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Abstract

We develop a field theoretical approach to the cold interstellar medium (ISM). We show that a non-relativistic self-gravitating gas in thermal equilibrium with variable number of atoms or fragments is exactly equivalent to a field theory of a single scalar field $\phi(\vec{x})$ with exponential self-interaction. We analyze this field theory perturbatively and non-perturbatively through the renormalization group approach. We show scaling behaviour (critical) for a continuous range of the temperature and of the other physical parameters. We derive in this framework the scaling relation $\Delta M(R) \sim R^{d_H}$ for the mass on a region of size $R$, and $\Delta v \sim R^{q}$ for the velocity dispersion where $q = \frac{1}{2}(d_H - 1)$. For the density-density correlations we find a power-law behaviour for large distances $\sim |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^{2d_H-6}$. The fractal dimension $d_H$ turns to be related with the critical exponent $\nu$ of the correlation length by $d_H = 1/\nu$. The renormalization group approach for a single component scalar field in three dimensions states that the long-distance critical behaviour is governed by the (non-perturbative) Ising fixed point. The corresponding values of the scaling exponents are $\nu = 0.631...$, $d_H = 1.585...$ and $q = 0.293...$. Mean field theory yields for the scaling exponents $\nu = 1/2$, $d_H = 2$ and $q = 1/2$. Both the Ising and the mean field values are compatible with the present ISM observational data: $1.4 \leq d_H \leq 2$, $0.3 \leq q \leq 0.6$.

As typical in critical phenomena, the scaling behaviour and critical exponents of the ISM can be obtained without dwelling into the dynamical (time-dependent) behaviour.

The relevant rôle of selfgravity is stressed by the authors in a Letter to Nature, September 5, 1996.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The interstellar medium (ISM) is a gas essentially formed by atomic (HI) and molecular (H$_2$) hydrogen, distributed in cold ($T \sim 5 - 50K$) clouds, in a very inhomogeneous and fragmented structure. These clouds are confined in the galactic plane and in particular along the spiral arms. They are distributed in a hierarchy of structures, of observed masses from $1M_\odot$ to $10^6M_\odot$. The morphology and kinematics of these structures are traced by radio astronomical observations of the HI hyperfine line at the wavelength of 21cm, and of the rotational lines of the CO molecule (the fundamental line being at 2.6mm in wavelength), and many other less abundant molecules. Structures have been measured directly in emission from 0.01pc to 100pc, and there is some evidence in VLBI (very long based interferometry) HI absorption of structures as low as $10^{-4}$ pc = 20 AU ($3 \times 10^{14}$ cm). The mean density of structures is roughly inversely proportional to their sizes, and vary between $10$ and $10^5$ atoms/cm$^3$ (significantly above the mean density of the ISM which is about $0.1$ atoms/cm$^3$ or $1.6 \times 10^{-25}$ g/cm$^3$). Observations of the ISM revealed remarkable relations between the mass, the radius and velocity dispersion of the various regions, as first noticed by Larson [1], and since then confirmed by many other independent observations (see for example ref. [2]). From a compilation of well established samples of data for many different types of molecular clouds of maximum linear dimension (size) $R$, mass fluctuation $\Delta M$ and internal velocity dispersion $\Delta v$ in each region:

$$\Delta M(R) \sim R^{d_H}, \quad \Delta v \sim R^q,$$

over a large range of cloud sizes, with $10^{-4} \sim 10^{-2}$ pc $\leq R \leq 100$ pc,

$$1.4 \leq d_H \leq 2, \quad 0.3 \leq q \leq 0.6.$$  

These scaling relations indicate a hierarchical structure for the molecular clouds which is independent of the scale over the above cited range; above 100 pc in size, corresponding to giant molecular clouds, larger structures will be destroyed by galactic shear.

These relations appear to be universal, the exponents $d_H$, $q$ are almost constant over all scales of the Galaxy, and whatever be the observed molecule or element. These properties of interstellar cold gas are supported first at all from observations (and for many different tracers of cloud structures: dark globules using $^{13}$CO, since the more abundant isotopic species $^{12}$CO is highly optically thick, dark cloud cores using HCN or CS as density tracers, giant molecular clouds using $^{12}$CO, HI to trace more diffuse gas, and even cold dust emission in the far-infrared). Nearby molecular clouds are observed to be fragmented and self-similar in projection over a range of scales and densities of at least $10^4$, and perhaps up to $10^6$.

The physical origin as well as the interpretation of the scaling relations (1) are not theoretically understood. The theoretical derivation of these relations has been the subject of many proposals and controversial discussions. It is not our aim here to account for all the proposed models of the ISM and we refer the reader to refs. [2] for a review.

The physics of the ISM is complex, especially when we consider the violent perturbations brought by star formation. Energy is then poured into the ISM either mechanically through supernovae explosions, stellar winds, bipolar gas flows, etc.. or radiatively through star light, heating or ionising the medium, directly or through heated dust. Relative velocities
between the various fragments of the ISM exceed their internal thermal speeds, shock fronts
develop and are highly dissipative; radiative cooling is very efficient, so that globally the ISM
might be considered isothermal on large-scales. Whatever the diversity of the processes, the
universality of the scaling relations suggests a common mechanism underlying the physics.
We propose that self-gravity is the main force at the origin of the structures, that can be
perturbed locally by heating sources. Observations are compatible with virialised structures
at all scales. Moreover, it has been suggested that the molecular clouds ensemble is in
isothermal equilibrium with the cosmic background radiation at $T \sim 3K$ in the outer parts
of galaxies, devoid of any star and heating sources [4]. This colder isothermal medium might
represent the ideal frame to understand the role of self-gravity in shaping the hierarchical
structures. Our aim is to show that the scaling laws obtained are then quite stable to
perturbations.

Till now, no theoretical derivation of the scaling laws eq.(1) has been provided in which
the values of the exponents are obtained from the theory (and not just taken from outside
or as a starting input or hypothesis).

The aim of these authors is to develop a theory of the cold ISM. A first step in this goal
is to provide a theoretical derivation of the scaling laws eq.(1), in which the values of the
exponents $d_H$, $q$ are obtained from the theory. For this purpose, we will implement for the
ISM the powerful tool of field theory and the Wilson’s approach to critical phenomena [12].

We consider a gas of non-relativistic atoms interacting with each other through Newton-
ian gravity and which are in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T$. We work in the grand
canonical ensemble, allowing for a variable number of particles $N$.

