Abstract

We derive large-amplitude collective equations of motion from the variational principle for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. These equations reduce to the well-known diabatic formulas for vibrational frequencies in the small amplitude limit. The finite amplitude expression allows departures from harmonic behavior of giant resonances to be simply estimated. The relative shift of the second phonon falls with nuclear mass $A$ as $A^{-4/3}$ in the three modes we consider: monopole, dipole, and quadrupole. Numerically the effect is very small in heavy nuclei, as was found with other approaches.

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been recent interest in the harmonicity of collective motion, with new experimental data on double-phonon excitations of the giant dipole resonance [1–3]. Time-dependent mean field theory provides a useful tool to study this topic, and a number of calculations have been reported [4–15]. Since the calculations in the full mean-field theory are rather opaque, it may be of some interest to find simple approximations that contain the same physics. The resulting equations of motion are quite intuitive when expressed in Hamil-
tonian form. With the nonlinear equation of motion we can calculate the anharmonicity in
the giant dipole resonance, relevant to the measurements of [1,2].

A very successful general procedure to find approximations is to employ to the variational
principle for the Schrödinger equation [16],

$$\delta \int dt \langle \Psi |i\partial_t - H|\Psi \rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This is of the form of the Lagrangian variational principle, with the integrand playing the role
of the Lagrangian. The variational principle has been attributed to Dirac; it gives a natural
way to derive the time-dependent Hartree-Fock approximation [17], and has been widely
employed in that connection. It also produces useful equations of motion with more restricted
assumptions about the wave function. Morgenstern and Nörenberg [19] put collective motion
into the dynamics by adding variational fields corresponding to possible velocity potentials
and the corresponding displacement fields. Our treatment is a special case of theirs in which
only two coordinates are kept, the minimum number that can give Hamiltonian dynamics.

It is well known that collective motion can be generated by a local velocity field \(Q(\vec{r})\)
acting on a ground-state wave function [20–22]. To get any kind of Hamiltonian dynamics, an
additional degree of freedom corresponding to displacements is required. There is a natural
choice for the displacement field which has been extensively investigated by Bohigas, et
al., [23]. These authors studied small amplitude motion, using sum rules to simplify the
discussion. We use the same fields and shall follow their notation, but we are not restricted
to small amplitudes. The result will be formulas for anharmonic effects that involve only
integrals over ground state densities. The energy shift of the second phonon excitation,
\(\Delta^{(2)}E\), is calculated from the semiclassical requantization of the Hamiltonian equations
of motion. The semiclassical requantization has recently been favorably compared with the
boson expansion approach in the context of a solvable model [24]. We find that this quantity
is of order \(\omega_0/A^{4/3}\), where \(\omega_0\) is the harmonic frequency of the phonon and \(A\) is the atomic
mass number. This strong \(A\)-dependence makes the shift very small in practice.
II. ANHARMONIC COLLECTIVE DYNAMICS

As mentioned above, the starting point is the variational principle, eq. (1) above. If $|\Psi\rangle$ is varied in the space of Slater determinants, the result is time-dependent Hartree-Fock theory. Interesting simplified models are constructed by restricting $|\Psi\rangle$ further. In ref. [25,26] $|\Psi\rangle$ is taken to be an antisymmetrized product of Gaussian single-particle wave functions. In this paper we shall assume that the motion is completely collective in the sense that it can be generated by a single-particle velocity field $Q(\vec{r})$,

$$|\Psi(t = 0_+)\rangle = e^{iQ}|\Psi_0\rangle$$

Here $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the ground-state wave function. The operator $Q$ of course acts on all the single-particle coordinates; we shall write operators of the form $\sum_i M(\vec{r}_i)$ as $M(\vec{r})$. Integrating the time-dependent Schrödinger equation starting from the initial condition of eq. (2) gives the series

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = (1 + iQ + t[H,Q] + \cdots)|\Psi_0\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Our ansatz will be implemented by taking the functional form for $|\Psi\rangle$ from a unitary generalization of eq. (3). We multiply the fields $Q$ and $[H,Q]$ by time-dependent which define our dynamic variables. The operators are exponentiated to make the transformation of the wave function unitary. Thus we consider a trial wave function of the form

$$|\Psi_{\alpha\beta}\rangle = e^{ia(t)Q}|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle = e^{ia(t)Q} e^{i\beta(t)m_N[H,Q]}|\Psi_0\rangle$$

