JB

IC/94/184

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

SYMBOLIC DYNAMICS OF THE LORENZ EQUATIONS

sw 9442

Hai-ping Fang

and

Bai-lin Hao

CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA
SCAN-9410121

INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY

UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC
AND CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION

MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

SYMBOLIC DYNAMICS OF THE LORENZ EQUATIONS

Hai-ping Fang
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica,
P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

and

Bai-lin Hao ¹
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

July 1994

¹Permanent address: Institute of Theoretical Physics, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China.

ABSTRACT

The Lorenz equations are investigated in a wide range of parameters by using the method of symbolic dynamics. First, the systematics of stable periodic orbits in the Lorenz equations is compared with that of the one-dimensional cubic map, which shares the same discrete symmetry with the Lorenz model. The systematics is then “corrected” in such a way as to encompass all the known periodic windows of the Lorenz equations with only one exception. Second, in order to justify the above approach and to understand the exceptions, another 1D map with a discontinuity is extracted from an extension of the geometric Lorenz attractor and its symbolic dynamics is constructed. All this has to be done in light of symbolic dynamics of two-dimensional maps. Finally, symbolic dynamics for the actual Poincaré return map of the Lorenz equations is constructed in a heuristic way. New periodic windows of the Lorenz equations and their parameters can be predicted from this symbolic dynamics in combination with the 1D cubic map. The extended geometric 2D Lorenz map and the 1D antisymmetric map with a discontinuity describe the topological aspects of the Lorenz equations to high accuracy.

1 Introduction

The Lorenz equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \sigma(y - x), \\ \dot{y} &= rx - xz - y, \\ \dot{z} &= xy - bz,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where σ , r , b are positive parameters, corresponding to the Prandtl number, the Rayleigh number, and a geometric ratio, respectively, were first derived from a model of thermal convection between two infinite plates by B. Saltzman and E. N. Lorenz in the early 1960s [Saltzman, 1962; Lorenz, 1963]. A few other physical problems are also known to yield the same set of equations, see, e.g., the monograph by C. Sparrow [Sparrow, 1982]. Being one of the first examples exhibiting a strange attractor in dynamical systems, this system has become a touchstone for many new ideas in chaotic dynamics. A number of interesting phenomena such as preturbulence, long transient chaos, noisy-periodicity and intermittency, has been observed and interpreted in these equations.

The equations (1) are invariant under the transform

$$\begin{aligned}x &\rightarrow -x, \\ y &\rightarrow -y, \\ z &\rightarrow z.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

This symmetry hints on a closer relation of the Lorenz equations to the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map

$$x_{n+1} = h(A, x_n) \equiv Ax_n^3 + (1 - A)x_n, \quad (3)$$

where $x \in [-1, 1]$ and the parameter $A \in [1, 4]$. Indeed, a comparison of the systematics of stable periodic orbits in the Lorenz system with that of the map (3), using symbolic dynamics of three letters, has led to somewhat surprising results: 47 out of 53 primitive stable periods were described and ordered just as periodic orbits in the cubic map (3) [Ding & Hao, 1988]. (By primitive periodic orbits we understand those that do not come from period-doubling). The present paper corrects the 6 non-cubic words and extends the figure to 67 with only one exception.

We mention in passing that a by-product of the study consists in that now we know the absolute nomenclature of stable periods in the Lorenz equations. Being an autonomous system, there does not exist a fixed reference time, in whose units one can measure other periods. Therefore, in all 150 and odd papers on the Lorenz equations no authors have used, e.g., “period 5” to name an observed orbit. However, the symbolic dynamics description automatically furnishes these periods, which in turn coincide with that obtained in an extensive power spectra study of the Lorenz equations [Ding, Hao & Hao, 1985].

In a sense, these results are too good to be expected. One may ask many questions. Why does symbolic dynamics of a one-dimensional map work so well for a system of differential equations? Why many words, allowed in the cubic map, did not appear in the Lorenz equations? Where should one look for non-cubic words in the Lorenz equations and how to understand these exceptional cases? Can one predict new periodic orbits which should exist in a certain parameter range? Essentially, these problems may be elucidated only by invoking symbolic dynamics of two-dimensional mappings, as Poincaré maps for the Lorenz equations are actually two-dimensional.

In the meantime our understanding of symbolic dynamics of one-dimensional maps with multiple critical points and discontinuities has deepened significantly, see, e.g., [Zheng & Hao, 1990; Xie & Hao, 1994]. Symbolic dynamics of two-dimensional maps, such as the Hénon map [Hénon, 1976; Grassberger & Kantz, 1985; Cvitanović, Gunaratne & Procaccia, 1988; Grassberger, Kantz & Moenig, 1989; Zhao, Zheng & Gu, 1992; Zhao & Zheng, 1993], the Lozi map [Lozi, 1978; Zheng, 1991, 1992b], and the Tél map [Tél, 1983; Zheng, 1992a], has also been developed. Equipped with all this knowledge, we are now in a better position to answer the aforementioned questions on the Lorenz equations.

So far, most of the numerical and analytical studies on the Lorenz equations have been restricted to a one-parameter sub-family, defined by the straight line $\sigma = 10$, $b = 8/3$, and $r > 0$ in the parameter space. Another sub-family, along the line $\sigma = 16$, $b = 4$, and $r > 0$, was investigated mainly by Japanese authors [Tomita & Tsuda, 1980], but did not lead to qualitatively new picture. Early knowledge on the Lorenz equations has been extensively reviewed in a monograph [Sparrow, 1982]. We summarize some of the known results along the first parameter line for further reference.

The origin $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$ is globally attracting for $r < 1$. At $r = 1$ the origin loses stability and a pair of fixed points

$$q^\pm = (\pm\sqrt{b(r-1)}, \pm\sqrt{b(r-1)}, (r-1)), \quad (4)$$

appears. For $1 < r < r_0 = 13.926$ all trajectories approach one of the fixed points. At $r_0 = 13.926$ the first homoclinic explosion takes place and there appears an invariant set which includes a countably infinite number of periodic orbits, an uncountable number of aperiodic orbits, and an uncountable number of initial values, which are eventually attracted to the origin. For $r > r_0$ the above invariant set remains qualitatively unchanged.

For $r = r_A = 24.06$ another transition takes place. The invariant set becomes a strange attractor. In addition, an infinite sequence of homoclinic explosions begins at this r value. For $r_A < r < r_H = 24.74$ there exist a stable chaotic attractor and a pair of stable fixed points. At $r_H = 24.74$, both $q^\pm$ become unstable. For $r > r_H$ no stable fixed points exist any more. For $r > r_1 = 30.1$ stable periodic orbits may appear. We will concentrate on the dynamics for a wide range of $r > r_H = 24.74$.

Perhaps, J. Guckenheimer and R. Williams were the first to apply symbolic dynamics to the Lorenz equations [Guckenheimer & Williams, 1979; Williams, 1979; Guckenheimer & Holmes, 1983.]. For the parameter value $\sigma = 10$, $b = 8/3$, and $r \approx 28$, they gave a geometric description of a flow, based upon an examination of the Poincaré return map F of the “rectangle” Σ , contained in the plane $z = r - 1$, as shown in Figure 5.7.1 of Guckenheimer & Holmes [1983]. The rectangle Σ has opposite sides which pass through the equilibrium points q^- and q^+ . In addition, $\dot{z} < 0$ at all interior points of Σ , so Σ is a cross section for the flow. The Σ plane has been used by many authors since all the interesting trajectories intersect this plane. Guckenheimer and Williams suggested a “geometric Lorenz attractor” G_g which should grasp the essential, topological, feature of the Lorenz equations. A one-dimensional map $f_g(u_g)$, shown schematically in Fig. 17, is then extracted from the geometric attractor. We attach a subscript g to distinguish it from another one-dimensional map $f(u)$, shown in Fig. 11, which will be deduced from an “extended geometric Lorenz attractor” G to be suggested in this paper. Guckenheimer and Williams studied the structure of orbits in the phase space by using a one-dimensional symbolic representation of the map $f_g(u_g)$.

Y. Aizawa [1983] used symbolic dynamics to Lorenz equations in the context of intermittency. His approach was related to an extension of the maximal z map of Lorenz [Lorenz, 1963] to higher values of the parameter r and was less relevant to our work.

In his monograph C. Sparrow [1982] introduced a two-symbol system using x and y : an orbit is assigned a letter x every time it makes a revolution around the fixed point q^+ , while a letter y indicates a revolution around the fixed point q^- . Consecutive revolutions around one and the same fixed point is indicated by a power, e.g., $x^2y = xx y$. In Section 3 we will indicate the relation between this symbolic description with our symbolic dynamics.

This paper is based on a great amount of numerical data and on our knowledge of symbolic dynamics of one- and two-dimensional maps. In order to avoid any confusion of terms we list below the maps to be dealt with in this paper:

1. The simple continuous 1D antisymmetric cubic map (3).
2. A two-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (10) or its piecewise linear counterpart (13), used to introduce concepts and notations of two-dimensional symbolic dynamics.
3. The geometric Lorenz attractor G_g , extracted from the Lorenz map F at $r \approx 28$ by making some geometric assumptions [see, e.g., Guckenheimer & Holmes, 1983] and the 1D Lorenz-type map $f_g(u_g)$, inferred from the former.
4. An extended geometric Lorenz map G and its associated 1D map $f(u)$, inferred from the Lorenz map F at higher values of r , say, $r > 46$, in much the same way by making further geometric assumptions.
5. The actual return map F in the Poincaré section Σ , taken at $z = r - 1$. In the following we will refer to F as the *Lorenz map*. Our final goal is to suggest a workable symbolic dynamics for the Lorenz map F .

We will take a physicist’s approach to the problem and rely more on numerical evidence, rather than attempt to do mathematics ourselves.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes briefly symbolic dynamics of the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (3). Then a detailed comparison between the systematics of the periodic windows in the Lorenz equations and that of map (3) is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we review the basic idea of symbolic dynamics of two-dimensional maps. Being the main body of this paper, Section 5 consists of four parts. In Section 5.1, the two-dimensional “extended geometric Lorenz map” G is extracted from an examination of the Lorenz map F in different parameter ranges. The symbolic dynamics of this map is identical to that of the one-dimensional map $f(u)$, shown in Fig. 11. In Section 5.2 an explicit two-dimensional representation of the symbolic dynamics for the Lorenz map F is constructed. Based on this map, we can predict whether a trajectory exists or not in the Lorenz equations. This is verified for stable periodic

Figure 1: The shape of the one-dimensional antisymmetric map.

orbits up to length six in Section 5.3. Section 5.4 discusses the relation between the extended geometric Lorenz attractor with the geometric Lorenz attractor of Guckenheimer and Williams. We end with a discussion in Section 6.

