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1 INTRODUCTION

The magnetic field of the Milky Way has been investigated for about 40 years, and those of external spiral galaxies for about 20 years. It now seems clear that spiral galaxies normally possess large-scale magnetic fields whose evolution and, possibly, origin is controlled by induction effects in the partially ionized interstellar gas. Turbulent motions with scales below about 100 pc are present in this gas, and so the observed ubiquity of the large-scale galactic magnetic fields, coherent over scales of at least 1 kpc, requires special explanation. In fact, the theory of the galactic magnetic fields discussed in this review (known as mean-field magnetohydrodynamics) represents one of the earliest examples of synergistic theories describing how order can arise from chaos.

Our main emphasis is on magnetic fields whose scale exceeds that of the interstellar turbulence. These are the fields – known as the mean, or average, or large-scale, or global, or regular magnetic fields – that produce polarized radio emission in nearby spiral galaxies when observed at resolutions of 0.1–2 kpc. We also stress unresolved problems concerning the random (turbulent) magnetic fields in the interstellar medium (ISM), but we do not extend this discussion to the fields present in elliptical galaxies. Neither do we discuss phenomena connected with the central regions of the Milky Way.

The regular magnetic fields in the disks of spiral galaxies are generally considered to be the result of large-scale dynamo action, involving a collective inductive effect of turbulence (the a-effect) and differential rotation. Even though alternatives to dynamo theory have been proposed, we believe that something resembling an α2Ω-dynamo is the dominant mechanism, possibly sometimes modified by other hydromagnetic effects such as induction by streaming motions associated with spiral arms, other noncircular motions, and galactic fountains. The dynamo is the key ingredient of the theory: other mechanisms by themselves are unable to explain the observed large-scale galactic magnetic fields over galactic lifetimes.

The main “rival” of the dynamo theory is the primordial field theory. This assumes that the observed magnetic patterns arise directly from a pregalactic magnetic field, distorted by the galactic differential rotation. We discuss why we believe that this theory, in spite of its appealing simplicity, cannot by itself give a detailed explanation of the range of field structures observed in spiral galaxies. A great conceptual advantage of the dynamo theory is that it can provide a universal explanation for the varied field configurations observed in spiral galaxies – axisymmetric and biymmetric in azimuth, odd, even and mixed-parity vertically, etc. Of course, a primordial field may influence subsequent dynamo action, or be amplified by a dynamo.

The dynamo theory has its own difficulties. The linear version, valid when the magnetic field is still weak enough as not to affect significantly the velocity field, is relatively well developed and agrees favorably with observations wherever such a comparison is meaningful. However, the non-linear saturation of the dynamo is not well understood and the conventional ideas were recently strongly criticized – they certainly need substantial improvement (Section 4). We argue, however, that the mathematical form of the mean-field dynamo equations is rather generic and robust, so that the available results are expected to be at least qualitatively correct, even though the details and the physical meaning of the coefficients of the dynamo equations may be revised in future.

The topics of this article have recently been reviewed by Wielebinski & Krause (1993) and Kronberg (1994). We have attempted to avoid unnecessary repetition of their material.

2 INTERPRETATION OF RADIO OBSERVATIONS

Interstellar magnetic fields can be observed indirectly at optical and radio wavelengths. Extensive reviews of observational methods were given by Heiles (1976), Vsevolozhskaya (1978) and Timmergen (1982). In recent years observations of the linearly polarized radio continuum emission have improved significantly and they provide the most extensive and reliable information about galactic magnetic fields. We will thus concentrate on results based on radio continuum data. Zeeman splitting measurements are discussed by Heiles et al (1993), and for optical and infrared polarization data see Roberge & Whittet (1993).

2.1 Field Strength Estimates

The strengths of the projections of the total (B) and regular (BZ) magnetic fields onto the plane of the sky (B_θ and B_Z) can be determined from the intensity of the total and linearly polarized synchrotron emission (e.g. Riehle & Lightman 1979). However, the relationship between the energy densities of relativistic electrons, n_e, and the total magnetic field, B, has to be assumed. Direct measurements of cosmic rays are possible only near the Earth. The local cosmic-ray energy density n_B is comparable to n_e and K = n_B/n_e is locally ≥100, but possibly lower in other galaxies (Pohl 1993).

It is plausible to assume n_B = σ_eB, where σ_e depends on the detailed model—pressure equilibrium, minimum total energy, or energy density equipartition. The validity of these assumptions may be questioned (Longair 1984, Urbanik et al 1994, Heiles 1995), although they generally provide reasonable estimates.

γ-ray observations have been used to obtain indirect data about the distribution of cosmic-ray electrons in the Galaxy (Bloemen et al 1988) and in the Magellanic Clouds (Chi & Wolfendale 1983). Comparing radio and γ-ray data for the Magellanic Clouds, Chi & Wolfendale claimed that
energy equipartition is not valid (see, however, Pohl 1993). Their arguments would not apply if \( \gamma \) and radio emission originate from different regions.

The standard minimum-energy formulae generally use a fixed integration interval in frequency to determine the total energy density of cosmic-ray electrons. This procedure makes it difficult to compare minimum-energy field strengths between galaxies because a fixed frequency interval corresponds to different electron energy intervals, depending on the field strength itself. When, correctly, a fixed integration interval in electron energy is used, the minimum-energy and energy equipartition estimates give similarly valid values for \( (B^2 B_0^{2\alpha}) \propto (\rho^2 \sigma^2) \), where \( \alpha \) is the synchrotron spectral index (typically \( \approx 0.9 \)). The resulting estimate \( (B^2 B_0^{2\alpha}) \) is larger than the mean field \( (B_L) \) if the field strength varies along the path length, since \( (B_L)^{2\alpha} \ll (B^2 B_0^{2\alpha}) \). (Here and elsewhere we denote the magnitude of a vector by \( |B| = |B_L| \).

If the field is concentrated in filaments with a volume filling factor \( f \), the equipartition estimate is smaller than the field strength in the filaments by a factor \( f^{1/(2+\alpha)} \). The derived field strength depends on the power \( (3 + \alpha) \) for any of the input values, so that even large uncertainties cause only a moderate error in field strength. For example, a probable uncertainty in \( \alpha \) of 60% gives an error in magnetic field strength of \( \approx 15\% \), with the total uncertainty perhaps reaching 30%.

An estimate of the regular field strength \( B_L \) can be obtained by using the observed degree of polarization \( P \), from \( P \approx P_0 |B_L|/B_0^2 \), where \( P_0 \approx 75\% \) (Burbidge 1966). Note that regular field strengths are always lower limits because of limited instrumental resolution.

### 2.2 Large-Scale Field Patterns

The plane of polarization of a linearly polarized radio wave rotates when the wave passes through a plasma with a regular magnetic field. The rotation angle \( \Delta \omega \) increases with the integral of \( n_0 B_0^2 \) along the line of sight (where \( n_0 \) is the thermal electron density and \( B_0 \) is the component of the total magnetic field along the line of sight), and with \( \lambda^2 \) (\( \lambda \) the wavelength of observation). The quantity \( \Delta \omega/\Delta \lambda^2 \) is called the rotation measure, \( \text{RM} \). The observed field is sensitive to the regular magnetic field \( B_L \) because the random fields by mostly cancel. The sign of \( \text{RM} \) allows the two opposite directions of \( B_L \) to be distinguished. An accurate determination of \( \text{RM} \) requires observations at (at least) three wavelengths because the observed orientation of the polarization plane is ambiguous by a multiple of \( \pm 180^\circ \) (see Ruzmaikin & Sokoloff 1979). Unlike equipartition estimates, which are insensitive to the presence of field reversals within the volume observed by the telescope beam, the observed value of Faraday rotation will decrease with increasing number of reversals.

While the filled apertures of single-dish telescopes are sensitive to all spatial structures above the resolution limit, synthesis instruments such as the VLA cannot provide interferometric data at short spacings, resulting in some blindness to extended emission. Missing large-scale structures in maps of Stokes parameters \( Q \) and \( U \) can systematically distort the polarization angles and hence the \( \text{RM} \) distribution, so that the inclusion of additional data from single-dish telescopes in all Stokes parameters is required. In Section 3.4 (Fig. 3) we show the result of such a successful combination by using a maximum-entropy method.

A convenient general way to parameterize the global magnetic field (irrespective of its origin) is by Fourier decomposition in terms of the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) measured in the plane of the galaxy,

\[
B = \sum_n a_n B_n \exp \left( \frac{2 \pi i n \phi}{n} \right).
\]

In practice, observations are analyzed within rings (centered at the galaxy's center) whose width is chosen to be consistent with the resolution of the observations. The result is a set of Fourier coefficients of the large-scale magnetic field for each ring. Usually, a combination of \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \) modes is enough to provide a statistically satisfactory fit to the data. This is a remarkable indication of the presence of genuine global magnetic structures in spiral galaxies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertical structure</th>
<th>Azimuthal structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>BSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Even</td>
<td>S0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odd</td>
<td>A0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>M0 + M1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All observed magnetic fields have significant radial and azimuthal components: magnetic lines of the regular field are spirals (Section 8.3). We distinguish between spiral structures that can be considered as basically axisymmetric and basically antisymmetric with respect to rotation by 180° (bsymmetric): ASS and BSS, respectively. Note that higher azimuthal Fourier modes are expected to be superimposed on these dominant cases, but these should have relatively small amplitudes. Fields containing several Fourier components of significant amplitude have mixed spiral structure, MSS (this might be considered to be a combination of ASS and BSS).

A further classification of magnetic structures according to the symmetry with respect to the galaxy's midplane distinguishes symmetric (or even parity, or quadrupole) and antisymmetric (or odd parity, or dipole) modes, \( S \) and \( A \), respectively. There can be also magnetic fields that are neither even nor odd, but superpositions: these are called mixed-parity distributions (M). This notation is supplemented with a value of the azimuthal wave number, \( m \); e.g., \( S1 \) means a quadrupole axisymmetric field. The notation used in discussions of global magnetic structures in spiral galaxies is presented in Table 1.

An ASS (BSS) field produces a 2x-periodic (r-periodic) distribution of \( \text{RM} \) along \( \phi \) (Sofue et al. 1986, M Krause 1990, Wielebinski & F Krause 1993). For the \( m = 0 \) mode, the phase of the variation of \( \text{RM} \) with \( \phi \) is equal to the magnetic pitch angle, \( \phi = \arctan B_0/B_\sigma \). Using the observed azimuthal distribution of \( \text{RM} \) in a galaxy, the structure of the line-of-sight component of a large-scale magnetic field can be studied. This method is difficult to apply if the data suffer from Faraday depolarization, or if the regular field is not parallel to the plane of the galaxy, or if its pitch angle in the disk is not constant, or if the disk is surrounded by a halo with magnetic fields of comparable strengths.

A more direct method of analysis considers polarizations angles \( \psi \) without converting them into Faraday rotation measures (Ruzmaikin et al. 1986, Sokoloff et al. 1992, Berkhuijsen et al., in prep.). There are three main contributions to the observed polarization angle: \( \psi = \psi_0 + \text{RM} \lambda^2 + \text{RM}_0 \lambda^2 \), where \( \psi_0 \) is determined by the transverse magnetic field in the galaxy, \( \text{RM} \) is associated with Faraday rotation by the line-of-sight magnetic field in the galaxy and \( \text{RM}_0 \) is the foreground rotation measure. Thus, a direct analysis of \( \psi \) patterns at several wavelengths allows a self-consistent study of all the three components of the regular magnetic field. Another advantage
of this method is that complicated magnetic structures along the line of sight can be studied. Implementations of this method employ consistent statistical tests such as the $\chi^2$ and Fisher criteria, thereby allowing the reliability of the results to be assessed.

Note that Faraday rotation analysis yields an average value, $\langle n_B \rangle$. Information on $\langle n_B \rangle$ can be extracted only provided a reliable model for the distribution of $n_B$ is available, which is often not the case. If, for example, the thermal gas has a low filling factor, any result concerning $\langle n_B \rangle$ may not be representative.

2.3 Small-Scale Field Structures

Any unresolved field structures will lead to beam depolarization and thus to polarizations significantly below the theoretical limit of $P = 75\%$. This effect is independent of wavelength and can be used to estimate the spatial scale and strength of field irregularities using observations at short wavelengths, where Faraday effects are weak.

At longer wavelengths, varying field orientations along the line of sight give rise to dispersion in rotation measures (Faraday dispersion), which also leads to depolarization (Burn 1966). Faraday dispersion is expected to arise from small HI regions (of $\approx 1$ pc in size) in the thin galactic disk (Ehle & Beck 1983) as well as from larger scale fluctuations ($\approx 10 - 100$ pc) in the diffuse ionized medium of the thick disk (e.g. Krause 1984, Neininger et al 1993). This effect makes the Faraday rotation angle no longer proportional to $B^2$ because the effective Faraday depth decreases with increasing $\lambda$. It was recently discovered that at wavelengths $\lambda > 10$ cm, the medium is generally not transparent to polarized radio waves (Sukumar & Allen 1991, Beck 1991, Horellou et al 1992).