We have put in a factor of the nucleon mass $m_N$ for later convenience. This trial wave function is a special case of eq. (2.7) of ref. [19] with a single field $Q$. The two unitary transformations defined here were first employed in ref. [23] in treating small amplitude collective motion. The commutator $[H,Q]$ occurs very frequently and we shall loosely follow the notation of ref. [23] with the abbreviation,

$$Q_1 \equiv m_N[H,Q].$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
When eq. (4) is inserted into eq. (1), the following Lagrangian is obtained for the coordinates \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \),

\[
\langle \Psi_{\alpha \beta} | i \partial_t - H | \Psi_{\alpha \beta} \rangle = -\dot{\alpha} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle - \langle \Psi_{\beta} | H | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2}{2m_N} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle.
\] (6)

The derivation is in App. A, along with the equations of motion that follow from the Lagrange’s equations. We will find the phonon frequencies by requantizing the equations of motion, but this requires a Hamiltonian formulation. Thus we seek a transformation of variables \((\alpha, \beta) \to (x, p)\) together with a Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{H}(x, p)\) such that the equations of motion can be expressed in the form

\[
\dot{p} = -\partial_x \mathcal{H} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\dot{x} = \partial_p \mathcal{H}
\]

Ref. [17] describes a procedure for obtaining a canonical pair of variables when there are two degrees of freedom. However, the choice of variables in [17] is inconvenient in that it does not produce a quadratic Hamiltonian in the momentum. From eq. (6) it is easy to see that the choice \( x = \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle, \ p = \alpha \) gives a canonical pair \((x, p)\) with a simple kinetic energy term in the Hamiltonian. However, the Hamiltonian is difficult to express explicitly in terms of this \( x \). Therefore we use instead the canonical pair \((\beta, p_\beta)\) with

\[
p_\beta = \alpha \langle \Psi_{\beta} | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle
\]

which allows us to keep \( \beta \) as the coordinate variable\(^1\). The Hamiltonian in this representation may be written as

\[
\mathcal{H}(\beta, p_\beta) = \frac{p_{\beta}^2}{2m(\beta)} + U(\beta)
\] (8)

with

\[^1\]Since both are canonical pairs it can be shown that \( pdx = p_\beta d\beta \) so that the phase integral (Appendix B) is invariant.
\[ m(\beta) = m_N \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle \]  

\[ U(\beta) = \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle \]

The reader may verify that the Hamiltonian equations (7) defined this way are equivalent to eq. (A11) and (A12).

To make use of the equations of motion, we need evaluate \( \beta \)-dependent matrix elements appearing in eq. (9). This can be done in two different ways, depending on whether we apply the operator \( \exp(\beta Q_1) \) to the left or to the right. The first way is to explicitly apply the operator on the wave function. We shall only consider nonrelativistic Hamiltonians with local potentials, so the operator \( Q_1 = m_N [H, Q] \) is a first order derivative:

\[ Q_1 = -\frac{\nabla^2 Q}{2} - \nabla Q \cdot \nabla \]  

(10)

The exponentiated operator \( \exp(\beta Q_1) \) acting on a function of a single coordinate variable, such as \( x \), may be expressed in closed form as follows

\[ e^{\beta Q_1} f(x, y, z) = \sqrt{\frac{\partial_x Q(x')}{\partial_x Q(x)}} f(x', y, z). \]  

(11)

The displaced coordinate \( x' \) is obtained by integrating from \( x' \) a distance \( x - x' \) that satisfies

\[ \beta = \int_x^{x'} \frac{ds}{\partial_x Q(s)}. \]  

(12)

The derivation of this formula may be found in ref. [27].

The other way to calculate matrix elements is to apply the unitary transformation to the operator being evaluated. Thus we use the identity

\[ \langle \Psi_\beta | M | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | (e^{-\beta Q_1} M e^{\beta Q_1}) | \Psi_0 \rangle. \]  

(13)

This will turn out to be very convenient for matrix elements of scaling displacements.

The special case where \( Q \) is a quadratic function of the coordinates gives a particularly simple form for the transformed coordinates [23], namely they are scaled by a factor. For example, for the field \( Q = x^2/2 \), the transformation is
\[ e^{\beta Q_1(x, y, z)} e^{-\beta Q_1} = (x', y', z') = (e^{-\beta} x, y, z) \] 

(14)

and the wave function \( \Psi_\beta \) is given by

\[ \Psi_\beta(x, y, z) = e^{-\beta/2} \Psi_0(e^{-\beta} x, y, z) \] 

(15)

III. A MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We wish to apply the equations of motion to a variety of giant resonances, and will need a detailed model for \( H \) in order to construct \( U(\beta) = \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle \). A good balance between simplicity and realism is provided by the Skyrme-like form for the Hamiltonian density,

\[ h = \rho_0 \left[ \tau + v_a n^2 + v_b n^{7/3} \right] \] 