2 The Antisymmetric Cubic Map

The cubic map (3) maps the interval $[-1, 1]$ into itself when A varies in $[1, 4]$. The shape of $h(A, x)$ is shown in Fig. 1 where $\bar{C}$, C denote the two critical points, which divide the interval $[-1, 1]$ into three subintervals, labeled by L , M , and R , respectively, thus leading to a ternary partition for the map. The function $h(A, x)$ behaves monotonically on these subintervals. We assign a positive + or even parity to the monotone increasing branches h_R and h_L as well as to the corresponding branches of the inverse function $R(y) \equiv h_R^{-1}(y)$ and $L(y) \equiv h_L^{-1}(y)$. Here we have used the same letter to denote the subinterval and the inverse function on it, a useful convention in practice. Similarly, a negative - or odd parity is assigned to h_M and $M(y) \equiv h_M^{-1}(y)$.

Owing to the antisymmetric property, there are two kinds of periodic orbits, symmetric and asymmetric ones. A symmetric periodic orbit satisfies $h^{(n/2)}(A, x) = -x$, n being the length of the orbit and x being a point in the orbit; asymmetric orbits do not satisfy the above relation.

Symbolically, each point x in an orbit can be labeled by one of the five letters L , $\bar{C}$, M , C , or R , according to whether it satisfies $x < \bar{C}$, $x = \bar{C}$, $\bar{C} < x < C$, $x = C$, or $x > C$. A symmetric superstable orbit, which starts from C , corresponds to a symbolic sequence

$$\bar{C}\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n C\bar{\sigma}_1\bar{\sigma}_2\cdots\bar{\sigma}_n\bar{C} \equiv \bar{C}P\bar{C},$$

where σ_i is either L or M or R ; $\bar{\sigma}_i$ is the conjugate of σ_i , obtained by interchanging L and R , but leaving M unchanged. Similarly, an asymmetric superstable cycle looks like

$$\bar{C}\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_n\bar{C} \equiv \bar{C}P\bar{C}.$$

We omit $\bar{C}$ hereafter as understood and simply call P a pattern or a word.

Given two patterns $P_1 = P^*\sigma$ and $P_2 = P^*\mu$, where P^* denotes their common leading string and $\sigma \neq \mu$, an order is defined in the following way.

First, there is a natural order

$$L < \overline{C} < M < C < R \quad (5)$$

on the interval I . Being different letters, σ and μ must have been ordered according to (5).

Second, if P^* contains an even number of M (we simply say P^* is even), then $P_2 > P_1$ if $\mu > \sigma$, and $P_2 < P_1$ otherwise. If P^* is odd, then $P_2 > P_1$ if $\mu < \sigma$, and $P_2 < P_1$ otherwise. This follows from monotonicity of the map on each subinterval L , M , and R . In other words, the ordering rule can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E_M R \cdots &> E_M M \cdots > E_M L \cdots, \\ O_M R \cdots &< O_M M \cdots < O_M L \cdots, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where E_M (O_M) represents a common leading string with an even (odd) number of letter M .

Generally speaking, the order on the parameter axis does not have a definite relationship with ordering of the words. However, in the antisymmetric map (3), if we denote the parameter value associated with a pattern P by A_P , then the following nice property holds [MacKay and Tresser, 1987]:

$$\text{If } P_1 < P_2, \text{ then } A_{P_1} < A_{P_2}, \text{ and vice versa.} \quad (7)$$

This property can be partially verified by inspecting Table 1, where all admissible periodic patterns of period 7 and less as well as and their corresponding parameter values are listed. We will refer to these values when studying the Lorenz equations in the next section.

Table 1: Asymmetric superstable periodic in the antisymmetric cubic map. An asterisk denotes a symmetry-broken orbit.

No.	Period	Word	A	No.	Period	Word	A
1	2*	R	3.1213203	26	6	RRMRR	3.9254576
2	4	RMR	3.2628786	27	6	RRMRM	3.9350271
3	6	RMRLR	3.3340241	28	5	RRMR	3.9409044
4	6*	RMMLM	3.4632834	29	6	RRMRL	3.9464110
5	6	RMMLR	3.5282272	30	6	RRMML	3.9504721
6	4	RMM	3.5480858	31	5	RRMM	3.9553274
7	6	RMMMR	3.5659880	32	6	RRMMR	3.9597015
8	6	RMMMM	3.5911819	33	6	RRMMR	3.9637998
9	5	RMMM	3.6150319	34	4	RRM	3.9675403
10	5	RMMR	3.6662070	35	6	RRMLR	3.9710914
11	3	RM	3.7003155	36	6	RRMLM	3.9745198
12	6	RMLRM	3.7029849	37	5	RRML	3.9777816
13	5	RMLR	3.7339407	38	6*	RRLL	3.9818990
14	5	RMLM	3.7753839	39	5	RRRL	3.9854885
15	6	RMLMM	3.7909088	40	6	RRRLM	3.9878905
16	6	RMLMR	3.8073689	41	6	RRRLR	3.9900272
17	4*	RRL	3.8398944	42	4	RRR	3.9919300
18	6	RRLMR	3.8610860	43	6	RRRMR	3.9936280
19	6	RRLMM	3.8734615	44	6	RRRMM	3.9951295
20	5	RRLM	3.8835860	45	5	RRRM	3.9964269
21	6	RRLML	3.8933550	46	6	RRRML	3.9975231
22	6	RRLRL	3.8982992	47	6	RRRRL	3.9984117
23	5	RRLR	3.9069063	48	5	RRRR	3.9991078
24	6	RRLRM	3.9144901	49	6	RRRRM	3.9996037
25	3	RR	3.9249907	50	6	RRRRR	3.9999009

It is important to note that the ordering of these sequences does not depend on the particular model. It is universal for all mappings with two critical points and the antisymmetric property.

Of course, the parameter values in Table 1 do depend on the mapping (3) and may be determined by using the word-lifting technique [Zheng & Hao, 1989]. Therefore, following Metropolis, Stein & Stein [1973], we shall call this ordering a U-sequence of symbolic sequences.

A symbolic sequence is called admissible, if it may be produced by the mapping at some parameter A and initial value x_0 . Put in other words, an admissible symbolic sequence corresponds to a real orbit of the mapping. Obviously, not every word, made of L , $\bar{C}$, M , C , and R , is admissible.

For a pattern P we denote by $\mathcal{L}(P)$ the set of all subsequences of P that immediately follow one or another letter L in P , i. e.,

$$\mathcal{L}(P) = \{Y | \forall \text{ possible decompositions } P = XLY\} \quad (8)$$

where X and Y are symbolic patterns made of L , M , and R . Similarly, we define $\mathcal{M}(P)$ and $\mathcal{R}(P)$. Denote by K_g and K_s the symbolic sequences, produced by the first iterate of the maximal point $\bar{C}$ and the minimal point C , respectively. These are the *kneading sequences* of the map. The antisymmetry of the map yields $K_s = \bar{K}_g$, where the conjugate $\bar{K}_g$ of K_g is obtained by interchanging L and R , but leaving M unchanged. The admissibility conditions for a pattern P can be read off from the shape of the mapping function, shown in Fig. 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(P) &< K_g, \\ K_s &< \mathcal{M}(P) < K_g, \\ K_s &< \mathcal{R}(P). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is easy to check that all the words in Table 1 satisfy these conditions. An example which does not belong to the U-sequence is $P = \bar{C}RMLRC$, for which a member of $\mathcal{L}(P)$, namely, $RC \cdots > K_g = RMLRC \cdots$, thus violating the first condition in (9). We will return to this counter example later.

3 The Systematics of Periodic Windows in the Lorenz Model

We start by inspecting the bifurcation diagram of the Lorenz equations, obtained by plotting the stationary values of x_i in the Poincaré section Σ against the parameter r . A segment of the bifurcation diagram is shown in Fig. 2. The dark lines and periodic windows in this bifurcation diagram, as well as the symmetry breakings and restorations in it, suggest the possibility to use a one-dimensional antisymmetric map such as (3) to capture the essential features of the Lorenz equations.

Using the x_i values, we draw the first return map for x , i.e., the $x_{i+1} \sim x_i$ dependence. Fig. 3 shows the return map of a chaotic orbit at $r = 140$ and Fig. 4 — a periodic orbit at $r = 169.92$. Both return maps reveal a one-dimensional structure. In particular, Fig. 4 looks very similar to what one would get for a symmetric period 16 orbit in map (3). For many periodic windows we have obtained similar plottings. This suggests a reasonable way to name the Lorenz periodic windows by following the same rules as in the cubic map (3). According to Section 2 we identify the largest numerical output x_i as coming from the left critical point $\bar{C}$, then all other x_i acquire a unique assignment of letters L , M , R , or C . We show this process in Table 2 for two different period 5 orbits at $r = 114.0$ and 83.39 .

It is hard to expect that x is the only favorable direction on which the projections of periodic orbits show their cubic nature. So we take a more flexible projection to draw the return map for $x' = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta$, where θ is the angle between the projection axis and x -axis. We do find many cases that do not look like “cubic” in their x -projection, but restore the cubic-like shape at some $\theta \neq 0$. The reason for this phenomenon will be given in Section 5.2 when we study the Lorenz equations by using symbolic dynamics of two-dimensional maps.

We summarize our results in Table 3, where all periodic windows discovered so far by us and by other authors are listed in descending r order along with their periods, symbolic sequences and

Figure 2: A bifurcation diagram of the Lorenz equations in the parameter range $r = 180$ to 210 .

Table 2: Assignment of letters to two different period 5 orbits

-4.5908	12.147	9.2967	-7.9330	10.203
$\bar{C}$	R	R	L	R
-0.60378	11.090	9.4157	5.2719	-8.4903
$\bar{C}$	R	R	R	L

locations on the parameter axis. Only primitive periods, i.e., those which do not come from period-doubling, are shown in Table 3 except for a few period-doubled regimes of the first period 2 orbit. In Table 3 “Period” equals the number of points, seen in the Poincaré section Σ for a periodic orbit. We also give the parameter A for the corresponding superstable word in the one-dimensional map (3) for later use.

As a matter of fact, the results listed in Table 3 have been “corrected” for six words in between $r = 187.248$ and $r = 199$. The original, uncorrected, data are given in Table 4. We will say more about these corrections later.

