ec

CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA

SCAN-9511216

E5-95-267

sw 2548

V.Zh.Sakbaev¹, P.E.Zhidkov²

SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN SPACES
OF MULTIFUNCTIONS DEFINED
IN MULTIPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS

Submitted to the Proceedings of the International Conference
«Symmetry Methods in Physics», Dubna, July 10—16, 1995

¹Moscow Physical Technical Institute, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: SAKBAEV@THEOR.JINRC.DUBNA.SU

²E-mail: ZHIDKOV@THEOR.JINRC.DUBNA.SU

1 Introduction

Certain problems of quantum physics require to study a Schrödinger equation in spaces of multifunctions. For example, this occurs in the known Aharonov-Bohm effect [1]. In this case, it is known that calculations with multifunctions and without the potential of the magnetic field and calculations with usual (one-valued) wave functions and with the magnetic potential give identical results. This fact leads, in particular, to the natural question: should we always follow the standard formalism of quantum mechanics with usual (one-valued) wave functions or (in the case of a multiply connected configuration space) we can extend the standard description admitting wave multifunctions?

In view of the above arguments, it seems natural to consider wave multifunctions in certain cases, as in the Aharonov-Bohm phenomenon. There are physical models (for example, one-dimensional ones when $x \in R$) which require to consider a Schrödinger equation with boundary conditions of the type $u(x+2\pi) = e^{i\theta}u(x)$ where $\theta \in R$. Obviously, this problem is equivalent to a consideration of a Schrödinger operator on the unit circle with wave multifunctions. Earlier, in this direction (in the approach with multifunctions) only configurations spaces with the simplest topology were investigated (for example, the problem was treated when the configuration space is a torus, see [2]).

We consider the general case of an arbitrary connected Hausdorff smooth (of the class C^∞) oriented Riemannian manifold M , $\dim M = d$, with a smooth boundary ∂M which may be empty. We carefully define multifunctions on M by analogy with the above simple cases, introduce spaces similar to L_2 or H_0^1 of these objects and prove the existence of a self-adjoint extension of a Schrödinger operator in these spaces. An approach to the definition of multifunctions similar to our approach but without mathematical accuracy is contained in the monograph [3]. Finally, we do not touch upon delicate questions of the Floquet theory (see [2]) and in our construction we do not vary parameters like the parameter θ .

2 Multifunctions

Let C be the set of continuous piecewise smooth maps from $[0, 1]$ into M ; $x_0 \in M$ be a fixed point; C_0 be the subset of the set C , $C_0 = \{\gamma \in C \mid \gamma(0) = x_0\}$; $C_1 = \{\gamma \in C_0 \mid \gamma(1) = x_0\}$. For any two paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C$ satisfying $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(1)$ we introduce their product $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 = \gamma$ where $\gamma(t) = \gamma_2(2t)$ if $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(2t - 1)$ for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. By analogy, $\gamma^{-1}(t) = \gamma(1 - t)$. As usual, we call two paths γ_1 and γ_2 from C_1 equivalent if there exists a continuous homotopy $\sigma(s, t)$, where $s, t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\sigma(0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $\sigma(1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$ and $\sigma(s, 0) = \sigma(s, 1) = x_0$. We denote the set of equivalence classes of paths by K . Then, in the set K one has a natural operator of multiplication: if $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $\gamma_1 \in k_1$, $\gamma_2 \in k_2$, then $k_1 \circ k_2$ is the class $k \in K$ containing the path $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2$.

Since M is a Riemannian manifold, M is a metric space with a distance $d(x, y)$ ($x, y \in M$). Then, one can introduce a distance in the set C , making it a metric space, by the rule

$$\rho(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \min_{s \in [0, 1]} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(s)) + \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \min_{s \in [0, 1]} d(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(t)).$$

(Axioms of the metric space can easily be verified). Further, by standard arguments, for any $\gamma_0 \in C_1$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\rho(\gamma_0, \gamma) < \epsilon$ for a path $\gamma \in C_1$, then γ is equivalent to γ_0 .

