Data-Mining a Large Digital Sky Survey: 
From the Challenges to the Scientific Results

S.G. Djorgovski\textsuperscript{a}, R.R. de Carvalho\textsuperscript{a,c}, S.C. Odewahn\textsuperscript{a}, R.R. Gal\textsuperscript{a}, J. Roden\textsuperscript{a}, P. Stolorz\textsuperscript{b}, and A. Gray\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a} Palomar Observatory, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
\textsuperscript{b} Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
\textsuperscript{c} Observatorio Nacional, CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Abstract

The analysis and an efficient scientific exploration of the Digital Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (DPOSS) represents a major technical challenge. The input data set consists of 3 Terabytes of pixel information, and contains a few billion sources. We describe some of the specific scientific problems posed by the data, including searches for distant quasars and clusters of galaxies, and the data-mining techniques we are exploring in addressing them. Machine-assisted discovery methods may become essential for the analysis of such multi-Terabyte data sets. New and future approaches involve unsupervised classification and clustering analysis in the Giga-object data space, including various Bayesian techniques. In addition to the searches for known types of objects in this data base, these techniques may also offer the possibility of discovering previously unknown, rare types of astronomical objects.

Keywords: data mining, sky surveys, clustering analysis, unsupervised classification

1. Introduction: The Challenge of Terabyte Data Sets

The problem of the data glut has arrived, in virtually every field of science and technology. However, raw data, no matter how expensively obtained, are of limited utility without the effective ability to process them quickly and thoroughly, and to refine the essence of scientific knowledge from them. Are we ready to exploit what the new era of nearly unlimited scientific information has to offer?

The motivation and goals behind our work are to confront the problem of extracting interesting scientific results from vast amounts of digital data in an efficient, yet statistically sound and objective manner, automated as much as possible. We believe that many of the advanced tools needed for this task already exist in the various fields of computer science and statistics, including artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques. The specific challenge we have to address is the analysis of data from a large digital sky survey, which is described below. The techniques we are exploring are rather general, and should find many applications well outside our immediate scientific target, including other digital sky surveys, and indeed in virtually every data-intensive field.

We have already applied some simple clustering analysis methods, both supervised and partly-interactive, and we describe some of these scientific applications below. We have also started to explore
unsupervised clustering analysis on large data sets, including various Bayesian inference and cluster analysis tools. This goes beyond the mere visualisation of, and assistance with, handling of huge data sets: these may be software tools capable of independent or cooperative discoveries, and their application may greatly enhance the productivity of practicing scientists.

The need for the new data exploration tools for vast (Terabyte-sized) data sets goes beyond the considerations of efficiency, important as that is. In many cases, including our own applications, the data sets are expected to change and grow over a period of time, as more or better data, calibrations, etc., come in. This is an entirely new concept of an astronomical data catalog: a downloadable, growing data base with which one interacts using semi-intelligent or semi-autonomous software agents. The tools we are trying to develop would be made generic to this concept of hypercatalogs. There is a fusion of the data and the information tools, and it is that new ground which we plan to explore further.

2. The Digital Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (DPOSS)

The specific data set which we are trying to explore is a digital sky survey, DPOSS\textsuperscript{1}. It is derived from a major new photographic sky atlas, the Second Palomar Sky Survey (POSS-II), which is now nearing completion\textsuperscript{2,3}. It will cover the entire northern sky with 894 fields (6.5° square) at 5° spacings, and no gaps in the sky coverage. Plates are taken in three photometric bands: IIIa-J + GG395, \(\lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim 480\) nm; IIIa-F + RG610, \(\lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim 650\) nm; and IV-N + RG9, \(\lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim 850\) nm. Typical limiting magnitudes reached are \(B_J \sim 22.5\), \(R_F \sim 20.8\), and \(I_N \sim 19.5\), i.e., \(\sim 1\)\textsuperscript{m} − 1.5\textsuperscript{m} deeper than the POSS-I. The image quality is improved relative to the POSS-I, and is comparable to the southern photographic sky surveys.

These plates are being digitized at STScI, using modified PDS scanners, with 15-micron (1.0 arcsec) pixels, in rasters of 23,040 square, giving \(\sim 1\) GB/plate, or \(\sim 3\) TB of pixel data total for the entire survey\textsuperscript{4}. Preliminary astrometric solutions are good to \(\sim 0.5\) arcsec, and will get better soon. There is a major ongoing effort at Caltech to process and calibrate the scans, and catalog and classify all objects detected down to the survey limit. We are using SKICAT, a novel software system developed for this purpose\textsuperscript{5,6,7,8,9,10,11}, which incorporates some standard astronomical image processing packages, commercial Sybase DBMS, as well as a number of AI and ML based modules.

