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1 Introduction

Particles in 2+1 dimensional spacetime are not necessarily bosons or fermions; in general, their statistics may be described by a unitary representation of Artin’s braid group [1]. Such particles will be called plektons, in the following. In a quantum mechanical framework the possible existence of plektons in 2 space dimensions was first observed by Leinaas and Myrheim [3] in their analysis of the principle of indistinguishability of identical particles. In the framework of quantum field theory the presupposed correspondence between particles and local fields seemed to forbid exotic statistics in more than 1+1 dimensions. But adopting the point of view of algebraic quantum field theory that locality has to be assumed only for observables, Buchholz and one of us showed [2] that even in purely massive theories particles might correspond to non-local fields with the consequence that ordinary statistics could be derived only in 3+1 (or more) dimensions.

Models for particles with a one dimensional representation of the braid group ("anyons") were first invented by Wilczek [4]. Non-abelian gauge theories with a Chern-Simons term in the action are candidates for models with non-abelian braid group statistics. Anyons are considered to be excitations which are responsible for the fractional Quantum Hall Effect [5].

In order to predict phenomena caused by plektons a multiparticle formalism is desirable. In the case of permutation group statistics the multiparticle space (as a representation space of the Poincaré group) is obtained by the choice of a Poincaré invariant metric (determined by the statistics) on the tensor product of Poincaré group representations on single particle spaces (see [6]). This is no longer true in the plektonic case because the sum rules for spins involve the statistics [7]. A multiplekton space which satisfies these requirements was recently constructed by Mund and Schrader [8]: it is determined by the Poincaré group representation in the single particle spaces and a representation of the braid group $B_n$.

In this paper we show that in quantum field theory with braid group statistics scattering states exist, and we compute the structure of the "plektonic Fock space" of scattering states. This space turns out to be a direct sum of the $n$-particle spaces of Mund and Schrader where the braid group representations are induced by a Markov trace on the braid group $B_\infty$. Some work in this direction has already been done by Fröhlich and Marchetti [9], who concentrated on the abelian case, and by Schroer [10], who pointed out
problems and made some prospective remarks.

This work is based in parts on the diploma theses of two of us [11, 12]. In Section 2 we describe the general framework as it was developed in the framework of algebraic quantum field theory [6], [13]. In order to obtain a coherent description of the non-local operators which one has to add to the algebra of local observables we use the formalism of [14, II] (see also [15], [16]) where an extension $\mathcal{A}_0(M)$ of the algebra of local observables was introduced which may be considered as the algebra of local observables on the union of Minkowski space with the hyperboloid at spacelike infinity. We then define the associated field bundle [6] (an intrinsic structure equivalent to the exchange algebra of vertex operators [17] which is known from conformal field theory) and compute the commutation relations of generalized fields.

In Section 3 we construct Haag-Ruelle approximants to scattering states. This can be done as in [2] (see e.g. [9]). The computation of scalar products is somewhat complicated and some formulae guessed previously could not be confirmed. There is a subtle point concerning the dependence of the scattering states on the choice of the Lorentz frame. As pointed out to us by Schrader some years ago, such a difficulty had to be expected in view of the absence of a Lorentz invariant ordering on a mass hyperboloid in 3-dimensional Minkowski space, and actually, the proof in [2] that the construction is Lorentz invariant, breaks down in 3 dimensions. We therefore reformulate the Haag-Ruelle theory in a manifestly Lorentz invariant way. There remains a dependence of the scattering vectors on the spacelike directions which characterize the localization of the stringlike localized fields by which the vectors were generated.

This set of directions might be considered as describing a local trivialization of a hermitian vector bundle over the configuration space $C_n$ of $n$ non-coincident velocities in 3-dimensional Minkowski space with a representation of the pure braid group as structure group. This bundle is associated to the principal bundle $p : \tilde{C}_n \to C_n$ where $\tilde{C}_n$ is the universal covering space of $C_n$ with projection $p$ and the pure braid group as structure group [18].

This structure (for the case of single particle spaces with an irreducible representation of the Poincaré group) was anticipated by Schrader [19] (see also [20]) and further elaborated in [8].
2 Commutation relations of generalized local fields

Let us start with describing the algebraic framework of quantum field theory: We are given a family of von Neumann algebras \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \) (the algebras of observables measurable within \( \mathcal{O} \)) on some Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) indexed by the open double-cones \( \mathcal{O} \) in Minkowski space \( \mathcal{M} \) which satisfy the following properties:

(i) \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2) \) \( \text{ if } \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2 \) (isotony)

We can then define the algebra of observables \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \) to be the norm closure of the union \( \bigcup \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \) of these local algebras. Moreover, \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{R}) \) for an arbitrary region \( \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M} \) is defined as the \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \) generated by all algebras \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) \) with double-cones \( \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{R} \), and \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}) \) is its weak closure.

(ii) \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)' \) \( \text{ if } \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2' \) (locality)

Here \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2)' \) is the commutant of \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_2) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_2' \) denotes the spacelike complement of \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) in Minkowski space.

(iii) There is a representation \( \alpha \) of the identity component \( \mathcal{P}_+^1 \) of the Poincaré group by automorphisms of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \) such that
\[
\alpha_{(x, \Lambda)}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathcal{A}((x, \Lambda)(\mathcal{O})).
\]

(iv) There is a strongly continuous unitary representation \( U_0 \) of \( \mathcal{P}_+^1 \) on \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) such that
- \( U_0(L) \mathcal{A}_0(L)^* = \alpha_L(A) \) for all \( L \in \mathcal{P}_+^1, A \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \).
- The generators of the translations \( P_x \) satisfy the spectrum condition
\[
\text{sp} P \subset \{0\} \cup \{ p \in \mathcal{M} \mid p^2 > \mu^2, p_0 > 0 \}
\]
for some \( \mu \geq 0 \).
- There is a cyclic unit vector \( \Omega \in \mathcal{H}_0 \), unique up to a phase, such that \( U_0(x, \Lambda) \Omega = \Omega \).
\( \Omega \) represents the vacuum.

\footnote{For more details see [6], [13] and references therein.}
(v) Haag duality for spacelike cones (see below).

The embedding of $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ into the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ is called the vacuum representation $\pi_0$.