Definition 1. We say that a real function θ defined on C_0 is admissible iff

- 1) $\theta(\gamma) = 0$ for any path $\gamma \in C_1$ equivalent to the trivial one $\gamma_0(t) \equiv x_0$.
- 2) $\theta(\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2) = \theta(\gamma_1) + \theta(\gamma_2)$ for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_1$.

Remark 2. One can easily verify that there exists a non-trivial admissible function. Indeed, taking a closed smooth differential 1-form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x) dx_i \quad (\text{so that } d\omega = 0) \quad \text{and setting for any } \gamma \in C_0$$

$$\theta(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \omega,$$

we obtain a function θ satisfying Definition 1 because the property 2) is valid trivially and the property 1) follows from the Stokes theorem. We note that the above integral is well-defined since we consider only piecewise smooth paths. Second, although paths $\gamma \in C_0$ are piecewise smooth, it is clear that the above integral is constant for all paths $\gamma_1 \in C_0$ of the class C^∞ sufficiently close to γ with respect to the distance ρ if $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma(1)$. In addition, according to the known result, if two smooth paths are continuously homotopic, then they are smoothly homotopic (see [4]). So, our construction is correct.

Definition 3. We call a complex function f defined on C_0 the multifunction defined on M iff for any $x \in M$ and any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_0$ such that $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = x$ one has

$$f(\gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1)e^{i\theta(\gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2)}.$$

Let us show that there exist non-trivial multifunctions. Let $U \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be an open card diffeomorphic to the unit ball $B = B_1(0) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |z| < 1\}$ and f_0 be a complex function defined on M with a support in U . For any $\gamma \in C_0$, $\gamma(1) \notin U$ we set $f(\gamma) = 0$. Take arbitrary $x_1 \in U$ and fix a path $\gamma_0 \in C_0$: $\gamma_0(1) = x_1$. Let $\gamma \in C_0$ be an arbitrary path joining x_0 with $x \in U$. We set

$$f(\gamma) = f_0(\gamma(1))e^{i\theta(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \gamma)}$$

where σ is an arbitrary path from C joining x_1 with $\gamma(1)$ and contained in U . One can easily verify that $f(\gamma)$ is a well-defined multifunction.

Operations of addition of multifunctions and multiplication of a multifunction by a usual (one-valued) function have been introduced naturally ($f(\gamma) = f_1(\gamma) + f_2(\gamma)$ and $g(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma(1))f(\gamma)$ where f, f_1, f_2 and g are multifunctions and α is a one-valued function). Further, the complex adjoint function to a multifunction f is defined pointwise, too, and it is clear that this is a multifunction with respect to the admissible function $\theta_1 = -\theta$.

3 Continuity and differentiability of multifunctions

Let $\gamma_0 \in C_1$. Since there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma \in C_1$ is equivalent to γ_0 if

$$\rho(\gamma_0, \gamma) < \epsilon, \quad (1)$$

a multifunction f as a function of γ satisfying (1) is the usual (one-valued) function of $x = \gamma(1) \in M$, i. e. locally any multifunction is a function of points of the manifold M . (Indeed, if γ_1 and γ_2 are paths from C_0 satisfying (1) and $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1)$, then these two paths are homotopic, hence $f(\gamma_1) = f(\gamma_2)$ and therefore f is a function ϕ only of $x = \gamma_i(1)$.) Using these arguments, we introduce the following

Definition 4. *A multifunction f is called continuous (resp., infinitely differentiable in $M \setminus \partial M$) if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the function ϕ is continuous in x (resp., each function ϕ is infinitely differentiable in a neighborhood of the point $x_0 = \gamma_0(1) \notin \partial M$).*

Definition 5. *Let S be the set of all $x \in M$ such that for a given continuous multifunction f there exists a path γ , $\gamma(1) = x$, such that $f(\gamma) \neq 0$ and let $\bar{S}$ be the closure of the set S . We call $\bar{S}$ the support of f ($\bar{S} = \text{supp}(f)$).*

Definition 6. *By D_0^∞ we denote the set of infinitely differentiable in $M \setminus \partial M$ multifunctions, the support of each satisfying*

$$\text{dist}(\bar{S}, \partial M) > 0$$

where $\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} d(x, y)$.