A particular strength of SKICAT is the star-galaxy classification, which uses artificial induction decision tree techniques\textsuperscript{5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13}. By using these methods, and using superior CCD data to train the AI object classifiers, we are able to achieve classification accuracy of 90% or better down to \(\sim 1\)\textsuperscript{m} above the plate detection limit; traditional techniques achieve comparable accuracy typically only \(\sim 2\)\textsuperscript{m} above the detection limit. This effectively triples the number of usable objects for most scientific applications of these data, since in most cases one wants either stellar objects or galaxies. Future technical developments include an improved treatment of very bright and/or extended objects, optimization of the object measurements for crowded regions (e.g., low Galactic latitudes), better structuring of the catalog database for efficient access and manipulation, etc.

An extensive CCD calibration effort is now underway at the Palomar 60-inch telescope, and we expect it to expand to other sites soon. The data are calibrated in the Gunn gri system. We obtain at least 2 CCD fields per sky survey field, and sometimes more. These CCD images are used both for magnitude zero-point calibrations, and for training of automated star-galaxy classifiers. In addition to the CCD calibrations, we use heavily smoothed sky measurements from the plate scans themselves (after the object removal) to “flatfield” away the telescope vignetting affects and the individual plate emulsion sensitivity variations.

As a result, we have demonstrated an unprecedented photometric stability and accuracy for this type of photographic plate material\textsuperscript{14}. We have performed tests using both CCD sequences and plate overlaps, and find that our magnitude zero-points are stable to within a few percent, both across the plates, both between adjacent plates, and across the individual plates. Typical r.m.s. in the
magnitude zero-points between different plates is in the range $0.015^m - 0.045^m$ in the $r$ band, and slightly worse in the $g$ band, perhaps due to the larger color terms in the $J/g$ calibration. Keeping the systematic magnitude zero-point errors below 10% is essential for many scientific applications of these data. Median random magnitude errors for stellar objects in all three bands start around $0.05^m$ at the bright end, and increase to $\sim 0.25^m$ at $g_{\text{lim}} \approx 22^m$, $\sim 0.20^m$ at $r_{\text{lim}} \approx 21.5^m$, and $\sim 0.25^m$ at $i_{\text{lim}} \approx 20^m$. For galaxies, these errors are typically higher by about 50% at a given magnitude.

The resulting data product, the Palomar-Norris Sky Catalog (PNSC) will contain all objects down to an equivalent limiting magnitude of $B_J \sim 22^m$, with star-galaxy classification accurate to 90% or better down to $B_J \sim 21^m$. The PNSC is expected to contain $> 50$ million galaxies, and $> 2$ billion stars (limited by the crowding at low Galactic latitudes), including $\sim 10^5$ quasars. We note that the size of the DPOSS data set, in terms of the bits, numbers of sources, and resolution elements, is $\approx 1,000 \times$ the entire IRAS data set, and is $\approx 0.1 \times$ the anticipated Sloan Digital Sky Survey\textsuperscript{15} data set.

### 3. Examples of Scientific Applications

This large new database should be a fertile ground for numerous scientific investigations, for years to come. The nature of the data dictates its uses: these images are not very deep by modern standards, but they do cover a very large solid angle, and do so relatively uniformly. In addition to the obvious applications such as large-scale optical identifications of sources from other wavelengths (e.g., radio, x-ray, IR), there are two general kinds of studies which can be pursued very effectively with data sets of this size: First, there are statistical astronomy studies, where the sheer large numbers of detected sources tighten the statistical errors and allow for more model parameters to be constrained meaningfully by the data. Second, and perhaps most interesting, there are searches for rare types of objects. For example, at intermediate Galactic latitudes, about one in a million stellar objects down to $r \approx 19.5^m$ is a quasar at $z > 4$, yet we can find such quasars very efficiently.

We have already started a number of scientific projects using DPOSS, which also serve as scientific verification tests of the data, and which have helped us catch some errors and improve and control the data quality. For example, galaxy counts and colors in 3 bands from DPOSS can serve as a baseline for deeper galaxy counts and a consistency check for galaxy evolution models\textsuperscript{14}. Galaxy correlation functions and power spectra of galaxy clustering provide useful constraints on scenarios of large scale structure formation\textsuperscript{16}. We are now also starting to explore the correlations of our galaxy counts with IRAS and DIRBE infrared cirrus maps, in order to generate Galactic extinction maps superior to those now commonly used. Other extragalactic projects now planned include a catalog of $\sim 10^5$ brightest galaxies in the northern sky, with a quantitative surface photometry and morphological information, automated searches for low surface brightness galaxies, an archival search for supernovae from plate overlaps, derivation of photometric redshift estimators for galaxies, etc.

Two of our ongoing projects illustrate well the need for advanced and automated data exploration techniques, and clustering analysis in particular: an automated search for clusters and groups of galaxies, and a search for high-redshift quasars. We describe these in turn.