(16)

where \( \tau \) is the kinetic energy density and \( n \) the density, both in units of nuclear matter density \( \rho_0 \). The coefficients \( v_a \) and \( v_b \) are determined to reproduce nuclear saturation density \( \rho_0 \approx 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) (with corresponding Fermi energy \( \epsilon_f \approx 36 \text{ MeV} \)) and the nuclear matter binding energy \( B \approx 16 \text{ MeV} \) per nucleon. If we express \( n \) in units of the saturation density the parameters may be expressed

\[ v_a = -4B - \frac{6}{5} \epsilon_f \approx -107 \text{ MeV} \] 

(17)

\[ v_b = 3B + \frac{3}{5} \epsilon_f \approx 70 \text{ MeV}. \]

The power dependence of the third term in eq. (16) is not obvious. From many-body theory, one expects the energy of a dilute Fermi gas to be a series in powers of \( k_f \) or \( n^{1/3} \). Eq. (16) thus represents the first three terms of that series. The parameterization \( n^{7/3} \) for the third term also predicts a compressibility not far from that required by the empirical monopole systematics. It should be mentioned that eq. (16) lacks momentum-dependent interactions, which are certainly present in the empirical single-particle Hamiltonian.

For treating the giant dipole resonance, we also need to know the isospin dependence of \( H \). The kinetic energy has an obvious isospin dependence arising from the separate
Fermi energies of neutrons and protons. We shall add isospin-dependent potential energy terms with the same density-dependence as in eq. (16), and require that the isospin dependence of the semiempirical mass formula to be reproduced. The binding energy per particle $\epsilon(n,n_\tau) = h/(n\rho_0)$ in the Fermi gas approximation is expressed as follows, with proton and neutron densities written $n_p = n/2 + n_\tau$ and $n_n = n/2 - n_\tau$.

\[
\epsilon(n,n_\tau) = \frac{3}{5} ef \frac{n^{2/3}}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2n_\tau}{n} \right)^{5/3} + \left( 1 - \frac{2n_\tau}{n} \right)^{5/3} \right] + v_an + v_bn^{4/3} + v_r n_\tau^2/n \tag{18}
\]

Expanding this in powers of $n_\tau$, we have

\[
\epsilon(n,n_\tau) \approx \epsilon(n,0) + \left( \frac{4}{3} ef n^{2/3} + v_r n \right) \left( \frac{n_\tau}{n} \right)^2. \tag{19}
\]

The semiempirical mass formula has isospin dependent terms,

\[
B(A,Z) = B(A,A/2) + b_{sym} \frac{(N-Z)^2}{A^2} + b_c \frac{Z^2}{A^{4/3}} + \cdots \tag{20}
\]

with $b_{sym} \approx 25$ MeV. Assuming neutrons and protons occupy the same volume, $n_\tau/n = (Z - N)/2A$ and we may relate the coefficient in eq. (18) to $b_{sym}$ as

\[
\frac{4ef}{3} + v_r = 4b_{sym} \tag{21}
\]

Putting in the Fermi energy $ef \approx 36$ MeV, we find numerically

\[
v_r \approx 50 \text{ MeV}. \tag{22}
\]

IV. FINITE AMPLITUDE EXCITATIONS

We now treat the excitation of the giant monopole, dipole and quadrupole modes of vibration. For each multipole, we will define a collective field $Q$, and then evaluate the harmonic frequency and the nonlinear corrections. The functions that are required for this are the expectation of the Hamiltonian in the $\beta$-deformed state, which we expand as
\[
U(\beta) = \frac{k}{2} \beta^2 + \frac{k_3}{3} \beta^3 + \frac{k_4}{4} \beta^4 + \cdots \tag{23}
\]

We have defined the energy scale so that \( U(0) = 0 \). The linear term in the expansion vanishes because of the stability of the ground state. We also need to expand the inertia to second order in \( \beta \). We write this as

\[
m(\beta) = m_N \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle = m(1 + m_1 \beta + m_2 \beta^2 + \cdots). \tag{24}
\]

The key formulas are the equation for the frequency in the harmonic limit,

\[
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}
\]

and the formula for the energy shift of the second phonon. It is convenient to express this in terms of an energy parameter \( E_{\text{anh}} \) as

\[
\Delta^{(2)} E = E_2 - 2E_1 + E_0 = 2 \frac{\omega_0^2}{E_{\text{anh}}}.
\tag{25}
\]