Even at $\lambda = 6$ cm, complete Faraday depolarization may occur in spiral arms or in the plane of edge-on galaxies.

To obtain full rotation measures, only observations in the Faraday-thin regime ($\lambda \leq 6$ cm) should be used (Vallee 1980, Beck 1991). Rotation measures between longer wavelengths are lower and are weighted to regions near to the observer. Variations in Faraday depth may also lead to a spatial variation of the observed RM, which complicates the interpretation of observations. On the other hand, Faraday depolarization allows the study of layers at different depths sampled at different wavelengths (Berkhuijzen et al 1993, in prep.).

2.4 Comparison with Optical Polarization Data

In external galaxies, optical polarization observations have revealed spiral magnetic patterns in the galaxies M51 (Scarratt et al 1987), NGC 1068 (Scarratt et al 1991), NGC 1808 (Scarratt et al 1993), and others (Scarratt et al 1990) (see also review by Hough 1996). In the western half of M31, field orientations as derived from optical polarization disagree by up to about 60° from the spiral pattern as derived at several wavelengths in the radio continuum (Beck et al 1987). Optical polarization is contaminated by highly polarized light due to scattering at large scales. Polarization observations at far infrared or submillimeter wavelengths are free from scattering effects and reveal the magnetic field structure in Galactic dust clouds (Davidson et al 1995) and near the Galactic Center (Hildebrand et al 1993, Hildebrand & Davidson 1994).
varying pitch angles (e.g., Otmianowska-Mazur & Chiba 1998) and are almost closed in the disk. However, some regions of M51 may be exceptional as Neiner (1992) claimed that some field lines are carried along with streaming motions. The field lines in the central region of M83 are aligned with the bar.

Figure 1: Polarized synchrotron intensity (contours) and magnetic field orientation of M51 (obtained by rotating the $E$-vectors by $90^\circ$), observed at $\lambda 6.2$ cm with the VLA (12 arcsec synthesized beam) (Neiner & Horellou 1996).

Strong shocks should compress the magnetic field and lead to high degrees of polarization of 40–70% in the radio continuum (Beck 1982) at the inner edges of the spiral arms (see Section 8.4). Only in M51 the strongest aligned fields are indeed found at the positions of the prominent dust lanes on the inner edges of the optical spiral arms (Fig. 1). This is best visible along the eastern arm where the aligned field even follows the dust lane crossing the optical arm. However, some regular fields extend far into the interarm regions. Furthermore, there is only 10–30% polarization at $\lambda 6$ cm in contrast to expectations from shock alignment. Hence the radio data only tell us that the regular fields in M51 are somehow coupled to the cool gas as traced by dust lanes.

The aligned fields in M81 and NGC 1666 are strongest in interarm regions (Krause et al. 1988a, Eile 1995), while the total synchrotron intensity (tracing the total field) is highest in the optical spiral arms. Strongly aligned interarm fields have also been detected in the outer parts of M83, where the star formation rate is low (Allen & Skumanich 1990). High-resolution observations of M81 (Schoofs 1992, see Fig. 2) confirmed that the regular fields extend across almost the entire interarm region, but are somewhat stronger near the inner edge of the prominent western spiral arm, where some dust clouds are visible. We stress that the distribution of magnetic pitch angles exhibits a weaker arm-interarm variation than that of the regular magnetic field strengths. Sidis et al. (1996) showed that strength and pitch angle of the regular fields in NGC 4254 reveal much less arm-interarm variations than expected from density-wave compression in its two major arms, and regular fields even exist in regions of chaotic optical patterns.

Figure 2: Polarized synchrotron intensity (contours) and magnetic field orientation in the southwestern part of M81 (obtained by rotating the $E$-vectors by $90^\circ$), observed at $\lambda 6.2$ cm with the VLA (20 arcsec synthesized beam). The circle indicates the half-power diameter of the primary beam (Schoofs 1992).

3.4 IC 342 and NGC 6946: Magnetic Spiral Arms

These two galaxies exhibit high star-formation rates, but their spiral structure is less regular than in M51. Long arms of polarized emission are present between the optical arms of IC 342 (Krause et al. 1989, Krause 1993).

Recent high-resolution observations of the similar galaxy NGC 6946 (Beck & Horellou 1995, see Fig. 3) revealed a surprisingly symmetric distribution of polarized emission with two major spiral features in the north and in the south, located between optical spiral arms and running perfectly parallel to the adjacent optical arms over at least 12 kpc. This regular two-armed structure is much more symmetric than the distribution of total field, gas and stars, which all show a quite irregular, multi-armed pattern. Two further, weaker, magnetic spiral arms are visible between the two main ones (Fig. 3).

The main magnetic spiral arms in NGC 6946 (and also in IC 342) do not fill all of the interarm
regions, unlike the polarized emission in M81, but are only about 0.5–1 kpc wide. As they are also visible in total emission, both the regular and total magnetic fields are enhanced there. The strength of the (resolved) regular field varies between 3 and 13 μG along the arm. The peak values of polarized intensity and degree of polarization occur in the northern magnetic arm of NGC 6946, in a region between the optical arms, where the density of warm gas is exceptionally low. Subtraction of the diffuse, unpolarized background gives a degree of polarization of 30–65%, with the implication that the fields in the magnetic spiral arms must be almost totally aligned.

![Figure 3: Polarized synchrotron intensity (contours) and magnetic field orientation of NGC 6946 (obtained by rotating E-vectors by 90°) observed at 6.2 cm with the VLA (12.5 arcsec synthesized beam) and combined with extended emission observed with the Effelsberg 100-m telescope (2.5 arcmin resolution). The lengths of the “vectors” are proportional to the degree of polarization (Beck & Hoernes 1995).](image)

### 3.5 ASS, BSS, or What?

The available data on global magnetic structures in spiral galaxies are compiled in Tables 2 and 3. Most of the results were obtained using the RM analysis method (see Section 2.2), while the more advanced v-analysis method has as yet only been applied to M31 (and in simplified form to M31, IC 342 and M81).

Singly-periodic RM variations indicative of ASS have been detected in the disks of M31 (Sofue & Takahao 1981, Beck 1982) and IC 342 (Grève & Beck 1988, Krause et al. 1989a, Sokoloff et al. 1992). In M31 Ruzmaikin et al. (1990) found evidence for the presence of the m = 1 mode at lower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>Instrument and wavelength</th>
<th>Field structure</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milky Way</td>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>ASS (with weaker BSS)</td>
<td>Beck (1982), Ruzmaikin et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31</td>
<td>E 11, 6 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td>Spiral (BSS?)</td>
<td>Beck (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M51</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 18, 6 cm; W 21 cm</td>
<td>ASS (magnetic arm)</td>
<td>Heidt et al. (1992), Krause et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M81</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td>Spiral (BSS?)</td>
<td>Beck (1993), Sokoloff et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M83</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm; A 13 cm</td>
<td>Spiral and</td>
<td>Nieflinger et al. (1993), Subbarao &amp; Allen (1983), Ebli (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M101</td>
<td>E 6 cm</td>
<td>Spiral</td>
<td>Grève et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>P 21, 12 cm</td>
<td>Loop south of 30 Dor</td>
<td>Klein et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>P 21, 12, 6 cm</td>
<td>Loop north of 30 Dor</td>
<td>Klein et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC342</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 18, 6 cm</td>
<td>Magnetic spiral arms</td>
<td>Ebli et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1566</td>
<td>A 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1672</td>
<td>A 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 2976</td>
<td>A 20, 18, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 2963</td>
<td>A 20, 18, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3037</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4038/39</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4259</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; W 49, 1 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4258</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; W 49, 1 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4495</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 5055</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6946</td>
<td>E 6, 2.8 cm; V 20, 6 cm; W 21 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebli et al. (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments: E = Effelsberg 100-m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P = Parkes 64-m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V = Very Large Array</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Magnetic field structures of normal galaxies with low or moderate inclination as derived from synchrotron polarization data.
amplitude in the outer regions, superimposed on the dominating $m = 0$ mode. In NGC 6946 the phase of the axialimuthal RM variation differs significantly from the value of the mean magnetic pitch angle (Elke & Beck 1995). Recent high-resolution data for this galaxy (Beck & Hoernes, in prep.) indicate some correlation of RM with the optical spiral arms, suggesting local enhancements of RM due to thermal gas, and not to field geometry. The magnetic spiral arms (where thermal gas density is low) seem to have RMs of opposite sign (Beck & Hoernes, in prep.), indicative of the $m = 0$ mode or, more realistically, a superposition of the $m = 0$ and the $m = 2$ mode with about equal amplitudes. In the galaxy NGC 253, seen almost edge-on, the large-scale magnetic field has opposite directions on the "left" and "right" of the rotation axis of the inner disk. NGC 253 is thus another candidate for an ASS disk field (Beck et al. 1994b).

### Table 3: Magnetic field structures of (almost) edge-on galaxies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>Instrument and wavelength</th>
<th>Field structure</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M82</td>
<td>V 6, 3.5 cm</td>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>Reuter et al (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td>] plane (ASS7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1300</td>
<td>V 20, 6 cm</td>
<td>Extensions 2 plane</td>
<td>Dahlem et al (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td>] &amp; inclined to plane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 4565</td>
<td>V 20, 6, 3.5 cm</td>
<td>] plane</td>
<td>Sukumar &amp; Allen (1991), Dumeke et al (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 284</td>
<td>V 20, 6, 3.5 cm</td>
<td>] plane (inner region), Extensions 2 plane (outer regions)</td>
<td>Hummel et al (1991a), Golim &amp; Hummel (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 7777</td>
<td>V 6 cm</td>
<td>] plane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1072</td>
<td>V 6 cm</td>
<td>] plane</td>
<td>Dumeke &amp; Krause (in prep.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC T331</td>
<td>E 2.8 cm</td>
<td>Almost ] plane</td>
<td>Dumeke et al (1995), Hummel (unpub.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circinus</td>
<td>A 13, 6 cm</td>
<td>] northern plane</td>
<td>Elwert et al (1993)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(see notes to Table 2)

The only clear candidate for a BSS symmetry is M81 (Krause et al. 1989b, Sokoloff et al. 1992). The analysis of Krause et al. (1989b) indicated that the magnetic neutron lines are in the interarm space. In M33 the weak polarized emission leads to large uncertainties in RM, and a bisymmetric field can be claimed only with some caution (Bruzualski & Beck 1991). The same is true for NGC 2276 (Hummel & Beck 1995). The galaxies M33, M81 and NGC 2276 show signs of gravitational interaction, which can be important in producing non-axisymmetric dynamo fields (see Sections 6.2 and 8.2). These theories are all candidates for MSS status. Other claims of a dominating bisymmetric field (Sofue et al. 1985, 1986) are of much lower weight.

The strongly interacting galaxy M51 is a special case where the pitfalls of data interpretation can be demonstrated. It was previously thought to contain a bismetric field (Tosa & Fujimoto 1978, Horellou et al 1990). This was not confirmed by later Effelsberg and VLA measurements. At $A > 10$ cm Faraday depolarization is strong and the observed polarized emission originates in the upper disk or halo (Horellou et al 1992). Analyzing all available data in terms of the $v$ angles, the field in M51 can be described as MSS, with axisymmetric and bisymmetric components having about equal weights in the disk, together with a horizontal axisymmetric halo field with opposite direction (Berkhuijsen et al, in prep.)

The RM variation in M83 is doubly-periodic (Nesinger et al. 1993), but the phase is consistent with MSS symmetry. A future analysis of polarization angles including recent observations at 11.5 cm (Elke 1995) might clarify the case. The RM pattern in M83 indicates either a non-axisymmetric distribution of gas or velocity field, or an MSS field, or both.

### 3.6 Magnetic Fields in Galactic Halos

Vertical dust lanes are often seen in edge-on galaxies which may indicate vertical magnetic field lines (Sofue 1987). Their initial detection via polarized radio emission in NGC 4521 by Hummel et al (1988a) prompted a systematic search in several nearby edge-on galaxies. Radio halos were detected also in NGC 253 (Carilli et al 1992) and NGC 4666 (Dahlem et al, in prep.). A survey of 181 edge-on galaxies observed with the Effelsberg and VLA radio telescopes (Hummel et al 1991b) disclosed no other cases with pronounced halos.

In contrast, NGC 891 (Hummel et al 1991a), NGC 3628 (Reuter et al 1991), NGC 5775 (Golla & Beck 1990) and other edge-on galaxies (Hummel 1990) do not possess extended radio halos but rather thin disks with typical synchrotron scale lengths of $\sim 1$ kpc. In most of these galaxies the observed field orientations are approximately parallel to the disk (Dumeke et al 1995, see also Table 3). The same is true for NGC 4945 (Harnett et al 1989) and NGC 1610 (Dahlem et al 1990), but there the polarized emission is restricted to two localized regions, one on each side of the plane. In the disks themselves, the polarized emission at $A > 6$ cm weak due to Faraday depolarization.