In the present paper we want to analyze multiparticle scattering states in a purely massive theory. We are therefore interested in representations of the algebra of observables which describe massive particles, i.e. representations $\pi$ of $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ by bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ together with a strongly continuous representation $U_\pi$ of the covering group $\hat{P}_+$ of $P_+$ satisfying

$$\text{Ad}U_\pi(g) \circ \pi = \pi \circ \alpha_{L(g)}$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.3)

for any $g \in \hat{P}_+$, where $g \mapsto L(g)$ is the covering homomorphism and the generators of the translations are required to fulfill the spectrum condition

$$H_m \subset \text{sp} P \subset H_m \cup \{p \in \mathcal{M} \mid p^2 > M^2, p_0 > 0\}$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.4)

with $0 < m < M$. Here $H_m$ is the mass shell $H_m = \{p \in \mathcal{M} \mid p^2 = m^2, p_0 > 0\}$, $m$ is interpreted as the mass of the particle described by $\pi$ and $\pi$ is called “massive single particle representation”.

It was shown in [2] that for irreducible massive single particle representations $\pi$ there is a unique vacuum representation $\pi_0$, i.e. a representation satisfying (iv) (with $\mu \geq M - m$) such that $\pi$ and $\pi_0$ are unitarily equivalent when restricted to the algebra of the causal complement of any spacelike cone $S$

$$\pi \big|_{\mathcal{A}_0(S')} \cong \pi_0 \big|_{\mathcal{A}_0(S')} .$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.5)

A spacelike cone $S$ is the convex set

$$S := a + \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mathcal{O},$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.6)

where $a \in \mathcal{M}$ is the apex and $\mathcal{O}$ is a double-cone of spacelike directions

$$\mathcal{O} = \{r \in \mathcal{M} \mid r^2 = -1 \text{ and } r_+ - r, r - r_- \in V_+\}$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.7)

with $r_+^2 = r_-^2 = -1$, $r_+ - r, r - r_- \in V_+$, $V_+$ denoting the interior of the forward light cone. We denote the set of spacelike cones by $\mathcal{S}$.

In view of this result we shall from now on fix the vacuum representation $\pi_0$ and identify it with the defining (identical) representation of $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ on
We consider only those massive single particle representations $\pi$ which satisfy the “selection criterion” (2.5) with respect to $\pi_0$. Furthermore, we shall assume that the fixed vacuum representation fulfills Haag duality for spacelike cones, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(S') = \mathcal{A}(S)' \quad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}.$$  \hfill (2.8)

One now proceeds as in the DHR-analysis [6] and identifies the representation spaces $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. To this end one exploits (2.5) to define for any representation $\pi$, satisfying (2.5), corresponding homomorphisms $\rho : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ which are unitarily equivalent to $\pi$. In the present context these $\rho$ are in general not endomorphisms, i.e. $\rho(\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})) \not\subset \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$, and thus the usual definition for the composition of sectors is ill-defined [2].

To overcome this difficulty one can pass from the algebra of quasi-local observables $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ to a larger $C^*$-algebra $B^r$ depending on a forbidden spacelike direction $r$

$$B^r := \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}(r)} \mathcal{A}(S').$$  \hfill (2.9)

Here $r$ is a spacelike unit vector (i.e. $r^2 = -1$), and $\mathcal{S}(r)$ is the set of spacelike cones, which “contain the direction $r$”

$$\mathcal{S}(r) := \{ S \in \mathcal{S} | \hat{S} + r \subset S \}.$$  \hfill (2.10)

One can subsequently show (see [2] resp. [11] for details) that if $\rho$ is a morphism localized in a cone $S$ spacelike to $r$ (i.e. there exists a $\hat{S} \in \mathcal{S}(r)$ such that $\hat{S} \subset S'$) then $\rho$ extends uniquely to an endomorphism $\rho^r$ of $B^r$ which is weakly continuous on all $\mathcal{A}(S')$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}(r)$. Thus one can proceed in very much the same way as in the DHR-analysis; in particular, one defines the composition of sectors via the composition of the corresponding morphisms on $B^r$. However, one has to check that all structural properties are independent of the choice of the direction $r$.

To avoid singling out this 'auxiliary direction' one can embed all $B^r$ into an even larger $C^*$-algebra, the universal algebra $\mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$, which can be uniquely characterized by the following universality conditions (this construction was proposed in [15] and further developed in [16] and [14, II]):

- there are unital embeddings $i^I : \mathcal{A}(I) \to \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ such that for all $I, J \in \mathcal{K} := \{ S, S' | S \in \mathcal{S} \}$

$$i^J|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = i^I \quad \text{if } I \subset J$$  \hfill (2.11)
and \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) is generated by the algebras \( i^I(\mathcal{A}(I)) \).

- for every family of normal representations \((\pi^I)_{I \in \mathcal{K}}, \pi^I : \mathcal{A}(I) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)\) which satisfies the compatibility condition

\[
\pi^J|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \pi^I \quad \text{if } I \subset J,
\]

there is a unique representation \( \pi \) of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) in \( \mathcal{H}_\pi \) such that

\[
\pi \circ i^I = \pi^I.
\] (2.13)

The usefulness of this definition is due to the fact that the endomorphisms \( \varrho^* \) have a common extension to an endomorphism \( \varrho \) of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) such that the unique extensions of \( \pi \) and \( \pi_0 \) to \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) (which shall be denoted by the same symbols) satisfy \(^2\)

\[
\pi = \pi_0 \circ \varrho.
\] (2.14)

However, in general the vacuum representation \( \pi_0 \) is no longer faithful on \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) (see [14, II] for details).

The endomorphisms \( \varrho \) one obtains are localized in some \( I \in \mathcal{K} \) in the following sense [21].

**Definition 2.1** An endomorphism \( \varrho \) of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) is called localizable within \( I \in \mathcal{K} \) if for all \( I_0 \subset I \), \( I_0 \in \mathcal{K} \) there exists a unitary \( U \in \mathcal{A}(I) \) such that

\[
\varrho(A) = \text{Ad}U(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}(I_0^\prime),
\]

\[
\text{Ad}U^* \circ \varrho(\mathcal{A}(I_1)) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_1), \quad I_1 \supset I_0, I_1 \in \mathcal{K}.
\]

An endomorphism \( \varrho \) is called transportable if for every \( I \in \mathcal{K} \) there exists an endomorphism \( \varrho^* \) of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) which is localizable within \( I \) and is inner equivalent to \( \varrho \), i.e. there exists a unitary \( U \in \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) such that \( \varrho^* = \text{Ad}U \circ \varrho \).

Note that endomorphisms which are localizable within \( I \) are not necessarily localizable within \( J \supset I \). However transportable endomorphisms which are

\(^2\)From now on we shall consider \( \mathcal{A}(I), I \in \mathcal{K} \) as abstract subalgebras of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) and only \( \pi(A) \) (resp. \( \pi_0(A) \)) as operators on the vacuum Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_0 \).
localizable within some region are automatically localized in every larger region.