4 The space of square-integrable multifunctions

Take arbitrary multifunctions f and g . We set $(f\bar{g})(\gamma) = f(\gamma)\bar{g}(\gamma)$ where $\bar{g}$ is the complex adjoint multifunction to g . We state that $f\bar{g}$

is a usual (one-valued) function on M depending only on $x = \gamma(1)$. Let us prove this statement.

Take arbitrary $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_0$ such that $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = x \in M$. We should prove that $f(\gamma_1)\bar{g}(\gamma_1) = f(\gamma_2)\bar{g}(\gamma_2)$, only, but according to the above results (see Section 2) $f(\gamma_1) = e^{i\theta}f(\gamma_2)$ and $\bar{g}(\gamma_1) = e^{-i\theta}\bar{g}(\gamma_2)$ for some θ , and thus the statement is proved.

One can verify that the expression $\|f\| = \left\{ \int_M f \bar{f} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a norm in the space D_0^∞ . Using this fact, we introduce the following

Definition 7. We denote by F_2 the completion of the space D_0^∞ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. In fact, F_2 is a Hilbert space and D_0^∞ is a dense linear subspace in this space. By $(\cdot, \cdot)$ we denote the scalar product in the space F_2 $((f, g) = \int_M (f\bar{g})(x))$.

5 Laplacian

Let U be an open card on M with a coordinate function $\phi : B \rightarrow U$ where B is a d -dimensional open ball from R^d so that $\phi(z) = x \in M$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in B$. For smooth in U usual (one-valued) functions f the known Laplace-Beltrami operator takes the following form:

$$\Delta f = \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} [((\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(\phi(z)))]$$

where $g^{i,j}(z)$ are components of the matrix inverse to the matrix $g_{i,j}$ of the Riemannian tensor written in coordinates z . It is essential to note that if M is a domain in an Euclidian space with the corresponding metric then the Laplace-Beltrami operator becomes the usual Laplacian. Therefore, it is natural to give the following

Definition 8. Let $f \in D_0^\infty$, let U be the above open card on M and $\gamma_0 \in C_0$ be a path such that $\gamma_0(1) = x_0 \in U$. Then, since locally f is a function $\psi(x)$ of points $x \in M$ from a neighborhood of the point

x_0 , we set

$$\Delta f(\gamma) = \Delta \psi(x)$$

for all paths γ sufficiently close to γ_0 . We call the operator Δ the Laplacian or the Laplace-Beltrami operator. One can easily verify that $\Delta f(\gamma)$ is a multifunction in the sense of Definition 3 with the same admissible function θ .

Lemma 9. *The operator $-\Delta$ is symmetric and non-negative in the space D_0^∞ equipped by the scalar product from F_2 .*

Proof. As above, for any $\phi, \psi \in D_0^\infty$ $\phi(x)\bar{\psi}(x)$ is a usual (one-valued) function on M . Let $R = \text{supp}(\phi) \cup \text{supp}(\psi)$. Then, R is a compact set and $\text{dist}(R; \partial M) > 0$. Let $U_1, \dots, U_l$ be its covering by open in M cards diffeomorphic to B and let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$ be smooth (σ_k are infinitely differentiable) non-negative functions defined on M such that $\text{supp}(\sigma_k) \subset U_k$, $k = \overline{1, l}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k(x) = 1$ for all $x \in R$. Then, one obtains (because the Laplacian is symmetric on usual functions):

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \phi, \psi) &= \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\Delta \phi_k, \psi_m) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\Delta \tilde{\phi}_k, \tilde{\psi}_m) = \\ &= \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\tilde{\phi}_k, \Delta \tilde{\psi}_m) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\phi_k, \Delta \psi_m) = (\phi, \Delta \psi) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_k$ and $\tilde{\psi}_m$ are usual (one-valued) functions with supports in U_k and $U_k \cap U_m$, respectively, which are obtained by fixing any $\gamma_k \in C_0$ ($k = \overline{1, l}$) such that $\gamma_k(1) \in U_k$ and taking $\tilde{\phi}_k(x) = \phi_k(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$, $\tilde{\psi}_m(x) = \psi_m(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$ for $x = \eta_k(1)$ where $\eta_k(0) = \gamma_k(1)$, $\eta_k(t) \in U_k \cap U_m$ and $\eta_k \in C$. By analogy, we introduce functions ϕ : $\phi(x) = \phi(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$ for $x = \eta_k(1) \in U_k$. Thus, the Laplacian is symmetric.

