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Abstract

Characteristics of $^{35}$Cl + $^{12}$C collisions at 43 MeV/nucleon have been studied for events in which the complete charge of the system (Z=23) was detected. It is shown that while single-source events are present in the data (at less than 4% of the total cross section), the binary nature of the collision is dominant. For binary events, the emitting sources (projectile-like and target-like), were reconstructed independently allowing a direct measurement of the total dissipated energy. It is found that up to 75% of the available energy is dissipated and the significant momentum transfer of the selected events leads to the "equal temperature limit".

PACS number(s): 25.70.Lm, 25.70.Mn
It is now well established that heavy-ion collisions at intermediate energy produce highly excited nuclei [1]. Recently, a new challenge has arisen in understanding the dissipation stage of such reactions, i.e. the persistence of the binary character of the collision even in very violent or "central" collisions [2-6]. Using neutrons and light charged particles (LCP) as independent measurements of excitation or dissipated energy, Lott et al. [2] have shown that binary mechanisms dominate the cross section for any degree of dissipation, including that usually associated with central collisions. In the $^{208}$Pb + $^{197}$Au system studied by Lecolley et al. [3], a similar analysis was made for central events selected from LCP multiplicities. For total-kinetic-energy losses up to complete damping, the evolution of the binary reaction mechanism appeared independent of intermediate-mass fragment multiplicity. These measurements on heavy systems at beam energies just below the Fermi energy were in agreement with dissipative orbiting [3,7]; however, single-source events (compound nuclei) were not eliminated from the analysis.

In this letter we present the first analysis in which a careful selection of the binary events is made and the degree of dissipation is evaluated by complete kinematic reconstruction of the projectile-like emitter (PLE) and target-like emitter (TLE) from their respective charged decay products.

The experiment was performed at the Tandem Accelerator SuperConducting Cyclotron (TASCC) at Chalk River. A beam of $^{35}$Cl at 43 MeV/nucleon bombarded a 2.2 mg/cm$^2$ thick carbon target. The reaction products were detected in an array of 83 detectors covering polar angles from 3.0° to 46.8°. The 80 detectors of the Laval-Chalk River forward array [8,9] are mounted in five concentric rings around the beam axis and cover nearly 100% of the solid angle between 6.8° and 46.8°. The first three rings are made of plastic phoswich detectors with a detection threshold of 7.5 (22.5) MeV/nucleon for Z=1 (17) particles. The two outer rings are composed of CsI(Tl) crystals which achieve isotopic resolution for Z=1 and 2 ions with a threshold of 2 MeV/nucleon and element identification for Z=3 and 4 ions with threshold of 5 MeV/nucleon. Three Si-CsI telescopes sample the most forward angles, 3.0° to 5.0°, and provide charge identification with a detection threshold of 2 (5)
MeV/nucleon for Z=2 (17) particles. The detection efficiency of the array for Z=1 to 3 was evaluated from the angular distributions and was approximately constant at 75%; for higher Z it decreased smoothly to 5% for Z=12. Only events where the total charge, ΣZ=23, was detected were retained for this analysis. A total of 2 × 10^5 complete events were recorded in both “minimum-bias” and central triggering conditions (charged-particle multiplicities ≥ 2 and ≥ 6, respectively). In all steps of the analysis, the results are the same as those obtained from events where 1, 2 or 3 charge units are missing. However, those events were not included in the main sample because they would introduce ambiguities in the evaluation of the excitation energy.

The direct measurement of dissipation in the binary scenario is the ultimate goal of the present work. The events with a single emitter must first be rejected without removing the dissipative binary events. The centrality of an event has commonly been correlated to the charged particle (CP) multiplicity [10,11]. It is not used here because of the small range in CP multiplicities for a system as light as ours. Instead, two other observables were used as selection criteria of centrality: the total transverse energy [12] (similar to total transverse momentum [13]) and the flow angle [3]. This last quantity is derived from event-shape analysis using the momentum tensor [14]:

\[ T_{i,j} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{cp}} (P_i^{(n)} P_j^{(n)}); i, j = 1, 2, 3 \]  

(1)

where \( P_i^{(n)}, P_j^{(n)} \) are the \( i^{th} \) or \( j^{th} \) Cartesian components of the particle momentum in the center of mass (c.m.) of the system and \( N_{cp} \) is the total number of charged particles in the event. The three eigenvalues and eigenvectors calculated from this tensor define the shape of the event. The angle between the major axis of the event in momentum space (the eigenvector with the largest eigenvalue) and the beam axis is \( \Theta_{flow} \), the flow angle.

Figure 1 shows the experimental yield of total transverse energy (\( E_\perp \)) versus \( \Theta_{flow} \) for completely detected events. A weak correlation between the two observables can be seen from \( \Theta_{flow} \) of 20° to about 60°. In order to evaluate the validity of both observables for selecting single source events, fig. 2 displays perpendicular versus parallel velocity component
in the c.m. for particles with $Z=3$. In the left-hand panels of the figure, the parallel velocity is defined with respect to the beam axis, whereas for the right side, it is defined with respect to the flow axis (eq. 1). The effects of the energy thresholds and angular coverage of the detectors can be seen on the left, while the circular shapes of the emission patterns are evident on the right.