Table 3 clearly shows that all 67 periodic sequences except for one (No. 10) do fit into the cubic scheme. Moreover, the ordering of all these words happens to be exactly the same as that of their one-dimensional counterparts along the increasing A direction. Take, for example, $P_1 = RRLR$ at $r_1 = 114.0$ and $P_2 = RRLRRL$ at $r_2 = 107.613$. In the cubic map (3) $P_2 > P_1$ according to the ordering rule, given in Section 2, and it follows from property (7) that $A_{P_2} > A_{P_1}$. However, in the Lorenz equations we have $r_1 > r_2$. This simply says that the descending r order in the Lorenz equations corresponds to increasing A order in the cubic map (3). Therefore, parameter r in the Lorenz model is in a sense opposite to the parameter A in the cubic map.

Now let us have a closer look at the exceptional words in Table 4. Six stable periods between $r = 187.248$ and $r = 198.9$, plus the two words at $r = 185.74 - 185.80$ and $r = 200.638 - 200.665$, may be divided into three groups. The first group of two words at $r = 190.80 - 190.81$ and $r = 198.95 - 198.99$ appears in the proper cubic order. The second group formed by three words

Table 3: Periodic windows for the Lorenz equations, corrected for the ill-ordered sequences. All of them can be fit into the “cubic” scheme except for No. 10. An asterisk denotes a symmetry-broken orbit; the original symmetric orbit is indicated in parentheses.

No.	Period	Word	A	r range
1	2*	$R(\bar{C}C)$	3.121320	314-229.42
	4	RMR	3.262879	229.42-218.3
	8	$RMRLRMR$	3.293843	218.3-216.0
	16	$RMRLRMRMRMRMRMR$	3.300475	216.0-215.5
2	24	$RMRLRMRMRMRMRMRMRMRMR$	3.303736	215.08-215.07
3	12	$RMRLRMRMRMR$	3.309068	214.06-213.99
4	6	$RMRLR$	3.334024	209.45-209.06
5	10	$RMRLRLRMR$	3.344538	207.12-207.106
6	8	$RMRLRLR$	3.352641	205.528-205.486
7	10	$RMRLRLRLR$	3.358220	204.123-204.116
8	14*	$RMRLRLRLMLRLR(\bar{C}RMRLRLC)$	3.373058	200.665-200.638
9	10*	$RMRLMLMLR(\bar{C}RMRLC)$	3.394393	198.99-198.97
10	10*	$RMLRMLMRL(\bar{C}RMRLC)$	not cubic	191.985-191.982
11	5	$RMLR$	3.733941	190.81-190.80
12	7	$RMLRLR$	3.739312	189.561-189.559
13	9	$RMLRLRLR$	3.740172	188.865-188.863
14	16*	$RMLRLRLMLMRRLR(\bar{C}RMRLRLRLC)$	3.740750	187.25-187.248
15	12*	$RMLRLMLMRRLR(\bar{C}RMRLRLC)$	3.742852	185.80-185.74
16	8*	$RMLMLMR(\bar{C}RMRLC)$	3.755828	181.65-181.12
17	10	$RMLMLMLMR$	3.767426	178.0745
18	12*	$RMLMLRLMRMR(\bar{C}RMMLMLC)$	3.767734	177.81-177.78
19	6	$RMLMR$	3.790909	172.797-172.758
20	16*	$RMLMRMLMLMRMLMR(\bar{C}RMMLMRMLC)$	3.819041	169.902
21	10	$RMLMRMLMR$	3.823171	168.58
22	4*	$RRL(\bar{C}RC)$	3.839895	166.01-146.2
23	12	$RRLMRLLRRL$	3.844115	146-145.94
24	20*	$RRLMRLLRMLLRMLLRRL$ $(\bar{C}RRLMRLLRLC)$	3.845424	144.38-144.35
25	12*	$RRLMRMLLRML(\bar{C}RRLMRRC)$	3.846750	143.442-143.322
26	6	$RRLML$	3.893355	136.818-136.79
27	10	$RRLMLLRRL$	3.893743	136.21
28	16*	$RRLMLLRMLLRMRRL(\bar{C}RRLMLLRRC)$	3.893849	135.485-135.465
29	8*	$RRLRLLR(\bar{C}RRLC)$	3.895900	133.2-132.06
30	16*	$RRLRLRLRLRLRLRRL(\bar{C}RRLRLRLRC)$	3.897809	129.148-129.127
31	6	$RRLRL$	3.898299	126.445-126.41
32	12*	$RRLRLRLRLR(\bar{C}RRLRLRC)$	3.903069	123.63-123.56
33	8	$RRLRLRL$	3.903380	121.689-121.687
34	7	$RRLRLR$	3.904451	118.134-118.128
35	14*	$RRLRLRMLLRRLR(\bar{C}RRLRLRLC)$	3.906043	116.925-116.91
36	5	$RRLR$	3.906906	114.01-113.9
37	10*	$RRLRRLRLR(\bar{C}RRLRLC)$	3.918416	110.70-110.57
38	7	$RRLRRL$	3.919481	107.625-107.618
49	14*	$RRLRRLMLLRLLR(\bar{C}RRLRRLC)$	3.921505	106.757-106.746
40	8	$RRLRRLR$	3.922810	104.185
41	16*	$RRLRRLRLRLRLRLRRL(\bar{C}RRLRRLRLC)$	3.923422	103.636-103.632
42	3	RR	3.924991	100.07-99.93

43	9	<i>RRMRRLRR</i>	3.925809	99.285-99.275
44	12*	<i>RRMLLMMLMR</i> ($\bar{C}RRMLLC$)	3.979410	94.554-94.542
45	6*	<i>RRRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRC$)	3.981899	93.20-92.16
46	12*	<i>RRLLMLLLRR</i> ($\bar{C}RRLLC$)	3.984133	90.20-90.163
47	14*	<i>RRLLRRLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLRC$)	3.985072	85.987-85.986
48	5	<i>RRRL</i>	3.985489	83.39-83.36
49	10*	<i>RRRLMLLLR</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLC$)	3.988987	82.095-82.040
50	12*	<i>RRRLRRLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLRC$)	3.991025	76.713-76.310
51	14*	<i>RRRLRMLLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLRC$)	3.991706	73.457
52	4	<i>RRR</i>	3.991930	71.52-71.41
53	8*	<i>RRRLLL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRC$)	3.997993	69.839-69.724
54	6	<i>RRRL</i>	3.998412	64.898-64.895
55	12*	<i>RRRLRLLLLR</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLRC$)	3.998785	64.574-64.572
56	7	<i>RRRLR</i>	3.998898	62.069
57	14*	<i>RRRLRRLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRLRC$)	3.999006	61.928
58	5	<i>RRRR</i>	3.999108	59.255-59.242
59	10*	<i>RRRRLLLL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRRC$)	3.999777	58.715-58.700
60	7	<i>RRRRRL</i>	3.999824	55.787
61	14*	<i>RRRRRLRLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRRRLC$)	3.999865	55.675
62	6	<i>RRRRR</i>	3.999901	52.459-52.455
63	12*	<i>RRRRRLLLL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRRRC$)	3.999975	52.245-52.248
64	8	<i>RRRRRL</i>	3.9999804	50.3240-50.3038
65	7	<i>RRRRRR</i>	3.9999889	48.1194-48.1181
66	14*	<i>RRRRRRRLRL</i> ($\bar{C}RRRRRRC$)	3.9999972	48.0271-48.0259
67	9	<i>RRRRRRRL</i>	3.9999978	46.6683

between $r = 185.80 - 185.74$ and $r = 189.561$ is ordered just opposite to the cubic order. The third group, formed by the two words at $r = 200.638 - 200.650$ and $r = 191.98 - 191.99$, does not order properly. However, a detailed scrutiny shows, to our surprise, that the symmetry-breaking bifurcations and the period-doubling cascades within each window still keep developing along the descending r direction. This gives us a hint.

Table 4: The ill-ordered periodic orbits in the Lorenz equations before correction.

No.	Period	Word	A	r range
8	14*	<i>RMMLRLRLMMRLR</i> ($\bar{C}RMMLRLC$)	3.517886	200.665-200.638
9	10*	<i>RMMLMLMMR</i> ($\bar{C}RMMLC$)	3.505964	198.99-198.97
10	10*	<i>RRLMMLLR</i> ($\bar{C}RRLMC$)	3.867824	191.985-191.982
11	5	<i>RMLR</i>	3.733941	190.81-190.80
12	7	<i>RMMRLR</i>	3.659919	189.561-189.559
13	9	<i>RMMRLRLR</i>	3.658740	188.865-188.863
14	16*	<i>RMMRLRLRLMMLRLR</i> ($\bar{C}RMMRLRLC$)	3.657839	187.25-187.248
15	12*	<i>RMMRLMLMLR</i> ($\bar{C}RMMRLC$)	3.655072	185.80-185.74

Let us reexamine the first return maps of the Lorenz equations, e.g., Figs. 3 and 5. The main difference from the first return maps of the cubic map consists in that two hooks appear in the Lorenz case. We mark the hook in the right side (with $x > 0$) by R' and that in the left side (with $x < 0$) by L' , as shown in Fig. 3. These hooks are always in the increasing parts of the map, i.e., they should be assigned a positive parity. When parameter r is not in the range 187.248 to 199, R' is greater than C , while L' is less than $\bar{C}$. As discussed above, we have assigned a point in the hook R' a letter R . This is consistent with the parity of R being positive in the cubic map. The case for L' is similar.

However, when $187.248 \leq r \leq 199$, R' and L' may come in between $\bar{C}$ and C . Therefore, some points in the hooks may be mistakenly assigned a letter M . An example is shown in Fig. 5, where diamonds, representing points from a period 10 orbit at $r = 198.99$, are put on the background of a chaotic trajectory from a nearby parameter $r = 199$. The diamonds, marked by P , are both

Figure 3: First return map of x_i in a chaotic orbit at $r = 140$.

located in the hooks. They were naively assigned a letter M in Table 4. However, the letter M has a negative parity which contradicts the increasing property of the hooks. Therefore, we correct the left one to L and the right one to R , as shown in Table 3.

Thus, instead of assigning M to a point in the hooks on the simple basis that its x lies between $\bar{C}$ and C , we should assign an R or L according to whether it falls in the region labeled by R' or L' in, e.g., Fig. 3. The seven words (No. 8-10 and No. 12-15), listed in Table 4, are corrected in this way and the corrected words are given in Table 3.