The other extremes are NGC 4565 (Sakuma & Allen 1991) and M31. The radio emission from any thick disk of M31 is not detectable and must be at least 200 times weaker than for NGC 891 (Berkhuijsen et al 1991). Either the low star-formation rates in M31 and NGC 4565 are below the threshold for the chimney-type outflows (Dahlem et al 1995), or the dynamo does not operate in the halos of these galaxies.

The increase of the degree of polarization with height above the disk of NGC 891 has been analyzed by Hummel et al (1991a). The data can be well modeled by Faraday depolarization in a thermal gas of scale height $\sim 1$ kpc together with a turbulent magnetic field of scale height $\sim 4$ kpc. The scale height of the thermal gas as derived from the radio data agrees well with that observed in Ha (Rund et al 1990, Dettmar 1990). The scale height of the turbulent halo field is consistent with equipartition between the field and cosmic-ray energy densities, where $z_{T} = z_{c} = (3 + 2\alpha_{c} z_{c} = 3.6$ kpc for a synchrotron scale height of $z_{S} \approx 0.9$ kpc and $\alpha_{c} \approx 1.0$ (Hummel et al 1991a).

NGC 253 is the edge-on galaxy with the brightest and largest halo observed so far (Carilli et al 1992), extending to at least 9 kpc above the plane. It also has the brightest X-ray halo (Pitts et al 1994), so that a strong outflow from the disk or the nucleus driven by the high star-formation rate seems probable. Gas outflow from the nucleus has indeed been found (Dickey et al 1992).
Nevertheless, the regular magnetic field is predominantly parallel to the plane in the disk and in the halo (Beck et al. 1994b, see Fig. 4), possibly due to strong differential rotation even near to the center. 

Figure 4: Total radio intensity (contours) and magnetic field orientation of NGC 253 (obtained by rotating E-vectors by 90°), observed at 21 cm with the Effelsberg telescope (disk field) and at 120 cm wavelength with the VLA (halo field). The resolution is 70 arcsec (Beck et al. 1994b).

NGC 4631 is another rare case of an extended radio halo, possibly driven by a strong galactic wind. The synchrotron scale height of \( \approx 2 \) kpc is twice as large as for the bulk of edge-on galaxies (Hummel 1990). The magnetic field lines are roughly perpendicular to the inner disk, which is almost rigidly rotating (Hummel et al. 1991a, Golla & Hummel 1994). In this respect, NGC 4631 is exceptional compared with most edge-on galaxies. It shows signs of gravitational interaction. A few regions with field orientations parallel to the disk are visible in the (differentially rotating) outer disk.

A striking case of a strong galactic wind is M83 with quasi-radial field lines (Reuter et al. 1994). Even a field of \( \approx 50 \mu G \) strength (Klein et al. 1988) cannot resist the flow with a velocity in excess of 1000 km s\(^{-1}\).

Vertical magnetic fields may be a result of disk-halo interactions. The Parker instability produces alternating vertical magnetic fields. A galactic wind could also drag the field from the disk: an azimuthal gradient of \( V_r \) is required to produce \( B_r \) from \( B_z \) and a radial gradient of \( V_z \) to obtain \( B_z \) from \( B_r \) (see Section 7).

Rotation measures in galactic halos are important to reveal the direction of the field and thus its parity with respect to the midplane. Golla & Hummel (1994) could not find a clear RM pattern from their data of NGC 4631. Beck et al. (1994b) determined rotation measures as a few positions in the lower halo of NGC 253 and found weak evidence for RMs of the same sign at \( \approx 5 \) kpc above and below the plane, as expected for an even-parity mode.

In face-on galaxies, "coronal holes" have been observed as regions of high rotation measure (with ensuing higher depolarization) with neither enhanced plasma density (Hα or X-ray emission) nor enhanced total field strength (total synchrotron emission). In these regions magnetic lines are probably open into the halo. The RM maps of IC 342 (Krause et al. 1989a) and NGC 6946 (Beck 1991) seem to show such phenomena. The RMs in NGC 6946, determined between 18 cm and 220 cm, are small and almost constant, except in the SW quadrant, where both high and low values occur in a region of \( \approx 10 \) kpc in extent (Beck 1991). The spiral arms in the SW quadrant of NGC 6946 are more diffuse and the X-ray emission is weaker (Schlegel 1994) than in the remainder of the galaxy. Thus, galactic coronal holes may occur in regions of low star-forming activity.

3.7 Magnetic Fields in High-Redshift Galaxies

It is likely that spiral galaxies have possessed their large-scale magnetic fields at least \( 6 \times 10^9 \) yr ago (corresponding to a redshift \( Z \approx 0.5 \)) (Kronberg 1984, Perry 1994). The most convincing evidence is the detection of Faraday rotation attributed to a galaxy at \( Z = 0.39 \) (Kronberg 1992). The inferred large-scale magnetic field strength is \( 1-4 \mu G \) and its direction reverses on a scale of \( \approx 3 \) kpc. The authors argue for a bismetric magnetic structure, but this may equally well be an asymmetric field with reversals (Pozzo et al. 1993).

Statistical studies of quasar samples (Kronberg & Perry 1982, Walter et al. 1984, Perry et al. 1993) indicate that excess Faraday rotation correlates with the presence of intervening absorbers. The size of the absorbers has been estimated as 45 kpc, with their global magnetic fields of \( 1-10 \mu G \); these are probably galactic disks and/or halos. It was also argued that damped Lyα systems (i.e. putative young galactic disks—Wolfe 1988, Wolfe et al. 1993) possess \( \mu G \)-strength global magnetic fields at \( Z \approx 1-2 \) when they are only 1–3 Gyr old (Wolfe et al. 1992, Opar & Wolfe 1995). However, statistical analyses of this kind are extremely difficult, in particular because of poor statistics, different selection effects, complications in isolating contributions of other intervening objects such as our Galaxy, galaxy clusters, etc. (Perry et al. 1993, Perry 1994). The earliest time at which galaxies possess their large-scale magnetic fields still has to be established. Theoretical models of magnetic fields in young galaxies are discussed in Section 5.2.

A straightforward implication of these studies is a lower limit on the seed magnetic field required for galactic dynamos: \( 2 \times 10^{-18} \) \( G \) (Kronberg et al. 1992), or even possibly \( 10^{-19}-10^{-18} \) \( G \) if a tentative identification of excess RM in the quasar 1331-170 with an absorber at \( Z = 1.775 \) is confirmed (Perry 1994) (see also Section 5).  

3.8 The Milky Way

Observations in the Milky Way offer a unique possibility for studying interstellar magnetic fields in a detail unobtainable for even nearby external galaxies. However, the plethora of local detail, which obscures any grand-design features of the magnetic field in the Milky Way, still prevents a reliable picture from being obtained.
3.8.1 MAGNETIC FIELD IN THE SOLAR VICINITY

The most confident estimates concerning the large-scale magnetic field near the Sun are obtained from statistical analyses of Faraday rotation measures of nearby pulsars (within 2-3 kpc from the Sun) and high-latitude extragalactic radio sources, because larger samples involve lines of sight passing through remote regions in the Galaxy for which the inferred magnetic field configuration is less reliable (see Simard-Normandin & Kronberg 1980, Rand & Kulkarni 1989 and references therein). The regular field strength is $\approx 2 \mu G$, probably stronger within the arm. The field is directed towards a galactic longitude of about 90° (see, e.g., Roxo et al. 1977, Rand & Lyne 1994), with an accuracy of 10-20°. The scatter between different determinations makes it difficult to say whether it is aligned with the local spiral arm (pitch angle of about -15°) or not. A tentative upper limit on the magnetic pitch angle, $|\psi| \leq 15°$, implies that $|\vec{B}_{\psi}| \lesssim 0.3 |\vec{B}_{\phi}|$.

The best agreement with observations is provided by models with the horizontal global magnetic field similarly directed above and below the midplane (S-type field) (Gaierer et al. 1989, Vallée & Kronberg 1973, 1975). Claims of an odd symmetry (D. Morris & Bege 1984, Andreasen 1980, 1982) probably result from contamination by strong local distortions in the magnetic field. A similar problem prevents the reliable detection of the vertical magnetic field $\vec{B}_{z}$ near the Sun; it is so weak that it cannot be separated from local magnetic inhomogeneities, $|\vec{B}_{z}| \ll |\vec{B}_{\phi}|$.

As the warm interstellar medium (WIM) is the main contributor to the electron density in the diffuse ISM, RMs sample mainly this phase of the ISM. It was argued that the WIM occupies only $\approx 20\%$ of the total volume in the Milky Way, so that the resulting $\vec{B}$ does not reflect the true volume-averaged field (Beck 1996). This argument would apply also to external galaxies, where Faraday rotation is also used to study $\vec{B}$. However, the observed coherence of RM patterns over large regions in many nearby galaxies indicates that the inferred magnetic field is global rather than restricted to a small fraction of the volume (see also Section 3.1).

3.8.2 REVERSALS OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD AND ITS AZIMUTHAL STRUCTURE

The property of the magnetic field in the Milky Way, which distinguishes it from probably most other galaxies investigated up to now, is the reversals of the regular field along the radius. The reversal closest to the Sun between the local (Orion) and the next arm to the center (Sagittarius) was first detected by Simard-Normandin & Kronberg (1979). The reversal is located in the interarm region at about 0.4-0.5 kpc inside the solar circle (see Rand & Lyne 1994).

There are some indications of more reversals at both smaller and larger galacto-centric distances, but this evidence is much more controversial because distant spiral arms occupy smaller areas on the sky. Simard-Normandin & Kronberg (1980) and Vallée (1983) argued that there is no reversal between the local and the next outer (Perseus) arms, whereas other authors found some evidence for an outer reversal (Argonov et al. 1988, Rand & Kulkarni 1989, Lyne & Smith 1989, Clegg et al. 1992). Two further reversals were claimed for the inner Galaxy by Sofue & Fujimoto (1983) and Han & Qiao (1994), but most analyses more conservatively imply only one more, a galacto-centric radius of 5.5 kpc (Vallée et al. 1988, Vallée 1991, Rand & Lyne 1994). The controversy about the number of reversals is partly due to difficulties in the analysis of Faraday rotation measures. There are natural complications associated with strong local distortions of magnetic field, e.g. the North Polar Spur or the Gum Nebula. However, there are also many pitfalls in the statistical analyses. Many results rely on simple “naked-eye” fitting of the observational data (e.g. Simard-Normandin & Kronberg 1980, Sofue & Fujimoto 1983), which is especially dangerous when the global structure is investigated; others are based on non-rigorous application of statistical tests (e.g. Han & Qiao 1994). Some of the problems are discussed by Vallée (1988). More rigorous studies imply an axisymmetric field with two reversals (Rand & Kulkarni 1989, Rand & Lyne 1994), although more cannot be excluded. The radial distribution of the magnetic field strength is shown in Fig. 5 (see also Heiles 1998).

![Figure 5: The strength of the large-scale magnetic field in the Milky Way (full circles with error bars) and positions of its reversals (crosses), as inferred from pulsar rotation measures (Rand & Lyne 1994). Note a gradual increase of $|\vec{B}|$ towards smaller radii (a positive $\vec{B}$ corresponds to the field direction towards the first and second Galactic quadrants). Error bars correspond to 30% uncertainty, chosen tentatively to indicate a scatter of the available estimates at $r = 8.5$ kpc, the Galactic radius of the sun. The solid line shows the strength of the total magnetic field, averaged in azimuth as obtained by the deconvolved surface brightness of synchrotron emission at 408 MHz (Beckmann et al. 1985), assuming energy equipartition between magnetic field and cosmic rays; the accuracy of this estimate is probably $\approx 30\%$.](image)

The available statistical analyses adopt either a baysymmetric structure of the global magnetic field (Simard-Normandin & Kronberg 1980, Sofue & Fujimoto 1983, Han & Qiao 1994) or a concentric-ring model in which magnetic field lines are directed exactly in the azimuthal direction. Comparison between these two models often shows that the latter provides a better fit to the data (e.g. Rand & Kulkarni 1989). However, the concentric-ring model is unrealistically simplistic. The regular magnetic field cannot have a zero pitch angle everywhere (see Section 3.2), even if it does near the Sun. The model is consistent neither with theoretical ideas about galactic magnetic...
fields nor observations of external galaxies (Section 3.3). The pitch angle of the magnetic field should be a model parameter, possibly a function of position, obtained from fits to data rather than fixed to be zero (or any other value) beforehand. Another problem is that the magnetic field may really correspond to a superposition of different azimuthal modes, so that attempts at fitting by a purely axisymmetric or axisymmetric model may lead to erroneous results.

The presence of reversals in the Milky Way is often interpreted as an unambiguous indication of the axisymmetric global structure of the magnetic field. As we discuss in Section 8.5, axisymmetric magnetic structures may also contain reversals, and mean-field dynamo models for the Milky Way favor an axisymmetric field structure.