A first cut is made on $\Theta_{flow}$ in order to select only small values (upper panels: $\Theta_{flow} < 30^\circ$) which includes 19% of the events. The binary nature is clearly seen in both frames with shapes characteristic of the PLE and TLE emission components. The strong backward (in the center of mass) emission of lithium is compensated mainly by forward emission of heavier fragments ($Z>6$), while the reverse situation, with the heavier fragments emitted backwards, would not pass the detector thresholds. Particles with $Z=2$ or $Z=4$ have similar characteristics to those of $Z=3$. The selected events ($\Theta_{flow} < 30^\circ$) do not include the most peripheral collisions, since the grazing angle is about $1^\circ$ for this reaction, and our detector coverage starts at $3^\circ$. The array is also partly insensitive to incomplete fusion, since target-like pre-equilibrium backward proton emission would rarely be detected [15].

If, instead, large values of $\Theta_{flow}$ are selected (middle panels: $\Theta_{flow} > 75^\circ$), the emission pattern is typical of a single source. Those events correspond to full damping of the kinetic energy. They account for 10% of the detected events, or 4% of the efficiency-corrected reaction cross section; the total geometric cross section of the reaction is estimated at 2 barn. A smaller fraction of the total reaction cross section, 1%, was recently found by Péter et al. for the $^{36}\text{Ar}+^{27}\text{Al}$ system [6]. Single-source events have also been seen in the $^{35}\text{Cl}+^{12}\text{C}$ reaction at 35 MeV/nucleon [16].

The bottom panels of fig. 2 show $Z=3$ velocity distributions for events having $E_\perp > 135$ MeV, corresponding to the 10% of the events with the highest transverse energy. This selection, commonly used to isolate central events, is less successful in selecting the single-source events. Target-like remnants can still be seen, although less strongly than in the top panels. This observation indicates either that a 10% cut in $E_\perp$ is not selective enough for single-source events or that transverse energy is not the best observable to distinguish
between single- and binary-source events. For the present analysis, it is clear that the optimum selection of binary-source events is achieved with \( \Theta_{flow} < 65^\circ \). It was verified that this result was not an artifact of the detection set-up by doing single- and binary-source simulations with the statistical code EUGENE [17], filtered through a software replica of the array. The simulations showed that the single-source events have a detection probability ten times higher than the binary events and that filtered single-source events never exhibit binary characteristics. This has also been observed in Ref. [15].

After the binary-source events had been isolated, the emitters were reconstructed by the following procedure:

1. After selecting only completely detected events and removing \( Z=1 \) particles, we used a variant of the thrust method [14] to correlate each CP to an emitter. The thrust is defined as [18]

\[
Thrust = \max (\frac{\sum_i \vec{p}_i + \sum_j \vec{p}_j}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{CP}} |\vec{p}_k|}),
\]

(2)

where \( \vec{p}_i \) and \( \vec{p}_j \) are the momentum vectors of the particles in the c.m. for all possible \( i,j \) combinations of the \( N_{CP} \) particles in any two groups and \( \vec{p}_k \) the momentum vector for all particles. The thrust is the combination giving the maximum possible separation in momentum space between two groups of particles which are then assigned to the PLE and the TLE. The PLE velocity, \( \vec{V}_{PLE} \), and the TLE velocity, \( \vec{V}_{TLE} \), relative to the c.m., could then be reconstructed.

2. The \( Z=1 \) particles are assigned to the PLE or to the TLE based on a projection of their velocity vector, in the c.m. on the \( \vec{V}_{PLE}-\vec{V}_{TLE} \) axis.

3. The emitter velocities are then re-evaluated with the \( Z=1 \) particles included. As the projectile is a chlorine nucleus \( (Z=17) \), only events with \( Z_{PLE}=15,16,17 \) or 18 were kept; this criterion selects events with minimal net transfer and good inter-source separation, reinforcing the flow angle cut. The remaining events account for 48% of the total statistics. A test with filtered binary-source EUGENE simulations showed that under the present conditions more than 75% of particles with \( Z=1 \) to 3 were assigned to the proper emitter;
this percentage increases to 100% for $Z>5$.

4. Finally, the excitation energy is deduced for each emitter from the total relative kinetic energy and from the $Q$ value of each channel, including a correction for the undetected neutrons [19]. The sum of the excitation energy and kinematic energies of both emitters averages 338 MeV, i.e. 88% of the total available energy, with a variance of 58 MeV.