There is only one non-cubic word left in Table 3, namely, the word coming from the symmetric $\bar{C}RMLRC$ at $r = 191.982 - 191.985$. It is not cubic, since it does not meet the admissibility conditions of the cubic map (3). We have mentioned it at the end of section 2. This fact is not surprising, as the return maps of the Lorenz equations are not one-dimensional. We will return to the reason for the appearance of such words in Section 5.2.

Now we are in a position to say that apart from one exception the skeleton of the systematics of periodic windows obeys the "cubic" law, or put in other words, symbolic dynamics underlying the global periodic structure of the Lorenz equations appears to be essentially the same as that for the cubic map for most of the parameters. To understand the reason, a two-dimensional representation of symbolic dynamics for the two-dimensional map F in Σ is needed. We will continue this discussion in Section 5.2.

Before ending this section, we compare briefly our symbols with Sparrow's [Sparrow, 1982]. Our periodic orbit R^n corresponds to $x^n y$ in Sparrow's notation; a symmetric orbit $R^{n-1}L^{n-1}$ corresponds to $x^n y^n$. The letter M may represent either x or y . Using the symbolic system of Sparrow, one can not tell whether a periodic orbit is symmetric or asymmetric from the word. With one additional letter, it is possible to explore more subtle properties such as ordering of symbolic sequences and symmetry breakings. In fact, Sparrow was not concerned with the construction of a symbolic dynamics with its ordering rules, admissibility conditions and other consequences.

Figure 4: First return map of x_i in a symmetric period 16 orbit at $r = 169.902$.

4 Symbolic Dynamics for a Two-Dimensional Antisymmetric Cubic Map

In order to prepare for the study of the Lorenz equations, we consider a two-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map [Holmes, 1979]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= Ax_n^3 + (1-A)x_n + by_n, \\ y_{n+1} &= x_n, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and its symbolic dynamics [Fang, 1993a].

It is well-known that a good partition is crucial for the construction of symbolic dynamics. In one-dimensional maps the generating partitions are determined by critical points. For two-dimensional maps, in order to partition the phase space one-dimensional curves must be used. For the Hénon map, P. Grassberger and H. Kantz conjectured that the line, obtained by connecting all “primary” tangencies between the stable and unstable manifolds, leads to a generating partition [Grassberger & Kantz, 1985]. (The adjective “primary” was taken in quotation marks, as which tangencies are primary ones is a matter of choice.) A natural extension of Grassberger and Kantz’s idea is to invoke tangencies between the most stable manifold (MSM) and the backward most stable manifold (BMSM) [Gu, 1987, 1988]. A MSM is a submanifold in the basin of an attractor such that all the points on this submanifold will converge to a single point with the highest possible exponential rate (i. e. the most negative Lyapunov exponent of the attractor). Analogously, a BMSM is a submanifold in the basin of an attractor, on which all points, iterated backward, will converge to a single point with the highest possible exponential rate.

If one point of a MSM falls on a stable manifold of a saddle (or periodic orbit), this MSM coincides with the stable manifold of the saddle. All stable manifolds of saddles and periodic orbits form an invariant subset of MSM’s and all unstable manifolds of saddles and periodic orbits form an invariant subset of BMSM’s. Consequently, all “primary” tangencies between stable and unstable manifolds is a subset of the “primary” tangency set between MSM’s and BMSM’s. Then the partition can be defined as the “primary” tangency set between MSM’s and BMSM’s. The

Figure 5: A periodic 10 orbit at $r = 198.99$ (diamonds), shown on the background of a chaotic trajectories at $r = 199$ (dots).

Grassberger-Kantz construction works well when the knowledge of partitions on the attractor is enough; when it comes to deal with partitions in the whole phase space, not only on the attractor, the above extension is useful.

Numerically, MSM and BSM are easily calculable for two-dimensional maps. For ODE's like the Lorenz equations, usually it is rather difficult to calculate the tangencies between the stable and unstable manifolds in the Poincaré sections, but the MSM may be easier to obtain as we will see in the next Section.

For the two-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (10), the generation partition should be a ternary one. To construct this ternary partition, we begin with the extremely dissipative case when $b \rightarrow 0$. In this case the MSM's are just straight lines with $x = \text{constant}$, except for $x = \pm C$, which are the turning points. Here $C = \sqrt{(A-1)/3A}$, and $x = \pm C$ are the two critical points of the one-dimensional cubic map (3).

In Fig. 6 we show part of the MSM's and BSM's together with the attractor of the map (10) at $a = 3.4$ and $b = 0.001$. When $b \rightarrow 0$ the straight lines $y = \pm C$ go through a set of tangencies between MSM's and BSM's, while other tangencies, seen at $x = \pm C$ are their pre-images. The line $y = C$ is denoted by $C\bullet$ and $y = -C$ by $\bar{C}\bullet$, both being partition lines in this limit. As b increases gradually, we can trace how the set of tangencies deviates from the lines $y = \pm C$. Fig. 7 shows the situation at $a = 3.4$, $b = 0.25$. The heavy lines are the unstable manifolds which outlines the attractor. The thin lines are part of the MSM's. The dotted line is the partition line $C\bullet$ (the undrawn $\bar{C}\bullet$ is antisymmetric to $C\bullet$), which is obtained by connecting the tangent points between MSM's and BSM's. As expected, $C\bullet$ and $\bar{C}\bullet$ split the two-dimensional phase space into three regions.

It seems that all the tangencies of map (10) are images or pre-images of the set of tangencies coming from $y = \pm C$ [Grassberger & Kantz, 1985]. In this paper, we call this set of tangencies the "primary" set and the tangencies on this set the "primary" tangencies. These "primary" tangencies are consistent with the "principal" tangent points at which the sum of the curvatures of both stable and unstable manifolds is smaller than any of its images and pre-images, as proposed by Grassberger, Kantz and Moenig [1989].

Figure 6: The most stable manifolds (thin lines), the backward most stable manifolds (dashed lines), and the attractor (solid lines) for the two-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (10) at $a = 3.4$ and $b = 0.001$. The dotted lines indicate $\bar{C}$ and C , which are formed by tangencies between MSM's and BMSM's. As b approaches 0, the MSM's become vertical straight lines except for segments near the turning points at $x = \pm C$.

Now we can construct the symbolic dynamics for map (10) explicitly. Borrowing notations from the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (3), we assign a letter R , M , and L , to the region above C , the region between C and $\bar{C}$, and the region below $\bar{C}$ (see Fig. 7), respectively. An initial point (x_0, y_0) generates a symbolic sequence by iterating the map (10):

$$\cdots s_{\bar{m}} \cdots s_{\bar{1}} s_{\bar{0}} \bullet s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \cdots,$$

where s_n denotes the x -symbol of the n -th image, $s_{\bar{m}}$ — the y -symbol of the $\bar{m}$ -th preimage, each being one of the five letters R , C , M , $\bar{C}$, and L . The solid dot indicates the “present” position, which divides the bi-infinite sequence into two semi-infinite ones: the forward symbolic sequence (FSS)

$$\bullet s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \cdots$$

and the backward symbolic sequence (BSS)

$$\cdots s_{\bar{m}} \cdots s_{\bar{1}} s_{\bar{0}} \bullet.$$

In this dissipative map, a FSS may correspond to many points in the phase space. These points form a subspace, which is called a forward foliation of the phase space. Similarly, a BSS may correspond to a backward foliation of the phase space [Zhao & Zheng, 1993]. The forward and backward foliations provide the means to partition the two-dimensional phase space by one-dimensional curves. On each set of these curves the dynamics corresponds to a one-dimensional map. The original two-dimensional map is decomposed into two coupled one-dimensional maps. Thus a substantial part of symbolic dynamics of one-dimensional maps may be transplanted to the two-dimensional case.

In fact, the forward and backward foliations are part of the MSM's and BMSM's. Since all the points on an MSM will converge to a single point, all the points in phase space sharing the

Figure 7: The MSM's and unstable manifolds for the 2D antisymmetric cubic map (10) at $a = 3.4$ and $b = 0.25$. The heavy lines show the unstable manifolds which outlines the attractor. The thin lines are part of the MSM's. The dashed line is the partition line C , obtained by connecting the "primary" tangencies between MSM's and BMSM's. The other partition line $\bar{C}$ has not been drawn.

same forward sequence must fall on the same MSM. Analogously, all the points in phase space sharing the same backward sequence must fall on the same BMSM. Thus the MSM's and BMSM's provide a way to trace the points with the same forward or backward symbolic sequence in the phase space. Each backward foliation is cut by many forward foliations. All points on a backward foliation share the same backward symbolic sequence. The forward symbolic sequences on one and the same backward foliation are different; they correspond to the FSS of the one-dimensional map (3). Assuming that the ordering rules of the FSS on a backward foliation are the same as that for the one-dimensional limit (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet E_M R \dots &> \bullet E_M M \dots > \bullet E_M L \dots, \\ \bullet O_M R \dots &< \bullet O_M M \dots < \bullet O_M L \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where E_M (O_M) represents the common leading string, containing an even (odd) number of letter M . According to these rules, the greatest FSS is $\bullet R^\infty$, while the smallest is $\bullet L^\infty$.

Analogously, each forward foliation is cut by many backward foliations and corresponds to another one-dimensional map. The ordering rules of the BSS on a forward foliation are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \dots R E_{LR} \bullet &> \dots M E_{LR} \bullet > \dots L E_{LR} \bullet, \\ \dots R O_{LR} \bullet &< \dots M O_{LR} \bullet < \dots L O_{LR} \bullet. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The notation E_{LR} (O_{LR}) now represents the common leading string with the total number of letters L and/or R being even (odd). The greatest BSS is $(LR)^\infty \bullet$, and the smallest is $(RL)^\infty \bullet$.

In Table 5, we list the maximal and minimal FSS or BSS with a leading R , M , or L .

These ordering rules for FSS and BSS have a clear geometrical background. For each one-dimensional forward (or backward) foliation which is topologically equal to a straight line segment, we can always define the order referring to the natural order on a straight line and then the ordering

Table 5: The maximal and minimal FSS and BSS with a leading R , M or L .

	FSS			BSS		
Leading Letter	L	M	R	L	M	R
Minimal Word	$\bullet L^\infty$	$\bullet MR^\infty$	$\bullet RL^\infty$	$(RL)^\infty \bullet$	$(RL)^\infty M \bullet$	$(LR)^\infty R \bullet$
Maximal Word	$\bullet LR^\infty$	$\bullet ML^\infty$	$\bullet R^\infty$	$(RL)^\infty L \bullet$	$(LR)^\infty M \bullet$	$(LR)^\infty \bullet$

rules can be defined as for one-dimensional maps. Numerically we find the ordering rules for the forward and backward foliation is just the same as that of the one-dimensional map (3).