Let \( \Delta \) denote the set of transportable endomorphisms and \( \Delta(I) \) the subset of transportable endomorphisms which are localizable within \( I \).

In the \( s + 1 \)-dimensional situation, \( s \geq 3 \), it is possible to embed \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \) into a net of field algebras \( \mathcal{F} \) which transform covariantly under some compact group of internal symmetries and satisfy graded locality [22]. These fields may generate single particle states from the vacuum, and one can use them for the construction of multiparticle scattering states by the standard recipe of the Haag-Ruelle theory [23, 13]. At the time when [6] and [2] were written the existence of field algebras was not established, so a different method for the construction of scattering states had to be used. This method is based on the fact that the partial intertwiners which exist between representations satisfying a localizability condition of the type (2.5) behave in many respects in the same way as field operators. A convenient formalism for the description of these partial intertwiners is the so-called field bundle introduced in [6, 11]. In cases where nontrivial braid group statistics occurs a general construction of field algebras is difficult (see, however, [24, 25]) Interestingly enough, the exchange algebra formalism of chiral conformal field theory [17] is equivalent to the field bundle formalism [14, 1].

In our case, the field bundle is defined as follows. We fix a spacelike cone \( S_0 \).

(i) We describe vectors \( \Psi \) in some representation \( \pi_0 \circ g \) by a pair \( \Psi = \{ g; \Psi \} \) and consider \( \Delta(S_0) \times \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H} \) as a hermitian vector bundle over \( \Delta(S_0) \), where on every fiber \( \mathcal{H}_0 = \{ g \} \times \mathcal{H}_0 \) the scalar product is that of \( \mathcal{H}_0 \).

(ii) Generalized field operators are pairs \( B = \{ g; B \} \in \Delta(S_0) \times \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \). They act on \( \mathcal{H} \) by

\[
\{ \hat{\varphi}; B \} \{ g; \Psi \} = \{ g \circ \pi_0 \circ \varphi(B) \Psi \}
\]

and possess the norm \( \| \{ \hat{\varphi}; B \} \| := \| B \| \). The fibers \( \{ g \} \times \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) are linear spaces isomorphic to \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \). In addition, there is an associative multiplication law of field operators (consistent with the above action on \( \mathcal{H} \))

\[
\{ \varphi_1; B_1 \} \{ \varphi_2; B_2 \} := \{ \varphi_2 \varphi_1; \varphi_2(B_1)B_2 \}.
\]
Observables correspond to field operators of the form \( \{id; A\}, A \in \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \).

(iii) An element \( T \) of the global algebra \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) with the property \( g_1(A)T = Tg(A) \), \( A \in \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) for two morphisms \( g \) and \( g_1 \) is called an \textit{intertwiner} from \( g \) to \( g_1 \). It induces the actions

\[
(g_1 | T | g) \{ g; \Psi \} = \{ g_1; \pi_0(T)\Psi \}, \quad (g_1 | T | g) \circ \{ g; B \} = \{ g_1; TB \}.
\]

(2.17)

(iv) Poincaré transformations are implemented in the field bundle in the following way. Let \( U_\varphi(g) \) be the representation of \( \overline{P}_+^1 \) corresponding to \( \pi = \pi_0 \circ \varphi \) (see (2.3)) then

\[
U_\varphi(g) \{ g; \Psi \} = \{ g; U_\varphi(g)\Psi \}
\]

(2.18)

\[
\alpha(g) \{ g; B \} = \{ g; Y_\varphi(g)\alpha_L(g)B \},
\]

(2.19)

where \( \alpha_L \) denotes the extension of \( \alpha_L \) from \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \) to \( \mathcal{A}_0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \) and

\[
Y_\varphi(g) = \pi_0^{-1}(U_\varphi(g) U_0(L(g))^{-1}).
\]

(2.20)

(2.19) is to be understood only for \( g \) sufficiently close to the identity in order to make sure that there is a path \( L(t) \) in the homotopy class \( g \) such that \( U_\varphi(L(t))S_0 \) is spacelike to some spacelike direction \( r \). Then \( U_\varphi(g) U_0(L(g))^{-1} \in \pi_0(B^r) \). This guarantees that the preimage under \( \pi_0 \) is well-defined since \( \pi_0 \) is faithful on \( B^r \). The general case is obtained by successive use of (2.19).

Poincaré transformations commute with intertwiners, i.e. if \( T \) is an intertwiner from \( g \) to \( g_1 \), then

\[
\pi_0(T) U_\varphi(g) = U_\varphi(g) \pi_0(T).
\]

(2.21)

Finally, the representation in the fiber \( g_1 g_2 \) is related to the ones in the fibers \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) by

\[
U_{g_1 g_2}(g) = \pi_0 \circ g_1 (Y_{g_2}(g)) U_{g_2}(g)
\]

(2.22)

(see [14, II] for details).
(v) A necessary condition for a generalized field operator \( \mathbf{B} = \{g; B\} \) to be localized in \( I \in \mathcal{K} \) is that \( B \) intertwines the identity with \( g \) on \( \mathcal{A}(I') \). But due to the existence of global self-intertwiners, the intertwining property of \( B \) is too weak for a derivation of commutation relations between spacelike separated generalized fields. Instead one characterizes the localization by a path in \( \mathcal{K} \), i.e., a finite sequence \( i_0 \in \mathcal{K} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, n \) with \( I_0 = S_0 \) and such that either \( I_i \subset I_{i-1} \) or \( I_i \supset I_{i-1} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). For each \( i \) there is some unitary \( U_i \in \mathcal{A}(I_i \cup I_{i-1}) \) such that

\[
\text{Ad} U_i \cdots U_1 \circ g \in \Delta(I_i).
\]

Then \( \{g, B\} \) is called localized in \((I_0, \ldots, I_n)\) if

\[
U_n \cdots U_1 B \in \mathcal{A}(I_n).
\]  

(2.23)

The concept of localization described above is an extension of the corresponding notion in [6] following ideas of [14, II]. Clearly, the localization depends only on the homotopy class \( \tilde{I} \) of a path \((I_0, \ldots, I_n)\) where homotopy is defined in the obvious way. The set of these classes shall be denoted by \( \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \) and the set of field operators localized in \( \tilde{I} \) by \( \mathcal{F}(\tilde{I}) \).