Then, we show that this system is exactly equivalent to a field theory of a single scalar
field $\phi(x)$ with exponential interaction. We express the grand canonical partition function $Z$ as

$$ Z = \int \int D\phi \ e^{-S[\phi(.)]}, $$

where

$$ S[\phi(.)] \equiv \frac{1}{T_{eff}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(x)} \right], $$

$$ T_{eff} = 4\pi \frac{G m^2}{T}, \quad \mu^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{G \pi} z G m^{7/2} \sqrt{T}}, $$

$m$ stands for the mass of the atoms and $z$ for the fugacity. We show that in the $\phi$-field
language, the particle density expresses as

$$ < \rho(\vec{r}) > = -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} < \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r}) > = \frac{\mu^2}{T_{eff}} < e^{\phi(\vec{r})} >. $$

where $< \ldots >$ means functional average over $\phi(.)$ with statistical weight $e^{S[\phi(.)]}$. Density
correlators are written as

$$ C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \equiv < \rho(\vec{r}_1) \rho(\vec{r}_2) > = < \rho(\vec{r}_1) > < \rho(\vec{r}_2) > $$

$$ = \frac{\mu^4}{T_{eff}^2} \left[ < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_1)} e^{\phi(\vec{r}_2)} > - < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_1)} > < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_2)} > \right]. $$
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The $\phi$-field defined by eqs.(1.3)-(1.4) has remarkable properties under scale transformations

$$\vec{x} \to \vec{x}_\lambda \equiv \lambda \vec{x},$$

where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary real number. For any solution $\phi(\vec{x})$ of the stationary point equations,

$$\nabla^2 \phi(\vec{x}) + \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} = 0,$$

(1.7)

there is a family of dilated solutions of the same equation (1.7), given by

$$\phi_\lambda(\vec{x}) \equiv \phi(\lambda \vec{x}) + \log \lambda^2.$$

In addition, $S[\phi_\lambda(.)] = \lambda^2 - D S[\phi(.)].$

We study the field theory (1.3)-(1.4) both perturbatively and non-perturbatively.

The computation of the thermal fluctuations through the evaluation of the functional integral eq.(1.3) is quite non-trivial. We use the scaling property as a guiding principle. In order to build a perturbation theory in the dimensionless coupling $g \equiv \sqrt{\mu T_{\text{eff}}}$ we look for stationary points of eq.(1.4). We compute the density correlator eq.(1.6) to leading order in $g$. For large distances it behaves as

$$C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \mid_{|\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2| \to \infty} \approx \frac{\mu^4}{32 \pi^2 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^2} + O \left( |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^{-3} \right).$$

(1.8)

We analyze further this theory with the renormalization group approach. Such non-perturbative approach is the more powerful framework to derive scaling behaviours in field theory [12–14].

We show that the mass contained in a region of volume $V = R^3$ scales as

$$< M(R) > = m \int_R < e^{\phi(\vec{x})} > d^3 x \simeq m V a + m \frac{K}{1 - \alpha} R^{\frac{1}{\nu}} + \ldots ,$$

and the mass fluctuation, $(\Delta M(R))^2 = < M^2 > - < M >^2$, scales as

$$\Delta M(R) \sim R^{d_H}.$$

Here $\nu$ is the correlation length critical exponent for the $\phi$-theory (1.3) and $a$ and $K$ are constants. Moreover,

$$< \rho(\vec{r}) > = m a + m \frac{K}{4\pi \nu(1 - \alpha)} r^{\frac{1}{\nu} - 3} \text{ for } r \text{ of order } \sim R.$$

(1.9)

The scaling exponent $\nu$ can be identified with the inverse Haussdorff (fractal) dimension $d_H$ of the system

$$d_H = \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

In this way, $\Delta M \sim R^{d_H}$ according to the usual definition of fractal dimensions [15].

From the renormalization group analysis, the density-density correlators (1.6) result to be,
Computing the average gravitational potential energy and using the virial theorem yields for the velocity dispersion,
\[ \Delta v \sim R^{\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right)} \, . \]
This gives a new scaling relation between the exponents \( d_H \) and \( q \)
\[ q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (d_H - 1) \, . \]

The perturbative calculation (1.8) yields the mean field value for \( \nu \) [10]. That is,
\[ \nu = \frac{1}{2} \, , \quad d_H = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{1}{2} \, . \] (1.11)

We find scaling behaviour in the \( \phi \)-theory for a continuum set of values of \( \mu^2 \) and \( T_{eff} \). The renormalization group transformation amounts to replace the parameters \( \mu^2 \) and \( T_{eff} \) in \( \beta H \) and \( S[\phi(.)] \) by the effective ones at the scale \( L \) in question.

The renormalization group approach applied to a single component scalar field in three space dimensions indicates that the long distance critical behaviour is governed by the (non-perturbative) Ising fixed point [12–14]. Very probably, there are no further fixed points [17]. The scaling exponents associated to the Ising fixed point are
\[ \nu = 0.631... \quad , \quad d_H = 1.585... \quad \text{and} \quad q = 0.293... \, . \] (1.12)

Both the mean field (1.11) and the Ising (1.12) numerical values are compatible with the present observational values (1.1) - (1.2).

The theory presented here also predicts a power-law behaviour for the two-points ISM density correlation function (see eq.(1.10), \( 2d_H - 6 = -2.830... \), for the Ising fixed point and \( 2d_H - 6 = -2 \) for the mean field exponents), that should be compared with observations. Previous attempts to derive correlation functions from observations were not entirely conclusive, because of lack of dynamical range [23], but much more extended maps of the ISM could be available soon to test our theory. In addition, we predict an independent exponent for the gravitational potential correlations (\( \sim r^{-1-\eta} \), where \( \eta_{\text{Ising}} = 0.037... \) and \( \eta_{\text{mean field}} = 0 \) [13]), which could be checked through gravitational lenses observations in front of quasars.

The mass parameter \( \mu \) [see eq.(1.4)] in the \( \phi \)-theory turns to coincide at the tree level with the inverse of the Jeans length
\[ \mu = \sqrt{\frac{12}{\pi} \frac{1}{d_J}} \, . \]
We find that in the scaling domain the Jeans distance \( d_J \) grows as \( < d_J > \sim R \). This shows that the Jeans distance scales with the size of the system and therefore the instability is present for all sizes \( R \). Had \( d_J \) being of order larger than \( R \), the Jeans instability would be absent.
The gravitational gas in thermal equilibrium explains quantitatively the observed scaling laws in the ISM. This fact does not exclude turbulent phenomena in the ISM. Fluid flows (including turbulent regimes) are probably relevant in the dynamics (time dependent processes) of the ISM. As usual in critical phenomena [12,13], the equilibrium scaling laws can be understood for the ISM without dwelling with the dynamics. A further step in the study of the ISM will be to include the dynamical (time dependent) description within the field theory approach presented in this paper.

If the ISM is considered as a flow, the Reynolds number \( Re_{\text{ISM}} \) on scales \( L \sim 100 \text{pc} \) has a very high value of the order of \( 10^6 \). This led to the suggestion that the ISM (and the universe in general) could be modelled as a turbulent flow [6]. (Larson [1] first observed that the exponent in the power-law relation for the velocity dispersion is not greatly different from the Kolmogorov value \( 1/3 \) for subsonic turbulence).

It must be noticed that the turbulence hypothesis for the ISM is based on the comparison of the ISM with the results known for incompressible flows. However, the physical conditions in the ISM are very different from those of incompressible flows in the laboratory. (And the study of ISM turbulence needs more complete and enlarged investigation than those performed until now based in the concepts of flow turbulence in the laboratory). Besides the facts that the ISM exhibits large density fluctuations on all scales, and the observed fluctuations are highly supersonic, (thus the ISM cannot be viewed as an ‘incompressible’ and ‘subsonic’ flow), and besides other differences, an essential feature to point out is that the long-range self-gravity interaction present in the ISM is completely absent in the studies of flow turbulence. In any case, in a satisfactory theory of the ISM, it should be possible to extract the behaviours of the ISM (be turbulent or whatever) from the theory as a result, instead to be introduced as a starting input or hypothesis.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II we develop the field theory approach to the gravitational gas. A short distance cutoff is naturally present here and prevents zero distance gravitational collapse singularities (which would be unphysical in the present case). Here, the cutoff theory is physically meaningful. The gravitational gas is also treated in a \( D \)-dimensional space.