The derivation of the expression for \( E_{\text{anh}} \) in terms of the nonlinear coefficients \( k_3, k_4, m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) is given in App. B. The result is

\[
E_{\text{anh}}^{-1} = \frac{5}{12} \frac{k_3^2}{k^3} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{k_4}{k^2} - \frac{k_3m_1}{4k^2} - \frac{m_1^2}{16k} + \frac{m_2}{4k}.
\tag{26}
\]

All the \( k \)'s in this equation scale with mass number as \( k_i \sim A \), while the \( m_i \) are independent of \( A \) in the droplet limit. We thus see that the anharmonicity energy scale \( E_{\text{anh}} \) varies with mass number as

\[
E_{\text{anh}} \sim A.
\]

We now consider the various multipoles in turn, starting with the isoscalar monopole and quadrupole modes.

**A. Monopole**

The monopole field \( Q \) for a uniform sphere with a sharp edge would be proportional to the \( j_0 \) spherical Bessel function, but in practice the nuclear surface cannot be ignored even
for large nuclei. The compressibility is less in the surface, and this has the consequence that the velocity potential is more like the simple scaling form [28],

\[ Q = r^2/2 \]  

(27)

We shall construct the nonlinear dynamics with this field. It is most convenient to apply the transformation to the Hamiltonian in this case. The inertia is

\[ m(\beta) = m_N \langle \Psi_\beta [Q, Q_1] \Psi_\beta \rangle = e^{2\beta} m_N \langle \Psi_0 | r^2 | \Psi_0 \rangle = e^{2\beta} m_N A(r^2), \]  

(28)

where we \( \langle r^2 \rangle \) denotes the mean square radius of the ground state. The expectation value of the Hamiltonian is

\[ \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \rho_0 \left[ e^{-2\beta} \int d^3 r \tau_0(\vec{r}) + e^{-3\beta} v_a \int d^3 r n_0^2(\vec{r}) + e^{-4\beta} v_b \int d^3 r n_0^{7/3}(\vec{r}) \right] \]  

(29)

where \( \tau_0(\vec{r}) \) denotes the kinetic energy density of the ground state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) and \( n_0(\vec{r}) \) the particle density. This formula is derived using the relations eq. (13) and eq. (15), which yield for the monopole field

\[ e^{-\beta Q_1} \tau_0(\vec{r}) e^{\beta Q_1} = e^{-5\beta} \tau_0(e^{-\beta} \vec{r}) \]  

and

\[ e^{-\beta Q_1} n_0(\vec{r}) e^{\beta Q_1} = e^{-3\beta} n_0(e^{-\beta} \vec{r}). \]

We next expand eq. (29) in the power series in \( \beta \). The linear term vanishes because of the saturation condition, eq. (17). The quadratic term, giving the effective restoring force, is

\[ k = \partial^2_\beta \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle |_{\beta=0} = \rho_0 \left[ 4 \int d^3 r \tau_0(\vec{r}) + 9 v_a \int d^3 r n_0^2(\vec{r}) + 16 v_b \int d^3 r n_0^{7/3}(\vec{r}) \right]. \]  

(30)

For a spherical drop with radius \( R \), this is equal to \( A \) time the nuclear matter compressibility \( K \) if one can make the large-\( A \) approximation \( n_0(\vec{r}) = \theta(R - r) \) which yields \( \rho_0 \int d^3 r n_0^2(\vec{r}) = \rho_0 \int d^3 r n_0^{7/3}(\vec{r}) = A \) and \( \langle \Psi_0 | - \nabla^2/2 m_N | \Psi_0 \rangle = \rho_0 \int d^3 r \tau_0(\vec{r}) = 3 e_f A/5 \). Surface effects of course spoil this approximation, and the fact of the matter is that they have an exaggerated importance because the \( v_a \) and \( v_b \) are separately large with opposite sign. However, for our
purposes it is an unnecessary refinement to improve on the nuclear matter approximation. The harmonic approximation for the frequency is then the well-known collective formula,

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{K}{m_N \langle r^2 \rangle}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The nonlinear coefficients in the expansion of $U$ and $m(\beta)$ are given numerically in Table I. Combining these according to eq. (26) we obtain for the anharmonicity parameter

$$E_{anh} = 40 A \text{MeV}$$

This is more than a factor of $A$ larger than the vibrational frequency, implying that the shift will be very small. For example, for $^{208}$Pb the shift from eq. (B7) is $\Delta^{(2)} E = 0.05 \text{ MeV}$. This of course is completely insignificant as a measurable effect.