Let us now consider paths with the same endpoint. They differ (up to homotopy) by a closed path \( \gamma = (I_0, \ldots, I_k) \) with \( I_k = I_0 \). We choose associated intertwiners \( U_1, \ldots, U_k \) with \( \pi_0(U_k \cdots U_1) = 1 \). Then \( \gamma \mapsto U(\gamma) = U_k \cdots U_1 \) is a representation of the homotopy group by unitary elements of \( \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}) \).

In a next step we look at products of field operators with mutually spacelike localization. Here \((I_0, \ldots, I_n) = \tilde{I} \) and \((J_0, \ldots, J_k) = \tilde{J} \), \( I_0 = J_0 = S_0 \), are called mutually spacelike if the endpoint of \( I \), \( \epsilon(I) = I_n \), is spacelike separated from \( \epsilon(J) = J_k \). Let \( \mathbf{B}_i = \{g_i, B_i\} \) be localized in \( \tilde{I}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\sigma(n)} \cdots \mathbf{B}_{\sigma(1)} = \varepsilon \circ \mathbf{B}_n \cdots \mathbf{B}_1,
\]  

(2.24)

where \( \varepsilon \) is an intertwiner from \( g_1 \cdots g_n \) to \( g_{\sigma(1)} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)} \). \( \varepsilon \) depends on the endomorphisms \( g_i \in \Delta(S_0) \), on the localizations \( \tilde{I}_i \) and on the permutation \( \sigma \). It is described in terms of a unitary representation of the groupoid of colored braids on the cylinder [14, II]. The associated braid can be obtained by the following geometrical construction.

Mutually spacelike paths \( \tilde{I}_i \) are continuously deformed to paths \( \gamma_i \) on the set of spatial directions in some Lorentz frame, i.e., to paths on the circle \( S^1 \) with a fixed initial point \( z_0 \) corresponding to \( I_0 \) and disjoint endpoints \( z_i \) corresponding to the endpoints \( \epsilon(\tilde{I}_i) \) of \( \tilde{I}_i \). On the cylinder \( S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \) we choose
The braid corresponding to the permutation $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1$ in the special case where all three paths $\gamma_i$ have trivial winding number and thus can be represented as paths in the plane.

points $(z_0, i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and paths $\Gamma_i$ from $(z_0, i)$ to $(z_0, \sigma(i))$,

$$\Gamma_i = (\gamma_i^{-1}, \sigma(i)) \circ (z_i, i \rightarrow \sigma(i)) \circ (\gamma_i, i).$$

(2.25)

The braid is now the usual equivalence class of the family of strands $\Gamma_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (see for example figure 1, where the 3rd dimension is introduced for visualizing the parameter of the paths $(z_i, i \rightarrow \sigma(i))$).

By the standard techniques of algebraic field theory (see [6, 14] for more details) it follows that $\varepsilon$ is invariant under small deformations of $\tilde{I}_1, \ldots, \tilde{I}_n$ — so equivalent families $\Gamma_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ give the same intertwiner $\varepsilon$ — and that the braid relations are respected.

For the calculation of scalar products of scattering state vectors in the next section we need the notion of a left inverse of an endomorphism $\varrho \in \Delta(S_0)$ (see [14], [26], [27] for more details): A left inverse $\phi$ of a $\varrho$ is a positive mapping of $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ mapping $\mathcal{A}(S_0)$ into itself such that $\phi \circ \varrho = \text{id}$ and such that $\varrho \circ \phi$ is a conditional expectation from $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M})$ to $\varrho(\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}))$. If $\varrho$ is irreducible, i.e. $\pi \circ \varrho$ is irreducible, and has finite statistics, a property which is automatically satisfied for irreducible single particle representations [26], the left inverse is unique. If $\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n \in \Delta(S_0)$ are irreducible with finite
statistics, the product $\rho = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_n$ is not irreducible, in general, and there is no unique left inverse. But there is a so-called standard left inverse which is given by

$$\phi = \phi_n \cdots \phi_1$$

(2.26)

with $\phi_i$ the unique left inverse of $\rho_i$, $i = 1, \ldots , n$. The standard left inverse is a trace on the algebra of local self-intertwiners of $\rho$, i.e. if $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(S_0)$ commute with $\rho(\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{M}))$ then

$$\phi(ST) = \phi(TS).$$

(2.27)

Some of the following formulae are more easily expressed in terms of right inverses of endomorphisms which have been recently introduced by Roberts [28]. The right inverse of $\rho$ is only defined on the class of intertwiners of the form $(\rho' | T | \rho')$. For such an intertwiner, the right inverse, $\chi_\rho(T)$, is an intertwiner from $\rho'$ to $\rho''$. If $\rho$ has a conjugate representation, a right inverse of $\rho$ can be defined as

$$\chi_\rho(T) = \rho''(R)^* T' \rho'(R),$$

(2.28)

where $R$ is an isometric intertwiner from the vacuum representation to $\rho \bar{\rho}$. Roberts has shown that there is a unique right inverse, the standard right inverse, which agrees with the standard left inverse on local self-intertwiners. The standard right inverse is unique for irreducible $\rho$ with finite statistics. Furthermore, the product of standard right inverses is the standard right inverse of the composite endomorphism.

We also need a version of the cluster theorem [6] which is adapted to the present situation. Let $B_i = \{g_i, R_i\} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}_i), i = 2, 4$ with $\hat{I}_2 = \hat{I}_4$ and let $B_j = \{g_j, R_j\}, j = 1, 3$ be products of field operators. For fixed $\epsilon$, $\epsilon^2 = 1$ let $\tau$ be the supremum of $|t|$ for all $t$ for which all the field operators in $B_1$ and $B_3$ are spacelike localized with respect to $I_2 + t\epsilon$. Furthermore, let $T$ be an intertwiner from $\rho_1 \rho_2$ to $\rho_3 \rho_4$. We are interested in the leading behavior of $(B_1 B_3 \Omega, T B_i B_1 \Omega)$ for large $\tau$. Let us assume that $\rho_4$ is irreducible with finite statistics with right inverse $\chi_4$ and denote by $\{W_j\}$ a (possibly empty) orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space of local intertwiners from $\rho_4$ to $\rho_2$. Then we have the following
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Lemma 2.2

\[ \left( B_4 B_3 \Omega, T B_2 B_1 \Omega \right) - \sum_j \left( B_3 \Omega, \chi_j(T \varrho_1(W_j)) B_1 \Omega \right) (B_4, W_j^* B_2 \Omega) \leq e^{-\nu r} \prod_i ||B_i||. \]  

(2.29)

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 7.3 in [6, II]. However, introducing the concept of a right inverse makes the present proof in our opinion conceptually much clearer. In particular, it demonstrates that the proof extends directly to the case of non-trivial statistics.