In section III we study the scaling behaviour and thermal fluctuations both in perturbation theory and non-perturbatively (renormalization group approach). \( g^2 \equiv \mu T_{\text{eff}} \) acts as the dimensionless coupling constant for the non-linear fluctuations of the field \( \phi \). We show that these fluctuations are massless and that the theory scales (behaves critically) for a continuous range of values \( \mu^2 T_{\text{eff}} \). Thus, changing \( \mu^2 \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) keeps the theory at criticality. The renormalization group analysis made in section III confirm such results. We also treat (sect. III.E) the two dimensional case making contact with random surfaces and their fractal dimensions.

Discussion and remarks are presented in section IV. External gravity forces to the gas like stars are shown not to affect the scaling behaviour of the gas. That is, the scaling exponents \( q, d_H \) are solely governed by fixed points and hence, they are stable under gravitational perturbations. In addition, we generalize the \( \phi \)-theory to a gas formed by several types of atoms with different masses and fugacities. Again, the scaling exponents are shown to be identical to the gravitational gas formed of identical atoms.

The ISM as shown here belongs to the universality class of the three dimensional Ising model. The differences between the critical behaviour of the gravitational gas and those in
spin models (and other statistical models in the same universality class) are also pointed out in sec. IV.

**II. FIELD THEORY APPROACH TO THE GRAVITATIONAL GAS**

Let us consider a gas of non-relativistic atoms with mass $m$ interacting only through Newtonian gravity and which are in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T \equiv \beta^{-1}$. We shall work in the grand canonical ensemble, allowing for a variable number of particles $N$.

The grand partition function of the system can be written as

$$Z = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^N}{N!} \int \cdots \int \frac{d^3 p_l d^3 q_l}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\beta H_N}$$

(2.1)

where

$$H_N = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{p_l^2}{2m} - G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_l - \vec{q}_j|}$$

(2.2)

$G$ is Newton’s constant and $z$ is the fugacity.

The integrals over the momenta $p_l$, $(1 \leq l \leq N)$ can be performed explicitly in eq.(2.1) using

$$\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\frac{\vec{q}_l^2}{2m}} = \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \beta} \right)^{3/2}$$

We thus find,

$$Z = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left[ z \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \beta} \right)^{3/2} \right] \int \cdots \int d^3 q_l \ e^{\beta G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_l - \vec{q}_j|}}$$

(2.3)

We proceed now to recast this many-body problem into a field theoretical form [8,9,11,19]. Let us define the density

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{q}_j)$$

(2.4)

such that, we can rewrite the potential energy in eq.(2.3) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq l \neq j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_l - \vec{q}_j|} = \frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \int_{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}| > a} \frac{d^3 x d^3 y}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \rho(\vec{x}) \rho(\vec{y})$$

(2.5)

The cutoff $a$ in the r.h.s. is introduced in order to avoid self-interacting divergent terms. However, such divergent terms would contribute to $Z$ by an infinite multiplicative factor that can be factored out.

By using

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} = -4\pi \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$
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and partial integration we can now represent the exponent of the potential energy eq.(2.5) as a functional integral [9]
\[ e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \int \frac{d^3 x}{(2\pi)^3} \rho(\vec{x}) \rho(\vec{y})} = \int \int D\xi \ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x (\nabla \xi)^2 + 2m\sqrt{\pi G \beta} \int d^3 x \ \xi(\vec{x}) \ \rho(\vec{x})} \] (2.6)

Inserting this expression into eq.(2.3) and using eq.(2.4) yields
\[ Z = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left[ \frac{z}{2\pi \beta} \right]^{3/2} \int \int D\xi \ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x (\nabla \xi)^2} \ \int \int \prod_{l=1}^{N} d^3 q_i \ e^{2m\sqrt{\pi G \beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi(\vec{q}_i)} \] 
\[ = \int \int D\xi \ e^{\int d^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \xi)^2 - \frac{z}{2\pi \beta} \right]^{3/2} e^{2m\sqrt{\pi G \beta} \xi(\vec{x})}} \] . (2.7)

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless field
\[ \phi(\vec{x}) \equiv 2m\sqrt{\pi G \beta} \xi(\vec{x}) . \] (2.8)

Then,
\[ Z = \int \int D\phi \ e^{-\frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \int d^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right]} , \] (2.9)

where
\[ \mu^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} z G m^{7/2} \sqrt{T} , \quad T_{\text{eff}} = 4\pi \frac{G m^2}{T} . \] (2.10)

The partition function for the gas of particles in gravitational interaction has been transformed into the partition function for a single scalar field \(\phi(\vec{x})\) with **local** action
\[ S[\phi(.)] \equiv \frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \int d^3 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right] . \] (2.11)

The \(\phi\) field exhibits an exponential self-interaction \(-\mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})}\).

Notice that the effective temperature \(T_{\text{eff}}\) for the \(\phi\)-field partition function turns out to be inversely proportional to \(T\) whereas the characteristic length \(\mu^{-1}\) behaves as \(\sim T^{-1/4}\). This is a duality-type mapping between the two models.

It must be noticed that the term \(-\mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})}\) makes the \(\phi\)-field energy density unbounded from below. Actually, the initial Hamiltonian (2.1) is also unbounded from below. This unboundness physically originates in the attractive character of the gravitational force. Including a short-distance cutoff [see sec. 2A, below] eliminates the zero distance singularity and hence the possibility of zero-distance collapse which is unphysical in the present context. We therefore expect meaningful physical results in the cutoff theory. Moreover, assuming zero boundary conditions for \(\phi(\vec{r})\) at \(r \to \infty\) shows that the derivatives of \(\phi\) must also be large if \(e^{\phi(\vec{x})}\) is large. Hence, the term \(\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2\) may stabilize the energy.
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The action \((2.11)\) defines a non-renormalizable field theory for any number of dimensions \(D > 2\) [see eq.(2.33)]. This is a further reason to keep the short-distance cutoff non-zero.