**B. Giant Quadrupole**

The theory of the giant quadrupole anharmonicity is very similar. We define the isoscalar quadrupole field as

$$Q = z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

and hence the wave function transforms as (see eq. (15))

$$\Psi_\beta(x, y, z) = e^{\beta Q^1} \Psi_0(x, y, z) = \Psi_0(e^{\beta x}, e^{\beta y}, e^{-2\beta z}).$$

To evaluate matrix elements of various fields, we shall assume that the ground state of the nucleus is spherical. Then the inertia is given by

$$\langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q^1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle = 2 e^{2\beta} m_N \langle \Psi_0 | r^2 | \Psi_0 \rangle \approx 2 A m_N e^{2\beta} \langle r^2 \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

and the collective potential energy in the Hamiltonian is

$$\langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{3} e^{-4\beta} + \frac{2}{3} e^{2\beta} \right) \langle \Psi_0 | -\nabla^2 | \Psi_0 \rangle \approx \left( e^{-4\beta} + 2 e^{2\beta} \right) \frac{e_f}{5} A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)
In the last step we have used the Fermi gas estimate for the kinetic energy. Expanding these as power series in $\beta$, we obtain the coefficients in Tables I and II. The harmonic limit is given by the simple formula

$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{k}{m} = \frac{12e_f}{5m_N\langle r^2 \rangle}.$$ \hfill (35)

The power series expansion to higher order is also rather simple for the quadrupole, since the only nuclear parameters that enter are $A, e_f$, and $\langle r^2 \rangle$. It turns out that there are strong cancellations among the different terms in eq. (26), giving for the anharmonicity parameter

$$E_{anh} = \frac{288}{25}Ae_f.$$ \hfill (36)

This is larger than the parameter for the monopole, implying that the shift would be even smaller.

**C. Giant dipole resonance**

The field $Q$ for the giant dipole resonance is not as simple as the other cases. In light nuclei, the energetics of the giant dipole state suggests that the $Q$ is close to being the simple operator $\tau_z z$ but this form gives the wrong $A$-dependence to describe the dipole energies in heavy nuclei. The Steinwedel-Jensen model takes an opposite extreme, positing that the displacement field vanishes at the nuclear surface. This can be generated by a velocity field such as

$$Q = \tau_z z(1 - r^2/3R^2).$$

where $R$ is the nuclear radius. We will adopt this form to investigate the nonlinearity, although the model predicts too high a frequency for the dipole. The displacement field then has the form

$$Q_1 = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 - 3R^2}{3R^2} \partial_z + \frac{5z}{3R^2} + \frac{2z}{3R^2} (x \partial_x + y \partial_y).$$

The coordinate $\beta$ associated with this $Q_1$ has the dimensions of length. Unlike in the monopole and quadrupole cases, we were unable to find an analytic form for the needed
expectation values. This is due to the mixing of the Cartesian coordinates in $Q_1$. Instead, we use the expansion eq. (A4) explicitly to evaluate the inertia and the kinetic energy term of the Hamiltonian. For the inertia, we must expand to second order as

$$\langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle - \beta \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q_1, [Q, Q_1]] | \Psi_\beta \rangle + \frac{\beta^2}{2} \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q_1, [Q, Q_1]] | \Psi_\beta \rangle$$

We shall evaluate this approximating the density as that of a uniform drop. The result is

$$m = A m_N \left( \frac{32}{63} - \frac{2944}{5103} \frac{\beta^2}{R^2} + \cdots \right)$$

Notice that $\beta$ appears in the nonlinear terms in the dimensionless ratio $\beta/R$.

The kinetic energy operator is treated by applying eq. (A4) to each of the two gradients that it contains. The algebra is very tedious, and we have used a computer program for the manipulations. We quote here as an example, the $x$ gradients expanded to second order in $\beta$, $\partial'_x = \partial_x + \frac{2\beta}{3R^2} (z \partial_x + x \partial_z) + \frac{\beta^2}{9R^2} (5x + (3R^2 + x^2 - y^2 - z^2) \partial_x + 2xy \partial_y + 6xz \partial_z)$.