The field bundle is invariant (up to isomorphism) under changing the location of \( S_0 \) locally. Therefore the same is true globally, where, however, the isomorphism depends on the homotopy class of the path connecting the two different localizations of \( S_0 \). Using this property, we can assume without loss of generality that the localization of \( \tilde{I}_2 = \tilde{I}_4 \) coincides with \( S_0 \). We calculate

\[
\left( B_4 B_3 \Omega, T B_2 B_1 \Omega \right) = \left( \left( \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}} \times R_4 \right) B_3 \Omega, B_4^1 (TB_2 B_1 \Omega) \right) \\
= \left( B_3 \Omega, \left( \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}} \times R_4^* \right) \left(T \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) B_4^1 B_2 B_1 \Omega \right) \\
= \left( B_3 \Omega, \left( \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}} \times R_4^* \right) \left(T \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \varepsilon(\varrho_2 \tilde{\varrho}_4, \varrho_1) B_1 B_4^1 B_2 \Omega \right),
\]

(2.30)

where \( \tilde{R}_4 \) is the local isometric intertwiner from the vacuum to \( \varrho_4 \tilde{\varrho}_4 \) which appears in the definition of the adjoint operator in the field bundle formalism and \( \varepsilon(\varrho_2 \tilde{\varrho}_4, \varrho_1) \) depends on the localization of \( B_1 \) relative to \( S_0 \). (Here we use the usual notation for the intertwiner calculus in the field bundle, see e.g. [6] for definitions.)

The usual cluster theorem (see for example [29] for a proof) implies that the scalar product is dominated by the contribution of the vacuum sector in \( B_4^1 B_2 \Omega \). Inserting the corresponding projector onto the vacuum

\[
\sum_j \left| \left( W_j \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \tilde{R}_4 \Omega \right|^2 \left| \left( W_j \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \tilde{R}_4 \Omega \right| \]

(2.31)

into the scalar product, we can conclude that the right hand side of (2.30) is

\[
\sum_j \left( B_3 \Omega, \left( \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}} \times R_4^* \right) \left(T \varrho_1(W_j) \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \varepsilon(\varrho_2 \tilde{\varrho}_4, \varrho_1) B_1 \tilde{R}_4 \Omega \right) \left( R_4 \Omega, B_4^1 W_j^* B_2 \Omega \right)
\]

(2.32)
up to a term which is smaller than the right hand side of (2.29). We observe that the second term in the product (for each $j$) is just
\[ (R_4 \Omega, B_j \Omega^* B_2 \Omega) = (B_4 \Omega, W_j^* B_2 \Omega). \]  
(2.33)
We can commute the intertwiner $R_4$ past $B_1$ in the first term in the product, and thus obtain (for each $j$)
\[ (B_3 \Omega, (\mathbb{1} \times R_4^*) (T \chi_j(W_j) \times \mathbb{1}) \varepsilon(\varphi_4 \varphi_1, \varphi_1) (\mathbb{1} \times R_4) B_1 \Omega). \]  
(2.34)
It remains to show that the product of intertwiners in this expression is $\chi_d(T \varrho_1(W_j))$. As the localization of $\hat{I}_2 = \hat{I}_4$ is $S_0$, we can write the $\varepsilon$ intertwiner as
\[ \varepsilon(\varphi_4 \varphi_1, \varphi_1) = V_1^{-1} \varphi_4 \varphi_1(V_1), \]  
(2.35)
where $V_1$ is an intertwiner from $\varphi_1$, localized in $S_0$, to $\varrho_1$ whose localization corresponds to the localization of the different operators in the operator product $B_1$. Thus (cf. [14, II (2.21)])
\[
\varepsilon(\varphi_4 \varphi_1, \varphi_1) \tilde{R}_4 = V_1^{-1} \varphi_4 \varphi_1(V_1) \tilde{R}_4 \\
= V_1^{-1} \tilde{R}_4 V_1 \\
= V_1^{-1} \hat{\varrho}_1(\tilde{R}_4)V_1 \\
= \varphi_1(\tilde{R}_4),
\]  
(2.36)
where, in the third line, we have used that $\tilde{R}_4$ is local and thus that $\hat{\varrho}_1(\tilde{R}_4) = \tilde{R}_4$. By (2.28) the product of intertwiners is indeed just $\chi_d(T \varrho_1(W_j))$. \hfill \Box

3 The structure of scattering states

To construct multiparticle scattering states one wants to follow the general recipe of the Haag-Ruelle theory (for an introduction see [13]): one first constructs almost local one particle creation operators $B_i$ (here almost localized in spacelike cones) and propagates them to other times by using the Klein Gordon equation. In this way one obtains operators $B_i(t)$ which are essentially localized at time $t$ and create one particle state vectors $\Psi_i = B_i(t) \Omega$ independent of $t$. Then one proves convergence of
\[ B_n(t) \cdots B_1(t) \Omega \]  
(3.1)
for $t \to \pm \infty$ and interprets the limits as the outgoing or incoming, respectively, scattering state vectors corresponding to single particle vectors $\Psi_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$.

The scalar products of scattering state vectors can be computed by using the cluster theorem. In the case of finitely localized sectors one can finally show that the scattering vectors do not depend on the choice of the operators $\mathbf{B}_i(t)$ nor on the choice of the time direction but only on the single particle vectors $\Psi_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$. In the case of sectors localized in spacelike cones this remains true in $s + 1$ dimensional spacetime with $s > 2$ [2], but it definitely fails for $s = 2$ if the sectors have nontrivial braid group statistics.

This is the reason why the multiparticle space, considered as a representation space of the Poincaré group, is in general not isomorphic to a tensor product of one particle spaces [7]. A satisfactory description of the scattering space in terms of asymptotic fields does not yet exist. However, the structure of this space can be completely computed and turns out to be identical to the structure proposed by Mund and Schrader [8].

In a first step we show that a manifestly Lorentz invariant formulation of the Haag Ruelle theory is possible. In this formulation each particle propagates in its own rest frame.

Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{I}})$ for some localization $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ be such that the energy momentum spectrum $\text{sp}_U \mathbf{B} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{M}$ contains an isolated mass shell $H_m$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M})$ have a Fourier transform $\tilde{f}$ with compact support in $V_+$ such that $\text{supp} \tilde{f} \cap \text{sp}_U \mathbf{B} \mathbf{M} \subset H_m$. Then we define

$$\mathbf{B}(t) := \int d^3 x \ f_t(x) \ a_x(\mathbf{B}),$$

where

$$f_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int d^3 p \ e^{-ip \cdot x + i(\frac{2m^2}{2m^2} - \frac{\mu}{2m^2}) \ t} \tilde{f}(p).$$

$\mathbf{B}(t) \mathbf{O} = \Psi$ is an eigenvector of the mass operator $M^2 = P^2$ with eigenvalue $m^2$ and it does not depend on $t$. The functions $f_t$ have the following properties:

**Lemma 3.1** Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M})$ have a Fourier transform $\tilde{f}$ with compact support. Then the following two statements hold:

(i) There exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int d^3 x \ |f_t(x)| < c_0(1 + |t|^3).$$

(ii) There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int d^3 x \ |f_t(x)|^2 < c_1(1 + |t|^3) \int d^3 x \ |f_t(x)|.$$
(ii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|f_t(x)| < C \left| \text{dist}(x, \frac{p}{m} t) + 1 \right|^N$$

for all $p \in \text{supp} \hat{f}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{dist}(\frac{q}{T}, \frac{p}{m}) > \varepsilon \forall q \in \text{supp} \hat{f}$. Here dist means an Euclidean metric on $\mathcal{M}$.

The proof follows by standard techniques of the stationary phase approximation (see e.g. [30]).

Let $V_i(f) = \{ v \in \mathcal{M}, \text{dist}(v, \frac{p}{m}) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } p \in \text{supp} \hat{f} \}$. It follows from the lemma that the operators $\mathbf{B}(t)$ can be approximated by operators $\mathbf{B}_i(t) \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{I} + tV_i(f))$,

$$\mathbf{B}_i(t) = \int_{V_i(f)} d^3x \ f_t(x) \mathbf{a}_x(\mathbf{B}), \quad (3.4)$$

such that $\|\mathbf{B}(t) - \mathbf{B}_i(t)\| < c_N |t|^{-N}$ for suitable constants $c_N$. Moreover, the norms of these operators are bounded by $\|\mathbf{B}(t)\| < c(1 + |t|^3)$.

We now consider a configuration $\hat{I}_i \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{B}_i \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}_i)$, $f_i \in C_0^\infty(V_+)$, $\epsilon_t > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ such that the regions $\hat{I}_i + tV_i(f_i)$ are mutually spacelike for large $t$. Then the limit

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \mathbf{B}_n(t) \cdots \mathbf{B}_1(t) \Omega \quad (3.5)$$

exists and may be interpreted as a vector describing an outgoing configuration of $n$ particles with state vectors $\Psi_n = \mathbf{B}_i(t) \Omega$. As long as the localizations $\hat{I}_i$ are kept fixed the scattering vectors depend only on these 1-particle vectors, hence we may write

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \mathbf{B}_n(t) \cdots \mathbf{B}_1(t) \Omega = (\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1). \quad (3.6)$$

It is also easy to see how the Poincaré group acts and how the scattering vectors depend on the order of one particle vectors:

$$\mathbf{U}(L)(\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1) = (\mathbf{U}(L)\Psi_n, \hat{L}_n) \times \cdots \times (\mathbf{U}(L)\Psi_1, \hat{L}_1). \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(\Psi_{\sigma(n)}, \hat{I}_{\sigma(n)}) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_{\sigma(1)}, \hat{I}_{\sigma(1)}) = \varepsilon(b)(\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1), \quad (3.8)$$
where \( b \) is the cylinder braid defined in Section 2. Note that \( \varepsilon \) acts via the vacuum representation. Since the intertwiner describing the transition from \( \hat{I}_i \) to other sheets is trivially represented in the vacuum, \( \pi_0 \circ \varepsilon \) is actually a representation of the groupoid of colored braids on the plane.

In order to understand the dependence of the scattering vectors on the localizations \( \hat{I}_i \in \hat{K} \) let us assume that there exists for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) a localization \( \hat{J}_j \in \hat{K} \), a field operator \( C_j \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{J}_j) \) and a test function \( g_j \) with \( \text{supp} g_j \subset m_j V_i(f_j) \) such that \( (C_j(t)) \) defined in analogy to (3.2)

\[
\Psi_j = C_j(t)\Omega, 
\]

and \( \hat{J}_j + tV_i(f_j) \) is spacelike to \( \hat{I}_i + tV_i(f_i) \) for \( i \neq j \) and for large \( t \). If \( j = 1 \) the scattering vector does not change when \( B_j(t) \) is replaced by \( C_j(t) \). If \( j \neq 1 \) we first commute \( B_j(t) \) to the right, then replace it by \( C_j(t) \) and commute it back to the \( j \)-th place. The whole procedure amounts to an application of an intertwiner \( \varepsilon(b) \) to the scattering vector where \( b \) is a pure cylinder braid obtained by the prescription in Section 2.

We now turn to a computation of the scalar product of scattering vectors. Let \( V_i \subset H_1 \) be compact and \( \hat{I}_i \in \hat{K} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) such that for suitable neighborhoods \( V_i^\circ \) of \( V_i \) in \( V_+ \) the regions \( tV_i^\circ + \hat{I}_i \) are mutually spacelike for large \( t \), and let \( f_i \) be test-functions with \( \text{supp} f_i \subset V_i^\circ \). Let \( B_i, C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}_i) \) with associated single particle representations \( \varrho_i \) and \( \sigma_i \), respectively, \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Let \( T \in \mathcal{A}(S_0) \) be an intertwiner from \( \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n \) to \( \varrho_1 \cdots \varrho_n \). Then, with \( \Psi_i = B_i(t)\Omega, \Phi_i = C_i(t)\Omega \), we find the following

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( \varrho_i \) be the unique left inverse of \( \varrho_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \).

(i) If \( \varrho_i \) is not equivalent to \( \sigma_i \) for some \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), then

\[
\left( (\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1), T (\Phi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Phi_1, \hat{I}_1) \right) = 0. \tag{3.10}
\]

(ii) If \( \varrho_i = \sigma_i, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), then

\[
\left( (\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1), T (\Phi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Phi_1, \hat{I}_1) \right) = \phi_n \cdots \phi_1(T) \prod_i (\Psi_i, \Phi_i). \tag{3.11}
\]
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Proof. We apply recursively Lemma 2.2 to the left hand side of the above expression. If \( \varrho_i \) is not equivalent to \( \sigma_i \) for some \( i \), we obtain zero. Otherwise, we have

\[
\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n (T) \prod_i (\Psi_i, \Phi_i),
\]

(3.12)

\( \chi_1 \cdots \chi_n \) is the standard right inverse on \( \varrho_1 \cdots \varrho_n \), and therefore agrees with the standard left inverse \( \phi_n \cdots \phi_1 \) on local intertwiners. \( \square \)

Thus the scattering vectors depend in a continuous way on the one particle vectors. Since \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H} \) for all \( \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{K} \), we find, by going to the closure, all scattering states corresponding to single particle states with prescribed momentum support.