The reconstruction procedure was validated by comparing the experimental dissipated energy to the value of filtered simulations. This comparison must be done with the same global event-shape. Thus we used the anisotropy ratio [20], defined as

$$R_A = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_{CP}} |\vec{P}_{i \text{, c.m. \perp}}|}{\pi \sum_{i=1}^{N_{CP}} |\vec{P}_{i \text{, c.m. \parallel}}|},$$

where $\pi$ is a geometric normalisation constant, $N_{CP}$ is the charged-particle multiplicity, and $\vec{P}_{i \text{, c.m. \parallel}}, \vec{P}_{i \text{, c.m. \perp}}$ are momenta of the $i^{th}$ particle in the c.m. frame, parallel and perpendicular to the beam axis, respectively. The anisotropy distribution for experimental events with $\Sigma Z=23$, $\Theta_{\text{flow}} < 65^\circ$ and $15 \leq Z_{\text{PLE}} \leq 18$ was centered at $R_A=0.65$, and was well reproduced with binary-source EUGENE simulations with an impact parameter ranging from 4 to 6 fm. The effect of pre-equilibrium emission on $R_A$ was explored with the code GENEVE [21] and was found to be unimportant. More details of the effects of the experimental acceptance on the anisotropy ratio distribution can be found in Ref. [5,15].

The top panels in fig. 3 show the excitation energy ratio between the PLE and the TLE and the total excitation energy of the system as a function of $\Theta_{\text{flow}}$ and $E_{\text{\perp}}$. Also plotted are the filtered and unfiltered EUGENE simulations for the range of impact parameters giving the appropriate $R_A$, treated with the procedure explained above. The experimental excitation energy ratio is about 3 for the entire range of observables. This value is close to the ratio of the projectile mass to the target mass, a feature typical of the equal temperature limit [22]. The ratio is well reproduced by the EUGENE filtered simulations. The bottom panels show the total dissipated energy and present a puzzling picture. The total dissipated energy varies little with $\Theta_{\text{flow}}$ and its average corresponds to 65% of the c.m. energy. The unfiltered simulation seems to indicate a small correlation between the two observables at
$\Theta_{flow}$ below 20 degrees, but this correlation is completely washed out by the effects of the experimental filter. Most reactions with small flow angle are very peripheral and, as discussed before, remain undetected.

In contrast, the lower right panel of fig. 3 exhibits a significant increase in dissipation, from 20% to 75% of the total c.m. energy, with increasing transverse energy. Again the experimental results are well reproduced by the filtered EUGENE simulations. On the one hand, the trend observed as a function of $E_\perp$ is as expected for an observable correlated to the impact parameter: as the impact parameter decreases, the transverse energy increases. On the other hand, fig. 1 shows that the largest flow angles ($\Theta_{flow} > 75^\circ$) have a broad range of transverse energies and figure 3 shows dissipation to be independent of flow angle, which is geometrically linked to impact parameter. Therefore, for the relatively light system and large momentum transfers studied here, dissipation is not necessarily correlated with “centrality” (in the sense of geometric trajectories with small impact parameter).

In summary, it has been shown that a selection based on flow angle was successful in separating the dominant binary-source events from single-source events. A momentum-based method can be used to reconstruct independently both the PLE and the TLE and to deduce their excitation energy in dissipative binary collisions between “light” heavy ions. The total excitation energy is correlated with the transverse energy and the degree of dissipation has been observed up to 75% of the available energy. The excitation-energy sharing follows the equal-temperature limit over a complete range of flow angle and transverse energy for the high-momentum-transfer events selected by the total charge requirement. We have shown that the relation between dissipation, geometric centrality and the number of emitters in the exit channels for light systems differ from the standard picture in which central reactions are much more violent and dissipative than mid-central ones. Another possibility is that central collisions of “light” heavy ions in the Fermi energy range produce mainly binary events. Dynamical models [23] may shed new light on this challenging problem.
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FIGURES

FIG. 1. Transverse energy versus flow angle for events with $\Sigma Z=23$ in the $^{35}\text{Cl} + ^{12}\text{C}$ reaction at 43 MeV/nucleon. $2 \times 10^5$ experimental events are shown; each contour represents a factor of 2.

FIG. 2. Yields of $Z=3$ fragments plotted as a function of perpendicular versus parallel velocity in the c.m. frame. Parallel velocities are along the beam axis (a,c,e) and the main axis of the momentum tensor (b,d,f). Cuts on $\Theta_{\text{flow}} < 30^\circ$ (a,b) and $\Theta_{\text{flow}} > 75^\circ$ (c,d) on the transverse energy: $> 135$ MeV (top 10% of the distribution: e,f) are made. The count yield is in a logarithmic scale.

FIG. 3. Ratio of excitation energy for PLE and TLE (top panels) and total excitation energy (bottom panels) versus the flow angle (left panels) and total transverse energy (right panels). The data (full dots), the filtered (full triangles) and unfiltered (open squares) EUGENE simulations are plotted. Symbols represent the average for each bin and error bars, shown when larger than the symbol, are the standard error of the mean. Arrows indicate the c.m. energy of the reaction (100% damping).
FIG. 1. L. Beaulieu et al.
FIG. 2. L. Beaulieu et al.
FIG. 3. L. Beaulieu et al.