By analogy,

$$(-\Delta \phi, \phi) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (-\Delta \phi_k, \phi_m) =$$

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tilde{\phi}_k(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}_m(z)} =$$

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sigma_k(z) \sigma_m(z) \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} + J \quad (2)$$

where $G_{k,m}$ is the preimage of $U_k \cap U_m$ and we mean that the integrand is non-zero only in $U_k \cap U_m$. Now, to prove Lemma 9, it suffices to prove that $J = 0$. Not writing the whole expression for J (one can easily do it), we prove that

$$\int_{G_{k,m}} \sum_{k,m=1}^l \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \sigma_k(z) \right] \sigma_m(z) (\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \left(\tilde{\phi} \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}} \right)(z) dz = 0. \quad (3)$$

Then, by analogy, one can repeat this proof for all other terms in the expression for J showing the equality $J = 0$.

To prove (3), we observe that the expression $\left(\tilde{\phi} \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}} \right)(z)$ generates a usual (one-valued) smooth vector field on M . Therefore, at any point z the expression

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \sigma_k(z) g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)}$$

is a scalar product of two vectors. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} [\sigma_k(z)] g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} =$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left[\sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k(z) \right] g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} = 0$$

and, thus, Lemma 9 is proved.

6 Spectral theory

Let $V(x) \geq -V_0$ be a real continuous function on M where $V_0 = \text{const}$ independent of $x \in M$. Consider the operator $H = -\Delta + V(x)$. By the above arguments, this is a bounded from below symmetric operator on D_0^∞ . Therefore, it has a self-adjoint extension in F_2 with the same lower boundary.

If the manifold M is compact, we can present a more complete information about the operator H . In fact, in this case H has a self-adjoint extension with a discrete spectrum, only, which consists of eigenvalues $\lambda_n > 0$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) monotonously converging to $+\infty$, and to any λ_n there corresponds a finite number of orthonormal eigenfunctions; two eigenfunctions corresponding to non-equal eigenvalues λ_n and λ_m are orthogonal in F_2 . To prove this statement, it suffices to prove that there exists $a > 0$ such that the operator $(H + aI)^{-1}$ is compact, positive and symmetric (here I is the identical operator).

Let $H_1 = H_1(M)$ be the completion of the space D_0^∞ with the norm $\|f\|_1 = ((H + aI)f, f)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $a = V_0 + 1$.

Lemma 10. *Let M be a compact manifold. Then, the space H_1 is compactly embedded in F_2 .*

Proof. First, since $\|f\|_1 \geq \|f\|$ for all $f \in D_0^\infty$, the space H_1 is continuously embedded into F_2 for the manifold M without the requirement of its compactness. Let M be a compact manifold. Fix an arbitrary finite covering $U_1, \dots, U_l$ of M by open cards each of which is diffeomorphic to the ball B or the half-ball $B_1 = \{z \in B \mid z_1 \geq 0\}$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$ be the corresponding smooth partition of unity (so that $\sum_{i=1}^l \sigma_i(x) = 1$ for all $x \in M$, $\sigma_i(x) \geq 0$ and $\text{supp}(\sigma_i) \subset U_i$). Further, we take arbitrary paths $\gamma_i \in C_0$ leading to some $x_i \in U_i$. As in the proof of Lemma 9, let $f_k(x)$ ($x \in M$) be (one-valued) functions in U_k obtained by taking paths $\beta_k \subset U_k$, $\beta_k(0) = x_k$, $\beta_k(1) = x$ and setting $f_k(x) = f(\beta_k \circ \gamma_k)$. Then, according to formula (2) (where $J = 0$), one

has for $f \in D_0^\infty$:

$$\|f\|_1 \geq \left\{ \sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sigma_k(z) \sigma_m(z) \det(g_{i,j}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^d g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_k(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f_k(z)} + f_k \bar{f}_k \right] dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Obviously, there exists $r > 0$ such that the preimages $E_{k,m}$ of the domains