Field reversals have rarely been observed in external galaxies, only in BSS candidates (see Table 3) and possibly in a galaxy at redshift 0.395 (Kronberg et al. 1992; see Section 3.7). In some galaxies, the resolution of observations is high enough to detect reversals if they were present: this is the case for M31 observed with a resolution of 1 kpc near the major axis (Beck 1988, Ruzmaikin et al. 1990). In other galaxies the resolution of Faraday rotation data is lower (e.g. Krause et al. 1988). The number of reversals within the telescope beam cannot be large as this would average out any Faraday rotation.

As the Sun is located fairly close to a reversal, the strength of the regular magnetic field at \( r = 8.5 \text{kpc} \) is plausibly set by a representative value for the bulk of spiral galaxies, not even for the Milky Way itself. Values of order 4-6 \( \mu G \) seem to be more typical.

4 GALACTIC DYNAMO THEORY

We now discuss the mechanisms generating large-scale fields that have been presented in the previous section. We begin by considering first the small-scale magnetic fields.

4.1 Random Magnetic Fields

The interstellar medium is turbulent and so any embedded magnetic field must have a random small-scale component. The presence of this component is crucial in all theories of large-scale dynamo action. There are several mechanisms that produce fluctuations in the interstellar magnetic fields: (i) tangling of the large-scale field by turbulence and from Parker and thermal instabilities, (ii) compression of ambient magnetic fields by shock fronts associated with supernova remnants and stellar winds, and (iii) self-generation of random magnetic fields by turbulence (small-scale dynamo). All these mechanisms act together, and each imprints its own statistical properties on to the magnetic fields.

The available observational and theoretical knowledge of random magnetic fields and their maintenance in the ISM is rather poor. Instead, crude descriptions in terms of global quantities such as mean magnetic energy are usually applied. A widely used concept is that of equipartition between the magnetic and kinetic energy in the turbulence (Kraichnan 1965, Zweibel & McKee 1985), which implies that the rms random magnetic field strength is given by \( B_{\text{rms}} \equiv \sqrt{(4\pi \rho v^2)^{1/2}} \), with \( v \) the rms turbulent velocity and \( \rho \) the density. The equipartition value is significant in that the Lorentz force is expected to become comparable to the forces driving the turbulent flow as equipartition is approached. (This \( B_{\text{rms}} \) is not to be confused with the equipartition field strength

in Sections 2 and 3, where equipartition refers to the estimated cosmic ray energy density used to deduce the field strength from the synchrotron emission.) Interstellar turbulence is often treated as an ensemble of random Alfven waves (McCann 1987, Ruzmaikin & Shukurov 1982, McKee & Zweibel 1989) for which the equipartition holds exactly. Magnetic fluctuations are accompanied also by fluctuations in density (Armstrong et al. 1995), so that other mechanisms, possibly non-propagating fluctuations, must contribute to the interstellar turbulence (Beglov 1984). The random magnetic fields in the Milky Way are \( B_{\text{rms}} \sim 0.05 \mu G \) (Okno & Shibata 1993), close to \( B_{\text{rms}} \).

Another component of the random magnetic field, associated with interstellar (super)bubbles, is observed in the Milky Way (Reeves 1989, Reeves et al. 1993, Vallée 1993). The magnetic field in HI shells, detected via the Zennea effect, seems to be concentrated in filaments with the magnetic pressure larger than the gas pressure. The field strength in magnetic bubbles around OB associations, as obtained from Faraday rotation measurements, follows the density dependence \( B \propto \rho \) expected for a shocked medium.

The small-scale dynamo (Kazantsev 1968, Meneguzzi et al. 1981) must be an important source of interstellar random magnetic fields (Sokoloff et al. 1990). A distinctive feature of this component of the interstellar field, item (ii) above, is that it is organized in intermittent magnetic ropes of small filling factor and lengths comparable to the correlation length of the turbulence (50-100 pc).

The strength of the magnetic fluctuations generated by this mechanism is possible close to the equipartition value but the field within the filaments may be significantly higher (Belyaev et al. 1990). For example, three-dimensional simulations of convective small-scale dynamo action at magnetic Reynolds numbers of about 1000 (Nordlund et al. 1995) give \( B_{\text{rms}} = 0.4 B_{\text{opt}} \) and \( B_{\text{opt}} = 3B_{\text{opt}} \). Note that in the interstellar gas of elliptical galaxies a small-scale dynamo may be the only source of magnetic fields, resulting in random fields of \( \mu G \) strength and a few hundred parsecs in scale (Moss & Shukurov 1996).

4.2 Large-Scale Fields

The main challenge in the theory of galactic magnetism is to explain the origin and structure of the observed large-scale field. In Figure 6 we sketch different routes by which large-scale magnetic fields may arise. Large-scale flows (shear, compression) together with turbulence effects (swirling motions and inverse cascade, see below) can amplify weak seed magnetic fields (Section 5) and convert small-scale fields into large-scale fields. The amplifying effect of swirling motions on the large-scale field is described by the \( \alpha \)-effect (Parker 1955, Stenbeck et al. 1966, Moffatt 1978). Such motions also lead to an inverse cascade from the conservation properties of the magnetic helicity (Frisch et al. 1975, Pouquet et al. 1976), and from the cross-helicity effect (Yoshikawa & Yokoi 1993).

These concepts were originally applied to stellar turbulence, where the existence of dynamos can almost be considered as an observational fact. It is not clear, however, how much galactic turbulence has in common with thermal turbulence in stars. Nevertheless, statistical properties of turbulence in molecular clouds seem to be remarkably similar to those determined from numerical simulations of ordinary compressible turbulence (Falgarone et al. 1994).

There are attempts to explain the large-scale magnetic field without invoking dynamo action. The turbulence must then be regarded as unimportant and a large-scale seed magnetic field has to be amplified by large-scale shear and compression alone: the inevitable eventual decay is
4.3 Treatment of Galactic Turbulence

There are several basic gas components involved in galactic turbulence. The disk consists of warm gas, interspersed by cold clouds and hot bubbles. Hot bubbles result from local heating (e.g., OH associations, supernova and superbubble explosions), and eject hot gas into the halo (galactic fountains). These violent motions, in addition to stellar winds, help to drive the turbulence. Furthermore, random motions of molecular clouds may stir up the warm gas, because they are dynamically coupled by magnetic field lines. The Parker instability may also be a source of turbulence, or it may at least act as an agent causing the movement of flux tubes and thereby generate an α-effect (Parker 1992, Hanau & Lesch 1993). In the model of Vázquez-Semadeni et al (1995) the turbulence is driven by gravity and density gradients which result from interstellar cooling and heating processes.

In order to understand the effect of these different gas components on the magnetic field we need to discuss the coupling of the magnetic field to those components. The magnetic fields in the hot component are rapidly ejected into the halo. They are then no longer directly important for magnetic processes in the disk, but are essential in the galactic halo. Clouds could be more important, because a large-scale field would be dragged with the gas into these clouds as they form, and the cloud motions would entrain the magnetic field lines (Beck 1991). This process is of only limited duration, because ambipolar diffusion (Mestel 1966) would decouple the clouds from the field on a timescale of 10^7 yr.

The outcome is that for most of the time the magnetic field remains attached to the diffuse ionized gas, and, to the extent that the field is associated with clouds, the effect of the clouds is to contribute to the turbulent dynamics of the magnetic field lines. In any case, even if this is an important contributor to the chaotic driving of field lines (in addition to the turbulence mentioned above), it is reasonable to assume that the magnetic field in a galactic disk is on average linked to the warm, ionized medium, and perhaps also to the warm neutral medium, both of which are in a turbulent state.

Dynamo action is well established from numerical turbulence simulations. In the absence of rotational velocity shear the magnetic field is very intermittent (Menou et al. 1994). In the presence of rotational shear there is a magnetic shear instability (e.g., Balbus & Hawley 1992), which can lead to strong large-scale fields (Brandenburg et al. 1995a). This mechanism yields coherent fields similar to those in ordinary α-Ω-dynamics.

The chemical α-effect quantifies the field-aligned electromotive force resulting from magnetic field lines twisted by the turbulence (cf. simulations by Otmaniowski-Mazur & Urbanik 1994). In the original picture the dynamics of these field lines is governed by external turbulent motions. Parker (1992) discussed a new, perhaps more-appropriate, concept where the motions result mostly from the dynamics of magnetic field lines themselves. The concept of an α-effect seems however sufficiently robust so that the form of the basic equations is always the same. In fact, the α-effect is only one of many effects relating the mean enstrophy to the mean magnetic field and its derivatives. If the mean field is not too intermittent, we can expand

\[ \zeta = \alpha_{\Omega} \hat{B} \times \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}) + \tilde{\zeta} \]

(Krause & Rüdiger 1980), neglecting higher derivatives of \( \mathbf{B} \). This relation is used when solving the induction equation for the mean magnetic field,

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} + \zeta) \]

The mean velocity \( \mathbf{B} \) comprises both the rotational velocity, as well as galactic winds and any other large-scale flows. This is where the observed rotation curves and other large-scale flow components of individual galaxies enter into the theory and models.

The \( \alpha_{\Omega} \) and \( \alpha_{\mathbf{B}} \) tensors in (1) are anisotropic (Forbes 1993, Kitchatinov et al. 1994). Anisotropies can arise from stratification, rotation, shear, and magnetic fields. Stratification and rotation are most important, because without them there would be no \( \alpha_{\mathbf{B}} \) component, which is needed to regenerate poloidal magnetic fields from \( \mathbf{B} \). An important contribution to \( \alpha_{\mathbf{B}} \) comes from isotropic turbulent magnetic diffusion, \( \zeta_{\mathbf{B}} \), with \( \eta_{\mathbf{B}} \) the turbulent magnetic diffusivity. Explicit expressions in the framework of the first order smoothing approximation (FOSA) were first derived by Steenbeck et al. (1966) and Krause (1967), and more recently by Rüdiger & Kitchatinov (1993).

They find expressions of the form

\[ \zeta_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_{\mathbf{B}}}{C_1} \nabla \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}) \]

Recently, Krause et al. (1996) considered the case of the mean magnetic field, \( \mathbf{B}_{\Omega} \), and showed how \( \zeta_{\mathbf{B}} \) in (4) can be replaced by \( \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_{\Omega}}{\partial t} \) in (2).
where \( l \) is the correlation length of the turbulence, and \( \omega \) stands for \( \omega_{14}, \omega_{22}, \) and \( P \) and \( G \) are certain ("quenching") functions. The stratification of \( \rho \) is important, because it breaks the symmetry between upward and downward motions. If \( h \) is the scale height, a rough estimate gives

\[
|a| \sim \min(|\Omega^2|/h, t),
\]

recognizing that \( a \) should not exceed \( v \) (e.g. Zeldovich et al 1983).

The FOSA is valid either for small magnetic Reynolds numbers (which is irrelevant here) or in the limit of strong correlation times (which is also not well satisfied in the ISM). Therefore, higher order terms may become important, but they affect the results only quantitatively (Zeldovich et al 1988, Carvalho 1992). There are independent attempts to compute the transport coefficients resulting from evolving flux tubes (Hanaz & Lesch 1993) and from expanding supernovae and superbursts rather than from turbulence (Perriére 1993, Kang et al 1993). The resulting values of \( \alpha \) and \( \eta \) are smaller than those expected from interstellar turbulence, suggesting that explosions are of lesser importance.

Turbulent dissipation (Zeldovich 1956) can be represented as a macroscopic velocity \( u_{14} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \eta \) (Roberts & Soward 1975, Kitchatinov & Rudiger 1992). It tends to expel magnetic fields from regions where \( \eta \) is large. This term can be considered as a contribution to the antisymmetric part of \( \alpha \) (Rädler 1969). Further effects of this kind are magnetic buoyancy (Moss et al 1996) and topological pumping (Section 7.7).

### 4.4 Basic Galactic Dynamo Models

The simplest form of the mean field (\( \alpha \beta \)) dynamo equation (2) that retains the basic physics (e.g. Parker 1979, Roberts & Soward 1992) is, in dimensionless form,

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( R_{\alpha} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} + R_{\beta} \alpha \mathbf{B} - G_\eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \right),
\]

with \( F(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}) = (1 + \mathbf{B}^2/\Omega^2)^{-1} \) being the simplest form of "\( \alpha \)-quenching", and \( G(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}) = 1 \). Distances and times are measured in units of \( a \), and \( k_\alpha^2/\eta_\alpha \), respectively, where \( \mathbf{B} = \Omega \times \mathbf{r} \), and \( \alpha \) and \( \eta_\alpha \) are normalized by appropriately chosen characteristic values denoted by asterisks. Dimensionless numbers

\[
R_{\alpha} = k_\alpha^2/\Omega_\alpha^2, \quad R_{\beta} = \rho / \Omega_\alpha.
\]

characterize the amplification of magnetic field by shear of the mean velocity field and the \( \alpha \)-effect, respectively. Using Equation (3), \( \alpha \) and \( \eta_\alpha \) can be expressed through observable parameters of the disk such as the rotation curve, rms velocity and scale, and the thickness of the ionized disk (a function of \( r \)). The quenching effects also require that the gas density is specified as a function of position. In models that consider the disk alone, these are usually vacuum boundary conditions assuming the turbulent magnetic diffusivity outside the disk is infinite. This proves to be a reasonable approximation to reality (Moss & Brandenburg 1992), as \( \eta_\alpha \) varies by perhaps a factor of about 50 between the disk and the halo (see Brandenburg et al 1993, Poedts et al 1993). However, more advanced treatments employ the embedded disk model (Stempinski & Levy 1988). This includes a spherical galactic halo and appropriate boundary conditions are imposed at the surface of the halo, whereas the disk is modeled by appropriate distributions of \( u_\alpha \) and \( \eta_\alpha \). This concept has proved sufficiently adaptable to accommodate developing requirements, such as the inclusion of a flared disk, an \( \alpha \)-effect extending into the halo (Section 7.1) and/or a galactic wind (Section 7.2).