We actually need the gradient evaluated to fourth order, but it does not seem useful to display the full expression. We evaluate the kinetic energy in the Fermi gas approximation by applying the transformed gradient to a plane wave state, taking the modulus squared, and integrating over a spherical Fermi surface. For each term in $\beta$, we keep only the highest power of $R$. This is

$$\langle \Psi_\beta | -\nabla^2 | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \frac{3}{5} e_f A + \frac{44}{45} e_f A \frac{\beta^2}{R^2} + \frac{168853}{212625} e_f A \frac{\beta^4}{R^4} + \cdots$$

It still remains to evaluate the potential energy. We found this easier to do by applying the transformation to the wave function, and explicitly constructing the transformed single-particle density to the needed order in $\beta$. We will again approximate the ground state as a uniform sphere, which means that gradients of the wave function are ignored in evaluating the effect of the operator. Of course, the wave function gradients cannot be ignored in the surface region, but we have constructed the operator $Q$ to have no surface contributions. We
only need the wave function to third order in $\beta$ to evaluate the potential energy to fourth order. The wave function is

$$|\Psi_\beta\rangle = \left(1 + \beta Q_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2} Q_1^2 + \frac{\beta^3}{6} Q_1^3 + \cdots\right)|\Psi_0\rangle$$

Calculating the density from this, we see that both the isovector and isoscalar densities are affected by the transformation. The densities are given to order $\beta^2$ as

$$n_\beta(\vec{r}) = 1 + x \frac{\beta^2}{R^2} + \cdots$$

$$n_{\tau \beta}(\vec{r}) = \frac{100 z^2 \beta^2}{9 R^4} + \cdots$$

Inserting the densities to order $\beta^4$ in the potential energy function, we find the following expression for the potential energy

$$\langle \Psi_\beta | v | \Psi_\beta \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | v | \Psi_0 \rangle + \frac{20}{9} v_\tau A \frac{\beta^2}{R^2} - \frac{1040}{1701} v_\tau A \frac{\beta^4}{R^4} + \cdots$$

Notice that the coefficients of $\beta^n$ are all of the form of a constant times $A/R^n$. This implies that $E_{anh}$ will depend on nuclear size as $A$. There is a cancelation between the kinetic and potential contributions to $k_4$, so the resulting anharmonicity is very small,

$$|E_{anh}| > 100A \text{ MeV}$$

giving once more a negligible energy shift for the double excitation.

V. CONCLUSION

Our conclusion, that anharmonic effects are extremely small in giant vibrations, is in agreement with earlier studies. However, the precise $A$-dependence had not been made clear. For example, in ref. [8], the authors expected $\Delta^{(2)}E$ to scale with $A$ as $\Delta^{(2)}E \sim A^{-2/3}$. See also [29]. This implies that $E_{anh}$ would be independent of $A$, disagreeing with our linear $A$ dependence.

Our model could be improved in a number of ways. The actual field for the dipole has a considerable amount of surface displacement, even for heavy nuclei, and a more realistic field
could be employed. The Hamiltonian should include momentum-dependent interactions to be more realistic. However, there is no reason to think these improvements would change the picture in a qualitative way, and it hardly seems worthwhile to calculate the very small effect more accurately.

More interesting and challenging is to develop a nonlinear collective description of the low collective modes, in particular the octupole vibration. Part of the doubly excited octupole has recently been identified in $^{208}$Pb (ref. [30]), but the only theory up to now is the rather opaque second RPA approximation. To use our treatment, one would have to find a nearly local operator which would generate the low octupole, i.e. a field for which the associated sum rule would be nearly exhausted by the low mode.
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APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF EQUATION OF MOTION

We shall evaluate the variational principle, eq. (1), using as a trial wave function eq. (4), $|\Psi_{\alpha\beta}\rangle = \exp(i\alpha Q)\exp(\beta m_N[H, Q])|\Psi_0\rangle = \exp(i\alpha Q)|\Psi_\beta\rangle$. The variational principle will be used to determine the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$; $Q$ is some local function of position and $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the ground state. The variation with respect to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in eq. (1) yields the usual Lagrangian equations of motion,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \quad (A1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\beta}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = 0 \quad (A2)$$
where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the integrand in eq. (1).

\[
\mathcal{L} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha\beta} | i \partial_t | \Psi_{\alpha\beta} \rangle - \langle \Psi_{\alpha\beta} | H | \Psi_{\alpha\beta} \rangle
\]  

(A3)

From this point it is simply algebra to reduce eq. (A1-A3) to a more transparent form. We shall use an identity for expanding the operator product \( e^{-A}Be^A \) in commutators,

\[
e^{-A}Be^A = B + [B, A] + \frac{1}{2}[[B, A], A] + \frac{1}{3!}[[[B, A], A], A] + \cdots
\]  

(A4)

We also need two corollary identities,

\[
\partial_\alpha (e^{-\alpha A}Be^{\alpha A}) = e^{-\alpha A}[B, A]e^{\alpha A}
\]  

(A5)

and

\[
e^{-A}Ae^A = A.
\]  