Recently, we have considered a piecewise linear two-dimensional antisymmetric map [Fang, 1993b]

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \theta_n + \epsilon_n x_n + b y_n, \\ y_{n+1} &= x_n, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \theta_n = -\frac{c+d}{1-c} \text{sgn}(x_n), & \epsilon_n = \frac{1+d}{1-c}, & C < |x_n| \leq 1, \\ \theta_n = 0, & \epsilon_n = -\frac{d}{c}, & |x_n| \leq C. \end{cases}$$

In these equations c, d, b are parameters with their values all between 0 and 1, and $\text{sgn}(x_n)$ denotes the sign of x_n .

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

This map possesses the same antisymmetry as map (10); both maps (10) and (13) consist of three monotonic branches when b approaches 0. For this piecewise linear map, we can define an ordering rule for the forward and backward sequences by referring to left or right, up or down of the corresponding foliation explicitly [Fang, 1993b]. These turn out to be the same as the ordering rule defined above for map (10).

It is more convenient to introduce a metric representation [Cvitanović, Gunaratne, & Procaccia, 1988]) for the FSS and BSS. We define a real number α for the FSS and a number β for BSS as follows:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i 3^{-i}, \quad \beta = \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\bar{i}} 3^{-\bar{i}}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \prod_{k=1}^i p_k^F = 1 \text{ and } s_i = \begin{cases} L, \\ M, \\ R, \end{cases} \\ 1 & \text{for } \prod_{k=1}^i p_k^F = -1 \text{ and } s_i = \begin{cases} R, \\ M, \\ L, \end{cases} \\ 2 & \end{cases} \\ \nu_{\bar{i}} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \prod_{\bar{k}=1}^{\bar{i}} p_{\bar{k}}^B = 1 \text{ and } s_{\bar{i}} = \begin{cases} L, \\ M, \\ R, \end{cases} \\ 1 & \text{for } \prod_{\bar{k}=1}^{\bar{i}} p_{\bar{k}}^B = -1 \text{ and } s_{\bar{i}} = \begin{cases} R, \\ M, \\ L. \end{cases} \\ 2 & \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here p_k^F is the parity of the k -th letter in a FSS and $p_{\bar{k}}^B$ — that of the $\bar{k}$ -th letter in a BSS:

$$p_k^F = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_k = R \text{ or } L, \\ -1 & \text{if } s_k = M, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\bar{k}}^B = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_{\bar{k}} = M, \\ -1 & \text{if } s_{\bar{k}} = R \text{ or } L. \end{cases}$$

According to these definitions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\bullet R^\infty) &= \beta((LR)^\infty \bullet) = 1, \\ \alpha(\bullet RL^\infty) &= \beta((LR)^\infty R \bullet) = 2/3, \\ \alpha(\bullet ML^\infty) &= \beta((LR)^\infty M \bullet) = 2/3, \\ \alpha(\bullet MR^\infty) &= \beta((RL)^\infty M \bullet) = 1/3, \\ \alpha(\bullet LR^\infty) &= \beta((RL)^\infty L \bullet) = 1/3, \\ \alpha(\bullet L^\infty) &= \beta((RL)^\infty \bullet) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In this metric representation, any semi-infinite sequence corresponds to a real number between zero and one. The order for the forward words and the backward words becomes the natural order for α and β , respectively. A bi-infinite symbolic sequence now corresponds to a point in a unit square of the (α, β) -plane, which is called the symbolic plane. In this plane, backward and forward foliations become vertical and horizontal lines.

So far we have not mentioned $\bullet\bar{C}$ and $\bullet C$. The metric representation for $\bullet C$ lies between $\bullet M$ and $\bullet R$. Since $\bullet M < \bullet R$, we define $\bullet M < \bullet C < \bullet R$. Now $\bullet RL^\infty$ is the minimal word for all FSS with a leading R and $\bullet ML^\infty$ is the maximal word for all FSS with a leading M , see Table 5. Since $\alpha(\bullet RL^\infty) = \alpha(\bullet ML^\infty) = 2/3$ and $\bullet C$ is included in between $\bullet RL^\infty$ and $\bullet ML^\infty$, it follows that $\alpha(\bullet C)$ must equal to $2/3$. Therefore, if there is a C in a FSS, we may replace it by either ML^∞ or RL^∞ . Analogously, $\bullet L < \bullet\bar{C} < \bullet M$ and $\bullet\bar{C}$ is included in between $\bullet LR^\infty$ and $\bullet MR^\infty$, therefore $\alpha(\bullet\bar{C}) = 1/3$. As long as a $\bar{C}$ appears in a FSS, it may be replaced by either LR^∞ or MR^∞ . In a BSS, a letter C may be substituted by either $(LR)^\infty R$ or $(LR)^\infty M$, and a $\bar{C}$ by either $(RL)^\infty M$ or $(RL)^\infty L$.

Having defined the ordering rules for FSS on each backward foliation and that for BSS on each forward foliation, we are in a position to formulate the admissibility conditions for symbolic sequences. Consider a forward foliation $\bullet J$, which crosses the partition C at P . Denoting the backward symbolic sequence from P by $IC\bullet$, the two symbolic sequences from the points, infinitesimally shifted from P , are $IR\bullet$ and $IL\bullet$. From our knowledge of the one-dimensional map (3), there are no other words admissible between the words $IR\bullet$ and $IL\bullet$, except for the word $IC\bullet$. In the symbolic plane, these forbidden words correspond to a vertical line segment from $[\alpha(\bullet J), \beta(IL\bullet)]$ to $[\alpha(\bullet J), \beta(IR\bullet)]$. Consider all the points on the lines $C\bullet$ and $\bar{C}\bullet$ one by one, we can get a series of such forbidden vertical line segments in the symbolic plane. All the forbidden vertical lines form a forbidden area, called the fundamental forbidden zone (FFZ) [Zhao & Zheng, 1993].

In Fig. 8, we present the the FFZ (empty box) for the map (10) for parameters $a = 3.4$ and $b = 0.25$, where 800 points of $C\bullet$ and $\bar{C}\bullet$ are taken, in which we find the tangent points far from the attractor is of minor importance, and at each point forward and backward sequences of length 20 are generated. The solid lines are the boarder between admissible and inadmissible words in the symbolic plane (the “pruning front” according to Cvitanović, Gunaratne, & Procaccia [1988]). Owing to the antisymmetry of the map the pruning front is symmetric with respect to the center $(1/2, 1/2)$ of the symbolic plane.

In a given bi-infinite symbolic sequence $\cdots s_{-1}s_0\bullet s_1s_2\cdots$, after k forward or backward iterations, the “present” dot $\bullet$ shifts to the right or to the left by k symbols. If the unshifted word corresponds to a real orbit of the map (10), all its shifts must never fall into the FFZ. This statement may be taken as the admissibility condition for a word: a bi-infinite symbolic sequence is admissible if and only if all its shifts never fall inside the FFZ.

In addition, from the antisymmetry of the map (10), it is easy to see that if a word P is admissible (forbidden), so does its conjugate $\bar{P}$; the conjugate $\bar{P}$ is obtained from P by interchanging L and R , while leaving M unchanged.

To check the above admissibility conditions, we picked up 60,000 points randomly in the region $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $y \in [-1, 1]$, calculated the (α, β) -values for orbits starting from these points which are also shown in Fig. 8. Indeed, no points are contained in the FFZ although many points are very close to the pruning front.

From the admissibility conditions we can enumerate all the admissible words. We list all the primitive admissible words, i.e., those that are not repetitions of a shorter string, up to period 8 in Table 6.

Figure 8: 60000 points, generated by real orbits in the 2D antisymmetric map at $a = 3.4$ and $b = 0.25$, are shown together with the Fundamental Forbidden Zone (empty part) in the symbolic plane.

5 Lorenz Equations in Light of Symbolic Dynamics of Two-Dimensional Maps

Now we come to the main body of this paper. Our basic goal is to construct a symbolic dynamics for the Lorenz map F . Although it is approximate, it works well for all practical purposes for most of the parameter r range. This is done in Section 5.2, after introducing the extended geometric Lorenz map G in Section 5.1. In Section 5.3 we show how to predict new periodic orbits in the Lorenz equations by using the symbolic dynamics. The last Section 5.4 furnishes a qualitative discussion of the relation between the extended geometric Lorenz map and the geometric Lorenz attractor.

5.1 The Extended Geometric Lorenz Attractor

Recall our description of the Lorenz map F in Section 1. We take, for example, $r = 110$. In the “rectangle” Σ contained in the plane $z = r - 1$, the attractor and the most stable manifolds are presented in Fig. 9. Since the eigenvalues of the two equilibrium points q^- and q^+ are complex in this plane, we have to introduce a separating line D to cut the spiraling most stable manifolds as the dotted line shows in Fig. 9.

To derive the extended geometric Lorenz map G in Σ from the actual two-dimensional Lorenz map F , we make the following five additional geometric assumptions.

Assumption 1: the attractor, i.e., the set of accumulation points of the map when $t \rightarrow \infty$, is composed of two one-dimensional curves, as shown in Fig 10. Due to strong dissipation, the attractor for the Lorenz map in Σ has a very narrow width. Its structure, transversal to the one-dimensional curves, can be seen only at greater magnification (*cf.* Fig. 9). The attractor of the extended geometric Lorenz map G is the extremely dissipative limit of that of the Lorenz map F .

Assumption 2: there exists a set of most stable manifolds in Σ , as shown in Fig. 10. Then almost all the points in the plane will be attracted to the attractor along the most stable manifolds

Table 6: Admissible primitive periodic sequences up to period 8 in the 2D map (10) at $a = 3.4$, $b = 0.25$. A letter X stands for L or M . Only non-repeating strings are given and the conjugate words are not listed.

Period	Allowed Sequence	Period	Allowed Sequence
1	X	7	$RMMMLRX$
2	RX	8	$RRLRMMRX$
4	$RMRL$	8	$RMRLRMRM$
5	$RMMRX$	8	$RMMMRMRX$
6	$RMRLRX$	8	$RMMLRMRX$
6	$RMMMRX$	8	$RMRLRLRX$
6	$RMMLRX$	8	$RMMMLRLX$
7	$RMMMRMRX$	8	$RMMMMMRX$
7	$RMMLRLRX$	8	$RMMMLRLX$
7	$RMMMMMRX$	8	$RMMLRLRX$

with the rate of the most negative Lyapunov exponent of the attractor. This also opens up the possibility to give a two-dimensional representation of the symbolic dynamics for this map. Since the smallest Lyapunov exponent for dissipative dynamical system is always negative, the MSM's do exist in Σ for the Lorenz equations.