Let us compute now the statistical average value of the density \(\rho(\vec{r})\) which in the grand canonical ensemble is given by

\[
< \rho(\vec{r}) > = Z^{-1} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left[ z \left( \frac{m}{2\pi\beta} \right)^{3/2} \right]^N \int \ldots \int d^3q_i \rho(\vec{r}) e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_i - \vec{q}_j|}}. \tag{2.12}
\]

As usual in the functional integral calculations, it is convenient to introduce sources in the partition function \((2.9)\) in order to compute average values of fields

\[
\mathcal{Z}[J(.)] \equiv \int \int \mathcal{D} \phi \ e^{-\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(x)} \right] + \int d^3x \ J(\vec{x}) \ \phi(\vec{x})}. \tag{2.13}
\]

The average value of \(\phi(\vec{r})\) then writes as

\[
< \phi(\vec{r}) > = \frac{\delta \log \mathcal{Z}}{\delta J(\vec{r})}. \tag{2.14}
\]

In order to compute \(< \rho(\vec{r}) >\) it is useful to introduce

\[
\mathcal{V}[J(.)] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \int_{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|>a} d^3x d^3\vec{y} \left[ \rho(\vec{x}) + J(\vec{x}) \right] \left[ \rho(\vec{y}) + J(\vec{y}) \right]. \tag{2.15}
\]

Then, we have

\[
\rho(\vec{r}) \ e^{\mathcal{V}[0]} = -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2_{\vec{r}} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta J(\vec{r})} e^{\mathcal{V}[J(.)]} \right) |_{J=0}.
\]

By following the same steps as in eqs.(2.6)-(2.7), we find

\[
< \rho(\vec{r}) > = -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2_{\vec{r}} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta J(\vec{r})} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \left[ z \left( \frac{m}{2\pi\beta} \right)^{3/2} \right]^N \mathcal{Z}[0]^{-1} \int \ldots \int d^3q_i e^{2m \sqrt{\pi G \beta} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \xi(\vec{q}_l)} \bigg|_{J=0}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2_{\vec{r}} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta J(\vec{r})} \log \mathcal{Z}[J(.)] \right) \bigg|_{J=0}, \tag{2.16}
\]

Performing the derivatives in the last formula yields

\[
< \rho(\vec{r}) >= -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \int \int \mathcal{D} \phi \ \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r}) \ e^{-\frac{1}{T_{eff}}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(x)} \right] \mathcal{Z}[0]^{-1}. \tag{2.17}
\]

One can analogously prove that \(\rho(\vec{r})\) inserted in any correlator becomes \(-\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r})\) in the \(\phi\)-field language. Therefore, we can express the particle density operator as
\[
\rho(\vec{r}) = -\frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r}).
\] (2.18)

Let us now derive the field theoretical equations of motion. Since the functional integral of a total functional derivative identically vanishes, we can write

\[
\int \int D\phi \left[ -\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi(\vec{r})} + J(\vec{r}) \right] e^{-S[\phi(.)] + \int d^3x \int \phi(\vec{x}) \phi(\vec{x})} = 0
\]

We get from eq.(2.11)

\[
\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi(\vec{r})} = -\frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \left[ \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r}) + \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{r})} \right].
\]

Thus, setting \(J(\vec{r}) \equiv 0\),

\[
< \nabla^2 \phi(\vec{r}) > + \mu^2 < e^{\phi(\vec{r})} >= 0
\] (2.19)

Now, combining eqs.(2.18) and (2.19) yields

\[
< \rho(\vec{r}) > = \frac{\mu^2}{T_{\text{eff}}} < e^{\phi(\vec{r})} > .
\] (2.20)

By using eq.(2.18), the gravitational potential at the point \(\vec{r}\)

\[
U(\vec{r}) = -Gm \int \frac{d^3x}{|\vec{x} - \vec{r}|} \rho(\vec{x}) ,
\]

can be expressed as

\[
U(\vec{r}) = -\frac{T}{m} \phi(\vec{r}).
\] (2.21)

We can analogously express the correlation functions as

\[
C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \equiv < \rho(\vec{r}_1) \rho(\vec{r}_2) > = < \rho(\vec{r}_1) > < \rho(\vec{r}_2) >
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \right)^2 \nabla^2_{\vec{r}_1} \nabla^2_{\vec{r}_2} \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta J(\vec{r}_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(\vec{r}_2)} \log Z[J(.)] \right] \mid_{J=0}. \] (2.22)

This can be also written as

\[
C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\mu^4}{T_{\text{eff}}^2} \left[ < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_1)} e^{\phi(\vec{r}_2)} > - < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_1)} > < e^{\phi(\vec{r}_2)} > \right]. \] (2.23)
A. Short distances cutoff

A simple short distance regularization of the Newtonian force for the two-body potential is

$$v_a(\vec{r}) = -\frac{Gm^2}{r} [1 - \theta(a - r)] ,$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the step function. The cutoff $a$ can be chosen of the order of atomic distances but its actual value is unessential.

The $N$-particle regularized Hamiltonian takes then the form

$$H_N = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\vec{p}_l^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} v_a(\vec{q}_i - \vec{q}_j) . \quad (2.24)$$

Notice that now we can include in the sum terms with $l = j$ since $v_a(0) = 0$.

The steps from eq.(2.2) to eq.(2.9) can be just repeated by using now the regularized $v_a(\vec{r})$. Notice that we must use now the inverse operator of $v_a(\vec{r})$ instead of that of $1/r, \left[ -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \right]$, previously used.

We now find,

$$Z_a = \int \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{\frac{-1}{T_{eff}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi K_a \phi - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right]} , \quad (2.25)$$

e. e.

$$S_a[\phi(.)] = \frac{1}{T_{eff}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi K_a \phi - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right] , \quad (2.26)$$

where $K_a$ is the inverse operator of $v_a$, $K_a(\vec{r}) = \int K_a(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \phi(\vec{r}') \, d^3r'$. $K_a(\vec{r})$ admits the Fourier representation,

$$K_a(\vec{r}) = V.P. \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p^2 e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}}}{\cos pa} .$$

Actually, $K_a(\vec{r}) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$. $K_a(\vec{r})$ has the following asymptotic expansion in powers of the cutoff $a^2$

$$K_a(\vec{r}) = -\nabla^2 \delta(\vec{r}) + \frac{a^2}{2} \nabla^4 \delta(\vec{r}) + O(a^4) , \quad (2.27)$$

and then

$$S_a[\phi(.)] = S[\phi(.)] + \frac{a^2}{2} \int d^3x (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 + O(a^4) . \quad (2.28)$$

As we see, the high orders in $a^2$ are irrelevant operators which do not affect the scaling behaviour, as is well known from renormalization group arguments. For $a \to 0$, the action (2.11) is recovered.
B. D-dimensional generalization

This approach generalizes immediately to $D$-dimensional space where the Hamiltonian (2.2) takes then the form

$$H_N = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{p_l^2}{2m} - G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_l - \vec{q}_j|^{D-2}}, \quad \text{for } D \neq 2$$

(2.29)

and

$$H_N = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{p_l^2}{2m} - G m^2 \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq N} \log \frac{1}{|\vec{q}_l - \vec{q}_j|}, \quad \text{at } D = 2.$$  

(2.30)

The steps from eq.(2.1) to (2.9) can be trivially generalized with the help of the relation

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|^{D-2}} = -C_D \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$  

(2.31)

in $D$-dimensions and

$$\nabla^2 \log \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} = -C_2 \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$  

at $D = 2$.

Here,

$$C_D \equiv (D - 2) \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)} \text{ for } D \neq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 \equiv 2\pi.$$  

(2.32)

We finally obtain as a generalization of eq.(2.9),

$$Z = \int \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \int d^Dx \left[\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(x)}\right]},$$  

(2.33)

where

$$\mu^2 = \frac{C_D}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} z G m^{2+D/2} T^{D/2-1}, \quad T_{\text{eff}} = C_D \frac{G m^2}{T}.$$  

(2.34)

We have then transformed the partition function for the $D$-dimensional gas of particles in gravitational interaction into the partition function for a scalar field $\phi$ with exponential interaction. The effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ for the $\phi$-field partition function is \textbf{inversely} proportional to $T$ for \textbf{any} space dimension. The characteristic length $\mu^{-1}$ behaves as $\sim T^{-(D-2)/4}$.

