \( \sigma \) of the q-Gaussian fit)

- **10\textsuperscript{th} turn V:** small losses (3\% for 15 nrad excitation amplitude), strong emittance growth (43\% for 15 nrad excitation amplitude), change of beam distribution visible in BSRT profiles (see Fig. 3).

- **3\textsuperscript{rd} turn H, 3\textsuperscript{rd} turn V, 8\textsuperscript{th} turn H:** no losses nor emittance growth was observed, however measurements should be repeated with un-perturbed beams before drawing firm conclusions.

A detailed analysis of the experiment can be found in [17].

**CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

Effects on the beam core from the HL-LHC HEL might arise due to the HEL bends and e-beam profile imperfections. For DC operation, this is not expected to lead to any performance degradation. In pulsed operation, the residual non-linear field however introduces noise on the proton beam. A first experiment at the LHC taking only the dipole contribution into account showed that for the current estimate of approx. 15 nrad at 7 TeV applied at injection, losses and emittance growth arise for 7\textsuperscript{th} and 10\textsuperscript{th} turn pulsing. The simulations in general underestimate the effect. The next steps towards a better specification of the tolerances on the profile imperfections and contribution from the bends are the repetition of the LHC experiment for other pulsing patterns and at 7 TeV, improvement of the HEL model (bends, profile imperfections) and an investigation of the differences between simulations and experiments. In parallel, studies are also ongoing in order to eliminate any systematic effects from misalignment and orbit distortion on the profile measurements, which could contribute considerably to the current estimate of the kick.
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