We emphasize that the MSM's, shown in Fig. 9, are actual numerical results for the Lorenz equations, while that in Fig. 10 are schematic drawings to fix the idea of our extended geometric Lorenz attractor.

Assumption 3: there are tangencies between the curves of the attractor and the MSM's. We take $\bar{C}$ and C to be the "primary" tangent points, as shown in Fig. 10. The ternary partition lines are shown as dashed lines, which are also denoted by $\bar{C}$ and C . It is essential that the partition line goes through the points C and $\bar{C}$. The precise location of partition lines in other parts of the phase plane may be determined by tangent points between MSM's and BMSM's, but is not needed here. Therefore, the dashed lines in Fig. 10 are drawn somewhat arbitrarily when far from $\bar{C}$ and C .

Assumption 4: all points of the interior of Σ do return to Σ and the return map G can be well defined (cf. property (3) below).

Finally, we have **Assumption 5:** the map G is antisymmetric with respect to the origin $(0, 0)$.

These "geometric" assumptions can be verified numerically. In Section 5.2, we will give a symbolic description for the actual Lorenz map F . Then we compare the topology of the map F with the geometric map G . We will see, for most of the parameters, the map F can be described by G to very high accuracy.

From the first three assumptions, Σ is divided into four regions by the separating line D and the ternary partition lines $\bar{C}$ and C . We mark them by L , M_1 , M_2 and R as shown in Fig. 10. Each region is filled by family of curves from the MSM's. Introducing a system of coordinates (u, v) on G such that the MSM's are given by $u = \text{constant}$. Here we do not assume the existence of the BMSM's. If the BMSM's exist, they are given by $v = \text{constant}$. Anyway, the attractor can be defined as $v = c$, c being a constant. In fact, the fourth assumption includes two parts: one is the iteration property of u as defined in point (3) below; the other is that all the points in region M_1 will return to the hook in the right branch and all the points in region M_2 — to the hook in the left branch of the attractor.

Defining the right one of the two MSM's to be greater and $R > M_2 > M_1 > L$, we can describe the extended geometric Lorenz map analytically as follows:

1. There exists a ternary partition C , $\bar{C}$, and a separating line D to divide the plane Σ into four parts. In each part the curves of MSM are given by $u = \text{constant}$.
2. There exist functions f and g such that G has the form $G(u, v) = (f(u), g(u, v))$ for $u \neq 0$ and $G(-u, -v) = -G(u, v)$.

Figure 9: The MSM's and the attractor of the Lorenz equations at $r = 110$. The heavy lines with hooks are the attractor, which coincides with part of the BSM's. The dashed lines are part of MSM's. The solid dots, marked by C and $\bar{C}$, are primary tangencies between MSM's and BSM's. The dotted lines, which represent the ternary partition C and $\bar{C}$, and the separating line D , which connects the two equilibrium points q^- and q^+ , divide the phase space into four parts, denoted by L , R , M_1 , and M_2 .

3. $f'(u) > 0$ in regions R and L ; $f'(u) < 0$ in regions M_1 and M_2 ; $|f'(u)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.

For the attractor of the extended geometric Lorenz map G , $v = c$. The map $G(u, v) = (f(u), g(u, v))$ is completely determined by $f(u)$. In what follows we concentrate on the study of the dynamics of the map $f(u)$.

In Fig. 11 we present a scheme for $f(u)$ which is a one-dimensional map with a discontinuity at $u = 0$. For this one-dimensional map, it is easy to construct the symbolic dynamics explicitly. The ordering rules are

$$\begin{aligned} E_M R \dots &> E_M M_2 \dots > E_M M_1 \dots > E_M L \dots, \\ O_M R \dots &< O_M M_2 \dots < O_M M_1 \dots < O_M L \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where E_M (O_M) represents a common leading string with an even (odd) number of letter M_1 and/or M_2 . According to these rules, the greatest word is R^∞ , while the smallest is L^∞ .

This ordering rule provides a criterion to exclude all illegal words.

We denote by K_g and K_s the kneading sequences, i.e., the words coming from the first iterate of the greatest point $\bar{C}$ and that from the smallest point C . The antisymmetry of the map yields $K_s = \bar{K}_g$, $\bar{K}_g$ being the conjugate of K_g , obtained by interchanging L 's and R 's, but leaving M 's unchanged. Analogously, K_D denotes the minimal word iterated from region M_1 and $\bar{K}_D$ — the maximal word iterated from region M_2 . Using these notations, the admissibility conditions for symbolic sequences in the extended geometric Lorenz map G are formulated as follows: a word P

Figure 10: The MSM's and the attractor for the extended geometric Lorenz map. The lines and points have the same meaning as in Fig. 9.

is admissible if all its shifts satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(P) &< K_g, \\ K_D &< \mathcal{M}_1(P) < \overline{K}_g, \\ K_s &< \mathcal{M}_2(P) < \overline{K}_D, \\ K_s &< \mathcal{R}(P), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(P)$, $\mathcal{M}_1(P)$, $\mathcal{M}_2(P)$, and $\mathcal{R}(P)$ are defined in the same way as in (8).

In fact, the symbolic representation of this extended geometric Lorenz map can be constructed by using only three letters. Due to **Assumption 4**, all points in region M_1 return to the hook in the right branch and all points in region M_2 — to the hook in the left branch of the attractor, as shown in Fig. 10. In terms of symbolic dynamics, a letter M_1 will always followed by a letter R , and a letter M_2 — by L . It causes no confusion to mark both M_1 and M_2 by a letter M . Once a substring MR appears, it must be M_1R . Analogously, ML always represents M_2L . In this way, the ordering rule for symbolic sequences in the extended geometric Lorenz map G reduces to that for the one-dimensional antisymmetric map, i.e., (6).

However, the admissibility conditions for map G differs from that for map (3) due to the presence of a discontinuity. A word P is admissible in the extended geometric Lorenz map G if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(P) &< K_g, \\ K_D &< \mathcal{M}(P) < K_g, \quad \text{or} \quad K_s < \mathcal{M}(P) < \overline{K}_D, \\ K_s &< \mathcal{R}(P). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In addition, if $K_D > C$ holds, it would imply that no substring MM is admissible.

Now we compare the symbolic dynamics of this extended geometric Lorenz map G with that of the one-dimensional antisymmetric map (3). The ordering rules and admissibility conditions for the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (3) have been given in Section 2, see (6) and (9).

If we ignore the separating line D , i.e., taking $K_D = \overline{K}_D = M^\infty$, the admissibility conditions (18) for the extended geometric Lorenz map G would reduce to (9). Therefore, symbolic sequences

Figure 11: A sketch of the map $f(u)$. In the figure L , M_1 , M_2 , and R denote the subintervals, formed by the two critical points C , $\bar{C}$, and the discontinuity point $u = 0$.

in the extended geometric Lorenz map is a subset of that of the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (3). Comparing directly Fig. 11 of map $f(u)$ with Fig. 1 of map (3), we come to the same conclusion.

In the beginning of this section, we have extracted the extended geometric Lorenz map G from the real Lorenz map F in Σ by making a few geometric assumptions. We expect that the behavior of the map G is topologically similar to that of the map F . We do find that the real Lorenz map F can be described by this extended geometric Lorenz map G for most of the parameter ranges. This will be presented in Section 5.2. In this way, the numerical result of Section 2, namely, periodic windows in the Lorenz equations are well described by the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map with only one exception, can be better understood.

5.2 Symbolic Dynamics for the Lorenz Map F

Now we construct the symbolic dynamics for the Lorenz map F explicitly. We take $r = 110$ and $r = 191.99$ as two contrasting examples. Numerical calculation shows that the $r = 110$ case can be taken as a prototype for the Lorenz map F for most of the parameters except for r close to 191.985, when we encounter the non-cubic word.

The attractor, the most stable manifold, and the separating line D at $r = 110$ have been shown in Fig. 9. A closer examination of the attractor shows that it has a very complicated structure that can not be described as two one-dimensional curves. In Fig. 12, part of the attractor is shown enlarged. Consequently, there are infinitely many primary tangent points in the attractor. According to the definition, given in Section 4, the partition line should go through all these tangent points, which is a subset of tangencies between MSM's and BSM's of the map F .

The antisymmetry of the map F calls for a ternary partition. In practice, we only determine the partition $\bar{C}$: C can be obtained from the antisymmetry. We record a tangent point if at that point the angle between the tangent vectors of the MSM and the BSM is smaller than a preset tolerance $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. From about 100,000 points in the attractor, we obtained 19 such points which are shown in Fig. 12 as crosses. The ternary partition is then determined. As in

Figure 12: Part of the attractor around $\bar{C}$ for the Lorenz equations in Σ at $r = 110$. The crosses are primary tangent points.

the extended geometric Lorenz attractor, we label by R the region bounded by D and C , by L the region bounded by D and $\bar{C}$, and by M the regions above $\bar{C}$ and below C . The forward and backward words for these 19 points are then calculated. Taking into account the fact that the map shares the same discrete symmetry as the two-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (10), the ordering rules for these symbolic sequences are assumed to be the same as for map (10). The metric representation of symbolic sequences is defined by (14). By computing the α and β values for the points on $\bar{C}$, the “pruning front” and the fundamental forbidden zone (FFZ) are obtained and shown in Fig. 13.

It follows from the discussion of Section 4 that all admissible sequences and their shifts will never fall into the FFZ. To check this condition, the (α, β) -values for 14,162 points in the attractor are calculated and shown in Fig. 13. Except for one point, all other points do keep themselves out of the FFZ. The exceptional point will be discussed after we construct the symbolic dynamics for the map F at $r = 191.99$. Therefore, excluding these rare exceptions, we may say that the admissibility condition for a bi-infinite symbolic sequence P of the Lorenz map F at $r = 110$ consists in that its shifts and their conjugates never fall into the FFZ and never satisfy

$$\bar{K}_D < \mathcal{M}(\text{Forw}(P)) < K_D, \quad (19)$$

where $\text{Forw}(P)$ represents the forward part of all shifts of P and their conjugates, and K_D is the same as that defined in the extended geometric Lorenz map G , i.e., the maximum word from the topmost point of the left branch in Fig. 10.