For a single particle representations \( \varrho \) with mass \( m_\varrho \), let \( \mathcal{H}_\varrho (V) = \{ \Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\varrho, \text{sp} \Psi \subseteq m_\varrho V \} \). Given an irreducible endomorphism \( \sigma \in \Delta(S_0) \), let \( \mathcal{H}_{\varrho, \sigma} \subset \mathcal{H}_\sigma \) denote the Hilbert space spanned by the scattering vectors

\[
T (\Psi_n, \tilde{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \tilde{I}_1),
\]

(3.13)

where \( \Psi_i \in \mathcal{H}_\varrho (V_i), \ V = (V_1, \ldots, V_n), \ \varrho = (\varrho_1, \ldots, \varrho_n) \) and \( T \in \mathcal{A}(S_0) \) is an intertwiner from \( \varrho_1 \cdots \varrho_n \) to \( \sigma \). Finally, we define

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\varrho^{(0)}, \varrho^{(0)} \cdots \varrho^{(0)}, \sigma} = \left( \bigotimes_i \mathcal{H}_\varrho (V_i) \right) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\varrho^{(0)} \cdots \varrho^{(0)}, \sigma},
\]

(3.14)

where \( \mathcal{H}_{\varrho^{(0)} \cdots \varrho^{(0)}, \sigma} \) is the space of intertwiners \( T \in \mathcal{A}(S_0) \) from \( \varrho_1 \cdots \varrho_n \) to \( \sigma \), equipped with the scalar product

\[
(S, T) \mathbb{I} = \phi_n \cdots \phi_1 (S^* T) = TS^* \frac{d_\sigma}{d_{\varrho_1} \cdots d_{\varrho_n}},
\]

(3.15)

where \( d_\varrho \) is the statistical dimension of \( \varrho \in \Delta(S_0) \) and \( TS^* \) is a multiple of the identity as a local self-intertwiner of the irreducible endomorphism \( \sigma \in \Delta(S_0) \).

Theorem 3.2 implies that for each configuration \( \mathcal{I} = (\tilde{I}_1, \ldots, \tilde{I}_n), \tilde{I}_i \in \mathcal{K} \) such that the sets \( \tilde{I}_i + tV_i \) are mutually spacelike for large \( t \) and for each unitary local self-intertwiner \( U \) of \( \varrho_1 \cdots \varrho_n \), there exists an isometric embedding

\[
i(\mathcal{I}, U) : \mathcal{H}_{\varrho^{(0)}, \sigma} \to \mathcal{H}_\sigma
\]

(3.16)
given by

$$(\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n) \otimes T \mapsto T U (\Psi_n, \tilde{I}_n) \times T (\Psi_1, \tilde{I}_1).$$

(3.17)

Embeddings related to different choices of $\tilde{I}$ are related by a pure braid which acts from the right on the intertwiner $U$. This braid can be chosen to be a local intertwiner, as it acts via the vacuum representation (cf. (2.17)). Thus the space of scattering states $\mathcal{H}_{V,0,0}$ does not depend on $\tilde{I}$ or $U$.

It is easy to see that the embeddings do not change when the localizations are translated or made smaller. Hence we may label the configurations $\tilde{I}$ by points $\tilde{r}_i$ in the covering space of the spacelike hyperboloid $\{x \in \mathcal{M}, x^2 = -1\}$. Moreover, the embeddings are locally constant in $\tilde{r}_2, \ldots, \tilde{r}_n$ and are globally constant in $\tilde{r}_1$.

To describe the global structure of the space of scattering states let us introduce the following notation. Let $e_0 \in H_1$ be arbitrary. A configuration of disjoint particle velocities $q_i = \frac{p_i}{m_i} \neq q_j = \frac{p_j}{m_j}, i \neq j$, is called regular (with respect to $e_0$) if there are mutually spacelike cones $S_i$ with apex $e_0$ such that $q_i \in S_i$ (in particular $q_i \neq e_0$). To a regular configuration $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ we associate a configuration of spacelike directions $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$, with $r_i = q_i - e_0$.

We now pick a regular "reference" configuration $q^0$ and choose $n$ homotopy classes of paths of spacelike cones $\tilde{I}_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ whose endpoints $\eta(\tilde{I}_i)$ correspond to the canonical directions $r^0_i$. We label these homotopy classes by $\tilde{r}^0_i$. We also choose a unitary local self-intertwiner $U^0$. These choices then determine a reference embedding $i(\tilde{r}^0, U^0)$ for a neighborhood $V^0$ of $q^0$. (Note that $V^0$ may contain also nonregular points.)

In the next step, we cover the configuration space of non-coinciding velocities by embeddings around regular configurations $q$. (This is possible.) We label these embeddings by paths $\gamma_q$ from $q^0$ to $q$ which have the property that none of the $n$ velocities passes through $e_0$. The embedding corresponding to $\gamma_q$ is then specified in the following way. As before, we describe the spacelike directions $r^0$ by the $n$ points $(r^0_1, 1), \ldots, (r^0_n, n)$ on the cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. As long as $q(t)$ is ordered, the corresponding path $r(t)$ is canonically determined. At a critical point, where $q(t)$ ceases to be ordered, two directions $r_i$ and $r_j$ coincide. In a neighborhood of this critical point we define $r(t)$

\footnote{This is the generic case. The general case is covered by the geometrical description given below.}
by the following prescription: we move the direction \( r_k \) corresponding to the smaller velocity from \((r_k, k)\) to \((r_k, 1/2)\), then change the two directions past each other and finally move \((r_k', 1/2)\) back to \((r_k', k)\). Geometrically, this means that the points \( r(t) \) on the cylinder, viewed from the \((S^1, 0)\)-end of the cylinder and looking in the long direction, perform the same motion as the velocities \( q(t) \) when viewed from \( e_0 \) (compare figure 2). We denote the so determined path \( r(t) \) by \( \gamma^r = (\gamma^r_1, \ldots, \gamma^r_n) \).