$$V_{k,m} = \left\{ x \in U_k \cap U_m \mid \sigma_k(x) > r, \sigma_m(x) > r \right\}$$

cover M . Therefore, $\|f\|_1 \geq C \|f_k(z)\|_{H^1(E_{k,m})}$ for all k, m . Hence, if R is a set of functions bounded in the norm of H_1 , then for all $k, m = \overline{1, l}$ the sets $R_{k,m}$ of corresponding functions $f_k(z)$ are compact in $L_2(E_{k,m})$. This easily implies the statement of Lemma 10.

Remark 11. Since $D_0^\infty \subset F_2 \cap H_1$ and D_0^∞ is dense in these spaces, the set H_1 is dense in F_2 .

Consider the equation

$$(H + aI)u = f \in F_2 \quad (4)$$

with the unknown function $u \in H_1$. Multiplying (4) by $v \in H_1$, we find

$$(u, v)_1 = (f, v) \quad (5)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. In view of the equality (5), for any $f \in F_2$ there corresponds a unique $u \in H_1$ such that the equality (5) takes place for all $v \in H_1$, and, in addition,

$$\|u\|_1 \leq C \|f\| \quad (6)$$

(for proofs, see [5]; they are based on the usual technique of proving the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution to a linear elliptic equation).

By B we denote the operator mapping arbitrary $f \in F_2$ into $u \in H_1$ where u satisfies (5). According to (6) and Lemma 10, B is a compact operator in F_2 .

Further, since for $u, v \in H_1$ one has

$$(Bu, v)_1 = (u, v) = \overline{(v, u)} = \overline{(Bv, u)_1} = (u, Bv)_1, \quad (7)$$

the operator B is self-adjoint in H_1 . By analogy, B is a non-negative operator in H_1 .

To prove that B is a compact operator in H_1 , consider an arbitrary bounded set $R \subset H_1$. In particular, any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset R$ contains a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ strongly converging in F_2 . Therefore, the sequence Bf_{n_k} strongly converges in H_1 , and the compactness of the operator B in H_1 is proved.

According to the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis in H_1 consisting of eigenfunctions of the operator B with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_n \geq 0$ of finite multiplicities and there exists a monotonous limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$. We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions of the operator B by u_n accepting that each eigenvalue λ_n appears in the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}'$ so many times which is its multiplicity.

Then, obviously the space F_2 is an analog of the space L_2 , and, since we consider applications of our construction in quantum mechanics, we need to prove a spectral expansion for the operator H in F_2 . However, this result follows from the above one. Indeed, since $u_n \in F_2$ for all n and since the space H_1 is dense in F_2 , one has that $\{u_n\}$ is a basis in F_2 which is orthogonal by the equality (7). Finally, if $Bu = 0$ for $u \in F_2$, then according to (7) one has $(u, v) = 0$ for all $v \in H_1$, hence, $u = 0$. Thus, there exists an operator B^{-1} mapping the image of the operator B into F_2 . Further, since for $u \in D_0^\infty$ $B^{-1}u = (H + aI)u$ (i. e. if $u \in D_0^\infty$, $B^{-1}u$ is determined and coincides with $(H + aI)u$), the operator B^{-1} is self-adjoint in F_2 and it is a self-adjoint extension of the operator $(H + aI)$. Thus, we have proved the following result:

Theorem 12. *Let the manifold M be compact. Then, the operator H with the domain D_0^∞ considered in F_2 has a self-adjoint extension.*

sion with only a discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_n^{-1}\}$ (here $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{-1} = +\infty$) where each eigenvalue λ_n^{-1} is of a finite multiplicity.

References

- [1] L.H. Ryder. Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [2] M. Reed, B. Simon. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Analysis of Operators. Acad. Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] M.B. Menskii. The Group of Paths. Nauka, Moscow, 1983 (in Russian).
- [4] M.M. Postnikov. Smooth Manifolds. Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- [5] V.P. Mikhailov. Partial Differential Equations. Nauka, Moscow, 1983 (in Russian).

Received by Publishing Department
on June 23, 1995.