Now we compare the symbolic dynamics of the two-dimensional map F with the extended geometric Lorenz map G . Fig. 14 is a blow-up of the symbolic plane, i.e., Fig. 13, along the $\beta = 1/3$ line for $\alpha \in [\alpha_{\min}, \alpha_{\max}]$, where $\alpha_{\min} = 0.92215072$ and $\alpha_{\max} = 0.92215080$. It was calculated using all the points on the partition line $\bar{C}$. We can get a sufficient condition for a symbolic sequence of map F to be admissible by only considering its forward sequence: a bi-infinite symbolic sequence is admissible if all α -values of its shifts and their conjugates are never greater than $\alpha_{\min}$ and never satisfy (19); a bi-infinite symbolic sequence is forbidden if one of the α -values of its shifts and their conjugates is greater than $\alpha_{\max}$.

Figure 13: The symbolic plane of the Lorenz map at $r = 110$. All points represent real orbits. Only one point falls into the FFZ.

The range for the α values on $\overline{C}$ is $\delta = \alpha_{\max} - \alpha_{\min} = 8 * 10^{-8}$. Since $\log_3 \delta^{-1} = 14.87$, all the first fourteen letters for these forward symbolic sequences turn out to be the same, namely, $RRLRLLRLRL$. Any unstable periodic orbit with length $n < 15$ can not tell the difference between $\alpha_{\min}$ and $\alpha_{\max}$ [Fang, 1994]. Consequently, the admissibility conditions for an unstable periodic orbits with length $n < 15$ is: a bi-infinite symbolic sequence P is admissible if and only if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} K_D < \mathcal{M}(\text{Forw}(P)) < K_g, & \quad \mathcal{L}(\text{Forw}(P)) < K_g, \\ & \quad \text{or} \\ K_s < \mathcal{M}(\text{Forw}(P)) < \overline{K}_D, & \quad K_s < \mathcal{R}(\text{Forw}(P)). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with $K_g = (RRLRLLRLRL)^\infty$. This is just the admissibility conditions (9) for the extended geometric Lorenz map G . Thus, the topology of the Lorenz map at $r = 110$ is the same as that of the extended geometric Lorenz map G when we only consider periodic orbits with length $n < 15$. The Lorenz map F can be described by the extended geometric Lorenz map G to very high accuracy.

Now we consider the exceptional case when there is a qualitative difference between the Lorenz map F and the extended geometric Lorenz map G . The only such cases occur near $r = 191.99$. The attractor, the most stable manifold, the separating line D , and the ternary partitions $\overline{C}$ and C at $r = 191.99$ are shown in Fig. 15. Unlike the $r = 110$ case, there are primary tangent points in the hooks so that the partition $\overline{C}$ passes through these points. We compute the α and β values for points on the partition line $\overline{C}$, in the same way as we did above.

There are two series of “pruning” fronts, if we still keep the word “pruning”. One comes from points on the main branch of the attractor as was the case for $r = 110$. This front is shown by the dashed line in Fig. 16. The other comes from the partition points located in the hook; it is shown by the solid line in Fig. 16. In the lower right part of the symbolic plane the second “pruning” front is located to the right of the first one. The fundamental forbidden zone is defined as the region bounded by this series of “pruning” fronts, and the lines $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, and $\beta = 2/3$. A real trajectory and its conjugates will never fall into the FFZ. This has been checked directly by

Figure 15: The MSM's and the attractor of the Lorenz equations in Σ at $r = 191.99$. The lines and points have the same meaning as in Fig. 9.

to consider the backward sequences which always cause numerical difficulty of divergence. Fortunately, the Lorenz map F can be described by the extended geometric Lorenz map G rather well for most of the parameters and symbolic dynamics for map G is much simpler in the sense that only forward sequences are needed. Moreover, on the attractor, the map G is topologically equal to the map $f(u)$, so often one can deal with this one-dimensional case only. The ordering rules and admissibility conditions for the map $f(u)$ has already been given in (6) and (18).

The two symbolic sequences K_g and K_D determine the symbolic dynamics of the map $f(u)$ completely. When $K_D = \bar{K}_D = M^\infty$, $f(u)$ reduces to map (3). In what follows, we examine all symbolic sequences with length less than 7 to see which orbit should exist in the map $F(u)$.

Since the symbolic sequences in the map $f(u)$ is a subset of that of the one-dimensional antisymmetric cubic map (3), only symbolic sequences listed in Table 1 have to be considered. The condition $K_D > C$ makes all words containing a substring MM forbidden. This rules out 27 words and only leaves 23 that may be admissible in map $f(u)$. Out from these 23 words, only the following seven have not appeared in Table 3.

Table 7: Seven words that may be admissible but not listed in Table 3.

No.	Period	Word	Possible r range	Existence
1	6	$RRLMR$	136.818 - 143.322	141.247-141.249
2	5	$RRMR$	94.554 - 99.275	forbidden by K_D
3	5	$RRML$	94.554 - 99.275	forbidden by K_D
4	6	$RRMLR$	94.554 - 99.275	forbidden by K_D
5	6	$RRRLR$	76.713 - 82.040	76.818-76.822
6	6	$RRRMR$	64.898 -69.724	forbidden by K_D
7	6	$RRRML$	64.898 -69.724	forbidden by K_D

We check these seven words one by one. From Table 3 and the A value of these seven words, the word $RRLMR\bar{C}$ is located between the words $RRLMRMLLRML\bar{C}$ and $RRLML\bar{C}$, corre-

Figure 16: The symbolic plane of the Lorenz map at $r = 191.99$. All points represent real orbits. The dashed lines show the “pruning front”, determined from partition points on the main branch of the attractor; the solid lines show that from the hook.

sponding to $r = 143.4$ and 136.8 , respectively. In the same way, we get possible r -ranges for other words in Table 7. Between $r = 143.4$ and 136.8 , $K_D \approx RK_s$, so the word $RRLMR\bar{C}$ should exist in the Lorenz equations. Though we have not found the stable periodic orbit $RRLMR\bar{C}$, we did have obtained the unstable one at $r = 141.247 - 141.249$ by a careful searching. Similarly, there is no rule to forbid the word $RRRLR\bar{C}$ and we have located it at $r = 76.818 - 76.822$. For the other five words, we have to determine K_D for each r -value carefully. Numerically, we find K_D by iterating the point with the greatest y -value in more than 10,000 points in the attractor in Σ . The result is listed in Table 8 in which we only present the first six letters for each K_D . In the range $r = 94.554 - 99.275$, K_D always begins with RR which make the substrings $MR\bar{C}$, $ML\bar{C}$, and $MLR\bar{C}$ forbidden. Thus the words $RRMR\bar{C}$, $RRML\bar{C}$, and $RRMLR\bar{C}$ would never appear in the Lorenz equations. Analogously, from K_D in Table 8 we can draw the conclusion that the other two words $RRRM\bar{C}$ and $RRRM\bar{C}$ are not admissible in the Lorenz equations.

In Table 8, as r decreases from $r = 130$, the difference between K_D and RK_s increases rapidly. On the other hand, up to $r = 60$, $K_D \approx K_g$. At $r = 40$ $K_D = R^{10}LRLRR \dots$, which indicates that there is no stable period orbit with period less than 10 for $r \leq 40$. We conjecture that when $r < 40$, $K_D < K_g$, no superstable periodic orbits may exist, though some periodic orbits with length greater than 10 can be stable. While r is small enough (say, $r < 30.1$), all the contracting part of the attractor is “eaten” by K_D with $K_D \gg K_g$. No stable periodic orbits can be found and the Lorenz map F in the attractor is “sufficiently” expanding. This is just the “geometric Lorenz attractor”, suggested by Guckenheimer and Williams [Guckenheimer & Holmes, 1983; Williams, 1979].

It should be noted that for r close to 191.99 , the extended geometric Lorenz map G can not describe the Lorenz map F properly. The symbolic dynamics for the map F can not be well constructed. Many points fall into the region to the right of the dashed line in Fig. 16, which is the “pruning” front determined by tangent points on the main branch of the attractor. These points do not obey the admissibility conditions for the map G . We do find a “non-cubic” word $RMLRMLMR\bar{C}$ for the periodic orbit in the r range $191.985 - 191.982$.

No.	r	K_D	RK_s	K_g
1	209.5	RLRCRM	RLMLRL	RMRLR
2	199	RLCLML	RLMLR	RMRLM
3	192-130	$\geq RK_s$	RK_s	K_g
4	120	RLMRR	RLLRLR	RRLRLR
5	110	RLRMRR	RLLRL	RRLRL
6	99	RRLCL	RLLMLL	RRMRL
7	98	RRLML	RLLMLL	RRMRL
8	97	RRLRL	RLLMLL	RRMRL
9	96	RRLRL	RLLMLL	RRMLLC
10	95	RRMRR	RLLMLL	RRMLLC
11	80	RRRLRL	RLLMLL	RRMLLC
12	70	RRRLRL	RLLMLL	RRMLLC
13	60	RRRLRL	RLLMLL	RRMLLC
14	50	R^6LR	$RL^{10}R$	R^6LR
15	40	$R^{10}LR$		$R^{10}LR$

Table 8: The K_D for different r -value for the Lorenz equations in Σ .

5.4 Relation Between the Extended Geometric Lorenz map G and the “Geometric Lorenz Attractor”

According to Guckenheimer & Holmes [1983], the geometric Lorenz attractor is defined in Σ for $\sigma = 10$, $\beta = 8/3$, and $r \approx 28$. Based on an examination of the return map F , four additional assumptions are made. These assumptions can be expressed analytically. There is a system of coordinate, (u_g, v_g) , on Σ . The curve $u_g = \text{constant}$ is part of a strong stable foliation defined in a neighborhood of the attractor. This strong stable foliation is the MSM in our language. Then the return map G_g has the following properties:

1. The curves of MSM's are given by $u_g = \text{constant}$.
2. There are functions f_g and g_g such that G_g has the form $G_g(u, v) = (f_g(u_g), g_g(u_g, v_g))$ for $u_g \neq 0$ and $G_g(-u_g, -v_g) = -G_g(u_g, v_g)$.
3. $f'_g(u_g) > \sqrt{2}$ for $u_g \neq 0$ and $f'_g(u_g) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u_g \rightarrow 0$.
4. $0 < \partial g_g / \partial v_g < C < 1$ for $u_g \neq 0$ and $\partial g_g \rightarrow 0$ as $u_g \rightarrow 0$.