Initial conditions for (3) are often chosen to correspond to a weak seed field. Then exponentially growing solutions arise, \( \mathbf{B} \propto \exp(\Omega t) \), provided the dynamo number \( D = R_{\alpha} R_{\beta} \) exceeds a certain value \( D_{\alpha} \approx 10 \). Using Equation (3) one can show that \( D \approx 5(\lambda_\alpha \Omega_\alpha / r)^{1/2} \). For \( \lambda_\alpha \approx 500 \text{pc} \), \( \Omega_\alpha \approx 20 \text{km}^2 \text{~s}^{-1} \), \( r \approx 10 \text{~kpc} \) we obtain \( D \approx 10 \), so that the dynamo is expected to operate under typical galactic conditions. For \( D \gg D_{\alpha} \), the growth rate is estimated as \( \Gamma \approx CD^{1/2} / M \approx C(\rho_{\alpha} \Omega_\alpha / h)^{1/2} \), with \( C \) a quantity of order unity depending on the galaxy model. A typical model gives \( \Gamma \approx 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{~s}^{-1} \), this is a lower estimate for the dynamo timescale. (We note, however, that the timescale for the magnetic shear instability is the inverse \( \Omega \)-value (Balbus & Hawley 1992), which is somewhat shorter (\( 10^5 \text{~yr} \)). This mechanism leads to dynamo action (Brandenburg et al 1999a,b) which would lower the effective value of \( \Gamma \).

All "classical" dynamo models predict that the large-scale field in the outer parts of the disks \( \mathbf{B} \) has quadrupole (\( S_0 \)) symmetry, that is \( \mathbf{B} \) and \( \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{B} \) are even in \( \theta \), whereas \( \mathbf{B}_z \) is odd. Parker 1971, Vainshtein & Rutmajkin 1971). This mode is dominant in a disk (but not in a sphere). A dipole (\( A_0 \)) mode, with \( \mathbf{B}_d \), and \( \mathbf{B}_0 \), odd in \( z \) and \( \mathbf{B}_z \) even, can be dominant near the axis of the disk. The large-scale field is amplified until \( \alpha \) becomes significantly quenched, which occurs when \( \mathbf{B} \) is of order \( R_{\alpha} \), typically a few \( \mu G \).

Field evolution is qualitatively different if the initial field is a random field with strength close to \( R_{\alpha} \). There is then no kinematic stage, because \( \alpha \)-quenching is immediately important. The action of the dynamo is then to change the scale and spatial distribution of the field. An example of typical evolution of the magnetic field in a spiral galaxy as envisaged by the standard dynamo model is illustrated in Figure 7.

Over the last five to ten years a large number of galactic dynamo models have been developed. The minimum ingredient of such models is a flat geometry. Such models were first computed in the 1970s, but computers can only now reach the regime applicable to the theory of asymptotically thin disks (Walker & Balbus 1994, and references therein). Galactic models share the somewhat frustrating property that nonaxisymmetric solutions are always harder to excite than axisymmetric (Rutmajkin et al 1988a, Brandenburg et al 1990, Moss & Brandenburg 1992). Not even the inclusion of anisotropies seems to change this conclusion (Meisel et al 1990). Stable nonaxisymmetric solutions have only been found if \( \alpha \) and \( \eta_\alpha \) vary azimuthally (Moss et al 1991, Panesar & Nelson 1992, Moss et al 1993a). The inclusion of nonlinear effects demonstrated that mixed parity states can persist over rather long times, even comparable with galactic lifetimes (Moss & Tuominen 1990, Moss et al 1993a). When \( \eta \)-quenching is included \( \eta \neq 1 \), linear calculations show that \( A_0 \) and \( S_1 \) modes may be more readily excited (Elstner et al 1995b).

In most of these models \( \alpha_{\text{os}} \) and \( \eta_{\text{os}} \) were adopted using qualitative forms of (3) and (4), calibrated by observations. Significant conceptual progress has been made recently by deriving all these functions consistently from the same turbulence model, including stratification of density and turbulent velocity, derived from a condition of hydrostatic equilibrium (Shultz et al 1994, Elstner et al 1995b). One should not forget, however, that such models still rely on important approximations and simplifications (e.g. FOSA, lack of reliable turbulence model).
4.5 The Quenching Problem

In recent years the feedback of the magnetic field on the turbulent diffusion and the α-effect has become a topic of major concern. Piddington (1970) was the first to suggest that for large magnetic Reynolds numbers the magnetic fluctuations would be strong enough to suppress turbulent diffusion. This idea was rejected by Parker (1977), who argued that the development of strong small-scale fields is limited by reconnection, so that they do not hinder turbulent mixing of field and fluid. In fact, without turbulent diffusion the galactic differential rotation would wind up the field so tightly that it would not resemble the magnetic field structure of any observed galaxy (Section 8.3).

The results of the two-dimensional numerical MHD-experiment of Cattaneo & Vainshtein (1991) stimulated new interest in the problem of turbulent diffusion. They found that $\eta_\ast$ is suppressed according to $\eta_\ast \propto \nu/[(1 + R_0 \nu B^2/(\sigma B_0^2))$, where $R_0 = \nu/\sigma$ is the magnetic Reynolds number based on the microscopic diffusivity. Evidently, $\eta_\ast$ would be significantly reduced when $R_0 \ll 1$. In galaxies, $R_0 \approx 10^{17}$, so $\eta_\ast$ would essentially be zero. Even if we used a Reynolds number based on ambipolar diffusion, with $R_0^{\alpha D} \gg 10^7$, $\eta_\ast$ would still be too small. This type of quenching is much stronger than the "traditional" quenching (Moffatt 1972), so something seems to be wrong (e.g. Field 1995).

In reality (i.e. in three dimensions) the turbulent motions would continue to reorient the magnetic field in the direction perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ (Krause & Rüdiger 1975, Parker et al 1992). This has now also been demonstrated numerically (Nordlund et al 1994) as well as analytically (Gruzinov & Diamond 1994). In other words, turbulent diffusion is really not significantly suppressed at field strengths somewhat below the equipartition value. The decay of sponges is a good example of this (Krause & Rüdiger 1975).

Vainshtein & Cattaneo (1992) and Tao et al (1993) suggested that the α-effect might also be quenched dramatically, $\alpha = \alpha_{\ast \ast}/(1 + R_0 \nu B^2/(\sigma B_0^2))$, where $\alpha_{\ast \ast}$ is the kinematic value of Equation (3). The analysis of Gruzinov & Diamond (1994) seems to support this result. On the other hand, the simulations of Tao et al (1993), as well as unpublished simulations by Brandenburg, are reminiscent of an earlier result by Moffatt (1970), that the α-effect may fluctuate strongly, and never converge to a finite value if $R_0$ is large.

There are at present no conclusive answers to this problem, but here are some possibilities. (i) The conventional α-effect might still work in reality, but the method used to estimate α from simulations is inappropriate (e.g. the boundary conditions preserve the magnetic flux, so the α-effect is forced to have zero effect on the average field, or the computational domain is too small compared to the eddy size). (ii) The conventional α-effect is really nonexistent, but instead some other mechanism (inverse cascade mechanism, incoherent α-effect, cross-helicity effect) generates large-scale fields in conjunction with shear. (iii) An important contribution to α comes from the Parker instability: this mechanism would work especially for finite magnetic fields.

A somewhat different problem was raised by Kulsrud & Anderson (1992), who suggested that the growth of large-scale fields is suppressed by ambipolar diffusion at small scales. However, before we can draw final conclusions nonlinear effects need to be included, which can be important for two reasons: a) the inverse cascade process is inherently nonlinear, and b) nonlinear ambipolar diffusion can lead to sharp magnetic structures (Brandenburg & Zweibel 1995), which would facilitate fast reconnection and rapidly remove magnetic energy at small scales.

The problem raised by Vainshtein & Cattaneo (1992) is related to the assumption that most of the magnetic energy is at small scales, i.e. $B^2 \ll B^2$. This, however, is only a result of linear theory which is not supported by observations (Section 3). A recent simulation by Brandenburg et al (1995a) is relevant in this context. Here a large-scale field is generated with $(B^2)^{1/2} \gg 10^6$, $R_0^{\alpha D} \approx 0.1$. The dynamo works even in the presence of ambipolar diffusion, which Kulsrud & Anderson (1992) thought to be effective in destroying large-scale dynamo action. Here, the incoherent α-effect is much larger than the coherent effect, but the estimated value of the dynamo number is nevertheless where the critical value, suggesting that conventional dynamo action might also be at work.

5 ORIGIN OF GALACTIC MAGNETIC FIELDS

5.1 Cosmological Magnetic Fields

Zeldovich (1965) noted that a Friedmannian cosmology admits a weak uniform magnetic field given as an initial condition at the Big Bang (see also Zeldovich & Novikov 1982, LeBlanc et al 1985). A hypothetical homogeneous magnetic field in the Universe has been never detected and only its upper limits are available. A uniform magnetic field $B \sim 10^{-7}$ G at the present day would lead to anisotropy in the expansion of the Universe, thereby affecting nucleosynthesis (e.g. Cheng et al 1994, Grazina & Rubenstein 1995). Analysis of Faraday rotation measures of extragalactic sources gives a stronger upper limit of $10^{-9}$–$10^{-10}$ G (Ruzmaikin & Sokoloff 1977). A magnetic field leads to transitions between left- and right-handed neutrinos (spin-BiP) in the early Universe.
Nucleosynthesis gives an upper limit to the abundance of right-handed neutrino and thus yields the constraint (Sciama 1994)

$$\bar{B}_{\text{proto}} \leq (1 - 30) \times 10^{-17} \text{G}$$

for the present day uniform cosmological field (Sciama 1994).

Taking a cosmological magnetic field as a given initial condition at the Big Bang is rather unsatisfactory. Further, it is not clear whether a homogeneous magnetic field can be incorporated into modern quantum cosmology, where it cannot be prescribed as an initial condition.

Several mechanisms of small-scale magnetic field generation by quantum effects in the early Universe have been proposed (Turner & Widrow 1988; Quashnock et al. 1989; Vachaspati 1991, Ratra 1992). The resulting spatial scales of cosmological magnetic fields are very small and, even after cosmological expansion, they are negligible in comparison with protogalactic scales.

The strength and scale of the relic magnetic field can be estimated as follows. As magnetic diffusion smooths the field, its scale at time $t$ is given by $10^{-\eta} t^{1/2}$, with $\eta$ the magnetic diffusivity, as the initial scale is much smaller. At the epoch of nucleosynthesis, the resulting scale is $10^{-17}$ cm, corresponding to a scale $t \approx 10^{15}$ yr today. The same arguments as for (7) give an upper limit on the magnetic field at nucleosynthesis of $10^{14}$ G. With allowance for a change in the equation of state at $t = t_e$, $\approx 10^{16}$ yr, the frozen-in magnetic field at time $t$ is diluted by cosmological expansion by $k(t, t_e)^{1/2}$, where $k(t)$ is the Hubble constant. As the protogalaxy includes $(L/d)^2$ correlation cells, the average field strength is smaller by a factor $(L/d)^{-1/2}$. This yields the following upper limit on the average magnetic field at the scale of the protogalaxy at the present time:

$$\bar{B}_{\text{proto}} \leq 2 \times 10^{-20} \text{G}$$

(see Enqvist et al. 1993, 1998). Thus either the cosmological magnetic field is exactly homogeneous or then the restriction (7) applies, or the field was produced in the early Universe, and then it must satisfy (8). We should note that the above estimates neglect Ohmic losses. These constraints do not apply to magnetic fields generated at later stages of cosmological evolution. Battery mechanisms can contribute at more recent epochs, giving (Mishustin & Rubinski 1971, see also Harrison 1970, Bartsch 1978),

$$\bar{B}_{\text{proto}} \leq 10^{-21} \text{G}$$

5.2 The Primordial Origin of Galactic Magnetic Fields

We now assess the possibility that the large-scale magnetic field observed in galaxies is merely a result of the twisting of a cosmological magnetic field by galactic differential rotation (see e.g. Kulsrud 1966). Aiming at conservative estimates, we neglect any magnetic field dissipation. An isotropic contraction of the protogalaxy with a frozen-in magnetic field, from an intergalactic density $\rho_{0} \approx 10^{-26} \text{g/cm}^3$ up to an interstellar density $\rho \approx 10^{-22} \text{g/cm}^3$, results in amplification of the primordial magnetic field by a factor $2 \times 10^2$. Differential rotation results in an amplification of the magnetic field in a young galaxy by the number of galactic rotations in $10^{10}$ yr, $N \sim 30$.