III. SCALING BEHAVIOUR

We derive here the scaling behaviour of the $\phi$ field following the general renormalization group arguments in the theory of critical phenomena [12,13].
A. Classical Scale Invariance

Let us investigate how the action (2.11) transforms under scale transformations

$$\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}_\lambda \equiv \lambda \vec{x}$$, \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary real number.

In $D$-dimensions the action takes the form

$$S[\phi(.)] \equiv \frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \int d^D x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

We define the scale transformed field $\phi_\lambda(\vec{x})$ as follows

$$\phi_\lambda(\vec{x}) \equiv \phi(\lambda \vec{x}) + \log \lambda^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Hence,

$$(\nabla \phi_\lambda(\vec{x}))^2 = \lambda^2 (\nabla_{\lambda} \phi(\vec{x}_\lambda))^2, \hspace{1cm} e^{\phi_\lambda(\vec{x})} = \lambda^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x}_\lambda)}$$

We find upon changing the integration variable in eq.(3.2) from $\vec{x}$ to $\vec{x}_\lambda$

$$S[\phi_\lambda(.)] = \lambda^{2-D} S[\phi(.)]$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

We thus see that the action (3.2) scales under dilatations in spite of the fact that it contains the dimensionful parameter $\mu^2$. This remarkable scaling property is of course a consequence of the scale behaviour of the gravitational interaction (2.29).

In particular, in $D = 2$ the action (3.2) is scale invariant. In such special case, it is moreover conformal invariant.

The (Noether) current associated to the scale transformations (3.1) is

$$J_i(\vec{x}) = x_j T_{ij}(\vec{x}) + 2 \nabla_i \phi(\vec{x}),$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

where $T_{ij}(\vec{x})$ is the stress tensor

$$T_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \nabla_i \phi(\vec{x}) \nabla_j \phi(\vec{x}) - \delta_{ij} L$$

and $L \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})}$ stands for the action density. That is,

$$J_i(\vec{x}) = (\vec{x}.\nabla \phi + 2) \nabla_i \phi(\vec{x}) - x_i \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \right]$$

By using the classical equation of motion (3.6), we then find

$$\nabla_i J_i(\vec{x}) = (2-D) L.$$ 

This non-zero divergence is due to the variation of the action under dilatations [eq. (3.4)].

If $\phi(\vec{x})$ is a stationary point of the action (3.2):

$$\nabla^2 \phi(\vec{x}) + \mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.6)
then $\phi_\lambda(\vec{x})$ [defined by eq.(3.3)] is also a stationary point:

$$\nabla^2 \phi_\lambda(\vec{x}) + \mu^2 e^{\phi_\lambda(\vec{x})} = 0.$$  

A rotationally invariant stationary point is given by

$$\phi^c(r) = \log \frac{2(D - 2)}{\mu^2 r^2}.$$  

(3.7)

This singular solution is invariant under the scale transformations (3.3). That is

$$\phi_\lambda^c(r) = \phi^c(r).$$

Eq.(3.7) is dilatation and rotation invariant. It provides the most symmetric stationary point of the action. Notice that there are no constant stationary solutions besides the singular solution $\phi_0 = -\infty$.

The introduction of the short distance cutoff $a$, eq.(2.24), spoils the scale behaviour (3.4). For the cutoff theory from eqs.(2.26) and (3.1)-(3.3), we have instead

$$S_a[\phi_\lambda(.)] = \lambda^{2-D} S_{\lambda a}[\phi(.)].$$

For $r \sim a$, eq.(3.7) does not hold anymore for the spherically symmetric solution $\phi^c(r)$. For small $r$ and $a$, using eqs.(2.26-2.28) we have

$$\phi^c(r) \overset{r \to 0}{=} -\frac{\mu^2 r^2}{2D} + O(r^2, r^2 a^2).$$  

(3.8)

That is, $\phi^c(r)$ is regular at $r = 0$ in the presence of the cutoff $a$.

**B. Thermal Fluctuations**

In this section we compute the partition function eqs.(2.9) and (2.13) by saddle point methods.

Eq.(3.6) admits only one constant stationary solution

$$\phi_0 = -\infty.$$  

(3.9)

In order to make such solution finite we now introduce a regularization term $\epsilon \mu^2 \phi(\vec{x})$ with $\epsilon \ll 1$ in the action $S$ [eq.(2.11)]. This corresponds to an action density

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \phi)^2 + u(\phi)$$  

(3.10)

where

$$u(\phi) = -\mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})} + \epsilon \mu^2 \phi(\vec{x}).$$

This extra term can be obtained by adding a small constant term $-\epsilon \mu^2 / T_{eff}$ to $\rho(\vec{x})$ in eqs.(2.4) - (2.6). This a simple way to make $\phi_0$ finite.

We get in this way a constant stationary point at $\phi_0 = \log \epsilon$ where $u'(\phi_0) = 0$. However, scale invariance is broken since $u''(\phi_0) = -\epsilon \mu^2 \neq 0$. We can add a second regularization
term to $\frac{1}{2} \delta \mu^2 (\phi(x))^2$ to $L$, (with $\delta << 1$) in order to enforce $u''(\phi_0) = 0$. This quadratic term amounts to a long-range shielding of the gravitational force. We finally set:

$$u(\phi) = -\mu^2 \left[ e^{\phi(x)} - \epsilon \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} \delta \phi(x)^2 \right],$$

where the two regularization parameters $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ are related by

$$\epsilon(\delta) = \delta [1 - \log \delta],$$

and the stationary point has the value

$$\phi_0 = \log \delta. \quad \text{(3.7)}$$

Expanding around $\phi_0$

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0 + g \chi(x)$$

where $g \equiv \sqrt{\mu^{D-2} T_{eff}}$ and $\chi(x)$ is the fluctuation field, yields

$$\frac{1}{g^2} L = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \chi)^2 - \frac{\mu^2 \delta}{g^2} \left[ e^{g \chi} - 1 - g \chi - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \chi^2 \right]. \quad \text{(3.11)}$$

We see perturbatively in $g$ that $\chi(x)$ is a massless field.

Concerning the boundary conditions, we must consider the system inside a large sphere of radius $R$ ($10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$ pc $\leq R \leq 100$ pc). That is, all integrals are computed over such large sphere.

Using eq.(2.18) the particle density takes now the form

$$\rho(r) = -\frac{1}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2 \phi(r) = -\frac{g}{T_{eff}} \nabla^2 \chi(r) = \frac{\mu^2 \delta}{T_{eff}} \left[ e^{g \chi(r)} - 1 - g \chi(r) \right].$$

It is convenient to renormalize the particle density by its stationary value $\delta = e^{\phi_0}$,

$$\rho_{ren}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{\delta} \rho(r) = \frac{\mu^D}{g^2} \left[ e^{g \chi(r)} - 1 - g \chi(r) \right]. \quad \text{(3.12)}$$

We see that in the $\delta \to 0$ limit the interaction in eq.(3.11) vanishes. No infrared divergences appear in the Feynman graphs calculations, since we work on a very large but finite volume of size $R$. Hence, in the $\delta \to 0$ limit, the whole perturbation series around $\phi_0$ reduces to the zeroth order term.