(A6)

We begin by examining the second term in eq. (A3), \( \langle \Psi_{\alpha\beta} | H | \Psi_{\alpha\beta} \rangle \). The \( \alpha \) dependence is made more explicit by expanding \( e^{-i\alpha Q}He^{i\alpha Q} \) in commutators. If \( Q \) is local (and \( H \) is quadratic in the momenta), the third and higher-order commutators vanish. From eq. (A4) and eq. (5) the expansion is

\[
\langle \Psi_{\alpha\beta} | H | \Psi_{\alpha\beta} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{\beta} | H | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + i \frac{\alpha}{m_N} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q_1 | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + \frac{\alpha^2}{2m_N} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle.
\]  

(A7)

Next we argue that the middle term in this sum vanishes. First observe that by eq. (A6) \( \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q_1 | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{0} | Q_1 | \Psi_{0} \rangle \) does not depend on \( \beta \). The fact that \( |\Psi_{0}\rangle \) is a stationary state of the Hamiltonian implies

\[
0 = \partial_\alpha \langle \Psi_{\alpha,\beta=0} | H | \Psi_{\alpha,\beta=0} \rangle \bigg|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{i}{m_N} \langle \Psi_{0} | Q_1 | \Psi_{0} \rangle = \frac{i}{m_N} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q_1 | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle.
\]  

(A8)

The time derivative in eq. (A3) contains two terms,

\[
\langle \Psi_{\alpha\beta} | i \partial_t | \Psi_{\alpha\beta} \rangle = -\dot{\alpha} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle + i\dot{\beta} \langle \Psi_{\beta} | Q_1 | \Psi_{\beta} \rangle
\]

The second term vanishes by eq. (A8). The complete expression for the Lagrangian then becomes
\[
\mathcal{L} = -\dot{\alpha} \langle \Psi_\beta | Q | \Psi_\beta \rangle - \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle - \frac{\alpha^2}{2m_N} \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle.
\] (A9)

The dependence on \( \alpha \) is now entirely explicit. Inserting \( \mathcal{L} \) in eq. (A1) yields

\[
-\partial_t \langle \Psi_\beta | Q | \Psi_\beta \rangle + \frac{\alpha}{m_N} \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle = 0
\] (A10)

This is simplified with the help of eq. (A5) to

\[
\dot{\beta} = \frac{\alpha}{m_N}
\] (A11)

We next carry out the derivatives in the second Lagrangian equation, eq. (A2), to obtain

\[
\dot{\alpha} \partial_\beta \langle \Psi_\beta | Q | \Psi_\beta \rangle + \partial_\beta \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle + \frac{\alpha^2}{2m_N} \partial_\beta \langle \Psi_\beta | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_\beta \rangle = 0.
\] (A12)

This is as far as we can simplify it without approximation beyond the basic ansatz, eq. (4). The harmonic limit is obtained by using the expansion eq. (A4) and by keeping in eq. (A12) only linear terms in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). By the stationarity of the ground state \( \partial_\beta \langle \Psi_\beta | H | \Psi_\beta \rangle |_{\beta=0} = 0 \) and the equation of motion reduces to

\[
\dot{\alpha} \langle \Psi_0 | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_0 \rangle + \beta \langle \Psi_0 | [[H, Q_1], Q_1] | \Psi_0 \rangle = 0.
\] (A13)

The frequency of oscillation [23] is then given by inserting eq. (A11) into eq. (A13) as

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | [[H, Q_1], Q_1] | \Psi_0 \rangle}{m_N \langle \Psi_0 | [Q, Q_1] | \Psi_0 \rangle} = \frac{M_3}{M_1},
\] (A14)

where \( M_n \) is the \( n \)-th energy moment of the transition strength,

\[
M_n = \sum_i \langle 0 | Q | i \rangle^2 (E_i - E_0)^n.
\]

**APPENDIX B: ANHARMONICITY**

In this appendix we derive the frequency shift of multiple phonon excitations due to the anharmonicity of the equation of motion (A12). Our derivation proceeds through the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization of the classical orbits. This requires the phase integral

\[
\phi = \int p dx
\]
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using a Hamiltonian representation of the equations of motion. The condition that an
eigenstate be at energy $E$ is that classical phase accumulated over the orbit be an integral
multiple of $2\pi$. Taking the form eq. (8) for the Hamiltonian, the energy $E_n$ of the $n$-th state satisfies
\[ \phi(E_n) = \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} \sqrt{2m(\beta)(E_n - U(\beta))} \, d\beta = n\pi \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{B1} \]
where $\beta_{1,2}$ are the classical turning points given by $U(\beta_{1,2}) = E_n^2$.