Altogether, a conservative upper limit on the field in the galactic disk resulting from a primordial field is

$$\bar{B}_{\text{proto}} N \rho (\rho \sigma)^{1/2} \leq 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{G}$$

where the more favorable constraint (7) has been used. A primordial field wound up by differential rotation ultimately decays: this effect in a region with closed streamlines (a galaxy in this case) is known as flux expulsion (Moffatt 1978).

5.3 The Dynamo Origin of Magnetic Field

Any dynamo requires a seed field because Eq. (5) is homogeneous in $B$. There are two possibilities for the seed field: it can be essentially of cosmological origin or result from processes occurring in the ISM.

The large-scale dynamo timescale in a typical galaxy cannot be shorter than $t \approx 5 \times 10^9$ yr (see Section 4.4). A primordial field on a protogalactic scale then needs to be at least $10^{-15}$ G in order to be amplified to $10^{-5}$ G in $10^{10}$ yr (when the amplification by protogalaxy contraction is taken into account). With the estimates (8) and (9), we conclude that a cosmological magnetic field is not viable as a seed field for a galactic dynamo. Moreover, for the Milky Way and M31, the time-scale is more like $t \approx 10^8$ yr, so that for these galaxies a primordial magnetic field needs to be at least $2 \times 10^{-14}$ G, assuming that $\eta$ has not varied significantly during galactic evolution.

A sufficiently strong seed field for the large-scale galactic dynamo can be generated by a small-scale dynamo. The scale height of the disk of a young galaxy is estimated as $h \approx 100-300$ pc (Briggs et al. 1989) and the turbulent velocity as $v \approx 10^2 \text{km/s}$ (Turner et al. 1989). Assuming that $t \approx 100-300$ pc, $\rho \approx 10^{-24} \text{g/cm}^3$, we conclude that a random magnetic field $B \approx (4 \pi \rho)^{1/2} \approx 2-2.5 \mu \text{G}$ of a scale $100-300$ pc is generated by the fluctuation dynamo within $\tau_1 \approx (1-3) \times 10^9$ yr.

Because a galactic disk contains about $N_1 \approx (h/L)^2$ turbulent cells, the resulting mean field dynamo seed field is about $\bar{B}_{\text{dyn}} \approx \tau_1$. This is much larger than possible cosmological seed fields (8, 9), even if the field compression during galaxy formation is taken into account.

The resulting small-scale field is strong enough to produce, via a mean field galactic dynamo, a large-scale magnetic field of $\mu \text{G}$-strength in $(1-2) \times 10^{16}$ yr (Beck et al. 1994). The possible role of the halo (Chiba & Leech 1994) and radial motions (Cameron & Leech 1994) has also been investigated. An important point is that this means that even the presence of regular magnetic fields in galaxies with redshifts of $z \approx 2$ or even $z \approx 3.4$ (Wolf et al. 1992, White et al. 1993) does not contradict this picture.

The fluctuation dynamo also needs a seed field, because of the very short fluctuation dynamo timescale, even the magnetic fields generated by the battery effects in stars (Biermann 1950, Mestel & Roxburgh 1963), and subsequently ejected into the ISM, or a cosmological field (Section 5.1) would suffice.

Thus, large-scale dynamo action in a galaxy is preceded by a small-scale dynamo, that prepares the seed for the former. These may operate at different epochs. Small-scale dynamo action has been considered by Proctor & Silk (1988) for the protogalaxy, by Zweibel (1988) during the post-recombination epoch, and after recombination by Tajima et al. (1992).

A rather radical view of the role of the Galactic center in the origin of the global galactic magnetic field was proposed by Doyle (1989), who suggested that the magnetic field observed in the solar vicinity had been ejected from the Galactic center. This idea was rejected because the required magnetic field in the nucleus is $10^{19} \text{G}$, and its energy exceeds the gravitational energy of a black hole with a mass of $10^6 \text{M}_\odot$. Nevertheless, Chakrabarti et al. (1994) proposed a similar hypothesis, with the azimuthal field being amplified up to $\bar{B}_{\text{core}} \approx 3 \times 10^9 \text{G}$ within $r_0 \approx 3 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}$ of the center.
A galactic wind is then supposed to carry this field to the outer parts of the Galaxy. However, this gives for the solar vicinity a ridiculously weak field of $B \approx (r_0/r_0)(h_0/h_0)B_{\text{norm}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-14}$ G, where $h_0 \approx r_0$, and $r_0 = 8.5$ kpc and $h_0 = 500$ pc are the radius and half-thickness of the magnetoionic disk in the Solar vicinity. Chakrabarti et al obtained for $B$ a value about $10^5$ times larger by overlooking a factor $h_0/r_0$.

### 6 EFFECTS OF THE DYNAMO ENVIRONMENT

#### 6.1 Starbursts

Starburst galaxies are believed to contain regions of strongly enhanced star formation, particularly of massive stars. The rapid evolution of these stars, through phases with energetic stellar winds to supernovae, may possibly make the turbulence more energetic (for example by increasing the fraction of hot gas and hence the mean sound speed), with several possible consequences for dynamo theory. Any increased turbulent pressure will inflate the disk, and the $\alpha$-effect may be enhanced above the value appropriate to a quiescent galaxy. Both of these effects increase the dynamo number (Sections 4.4). This enhancement may be preferentially concentrated in azimuth, perhaps lagging the spiral arms. Ko & Parker (1989) suggested that galactic dynamos may turn on and off in response to changing starburst activity. However, the time-scale for starbursts is believed to be less than $10^8$ yr, which is certainly no longer (and possibly considerably shorter) than a dynamo growth time. Thus it is hard to see how significant field growth can be caused by isolated starburst episodes; see also Vallée (1994). Nozakura (1993) presented a local model with several feedback loops, linking star formation via gravitational instability, dynamo action and energy release into the ISM via supernovae. In some contrast to Ko & Parker, he concluded that there was only a limited parameter range in which star formation and dynamo action could coexist: essentially star formation required a high surface density of gas and/or a low sound speed, and an extended disk, giving a smaller dynamo number. These are clearly matters requiring further attention. Further, in an active galaxy fountain flows will be more frequent, enhancing the lifting of field from the disk into the halo – see Section 7.2.

#### 6.2 Galactic Encounters

There is strong observational evidence that a number of spiral galaxies are interacting gravitationally with a neighbor. The cleanest nearby example is M81, which is believed to have undergone a recent encounter with NGC 3077 (probably less than $10^7$ yr ago). As the orbit of NGC 3077 is approximately in the disk plane of M81, this system is particularly well-suited to simulation, and Thomas & Donner (1995) predict nonaxisymmetric velocities of order $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the disk of M81. With $v_\theta \sim 10^{25} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $L \sim 1 \text{kpc}$, this gives a magnetic Reynolds number, $U/Lv_\theta \sim 30$, quite large enough to affect significantly the disk fields (Vallée 1986). Interestingly, M81 appears to have a strong biymmetric field component. M82 also may have some biymmetric field structure, and is believed to be interacting with M31 and, recently, at least weak evidence has been found for BSS in the interacting galaxy NGC 2276 (Hummel & Beck 1995) and for MSS in M31 (Berkhuijsen et al, in prep.).

If we consider a Fourier decomposition of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ into parts $\mathbf{u}_m$, $\mathbf{B}_m$, corresponding to an azimuthal wave number $m$, then the induction term $\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B})$ can give rise to a biymmetric field component in two ways. If the dynamo basically generates an axiymmetric field $\mathbf{B}_0$, $\mathbf{u}$ can generate a slaved $m = 1$ component $\mathbf{B}_1$ from the $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}_0$ interaction. This possibility was investigated by Mere et al (1993b) in a nonlinear model with a relatively thick disk, using a velocity field based on the Thomas & Donner (1993) simulation, and it was found that a globally modest biymmetric field component could be generated, concentrated to the outer part of the disk, where it may dominate. More subtly, the $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}_0$ interaction (giving rise directly to $m = 1$ and $m = 3$ field components) may be such as to increase the linear growth rate of the biymmetric field component compared to that of the axiymmetric, so that in the nonlinear case a substantial biymmetric field could survive. Moss (1995) showed that, in a simple linear model, the $m = 1$ field could then be excited at lower dynamo number than the $m = 2$, but a nonlinear investigation in a more realistic model is needed to clarify the importance of this mechanism. The remarks concerning the model interactions apply, of course, whatever the mechanism providing the velocity field. In particular, it may be relevant that a $\mathbf{u}_2 \times \mathbf{B}_0$ interaction can give rise to a slaved $m = 2$ field component.

### 6.3 Parametric Resonance with Spiral Arms

A dynamo mechanism with selective amplification of BSS caused by spin excitation by the spiral arms has been proposed by Chiba & Tosa (1990). Unlike axiymmetric dynamo modes (which do not oscillate at realistic dynamo numbers), a biymmetric magnetic field has the form of a dynamo wave, that propagates in the azimuthal direction as seen in an inertial frame. As the spiral pattern modulates the dynamo efficiency, a parametric resonance between the spiral arms and the biymmetric magnetic field might be expected. Applying the classical theory based on the Mathieu equation (see Landa & Lifshitz 1969), Chiba & Tosa argued that the $m = 1$ mode is amplified when its frequency $\omega_p$ is half that of the spiral pattern, $\omega_s$, and the growth rate of the $m = 1$ mode is increased proportionally to the increment of the dynamo number in a spiral arm. However, the classical theory of parametric resonance is valid only for simple, discrete, stable oscillatory systems and may not apply to a dynamo system (Schmitt & Rüdiger 1992).

Parametric resonance in a galactic dynamo, a distributed oscillatory system, was considered asymptotically in the thin-disk approximation by Kusayan & Sokoloff (1993). It was shown that the resonant condition remains the same in terms of frequencies, but the resulting enhancement in the growth rate is much smaller than above, being proportional to the efficiency of the radiative diffusive transport of the magnetic field, that is the aspect ratio $h/R$. Galactic parametric resonance has also been investigated numerically for a thin disk model, keeping two explicit space directions, $r$ and $\phi$ (Moss 1996). These results confirm that the effect is weaker than for a classical parametric resonance and, furthermore, demonstrate that the resonance remains efficient for a larger mismatch between $\omega_p$ and $\omega_s$ than implied by the Mathieu equation. As the equality $\omega_p = \omega_s$ is not an intrinsic property of galaxies, this finding is very helpful for practical applications: nevertheless parametric resonance can be expected to occur at most in a fraction of galaxies, where these quasi-independent frequencies satisfy the appropriate condition.

Other attempts to enhance the effect involve dynamo solutions that oscillate even in the lowest approximation in $h/R$ (Banack et al 1991, Banack & Chiba 1991), i.e., in the local dynamo equation. Such oscillatory solutions arise only for unrealistically large dynamo numbers, requiring a downward revision of the turbulent magnetic diffusivity by a factor of ten (Banack & Leach 1993).
A further type of parametric resonance that can occur only in a distributed system such as a
galactic dynamo has been suggested by Mestel & Subramanian (1991) and Subramanian & Mestel
(1993). They assume that the dynamo wave is comoving with a spiral arm and the dynamo
process is larger inside the arm than in the interarm space. The resulting growth rate of the
magnetic field, captured by the arms, is larger than on average over the disk; the resonance
condition is thus \( \omega_d = \omega_p \). The resulting (regular) magnetic field is connected with the spiral
arms rather than with the disk as a whole; in particular, significant vertical magnetic fields might
be expected. It is not completely clear whether or not this mechanism favors the bisymmetric
mode over the axisymmetric. The predictions of these models deserve a careful confrontation with
observations.

6.4 Contrast Structures

Suppose that the seed magnetic field in one part G1 of a thin galactic disk has approximately the
form of a growing eigensolution, while in another part G2 the seed magnetic configuration is close to
the same eigensolution, but with the opposite sign. After some time advection and diffusion
will bring these regions of oppositely directed magnetic fields into contact. The neutral surface
at the boundary of these regions will move due to diffusion and advection, so the final stage of
magnetic field evolution will be determined by magnetic field propagation, say, from the part G1.
The motion of the neutral magnetic surface is governed by the competition between advection and
diffusion of field from G1 towards G2 and vice versa. Provided the nonlinear stage of magnetic
field evolution begins before the field attains the leading eigensolution, these two can balance each other. This balance is possible only if the neutral surface is at some special location in
the galactic disk; then a long-lived magnetic structure appears (Belyanin et al 1994). This type of
nonlinear solution of the dynamo equations is known as a contrast structure. The thickness of the
transition region between G1 and G2 is approximately the disk thickness and its lifetime can even be as long as the diffusion time along the disk, \( R_c \eta \sim 10^{17} \text{yr} \). Inside the contrast structure, annihilation of the oppositely directed magnetic fields is balanced by generation and advection, similar to a soliton in the nonlinear wave equation.