Принимается подписка на препринты, сообщения Объединенного института ядерных исследований и «Краткие сообщения ОИЯИ».

Установлена следующая стоимость подписки на 12 месяцев на издания ОИЯИ, включая пересылку, по отдельным тематическим категориям:

Индекс	Тематика	Цена подписки на год
1.	Экспериментальная физика высоких энергий	22600 р.
2.	Теоретическая физика высоких энергий	59200 р.
3.	Экспериментальная нейтронная физика	7800 р.
4.	Теоретическая физика низких энергий	23400 р.
5.	Математика	14800 р.
6.	Ядерная спектроскопия и радиохимия	12000 р.
7.	Физика тяжелых ионов	2200 р.
8.	Криогеника	1400 р.
9.	Ускорители	12200 р.
10.	Автоматизация обработки экспериментальных данных	12200 р.
11.	Вычислительная математика и техника	14300 р.
12.	Химия	1200 р.
13.	Техника физического эксперимента	21300 р.
14.	Исследования твердых тел и жидкостей ядерными методами	7200 р.
15.	Экспериментальная физика ядерных реакций при низких энергиях	2600 р.
16.	Дозиметрия и физика защиты	2200 р.
17.	Теория конденсированного состояния	12200 р.
18.	Использование результатов и методов фундаментальных физических исследований в смежных областях науки и техники	1800 р.
19.	Биофизика	1800 р.
	«Краткие сообщения ОИЯИ» (5—6 выпусков)	15000 р.

Подписка может быть оформлена с любого месяца года.

Организациям и лицам, заинтересованным в получении изданий ОИЯИ, следует перевести (или отправить по почте) необходимую сумму на расчетный счет 000608905 Дубненского филиала ММКБ, г.Дубна Московской области, п/инд. 141980 МФО 211844, указав: «За подписку на издания ОИЯИ».

Во избежание недоразумений необходимо уведомить издательский отдел о произведенной оплате и вернуть «Карточку подписчика», отметив в ней номера и названия тематических категорий, на которые оформляется подписка, по адресу:

141980 г. Дубна Московской обл.
ул.Жолио Кюри, 6
ОИЯИ, издательский отдел

Операторы Шредингера в пространствах многозначных функций, определенных в многосвязных областях

Некоторые задачи квантовой физики (например, эффект Ааронова — Бомы) приводят к задаче на собственные значения для оператора Шредингера с многозначными волновыми функциями. Для многосвязного конфигурационного пространства с простейшими топологическими свойствами (например, для n -мерного тора) эта задача была рассмотрена рядом авторов. В настоящей работе, в которой используются строгие математические методы, она исследуется на произвольном гладком многомерном многообразии. Аккуратно определено понятие многозначной функции, затем вводятся функциональные пространства этих объектов, подобные L_2 и H_0^1 . Представлена спектральная теорема о существовании самосопряженного расширения оператора Шредингера во введенных пространствах, из которой следует полнота системы собственных функций этого оператора в рассматриваемых функциональных пространствах.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики им. Н.Н.Боголюбова ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 1995

Schrödinger Operators in Spaces of Multifunctions Defined in Multiply Connected Domains

Certain problems of quantum physics (for example, the Aharonov-Bohm effect) lead to the eigenvalue problem for a Schrödinger operator with wave multifunctions. For a multiply connected configuration space with a simplest topology (for example, for a n -dimensional torus) this problem was considered by several authors. In the present paper, by using rigorous mathematical methods we investigate this problem on an arbitrary multi-dimensional smooth manifold (possibly, with a boundary). We carefully define the concept of multifunctions, then we introduce spaces of these objects similar to L_2 and H_0^1 . Finally, we present a spectral theorem on the existence of a self-adjoint extension of a Schrödinger operator in the introduced spaces which implies the completeness of the system of eigenfunctions of this operator in the considered functional spaces.

The investigation has been performed at the Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1995

Макет Т.Е.Попеко

Подписано в печать 30.06.95

Формат 60×90/16. Офсетная печать. Уч.-изд. листов 1,18

Тираж 345. Заказ 48358. Цена 708 р.

Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований
Дубна Московской области