We now assume that the anharmonicity is weak, so that all quantities can be expanded
in power series in $\beta$, which we wrote as eq. (23) and (24). To evaluate the integral eq. (B1)
to a given order in $\beta$, we change variable to make the energy difference under the square
root a simple quadratic function. Defining a variable $z = \sqrt{U(\beta)/E}$, we write the integral as
\[ \phi(E) = \sqrt{2E} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{1 - z^2} \sqrt{m(\beta)} \frac{d\beta}{dz} \, dz. \tag{B2} \]
The second square root and derivative are expanded in powers of $\beta$. The latter is obtained
via the series for $\beta(z)$,
\[ \beta = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{k}} \left( z - \frac{2^{1/2}k_3}{3k^{3/2}} E^{1/2} z^2 + \left( \frac{5}{9} k_3^2 - \frac{k_4}{2k^2} \right) E z^3 + \cdots \right), \tag{B3} \]
with the derivative
\[ d\beta = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{k}} \left( 1 - \frac{2^{3/2}}{3k^{3/2}} E^{1/2} z + \left( \frac{5}{3} k_3^2 - \frac{3k_4}{2k^2} \right) E z^2 + \cdots \right) dz. \]
The integrals are then elementary to evaluate, giving for $\phi$,
\[ \phi = \pi \frac{E}{\omega_0} + \pi \left( \frac{5}{12} k_3^2 - \frac{3 k_4}{8 k^2} - \frac{k_3 m_1}{4k^2} - \frac{m_1^2}{16k} + \frac{m_2}{4k} \frac{E^2}{\omega_0} + \cdots \right) \tag{B4} \]
where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m}$ is the small amplitude harmonic frequency. The anharmonicity in this
limit is controlled by the combination of nonlinear coefficients in parentheses. It has the
dimensions of inverse energy and we shall abbreviate it as

\[ ^2 \text{If the additional phase of } \pi/4 \text{ is added for each turning point, eq. (B1) gives the exact energies in the harmonic limit.} \]
\[ E_{\text{anh}}^{-1} = \frac{5}{12} k_3^3 - \frac{3}{8} k_4 - \frac{k_3 m_1}{4k^2} - \frac{m_1^2}{16k} + \frac{m_2}{4k}. \]  

(B5)

To find the energy shifts, we insert eq. (B4) in eq. (B1) and invert the resulting power series that expresses \( n \) in terms of \( E \). The result is

\[ E_n = n\omega_0 - n^2 \frac{\omega_0^2}{E_{\text{anh}}} + \cdots \]  

(B6)

The shift of the double phonon with respect to the single phonon excitation is of direct experimental interest. To leading order, this is evaluated from eq. (B6) as

\[ \Delta^{(2)}E = E_2 - 2E_1 + E_0 = -2 \frac{\omega_0^2}{E_{\text{anh}}}. \]  

(B7)

Eq. (B6) is used in Sect. IV.

Another way to derive the frequency shift would be to find the classical frequencies at energies \( \omega_0 \) and \( 2\omega_0 \). Those energies correspond to wave packets made of states \( n = 0, 1 \) and \( n = 1, 2 \), respectively. Thus the classical frequencies correspond to the energy differences \( E_1 - E_0 \) and \( E_2 - E_1 \), respectively, and the shift would be calculated as

\[ \Delta^{(2)}E = \omega(\omega_1) - \omega(\omega_0) \]  

(B8)

Classical orbital perturbation theory may be used to express these frequencies in terms of the anharmonicities \( m_1, m_2, k_3 \) and \( k_4 \). We have verified that the two methods give the same result for the \( k_4 \) dependence.
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TABLE I. Coefficients of the collective inertia expansion eq. (24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$m/A$</th>
<th>$m_1$</th>
<th>$m_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monopole</td>
<td>$m_N(r^2)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole</td>
<td>$2m_N(r^2)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>$32m_N/63$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-0.58/R^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Coefficients of the collective Hamiltonian expansion eq. (23)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$k/A$</th>
<th>$k_3/A$</th>
<th>$k_4/A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monopole</td>
<td>$K = 230.\text{ MeV}$</td>
<td>-867.</td>
<td>1580.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole</td>
<td>$24e_f/5 = 173.\text{ MeV}$</td>
<td>173.</td>
<td>346.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole (kinetic)</td>
<td>$88e_f/45R^2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3.2e_f/R^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole (potential)</td>
<td>$40v_r/9R^2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-2.4v_r/R^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>