Each path \( \gamma^r_i \) lifts to a unique path \( \tau^r_i \) from \( r_i^0 \) to \( \hat r_i \). We denote the corresponding configuration by \( \hat r = (\hat r_1, \ldots, \hat r_n) \). Each path \( \gamma_q \) therefore determines a pure braid on the cylinder, namely the homotopy class

\[
b(\gamma_q) = (\hat r)^{-1} \circ \gamma^r \circ (\hat r^0).
\]

Note that \( b \) defines a homomorphism of the groupoid of colored braids on the cylinder (corresponding to paths \( \gamma_q \), where \( q \) is a permutation of \( q^0 \)) to the pure braid group of the cylinder. This homomorphism is actually an automorphism of the pure braid group of the cylinder when restricted to closed paths \( \gamma_q^0 \). (Both properties can be easily seen from the geometrical description.) Note also, that the image of a given path does not depend on the choice of \( e_0 \) locally.

The embedding corresponding to \( \gamma_q \) is then determined by

\[
i(\gamma_q) = i\left(\hat r, t^0 \varepsilon(b(\gamma_q))^{-1}\right).
\]

Next we want to calculate transition functions between different embeddings. Let \( q' \in V_1 \cap V_2 \), where \( V_i \) is the neighborhood of \( q \); for which the

Figure 2: A path \( q(t) \) and the corresponding path \( r(t) \).
corresponding embedding is well defined. In a first step we want to calculate the transition function between embeddings $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{q_1}$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma_{q_2}$ where $\gamma_2$ is obtained from $\gamma_1$ by composition with the path $q_1 \rightarrow q' \rightarrow q_2$ in $V_1 \cup V_2$. In this case we have the following lemma

**Lemma 3.3** The transition function between two such embeddings is given as

$$i^{-1}_2 \circ i_1 = \text{id}. \quad (3.20)$$

**Proof.** We have to calculate how the vector

$$i_1(\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n \otimes T) = T U_1 (\Psi_n, \tilde{I}_n^1) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \tilde{I}_1^1) \quad (3.21)$$

transforms under changing the localization cones $\tilde{I}^1$ to $\tilde{I}^2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\tilde{I}^2$ differs from $\tilde{I}^1$ only by the relative orientation of two adjoining cones, as the general case can be reduced to this case recursively. To calculate the unitary intertwiner in this case, we use (3.8) to commute the single particle vector corresponding to the smaller velocity to the right. We then change its localization to the localization corresponding to $\tilde{I}^2$ and commute it back, using (3.8) again. (We have to change the localization region of the vector with the smaller velocity in order to guarantee that the two localization regions become mutually spacelike for large $t$ for both $\tilde{I}^1$ and $\tilde{I}^2$.) Thus the unitary intertwiner which relates the two localizations is just the pure braid obtained from the path from $q_1$ to $q_2$ in $V_1 \cup V_2$ via (3.18). As the two embeddings differ by this braid, the transition function (3.20) is trivial.

Now let $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ and denote the projector onto $\mathcal{H}_{V_\sigma, \sigma}$ by $E_V$. For each $\gamma_q$ such that $i(\gamma_q)$ is an embedding $\mathcal{H}_{V_\sigma, \sigma}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\sigma$, we can associate to $E_V \Phi$

$$i(\gamma_q)^{-1}(E_V \Phi) \in \mathcal{H}_{V_\sigma, \sigma}^{(0)} \quad (3.22)$$

Because of the above lemma,

$$i(\gamma_q)^{-1}(E_{V \cap V'} \Phi) = i(\gamma_{q'})^{-1}(E_{V \cap V'} \Phi) \quad (3.23)$$

if $\gamma_q$ and $\gamma_{q'}$ differ by a path in $V \cup V'$. Thus we can extend the map

$$\Phi(\gamma_q) = i(\gamma_q)^{-1}(E_V \Phi) \in \mathcal{H}_{V_\sigma, \sigma}^{(0)} \quad (3.24)$$
where \( V \) is some neighborhood of \( q \), to arbitrary (not necessarily regular) configurations \( q \) of noncoinciding velocities. As we have given an explicit definition of the embeddings (3.19), we can calculate the covariance property of \( \Phi(\gamma_q) \). We find

\[
\Phi(\gamma_q \circ \gamma_{q^0}) = \Phi(\gamma_q) \, U^0 \, \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_{q^0} \right) \right) \, (U^0)^{-1},
\]

where \( \gamma_{q^0} \) is a pure braid in the homotopy class of \( q^0 \), \( b \) is the automorphism of the pure braid group defined in (3.18), and the right action of \( \gamma_{q^0} \) is the global action of the structure group in the universal covering space. To prove (3.25) it is sufficient to consider product vectors

\[
E V \Phi = T (\Psi_n, \hat{I}_n) \times \cdots \times (\Psi_1, \hat{I}_1),
\]

where \( \hat{I} \) corresponds to \( \gamma_q \). Then the preimage under \( i(\gamma_q \circ \gamma_{q^0}) \) is given by

\[
\Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n \otimes T U^{-1}_{\gamma_q \circ \gamma_{q^0}},
\]

where we have

\[
U^{-1}_{\gamma_q \circ \gamma_{q^0}} = \left( U^0 \, \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_q \circ \gamma_{q^0} \right) \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} = \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_q \right) \right) \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_{q^0} \right) \right) \, (U^0)^{-1}
\]

\[
= \left( U^0 \, \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_q \right) \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \, U^0 \, \varepsilon \left( b \left( \gamma_{q^0} \right) \right) \, (U^0)^{-1}.
\]

This proves (3.25).

As the braids (3.18) are locally independent of \( \varepsilon_0 \), \( \Phi(\gamma_q) \) is locally independent of \( \varepsilon_0 \). To show that \( \Phi(\gamma_q) \) is also globally independent of \( \varepsilon_0 \), it is sufficient to prove that the covariance corresponding to the motion of any velocity around \( \varepsilon_0 \) is trivially represented. To this end let us observe that we can extend the covariance (3.25) to the colored braid group of the cylinder (cf. the above remark about \( b \)). Then, the fact that the motion of the first velocity around \( \varepsilon_0 \) is trivially represented already implies that the same holds for any velocity.

Now, if \( \mathcal{H}_{V,\varepsilon_0,\sigma} \) has the structure of a function space over the configuration space of non-coinciding velocities (this can be shown, for example, under the assumption of Lorentz covariance as assumed here), \( \Phi(\gamma_q) \) is a function on the universal covering space, possessing the covariance property (3.25).
These functions are in one-to-one correspondence with sections in the associated vector bundle. We have therefore shown that the space of scattering vectors has the structure of the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of an associated vector bundle over the configuration space of non-coinciding velocities. This is precisely the structure proposed by Mund and Schrader in [8].
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