In Fig. 17, a scheme of the one-dimensional map f_g is shown. Denoting by R and L the regions to the right and to the left of the separating line D , the ordering rules can be written down as

$$PL \dots < PR \dots, \quad (21)$$

where P is an arbitrary string made of R and L , and the admissibility conditions for a word P which corresponds to a real trajectory in map G_g read [Zheng, 1990]

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}(P)}{\overline{K}_D} < \frac{K_D}{\mathcal{R}(P)}. \quad (22)$$

The extended geometric Lorenz map G is defined in Σ for r big enough, say, $r > 46$, for which stable periodic orbits may appear at some parameters. The main difference between the assumptions for the extended geometric Lorenz map G and the geometric Lorenz map G_g is property (3). The return map G_g is “sufficiently” expanding ($f'_g(u_g) > \sqrt{2}$) in the direction transverse to the MSM's, so that there are no tangent points in the attractor.

Our extended geometric Lorenz map G possesses tangencies between the MSM's and the BMSM's in the attractor. At the primary tangency $\overline{C}$ and C , $f'(u) = 0$ which allows the existence of stable periodic orbit. If we take the limit that $K_D > K_g$, the M part disappears. In this limit, the ordering rules and the the admissibility conditions for the symbolic sequences in map G

Figure 17: The 1D map $f_g(u_g)$, inferred from the Lorenz map.

are the same as that of the geometric Lorenz map. Furthermore, if K_D is sufficiently large that only the “sufficiently” expanding part ($f'(u) > \sqrt{2}$) is left, $f(u)$ reduces to $f_g(u_g)$. Consequently, the geometric Lorenz attractor of Williams, Guckenheimer and Yorke is the limit of our extended geometric Lorenz attractor for K_D sufficiently large with $K_D > K_g$. At about $r = 30$, $K_D \gg K_g$. Below $r = 30$, the extended geometric Lorenz attractor becomes the geometric Lorenz attractor. This is the reason why we call the map G the “extended” geometric Lorenz map.

6 Discussion

Numerical investigation of many ordinary differential equations [Rössler, 1976; Hao & Zhang, 1982; Wang, Hao & Zhang, 1983; Hao, 1986; 1989; Ueda, 1991] as well as some partial differential equations [Knobloch, Moore, Toomre & Weiss, 1986; Proctor & Weiss, 1990; Moore & Weiss, 1990], has revealed some similarity of the bifurcation structure with that of low-dimensional mappings. This has stimulated the application of symbolic dynamics of one-dimensional maps to the study of differential equations. The physical reason for low-dimensional symbolic dynamics working so well is of course the presence of dissipation, which causes the shrinking of phase space volume. Our work shows also the importance of symmetry in constructing symbolic dynamics for a physical system.

Recently, S. Smale summarized ten great and unsolved problems in dynamical system theory [Smale, 1991], the first problem being: is the dynamics of the Lorenz equations (1), with $\sigma = 10$, $r = 28$, and $b = 8/3$, described by the “geometric Lorenz attractor” of Williams, Guckenheimer and Yorke? Our results push the problem into much wider, obviously nonhyperbolic, range of parameter r . It seems that there is a good hope to apply, rigorously or approximately, the method of symbolic dynamics to some nonhyperbolic systems and to add this method to the arsenal of practitioners in nonlinear dynamics.

Guckenheimer & Holmes indicated in their book [Guckenheimer & Holmes, 1983] that nonhyperbolic limit sets are often encountered in examples of practical importance, “One would like to study their symbolic dynamics, but this has not been done in a satisfactory or systematic

manner, except in the special case of mappings defined on the line”.

Our results on the Lorenz equations, though not being claimed to be satisfactory, do present a more or less systematic attempt in that direction.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank Drs. Zheng Wei-mou, Gu Yan, Song Yan, Zhao Hong, Wu Zuo-bing, Liu Jiu-xie and Liu Zhong-hua for suggestions and discussions. B.L.H. would also like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where this paper was finalised. This work was partially supported by the Chinese Natural Science Foundation and the Open Laboratories Project of Academia Sinica.

References

- Aizawa, Y. [1983] “Symbolic dynamics approach to intermittent chaos”, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **70**, 1249.
- D’Alessandro, G., Grassberger, P., Isola, S. & Politi, A. [1990] “On the topology of the Hénon map”, *J. Phys.* **A23**, 5295.
- Cvitanović, P., Gunaratne, G. H. & Procaccia, I. [1988] Topological and metric properties of Hénon-type strange attractors, *Phys. Rev.* **A38**, 1503.
- Ding, M.-Z. & Hao, B.-L. [1988] “Systematics of the periodic windows in the Lorenz model and its relation with the antisymmetric cubic map”, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **9**, 375; reprinted in *Chaos II*, ed. by B.-L. Hao, World Scientific, 1990.
- Ding, M.-Z., Hao, B.-L. & Hao, X. [1985] “Power spectrum analysis and the nomenclature of periods in the Lorenz model”, *Chinese Phys. Lett.*, **2** (1985), 1.
- Fang, H.-P. [1993a] “Symbolic dynamics for a two-dimensional antisymmetric map”, *J. Phys. A*. accepted for publication.
- Fang, H.-P. [1993b] “Symbolic dynamics for a piecewise linear two-dimensional antisymmetric map”, Preprint ASITP-92-077.
- Fang, H.-P. [1994] “Dynamics for strongly dissipative systems”, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 5025.
- Grassberger, P. & Kantz, H [1985] “Generating partitions for the dissipative Hénon map”, *Phys. Lett.* **A113**, 235.
- Grassberger, P., Kantz, H & Moenig, U. [1989] “On the symbolic dynamics of the Hénon map”, *J. Phys.* **A22**, 5217.
- Gu, Y. [1987] “Most stable manifolds and destruction of tori in dissipative dynamical system”, *Phys. Lett.* **A124**, 340.
- Gu, Y. [1988] “Most stable manifolds and transition to chaos in dissipative dynamical system with competing frequencies”, in *Directions in Chaos*, vol. 2, ed. by Hao Bai-lin (World Scientific).
- Guckenheimer, J. & Holmes, P. [1983] “Nonlinear oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields”, *Appl. Math. Science* **42** (Springer, New York, 1983).
- Guckenheimer, J. & Williams, R. [1979] “Structural stability of Lorenz attractors”, *Publ. Math. IHES* **50**, 307.
- Hao, B.-L. [1986] “Symbolic dynamics and systematics of periodic windows”, *Physica* **A104**, 85.

- Hao, B.-L. [1989] "Elementary Symbolic Dynamics and Chaos in Dissipative Systems", (World Scientific, Singapore).
- Hao, B.-L. & Zhang, S.-Y. [1982] "Hierarchy of chaotic bands", *J. Stat. Phys.*, **28**, 769.
- Hénon, M. [1976] "A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractors", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**, 69.
- Holmes, P. J. [1979] "A nonlinear oscillator with a strange attractor", *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **A292**, 419.
- Knobloch, E., Moore, D. R., Toomre, J. & Weiss, N. O. [1986] "Transitions to chaos in two-dimensional double-diffusive convection", *J. Fluid Mech.* **166**, 409.
- Lorenz, L. N. [1963] "Deterministic nonperiodic flow", *J. Atmos. Sci.* **20**, 130.
- Lozi, L. [1978] "Un attracteur étrange du type attracteur de Hénon", *J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq.* **39**, C5 - 9.
- MacKay, R. S., & Tresser, C. [1987] "Some flesh on the skeleton: the bifurcation structure of bimodal maps", *Physica* **D27**, 412.
- Metropolis, N., Stein, M. L., & Stein, P. R. [1973] "On finite limit sets for transformations on the unit interval", *J. Combinat. Theor.* **A15**, 25.
- Moore, D. R. & Weiss, N. O. [1990] "Dynamics of double convection", *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **A332**, 121.
- Proctor, M. R. E. & Weiss, N. O. [1990] "Normal forms and chaos in thermosolutal convection", *Nonlinearity* **3**, 619.
- Rössler, O. E. [1976] "An equations for continuous chaos", *Phys. Lett.* **A57**, 397.
- Saltzman, B. [1962] "Finite amplitude convection as an initial value problem", *J. Atmos. Sci.* **19**, 329.
- Sparrow, C. [1982] "The Lorenz Equations, Bifurcation, Chaos and Strange Attractors", (Springer-Verlag, New York).
- Smale, S. [1991] "Dynamics retrospective: great problems, attempt that failed", *Physica.* **D51**, 267.
- Tél, T. [1983] "Invariant curves, attractors, and phase diagram of a piecewise linear map with chaos", *J. Stat. Phys.* **33**, 195.
- Tomita, K. & Tsuda, I. [1980] "Towards the interpretation of the global bifurcation structure of the Lorenz system: a simple one-dimensional model", *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* **69**, 185.
- Ueda, Y. [1991] "Survey of regular and chaotic phenomena in the forced Duffing oscillator", *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **1**, 199.
- Wang, G.-R., Hao, B.-L. & Zhang, S.-Y. [1983] "U-sequences in the periodically forced Brusselator", *Commun. Theor. Phys.*, **2**, 1075.
- Williams, R. [1979] "The structure of Lorenz attractors", *Publ. Math. IHES* **50**, 101.
- Xie, F.-G. & Hao, B.-L. [1994] "Counting the number of periods in one-dimensional maps with multiple critical points", *Physica* **A202**, 237.
- Zhao, H., Zheng, W.-M. & Gu, Y. [1992] "Determination of partition lines from dynamical foliations for the Hénon map", *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **17**, 263.

- Zhao, H. & Zheng, W.-M. [1993] "Symbolic analysis of the Hénon map at $a = 1.4$ and $b = 0.3$ ", *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **19**, 21.
- Zheng, W.-M. [1990] "Applied symbolic dynamics for the Lorenz-like map", *Phys. Rev.* **A42**, 2076.
- Zheng, W.-M. [1991] "Symbolic dynamics for the Lozi map", *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **1**, 243.
- Zheng, W.-M. [1992a] "Symbolic dynamics for the Tél map", *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **17**, 167.
- Zheng, W.-M. [1992b] "Admissibility conditions for symbolic dynamics of the Lozi map", *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **2**, 461.
- Zheng, W.-M., & Hao, B.-L. [1989] "Symbolic dynamics analysis of symmetry breaking and restoration", *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B3**, 1183.
- Zheng, W.-M. & Hao, B.-L. [1990] "Applied symbolic dynamics", in *Experimental Study and Characterization of Chaos*, vol. 3 of *Directions in Chaos*, ed. by B.-L. Hao (World Scientific, Singapore), 363-459.