Contrast structures in purely axisymmetric disks are expected to be most often axisymmetric,
becaus they are not affected by differential rotation. In the Milky Way, such axisymmetric
contrasting structures can survive until today, and they may be identified with reversals discussed in
Section 3.8.2 (Pozd et al 1993). Contrast structures supported by nonaxisymmetric velocity
and density distributions might explain the dominance of BSS in some galaxies (Moss et al 1993b,
Moss, in prep., Bikov et al, in prep.).

6.5 The Influence of Magnetic Fields on the Galactic Disk

Early ideas that magnetic fields might universally give rise directly to spiral structure have
therefore long been abandoned, as large-scale fields would need to have strengths \( \geq 10^{-8} \text{G} \) to cause
the velocity perturbations of about \( 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) associated with spiral arms (e.g. Binney & Tremaine
1987, p. 394). This can be compared with typical values of a few \( \mu \text{G} \) (Section 3.9). (Note that the
above estimate is valid for a gas density appropriate to the Milky Way, and that for gas-rich
galaxies, which tend to have larger fields, it would also be increased.) However Nelson (1988)
suggested, from study of a simplified, two-dimensional model, that magnetic fields might have a
significant effect on gas dynamics at large galactocentric distances, where the gas density is lower.

Nevertheless there may be more subtle effects. Magnetic pressure contributes significantly to
the overall pressure balance in the ISM (e.g. Bower et al 1995), perhaps affecting the vertical
distribution of the gas (scale height, etc. - see Bollare & Cox 1990). This in turn can affect the
dynamo efficiency, establishing a feedback loop (Dobler et al 1995). Magnetic fields, of both
large and small-scale, could affect the formation and motion of clouds, for example increasing their
effective cross-section. More directly, magnetic fields are believed to mediate the star formation
process, inter alia helping to solve the "angular momentum problem" (see Mestel 1985). It may be
that a locally stronger magnetic field biases the initial mass function to more massive stars
(e.g. Mestel 1989) which, with their more rapid and violent evolution, could result in a more
energetic ISM and perhaps an enhanced \( \alpha \)-effect, thus providing another feedback loop (Mestel &
Subramanian 1991, see also the discussion by Nakura 1993).

Further, even the relatively modest azimuthal magnetic torques might affect the centrifugal
balance sufficiently to give a significant angular momentum transport. An investigation by Hugl
et al (1993) suggests that this will be substantially subsonic gas inflow in the case of fields of
quadridipolar parity, with only a small effect on the dynamo field structure.

7 MAGNETIC FIELDS IN HALOS

From observations of external galaxies, magnetic fields are inferred in halos of spiral galaxies to
distances of at least 5 kpc and maybe even 10 kpc from the disk plane, significantly further than a
synchronous scale height (cf Section 3.6). Recently, dynamo models have directed some attention to
out-of-disk fields. Here we shall address the two logical possibilities (while noting that they are
not mutually exclusive): that such fields are generated in situ in the halo, or that they are
generated in the disk and then transported into the halo.

7.1 In Situ Generation

Interpretations of observations in the Milky Way suggest the presence of turbulent velocities of
at least \( 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) in galactic halos, compared to estimates of \( 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) in disks. Assuming a
length scale of order 0.5 kpc, and that halo angular velocities are comparable with those in the
disk, gives canonical estimates of \( \alpha \sim 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and \( \eta \sim 5 \times 10^{27} \text{ cm} \). To be compared with 
\( \eta \sim 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) in the disk. [See, e.g., the discussion in Pozd et al (1993). Note that Schultz
et al (1994) adopt halo turbulent velocities that are much smaller than those in the disk: this may be
a direct consequence of their turbulence model with \( \alpha = \alpha_{(r)} \). Taking \( L \sim 10 \text{ kpc} 
\) gives standard dynamo numbers \( R_d = \alpha L / \eta \sim 2 \) and \( R_e = \eta L / \eta \sim 200 \). These are large
enough for a dynamo to be excited (Ruzmaikin et al 1988, §VIII.1, Kahn & Breit 1993). Note
that such a dynamo would operate in a quasi-spherical volume, rather than a thin disk, that
standard spherical \( \alpha \delta \) dynamos preferentially excite fields of dipolar \((\delta \alpha)\) topology, and that these
are then often the only stable solutions of the full nonlinear equations: in contrast, S0 fields are
usually preferred in thin disks. This situation immediately suggests the interesting possibility of
simultaneous excitation of dynamo fields of opposite parity types in the two subsystems (halo and
disk): see Shukurov & Shukurov (1990). A priori there is a possibility of magnetic structures
asymmetric with respect to the midplane, of neutral sheets, and other non-standard phenomena. Those possibilities were investigated in some detail by Brandenburg et al. (1992). Growth times in the halo are substantially longer than in the disk, and the halo field may still be in a transient state after a Hubble time. Detailed investigations show that, starting from a seed field of mixed parity, the overall field is initially dominated by S0 topology and concentrated in the disk. This phase can persist for order a Hubble time, but the final configuration is usually of A0 type, and may even be oscillatory. Given the long-lived transient phase with mixed parity fields present, observers today may be presented not with the eventual stable configuration, but rather an intermediate state of quite arbitrary geometry. Note that magnetic fields in the disk and halo of M51 are oppositely directed (Beskin & Khristianin, in prep.); this is an argument for in situ generation. More satisfactory halo models will need better data than is currently available on the dependence of the angular velocity in the halo on z; but those results seem qualitatively robust. Sufficiently, a prediction of dynamo theory is that in some circumstances it may not be able to make detailed predictions about field geometries in specific galaxies.

A largely unexplored possibility is that some sort of Pomozhennok ("screw") dynamo (e.g. Ruzmaikin et al. 1988) might operate in the halo, if large-scale quasi-radial outflows ("winds") are twisted into helical form by the galactic rotation. Such dynamos excite non-axisymmetric fields. Taking a simple model investigated by Ruzmaikin et al., with their definitions a wind velocity of 100 km s\(^{-1}\) and a typical galactic angular velocity, give a magnetic Reynolds number \( R_M \) large enough for the dynamo to work. Naively the minimum e-folding time would be about 10\(^5\) yr, but this decreases as \( M^2 \) for larger \( R_M \), as the screw dynamo is "slow". These estimates suggest that the mechanism might be of marginal importance in halos, but real galaxy velocity fields are likely to be less efficient dynamos than the idealized forms considered by Ruzmaikin et al. (We note that Spencer & Cram (1992) have discussed models of diffusion in which meridional flows ("winds") appear to play a central role. However they solve purely in the disk region: moreover their solutions do not represent dynamo generation but rather local compression of field and hence the relevance to field generation processes in galaxies is unclear.)

### 7.2 Transport Out of the Disk

Evidence for the existence of galactic winds, with speeds \( U \) of hundreds of kilometers per second, is seen in some galactic halos, notably NGC 4521 and M82 (Section 3.6), implying turbulent magnetic Reynolds numbers \( R_M = U L/\eta \) of order 100. Strong field freezing will thus occur and, with the wind advection time \( L/U \) much shorter than the dynamo growth time, the wind will markedly affect the near-disk fields. For halo magnetic fields that are strong enough for their energy density to be comparable with the kinetic energy density of the wind, the dynamical effect of the field on the wind needs also to be considered, as in the analogous stellar wind problem, although such studies are in their infancy (see, e.g., Breitschwerdt et al 1993). With typical values \( B = 1 \mu G, D = 10^{-22} \text{erg cm}^{-3} \), a kinematic treatment will be valid for winds of speed in excess of about 100 km s\(^{-1}\). This outward advection of magnetic field may be partially offset near the disk by turbulent dismagnetism which gives an effective velocity of field transport of a few km\(^{-1}\) towards the disk (if the diffusivity increases outwards), but for the larger wind velocities wind advection will dominate.

These problems were addressed in detail in the weak field approximation by Brandenburg et al. (1993) and, with a rather different emphasis, by Eiltner et al. (1995a). Brandenburg et al demonstrated that winds of plausible strength and geometry could drag out poloidal field lines almost radially into the halo, and also move toroidal flux away from the disk. Moreover, by using realistic disk rotation curves for well observed systems, and choosing appropriate (predominantly radial) wind velocity fields, solutions resembling the rather different halo fields of NGC 891 and M83, for example, can be generated without any careful "tuning". However the halo field strengths are somewhat too low, and the field far from the disk makes too small an angle with the disk plane to provide a completely satisfactory model for NGC 4631.

However it is plausible (and indeed probable) that a simple wind structure, axisymmetric and varying smoothly with spherical polar angle \( \theta \), is inadequate, and that real galactic winds have considerably more structure, with streamers causing both azimuthal and latitudinal shear. Eiltner et al. (1995a) presented a preliminary axisymmetric model (without azimuthal shear), with a wind velocity perpendicular to the disk and varying sinusoidally with distance from the rotation axis. They show that a short wavelength modulation (1.5 kpc) can markedly affect the field geometry, and that odd parity "dipolar" fields may even be stable for some parameter values. Further work with a more realistic model is needed to elucidate the relation between such calculations and real galactic flows.

A priori, a quasi-radial or z-wise shearing flow is unlikely to produce a halo field that is predominantly parallel to the disk plane: however such fields are observed in some "edge-on" galaxies (e.g. NGC 233). A problem concerning mechanisms that advect field from the disk is that the gas dragging it into the halo belongs to the rarefied, hot phase of the ISM, where the field strength is typically \( < 0.1 \mu G \) (Kahn & Brett 1993), and so additional amplification outside the disk is necessary. Shearing by localized outflows can only amplify the vertical component. Brandenburg et al. (1995b) pointed out that galactic fountain flows, especially in active starburst galaxies, may have the correct topology (upflows that are connected in horizontal cross-section, and isolated downdrafts) for a topological pumping mechanism to produce a strong mean horizontal field high in the halo. With realistic parameters, they showed that this mechanism might produce horizontal fields at a height of several kpc above the disk that were of comparable strength to those in the disk. As yet, this mechanism has not been included in a global dynamo calculation. Magnetic buoyancy in the disk may also play a role in moving field into the halo, but this mechanism has not yet been adequately quantified.

In general, an outflow that is symmetric both azimuthally and with respect to the disk plane, will preserve in the halo any global parity or symmetry properties of the disk field. Clearly, if the outflow lacks such symmetries (as seems quite possible, a priori), then this connection between disk and advected halo fields will be lost.

### 8 MAGNETIC FIELD MODELS

Only the dynamo theory for galactic magnetic fields has been developed sufficiently to provide models of magnetic fields in particular galaxies that can be confronted with observations. Therefore, our discussion below is inevitably more detailed in the case of the dynamo theory. Wherever possible, we also mention inferences from the primordial field theory, ignoring the conceptual difficulties discussed in Section 5.
8.1 The Parity

It is generally believed that galactic magnetic fields have an even parity. As discussed in Section 3.8.1, the field parity near the Sun most plausibly is even. There is some evidence for an even symmetry of the regular magnetic field in the edge-on galaxy NGC 253 (Beck et al. 1994b). In mildly inclined galaxies, Faraday rotation measures for even and odd fields of equal strengths would differ only by a factor of 2 (Krause et al. 1989a), which makes it difficult to distinguish between the two configurations. All conventional dynamo models indicate that the quadrupole parity must be dominant in galactic disks.

A uniform primordial magnetic field trapped by a protogalaxy, with arbitrary inclination to the rotation axis, produces an S1 component from the action of the radial gradient of the angular velocity $\Omega$ on $B_r$ (which is then even in $z$ and non-axisymmetric), and an A0 field from the action of $\delta \Omega/\delta r$ on $B_r$ (which is odd and axisymmetric). As $|\delta \Omega/\delta r| \gg |\alpha/\delta r|$ at least during late stages of galactic evolution, the S1 field will become tightly wound and quickly decay because of reconnection. The resulting symmetry of a fossil field is then A0, or, possibly, a superposition of S1 and A0 configurations.

8.2 Large-Scale Azimuthal Patterns

Even the simplest asymptotic kinematic models of the mean-field dynamo in a thin disk have the promising property that only $m = 0$ modes are excited in those galaxies where the field is observed to be axisymmetric (M31 and IC 342), whereas the $m = 1$ mode is also excited (if not the fastest growing) in the galaxies with a dominant bisymmetric or mixed magnetic structure (e.g., M33, M51 and M81) (see Krasheninnikov et al. 1990 and Ruzmaikin et al. 1988a,b for a review). The thinnest disk, the more readily the $m = 1$ mode can be maintained (Ruzmaikin et al. 1988a, VII.8, Moss & Brandenburg 1992). Weaker differential rotation is favorable for bisymmetric field generation. It cannot be excluded that even higher azimuthal modes might survive in galactic disks, e.g., the $m = 2$ mode (Starshenko & Shakurov 1989, Vallée 1992) which has a four-armed spiral pattern. An admixture of the $m = 2$ mode may arise as a distortion of an $m = 0$ field by a two-armed spiral pattern. An $m = 2$ mode superimposed on an $m = 0$ mode of similar amplitude would produce a pattern of the type possibly observed in NGC 6946 (Section 3.4).