The constant saddle point $\phi_0$ fails to catch up the whole field theory content. In fact, more information arises perturbing around the stationary point $\phi^c(r)$ given by eq.(3.7) [22].

Using eqs.(2.23), (2.31), (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain for the density correlator in the $\delta \to 0$ limit,

$$C(r_1, r_2) = \frac{\mu^D}{g^4} \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{g^2}{C_D (\mu |r_1 - r_2|)^{D-2}} \right] - 1 - \frac{g^2}{C_D (\mu |r_1 - r_2|)^{D-2}} \right\}. \quad \text{(3.13)}$$
For large distances, we find
\[ C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \mid \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2 \mid \rightarrow \infty \approx \mu^4 \frac{\mu^4}{2C_D^2 |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^{2(D-2)}} + O \left( |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^{-3(D-2)} \right). \] (3.13)

That is, the \( \phi \)-field theory scales. Namely, the theory behaves critically for a continuum set of values of \( \mu \) and \( T_{eff} \).

Notice that the density correlator \( C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \) behaves for large distances as the correlator of \( \chi(\vec{r})^2 \). This stems from the fact that \( \chi(\vec{r})^2 \) is the most relevant operator in the series expansion of the density (3.12)
\[ \rho(\vec{r})_{ren} = \frac{1}{2} \mu^D \chi(\vec{r})^2 + O(\chi^3). \] (3.14)

As remarked above, the constant stationary point \( \phi_0 = \log \delta \rightarrow -\infty \) only produces the zeroth order of perturbation theory. More information arises perturbing around the stationary point \( \phi^c(r) \) given by eq.(3.7) [22].

C. Renormalization Group Finite Size Scaling Analysis

As is well known [12–14], physical quantities for infinite volume systems diverge at the critical point as \( \Lambda \) to a negative power. \( \Lambda \) measures the distance to the critical point. (In condensed matter and spin systems, \( \Lambda \) is proportional to the temperature minus the critical temperature [13,14]). One has for the correlation length \( \xi \),
\[ \xi(\Lambda) \sim \Lambda^{-\nu}, \]
and for the specific heat (per unit volume) \( C \),
\[ C \sim \Lambda^{-\alpha}. \] (3.15)

Correlation functions scale at criticality. For example, the scalar field \( \phi \) (which in spin systems describes the magnetization) scales as,
\[ \langle \phi(\vec{r})\phi(0) \rangle \sim r^{-1-\eta}. \]

The critical exponents \( \nu, \alpha \) and \( \eta \) are pure numbers that depend only on the universality class [12–14].

For a finite volume system, all physical quantities are finite at the critical point. Indeed, for a system whose size \( R \) is large, the physical magnitudes take large values at the critical point. Thus, for large \( R \), one can use the infinite volume theory to treat finite size systems at criticality. In particular, the correlation length provides the relevant physical length \( \xi \sim R \).

This implies that
\[ \Lambda \sim R^{-1/\nu}. \] (3.16)

We can apply these concepts to the \( \phi \)-theory since, as we have seen in the previous section, it exhibits scaling in a finite volume \( \sim R^3 \). Namely, the two points correlation function
exhibits a power-like behaviour in perturbation theory as shown by eq.(3.13). This happens for a continuum set of values of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\mu^2$. Therefore, changing $\mu^2/T_{\text{eff}}$ keeps the theory in the scaling region. At the point $\mu^2/T_{\text{eff}} = 0$, the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ is singular. From eq.(2.10), we shall thus identify

$$\Lambda \equiv \frac{\mu^2}{T_{\text{eff}}} = z \left( \frac{mT}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2}.$$  

(3.17)

Notice that the critical point $\Lambda = 0$, corresponds to zero fugacity. Thus, the partition function in the scaling regime can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = \int \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S^*+\Lambda \int d^D x \, e^{\phi(x)}} ,$$  

(3.18)

where $S^*$ stands for the action (2.11) at the critical point $\Lambda = 0$.

We define the renormalized mass density as

$$m \rho(\vec{x})_{\text{ren}} \equiv \rho_e \phi(\vec{x})$$  

(3.19)

and we identify it with the energy density in the renormalization group. [Also called the ‘thermal perturbation operator’]. This identification follows from the fact that they are the most relevant positive definite operators. Moreover, such identification is supported by the perturbative result (3.14).

In the scaling regime we have [13] for the logarithm of the partition function

$$\frac{1}{V} \log \mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = \frac{K}{(2-\alpha)(1-\alpha)} \Lambda^{2-\alpha} + F(\Lambda) ,$$  

(3.20)

where $F(\Lambda)$ is an analytic function of $\Lambda$ around the origin

$$F(\Lambda) = F_0 + a \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} b \Lambda^2 + \ldots .$$

$V = R^D$ stands for the volume and $F_0$, $K$, $a$ and $b$ are constants.

Calculating the logarithmic derivative of $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda)$ with respect to $\Lambda$ from eqs.(3.18) and from (3.20) and equating the results yields

$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \log \mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = a + \frac{K}{1-\alpha} \Lambda^{1-\alpha} + \ldots = \frac{1}{V} \int d^D x \, \langle e^{\phi(\vec{x})} \rangle .$$  

(3.21)

where we used the scaling relation $\alpha = 2 - \nu D$ [13,14].

We can apply here finite size scaling arguments and replace $\Lambda$ by $\sim R^{-\frac{1}{\nu}}$ [eq.(3.16)],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \log \mathcal{Z}(\Lambda) = V a + \frac{K}{1-\alpha} R^{1/\nu} + \ldots .$$

Recalling eq.(3.19), we can express the mass contained in a region of size $R$ as

$$M(R) = m \int e^{\phi(\vec{x})} d^D x .$$  

(3.22)
Using eq.(3.21) we find
\[ < M(R) > = mV a + m \frac{K}{1 - \alpha} R^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \ldots . \]
and
\[ < \rho(\vec{r}) > = ma + m \frac{K}{\nu(1 - \alpha) \Omega_D} r^{\frac{1}{\nu} - D} \text{ for } r \text{ of order } \sim R. \] (3.23)
where $$\Omega_D$$ is the surface of the unit sphere in $$D$$-dimensions.

The energy density correlation function is known in general in the scaling region (see refs. [13] - [14]). We can therefore write for the density-density correlators (2.22) in $$D$$ space dimensions
\[ C(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \sim |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|^\frac{2}{\nu} - 2D . \] (3.24)
where both $$\vec{r}_1$$ and $$\vec{r}_2$$ are inside the finite volume $$\sim R^D$$.

The perturbative calculation (3.13) matches with this result for $$\nu = \frac{1}{2}$$. That is, the mean field value for the exponent $$\nu$$.