The dominance of a bisymmetric field requires additional physical mechanisms to be invoked as discussed in Sections 6.2 and 6.3; it seems, however, that these mechanisms are efficient only under certain conditions which can occur only in rare cases. Therefore, a general prediction of the galacto-dynamo theory is that normally either axisymmetric magnetic structures (in the galaxies where only the $m = 0$ mode is excited) or a superposition of $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ modes (where both are maintained) should be found. The former situation is encountered in M31 and IC 342, whereas the latter is represented by M51. An admixture of even higher $m$-modes cannot be excluded, as possibly seen in NGC 6946. Only in those galaxies which provide a suitable environment for a fine-tuning of the dynamo (Sections 6.2 and 6.3), should a dominant bisymmetric field be expected, as exemplified by M81. An important factor in maintaining BSS seems to be tidal interaction with a companion galaxy (Section 6.2).

In general, this picture is reasonably consistent with observations that most galactic fields do not have simple structures. Note that a superposition of even two or three azimuthal modes may give an appearance of a rather irregular large-scale magnetic field. So far, observations of only a few galaxies have been interpreted with allowance for such superpositions. We expect that new observations and analyses will extend the list of galaxies hosting MSS.

A primordial magnetic field twisted by differential rotation is strongly dominated by the S1 or A0 modes (Section 8.1). An S0 field can arise only if it is assumed that the magnetic field had a very strong inhomogeneity across the protogalaxy (Sofue et al. 1986), which appears to be a rather artificial requirement.

8.3 Spiral Field Lines and Pitch Angles

Plane-parallel magnetic fields with a dominant azimuthal component $B_\phi$ prevail in spiral galaxies (see Section 3). This can be easily understood because differential rotation is strong in spiral galaxies (whether or not dynamos operate).

Dynamo theory predicts (Baryshev et al. 1987), and observations of external galaxies show (Section 3.3), that the regular magnetic field must have the shape of a spiral, whether or not it is axisymmetric. Unlike spiral magnetic fields, a circular field produced within the galaxy (i.e. not supported by external currents) cannot be maintained by any velocity field against turbulent magnetic diffusion. On average, the field must be a trailing spiral because differential rotation is important in producing $B_\phi$ from $B_r$. Of course, this does not preclude local deviations from a trailing spiral pattern, as observed, e.g., in M51 (Fig. 1).

The pitch angle of the magnetic field $p$ is a readily observable parameter sensitive to details of the mechanism of magnetic field generation. Hence the magnetic pitch angle is an important diagnostic tool for theories of galactic magnetic fields. Magnetic pitch angles in spiral galaxies are observed to lie in the range $p = -(10^\circ - 35^\circ)$ (Fig. 8). Galactic dynamo models even without spiral arms predict that $p$ is close to these values (Krasheninnikov et al. 1989, Donner & Brandenburg 1990, Elsaesser et al. 1992, Panesar & Nelson 1992). A simple estimate for a kinematic dynamo in a thin axisymmetric disk gives (Krasheninnikov et al. 1989)

$$p \approx 2 \arctan \frac{B_r/B_\phi}{R_b/R_c} \approx \left(\frac{R_b/R_c}{R_b/R_c}\right)^{1/2},$$

and $p \approx 20^\circ$ under typical conditions. Note that $B_r$ and $B_\phi$ have opposite signs because of the action of differential rotation, and so $p$ is negative (a trailing spiral). Asymptotic kinematic dynamo models using observed rotation curves have been applied to particular galaxies (see Ruzmaikin et al. 1988a) yielding results in fair agreement with observations. The dependence of the pitch angle on other parameters of turbulence is discussed by Schults et al. (1994).

It follows from Eqs. (3), (5) and (11) that $p \approx -l/h$ (with $h$ the turbulent scale) so that $|p|$ decreases with $r$ when $l \approx h$ and increases with $r$. This behavior is also typical of dynamos in a flat disk (Elsaesser et al. 1992, Panesar & Nelson 1992) and is observed in spiral galaxies as shown in Fig. 8. The only exceptions are M81 and possibly also M33, both candidates for bisymmetric magnetic structures due to interaction with a companion galaxy (see Section 8.2).

As discussed in Section 3, magnetic pitch angles in spiral galaxies are surprisingly close to those of optical spiral arms, $p_{opt}$. Taken literally, Eq. (11) implies that the equality $p = p_{opt}$ is a mere quantitative coincidence because the two depend on different physical parameters. Numerical simulations of the $\alpha$-dynamo with spiral shock waves (Panasar & Nelson 1992) show that $p$ is quite insensitive to the presence of the shocks. The interplay between the magnetic and spiral
patterns is far from being completely understood (Section 8.4) and, possibly, there are deeper physical reasons for the observed correspondence of the pitch angles.

![Figure 8: Observed radial variation of the magnetic pitch angle in the galaxy's plane averaged over azimuthal angle for several nearby spiral galaxies (Beck 1993)](image)

Concerning the primordial field theory, a straightforward idea is that the pitch angle of a magnetic field frozen into a differentially rotating disk is a decreasing function of time and, after $N$ revolutions (with $N \approx 30$ for the Solar vicinity in the Milky Way), we have $p \approx -N^{-1} \text{rad} = -2^\circ$ so that $|p| \ll |p_{PSA}|$. Furthermore, $|p|$ grows with $r$ as angular velocity decreases with $r$ — a trend opposite to that observed.

We note that the ASS fields observed in the spiral galaxies M31, IC 342, and the magnetic spiral arms in NGC 6946 are directed outward. For the edge-on galaxy NGC 253, a similar conclusion follows if one assumes that magnetic field is also aligned with spiral arms. As the direction of a dynamo generated field is determined by that of the initial field, this dominance, if it were to be confirmed by better statistics, might clarify the nature of the seed field. For example, it could indicate the importance of battery effects (relying on galactic rotation). Within the framework of the primordial field theory, such dominance would imply a hardly plausible correlation between the directions of the intergalactic field and the sense of galactic rotation.

### 8.4 Spiral Arms and Magnetic Fields

A "standard" understanding of the interaction between spiral arms and large-scale magnetic fields is largely based on the idea that the spiral shock compresses the magnetic field and aligns it with the spiral arm (Roberts & Yuan 1970). This leads to a clear prediction that the regular magnetic field must be stronger at the inner edges of the arms and that there $p$ is closer to $p_{PSA}$ than in the interarm space. This picture was believed to be supported by the observation that the regular magnetic field in the Milky Way is enhanced within the local arm and that magnetic fields observed in nearby galaxies are well aligned with the spiral arms. It is, however, noteworthy that $p \neq p_{PSA}$ near the Sun (Section 8.3), whereas the general alignment $p \approx p_{PSA}$ can arise from dynamo action without any shock compression (Section 8.3).

However, recent observations of most nearby galaxies indicate that the regular magnetic fields are observed to be stronger between the arms, whereas the total field strength is stronger in the arms (Sections 3.3 and 3.4). The implication is straightforward: the action of the spiral pattern on galactic magnetic fields is not as direct and simple as passive compression (at least in these galaxies). (We note also that it is difficult to understand how the primordial model, which gives only a passive role to the magnetic field, can explain its enhancement between the arms. Possibly, streaming motions induced by spiral arms could help, but this possibility has not been studied.)

The compression of magnetic field in spiral arms becomes much weaker if a large fraction of the interstellar medium is filled with hot gas so that no large-scale shocks occur. Star formation in spiral arms must then be triggered, e.g., by more frequent collisions of gas clouds (Roberts & Hausman 1984). The nearby spiral galaxies M51 and M81 exhibit strong density waves. In M51 prominent dust lanes, enhanced CO (García-Burillo et al. 1993) and radio continuum emission at the inner edges of the optical spiral arms are indicators of narrow compression regions. In M81, however, the compression regions are much broader (Kaufman et al. 1989) and can best be explained by the "cloudy" density-wave model of Roberts & Hausman (1984).

A qualitative model to explain enhanced field tangling in the arms assumes that field lines are trapped by gas clouds, was proposed by Beck (1991). As the clouds enter a spiral arm, they are decelerated, and their number density, collision rate and turbulent velocity increase, which gives rise to field tangling and enhanced total field. However, the "magnetic arms" observed between the optical arms of NGC 6946 (Section 3.4) cannot be understood by this model and need a global mechanism such as the dynamo. How to include spiral arms adequately into the theory of galactic magnetic fields remains an important unresolved problem.

### 8.5 Dynamo Models For Individual Galaxies

The predictions of the $\alpha^2$-dynamo models are roughly consistent with the large-scale field structures observed in spiral galaxies. In this section we discuss briefly a few individual galaxies for which detailed dynamo models have been developed and/or new problems have arisen.

Kinematic dynamo models for the Milky Way (see Ruzmaikin et al. 1988) indicate that the axisymmetric mode is dominant, even though the $m = 1$ mode can be also maintained if the half-thickness of the ionized disk is within a narrow range (300–700 pc near the Sun, but these values are model-dependent). In view of the uncertainty concerning the generation of bisymmetric fields in spiral galaxies, we can only say that an ASS is more likely but the presence
of the BSS cannot be excluded; a superposition of the two modes (MS5) is also possible.

The presence of reversals (Section 3.8) is often considered as an indication of a biaxysymmetric global structure of magnetic field in the Milky Way. We again stress that this is not true. The possibility of such reversals in an axisymmetric spiral field was demonstrated in a dynamo model for the Milky Way by Poedts et al. (1993). Even this simplified model exhibits a reasonable agreement with observations, yielding two or three reversals whose positions along the radius roughly agree with those observed. According to Poedts et al., the reversals represent transient nonlinear magnetic structures (cf Section 6.4).

Both dynamo theory and observations agree that the large-scale magnetic field in M31 is axisymmetric. A notable feature of this galaxy is that both the gas and the large-scale magnetic field are concentrated within a narrow ring of about 10 kpc radius (Section 3.2). The explanation provided by the dynamo models reviewed by Ruzmaikin et al. (1988a) relies on the rotation curve having a pronounced double-peaked shape (Shukurov & Poln 1983). However, recent interpretations (with better allowance for radial motions) have resulted in a much less pronounced minimum in the rotation curve (Kent 1980, Braun 1991). Even though the new rotation curve still has not yet been incorporated into dynamo models, it can be seen that the kinematic dynamo modes will no longer show any concentration into a ring. Thus, the ring-like structure of magnetic field in M31 probably arises during the nonlinear stage of the dynamo, being associated with a similar distribution of the interstellar gas (Dame et al. 1993).

M81 is the only nearby galaxy for which a dominant biaxysymmetric magnetic field is firmly indicated by observations (Section 2). Apart from kinematic asymmetric dynamo models (Krasinskii et al. 1989, Starckchen & Shukurov 1989), a three-dimensional, nonlinear dynamo model has been developed for M81 based on the velocity field inferred from simulations of the interaction of this galaxy with its companion NGC 3077 (Moss et al. 1993a). The interaction has been shown to result in a persistent biaxysymmetric structure. In order to reach a final conclusion about the nature of the magnetic field in M81, these numerical simulations must be extended to better spatial resolution and a fully time-dependent representation of the velocity field. There is no minimum of polarized intensity observed near the probable location of the magnetic neutral line in M81 (Fig. 2). This probably indicates that the reversal in the BSS structure is rather abrupt, reminiscent of a contrast structure: see Section 6.2.

9 LAST WORDS

We have attempted to draw together various strands contributing to our current understanding of galactic magnetism. We feel that neither dynamo nor fossil theory is at present in a satisfactory state. However we believe that, while the problems with the primordial theory are quite fundamental, ways of resolving the difficulties of the dynamo theory exist, in principle at least. A primordial field may nevertheless be important, for example by providing a seed field for a dynamo (see Section 5).

We note the following. ASS and more complicated field structures arise naturally from dynamo models. Pitch angles lie in the correct range. Dynamo models give generically plausible large-scale spatial field structures, that are in some cases quite realistic, and readily allow the detailed modelling of specific galaxies. Finally, on general grounds, field strengths of order the equipartition value, as observed, seem explicable. These points support our view that a coherent explanation of galactic magnetism will only be achieved via the further development of some form of dynamo theory.

It is now possible to include realistic models of the ISM, including detailed data on the spatial distributions of turbulent velocity and scale, the vertical gradient in the overall galactic rotation, galactic fountains, etc., in dynamo models; however, this has still to be done. A detailed comparison of theory with observations is becoming increasingly important, both because the theory is beginning to give results which are sufficiently generic, reliable and detailed, and because observations have reached the stage where they can seriously constrain many aspects of the theory. Reliable and high-resolution information about the complex magnetic structures found in the disks and halos of spiral galaxies is needed, together with an improved theory of depolarization mechanisms.
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