Let us now compute the second derivative of $$\log Z(\Lambda)$$ with respect to $$\Lambda$$ in two ways. We find from eq.(3.20)
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Lambda^2} \log Z(\Lambda) = V [\Lambda^{-\alpha} K + b + \ldots] . \]

We get from eq.(3.18),
\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Lambda^2} \log Z(\Lambda) = \int d^D x \int d^D y C(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \sim R^D \int_{-R^D}^R \frac{d^3 x}{x^{2D - 2d_H}} \sim \Lambda^{-2} \sim R^D \Lambda^{-\alpha} \] (3.25)
where we used eq.(3.16), eq.(3.24) and the scaling relation $$\alpha = 2 - \nu D$$ [13,14]. We conclude that the scaling behaviours, eq.(3.20) for the partition function, eq.(3.15) for the specific heat and eq.(3.24) for the two points correlator are consistent. In addition, eqs.(3.22) and (3.25) yield for the mass fluctuations squared
\[ (\Delta M(R))^2 \equiv < M^2 > - < M >^2 \sim \int d^D x \int d^D y C(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \sim R^{2d_H} . \]

Hence,
\[ \Delta M(R) \sim R^{d_H} . \] (3.26)

The scaling exponent $$\nu$$ can be identified with the inverse Haussdorf (fractal) dimension $$d_H$$ of the system
\[ d_H = \frac{1}{\nu} . \]
In this way, $$\Delta M \sim R^{d_H}$$ according to the usual definition of fractal dimensions [15].
Using eq.(3.24) we can compute the average potential energy in three space dimensions as

\[ \langle V \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \beta G m^2 \int_{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}| > a} d^3x d^3y \frac{C(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \sim R^{2-\frac{1}{\nu}}. \]

From here and eq.(3.26) we get as virial estimate for the atoms kinetic energy

\[ \langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle V \rangle}{\langle \Delta M(R) \rangle} \sim R^{\frac{1}{\nu}-1}. \]

This corresponds to a velocity dispersion

\[ \Delta v \sim R^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right)}. \] (3.27)

That is, we predict [see eq.(1.1)] a new scaling relation

\[ q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (d_H - 1). \]

The calculation of the critical amplitudes [that is, the coefficients in front of the powers of \( R \) in eqs.(3.24), (3.26) and (3.27)] is beyond the scope of the present paper [22].

D. Values of the scaling exponents and the fractal dimensions

The scaling exponents \( \nu, \alpha \) considered in sec IIIC can be computed through the renormalization group approach. The case of a single component scalar field has been extensively studied in the literature [13,14,17]. Very probably, there is an unique, infrared stable fixed point in three space dimensions: the Ising model fixed point. Such non-perturbative fixed point is reached in the long scale regime independently of the initial shape of the interaction \( u(\phi) \) [eq.(3.10)] [17].

The numerical values of the scaling exponents associated to the Ising model fixed point are

\[ \nu = 0.631 \ldots, \quad d_H = 1.585 \ldots, \quad \eta = 0.037 \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 0.107 \ldots. \] (3.28)

In the \( \phi \) field model there are two dimensionful parameters: \( \mu \) and \( T_{eff} \). The dimensionless combination

\[ g^2 = \mu T_{eff} = (8\pi)^{3/4} \sqrt{z} \frac{G^{3/2} m^{15/4}}{T^{3/4}} \] (3.29)

acts as the coupling constant for the non-linear fluctuations of the field \( \phi \).

Let us consider a gas formed by neutral hydrogen at thermal equilibrium with the cosmic microwave background. We set \( T = 2.73 \) K and estimate the fugacity \( z \) using the ideal gas value

\[ z = \left( \frac{2\pi}{mT} \right)^{3/2} \rho. \]
Here we use \( \rho = \delta_0 \) atoms cm\(^{-3}\) for the ISM density and \( \delta_0 \simeq 10^{10} \). Eq. eqs.(2.10) yields

\[
\frac{1}{\mu} = 2.7 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_0}} \text{AU} \sim 30 \text{AU} \quad \text{and} \quad g^2 = \mu T_{\text{eff}} = 4.9 \times 10^{-58} \sqrt{\delta_0} \sim 5 \times 10^{-53} .
\] (3.30)

This extremely low value for \( g^2 \) suggests that the perturbative calculation [sec. IIIB] may apply here yielding the mean field values for the exponents, i.e.

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2} , \quad d_H = 2 , \quad \eta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 0 .
\] (3.31)

That is, the effective coupling constant grows with the scale according to the renormalization group flow (towards the Ising fixed point). Now, if the extremely low value of the initial coupling eq.(3.30) applies, the perturbative result (mean field) will hold for many scales (the effective \( g \) grows roughly as the length).

\( \mu^{-1} \) indicates the order of the smallest distance where the scaling regime applies. A safe lower bound supported by observations is around \( 20 \text{AU} \sim 3 \times 10^{14} \text{cm} \), in agreement with our estimate.

Our theoretical predictions for \( \Delta M(R) \) and \( \Delta \nu \) [eqs.(3.26) and (3.27)] both for the Ising eq.(3.28) and for the mean field values eq.(3.31), are in agreement with the astronomical observations [eq.(1.1)]. The present observational bounds on the data are larger than the difference between the mean field and Ising values of the exponents \( d_H \) and \( q \).

Further theoretical work in the \( \phi \)-theory will determine whether the scaling behaviour is given by the mean field or by the Ising fixed point [22].

**E. The two dimensional gas and random surfaces fractal dimensions**

In the two dimensional case \( (D = 2) \) the partition function (2.33) describes the Liouville model that arises in string theory [20] and in the theory of random surfaces (also called two-dimensional quantum gravity). For strings in \( c \)-dimensional Euclidean space the partition function takes the form [20]

\[
Z_c = \int \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-\frac{26-c}{24\pi} \int d^2x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \mu^2 e^{\phi(x)} \right]}.
\] (3.32)

This coincides with eq.(2.33) at \( D = 2 \) provided we flip the sign of \( \mu^2 \) and identify the parameters (2.34) as follows,

\[
T = Gm^2 \frac{26 - c}{12} , \quad \mu^2 = zGm^3 .
\] (3.33)

Ref. [21] states that \( d_H = 4 \) for \( c \leq 1 \), \( d_H = 3 \) for \( c = 2 \) and \( d_H = 2 \) for \( c \geq 4 \). In our context this means

\[
d_H = 2 \text{ for } T \leq \frac{25}{12} Gm^2 , \quad d_H = 3 \text{ for } T = 2Gm^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d_H = 4 \text{ for } T \geq \frac{11}{6} Gm^2 .
\]

For \( c \to \infty , \ g^2 \to 0 \) and we can use the perturbative result (3.13) yielding \( \nu = \frac{1}{2} , \ d_H = 2 \) in agreement with the above discussion for \( c \geq 4 \).
F. Stationary points and the Jeans length

The stationary points of the $\phi$-field partition function (2.9) are given by the non-linear partial differential equation
$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\mu^2 e^{\phi(\vec{x})}.$$\nIn terms of the gravitational potential $U(\vec{x})$ [see eq. (2.21)], this takes the form
$$\nabla^2 U(\vec{r}) = 4\pi G z \left(\frac{mT}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m}{\mu} U(\vec{r})}. \quad (3.34)$$\nThis corresponds to the Poisson equation for a thermal matter distribution fulfilling an ideal gas in hydrostatic equilibrium, as can be seen as follows [16]. The hydrostatic equilibrium condition
$$\nabla P(\vec{r}) = -m \rho(\vec{r}) \nabla U(\vec{r}),$$\nwhere $P(\vec{r})$ stands for the pressure, combined with the equation of state for the ideal gas
$$P = T \rho,$$\nyields for the particle density
$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \rho_0 e^{-\frac{m}{\mu} U(\vec{r})},$$\nwhere $\rho_0$ is a constant. Inserting this relation into the Poisson equation
$$\nabla^2 U(\vec{r}) = 4\pi G m \rho(\vec{r})$$\nyields eq.(3.34) with
$$\rho_0 = z \left(\frac{mT}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}. \quad (3.35)$$\nFor large $r$, eq.(3.34) gives a density decaying as $r^{-2}$,
$$\rho(\vec{r}) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \frac{T}{2\pi G m} \frac{1}{r^2} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\right)\right], \quad U(\vec{r}) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \frac{T}{m} \log \left[\frac{2\pi G \rho_0}{T} r^2\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\right). \quad (3.36)$$\nNotice that this density, which describes a single stationary solution, decays for large $r$ faster than the density (3.23) governed by thermal fluctuations.

Spherically symmetric solutions of eq.(3.34) has been studied in detail [18]. The small fluctuations around such isothermal spherical solutions as well as the stability problem were studied in [19].

The Jeans distance is in this context,
$$d_J \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3T}{m}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G m \rho_0}} = \frac{\sqrt{3} (2\pi)^{3/4}}{\sqrt{z} G m^{7/4} T^{1/4}}. \quad (3.37)$$\nThis distance precisely coincides with $\mu^{-1}$ [see eq.(2.10)] up to an inessential numerical coefficient ($\sqrt{12/\pi}$). Hence, $\mu$, the only dimensionful parameter in the $\phi$-theory can be interpreted as the inverse of the Jeans distance.
We want to notice that in the critical regime, \( d_J \) grows as
\[
d_J \sim R^{d_H/2},
\]
(3.38)
since \( \rho_0 = \Lambda \sim R^{-d_H} \) vanishes as can be seen from eqs.(3.16), (3.17) and (3.37). In this
tree level estimate we should use for consistency the mean field value \( d_H = 2 \), which yields
\( d_J \sim R \).

This shows that the Jeans distance is of the order of the size of the system. The Jeans
distance scales and the instability is therefore present for all sizes \( R \).

Had \( d_J \) being of order larger than \( R \), the Jeans instability would be absent.

The fact that the Jeans instability is present precisely at \( d_J \sim R \) is probably essential
to the scaling regime and to the self-similar (fractal) structure of the gravitational gas.

The dimensionless coupling constant \( g^2 \) can be written from eqs.(3.17) and (3.29) as
\[
g^2 = \left( \frac{2m\sqrt{\pi G}}{T} \right)^3 \sqrt{\Lambda}.
\]
Hence, the tree level coupling scales as
\[
g^2 \sim R^{-1}.
\]

Direct perturbative calculations explicitly exhibit such scaling behaviour [22].

We can express \( g^2 \) in terms of \( d_J \) and \( \rho_0 \) as follows,
\[
g^2 = \frac{12\pi^{3/2}}{\rho_0 d_J^3} = \frac{\pi^2 \mu^3}{\rho_0}.
\]
This shows that \( g^2 \) is, at the tree level, the inverse of the number of particles inside a Jeans
volume.

Eq.(3.38) applies to the tree level Jeans length or tree level \( \mu^{-1} \). We can furthermore es-
timate the Jeans length using the renormalization group behaviour of the physical quantities
derived in sec. III.C. Setting,
\[
< d_J > = \frac{< \Delta v >}{\sqrt{G m} < \Delta \rho >},
\]
we find from eqs.(3.23) and (3.27),
\[
< d_J > \sim R.
\]
Namely, we find again that the Jeans length grows as the size \( R \).

**IV. DISCUSSION**

In previous sections we ignored gravitational forces external to the gas like stars etc.
Adding a fixed external mass density \( \rho_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) \) amounts to introduce an external source
\[
J(\vec{r}) = -T_{\text{eff}} \rho_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}),
\]
in eq.(2.13). Such term will obviously affect correlation functions, the mass density, etc. except when we look at the scaling behaviour which is governed by the critical point. That is, the values we find for the scaling exponents $d_H$ and $q$ are \textbf{stable} under external perturbations.

We considered all atoms with the same mass in the gravitational gas. It is easy to generalize the transformation into the $\phi$-field presented in section II for a mixture of several kinds of atoms. Let us consider $n$ species of atoms with masses $m_a$, $1 \leq a \leq n$. Repeating the steps from eq.(2.1) to (2.11) yields again a field theory with a single scalar field but the action now takes the form

$$S[\phi(.)] \equiv \frac{1}{T_{\text{eff}}} \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_a^2 e^{\frac{m_a}{m}} \phi(x) \right], \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\mu_a^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} z_a G \frac{m_a^{3/2}}{m^2} \sqrt{T},$$

and $m$ is just a reference mass.

Correlation functions, mass densities and other observables will obviously depend on the number of species, their masses and fugacities but it is easy to see that the fixed points and scaling exponents are exactly the same as for the $\phi$-field theory (2.9)-(2.10).

We want to notice that there is an important difference between the behaviour of the gravitational gas and the spin models (and all other statistical models in the same universality class). For the gravitational gas we find scaling behaviour for a \textbf{full range} of temperatures and couplings. For spin models scaling only appears at the critical value of the temperature. At the critical temperature the correlation length $\xi$ is infinite and the theory is massless. For temperatures near the critical one, i. e. in the critical domain, $\xi$ is finite (although very large compared with the lattice spacing) and the correlation functions decrease as $\sim e^{-r/\xi}$ for large distances $r$. Fluctuations of the relevant operators support perturbations which can be interpreted as massive excitations. Such (massive) behaviour does not appear for the gravitational gas. The ISM correlators scale exhibiting power-law behaviour. This feature is connected with the scale invariant character of the Newtonian force and its infinite range.

The hypothesis of strict thermal equilibrium does not apply to the ISM as a whole where temperatures range from 5 to 50 K and even 1000 K. However, since the scaling behaviour is independent of the temperature, it applies to \textbf{each} region of the ISM in thermal equilibrium. Therefore, our theory applies provided thermal equilibrium holds in regions or clouds.

We have developed here the theory of a gravitationally interacting ensemble of bodies at a fixed temperature. In a real situation like the ISM, gravitational perturbations from external masses, as well as other perturbations are present. We have shown that the scaling solution is stable with respect to the gravitational perturbations. It is well known that solutions based on a fixed point are generally quite robust.

Our theory especially applies to the interstellar medium far from star forming regions, which can be locally far from thermal equilibrium, and where ionised gas at $10^4$K together
with coronal gas at $10^6$K can coexist with the cold interstellar medium. In the outer parts of galaxies, devoid of star formation, the ideal isothermal conditions are met [4]. Inside the Galaxy, large regions satisfy also the near isothermal criterium, and these are precisely the regions where scaling laws are the best verified. Globally over the Galaxy, the fraction of the gas in the hot ionised phase represents a negligible mass, a few percents, although occupying a significant volume. Hence, this hot ionised gas is a perturbation which may not change the fixed point behaviour of the thermal self-gravitating gas.

In ref. [24] a connection between a gravitational gas of galaxies in an expanding universe and the Ising model is conjectured. However, the unproven identification made in ref. [24] of the mass density contrast with the Ising spin leads to scaling exponents different from ours.
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