We are now starting a project to generate an objectively defined, statistically well defined catalog of rich clusters of galaxies. There are many cosmological uses for rich clusters of galaxies\textsuperscript{17}. They provide useful constraints for theories of large-scale structure formation and evolution, and represent valuable samples of galaxies to study their evolution in dense environments. Studies of the cluster two-point correlation function are a powerful probe of large-scale structure, and the scenarios of its formation. Correlations between optically and x-ray selected clusters are also of considerable scientific interest. Most of the studies to date have been limited by the statistical quality of the available cluster samples. For instance, the subjective nature of the most commonly used Abell catalog\textsuperscript{18} has been widely recognized as its major limitation. Still, many far-reaching cosmological conclusions have been
drawn from it. There is thus a real need to generate well-defined, objective catalogs of galaxy clusters and groups, with well understood selection criteria and completeness.

Uniform DPOSS data and well-defined algorithms can produce a vastly superior, quantifiable catalog of northern galaxy clusters and compact groups, reaching considerably deeper than the Abell catalog. The DPOSS has two main advantages over previous surveys: it goes a magnitude fainter than POSS-I, and allows us to use color information in selecting our candidates. The digitization and cataloging of objects allow us to search for clusters in a consistent, objective way, producing statistically sound and well understood samples of galaxy clusters. With our DPOSS data, we have already shown that we can find all believable clusters which Abell found, and many more comparable ones which he missed. Furthermore, with the superior POSS-II data, we should be able to find rich clusters at higher redshifts, perhaps up to $z \sim 0.5$, with many at $z \sim 0.2 - 0.3$. We estimate that eventually we will have a catalog of as many as 20,000 rich clusters of galaxies at high Galactic latitudes in the northern sky.

The technical challenge here is to separate statistically significant clusters of galaxies (which are defined in the 3-d space), from the overall projected distribution of stars and galaxies on the sky. The problem is greatly complicated by two issues: First, galaxies are not distributed in a random Poissonian manner, but are highly correlated spatially; essentially, the projected distribution of galaxies on the sky is $\sim 1/f$ noise. The trick is to isolate physical clusters from chance density peaks in this correlated noise background and foreground. The second problem is that clusters themselves are inherently ill-defined, without obvious boundaries, and frequently consisting of multiple density clumps (reflecting their dynamical youth, still merging), and spanning a range of richness. Thus, simple density-peak schemes are rarely adequate for this task, and a more sophisticated approach is called for.

Our cluster selection algorithm works as follows. We use the objects classified as galaxies in DPOSS catalogs, and limit the samples at $r = 19.6$ (roughly equivalent to $B \approx 20.5 - 21$) in order to maintain the accuracy of object classifications at a $> 90\%$ level. This typically yields about 50,000 galaxies per DPOSS field. The next step is the color selection of the candidate cluster galaxies. We use cuts in the color space to select a locus of probable early-type galaxies as they should better delineate high-density regions. We then use the adaptive kernel method to create the surface density maps. A major advantage of this method is that it uses a two-step process which significantly smooths the low density regions, and at the same time keeps the high density peaks almost untouched. This is superior to the usual binning plus smoothing approach used by many previous investigations.

Next we evaluate the statistical significance of the density peaks using a bootstrap technique to generate the a statistical significance map associated with a given surface density map. Density peaks are found using a version of the FOCAS peak-finding algorithm. We set our threshold at a 4-$\sigma$ level, where we successfully recover all of the known Abell clusters of richness class 0 and higher, and also a large number of new cluster candidates which were apparently missed by Abell. We typically find of the order of 1 – 1.5 cluster candidates per square degree. The important point is that we can quantify our completeness and model our contamination.

The same techniques we use to search for galaxy clusters can then be applied to our star catalogs, in an objective and automated search for sparse globulars in the Galactic halo, tidal disruption tails of former globular star clusters, and possibly even new dwarf spheroidal galaxies in the Local Group.

Another ongoing project is a survey for luminous quasars at $z > 4$. Quasars at $z > 4$ are valuable probes of the early universe, galaxy formation, and the physics and evolution of the intergalactic medium at large redshifts. They probably mark sites of the earliest galaxy formation.

The technical challenge here is to isolate those objects which are morphologically indistinguishable from stars (i.e., have the PSF shape) from a vastly greater number of actual stars at comparable flux levels. We expect that about 400 or so of these quasars are detectable in the entire DPOSS data base, which will also contain $\sim 2 \times 10^9$ stars. Even at the high to moderate Galactic latitudes where the contamination by foreground stars is reduced, we find about one $z > 4$ quasar per million stars. Thus, we need an algorithm which can separate our “signal” from a foreground which is a million times higher.
In practice, all one ever gets are quasar candidates, which then must be checked spectroscopically. An acceptable rate of false positives may be about 10:1, but certainly not $10^6:1$.

Given the lack of distinguishing morphological information for these objects, we turn to a different portion of the parameter space, viz., the colors. The continuum drop across the Ly$\alpha$ line gives these objects a distinctive color signature: extremely red in $(g - r)$, yet blue in $(r - i)$, thus standing away from the stellar sequence in the color space. Traditionally, the major contaminant in this type of work are red galaxies, which could mimic the quasar colors. This is where the morphological information comes back in. Our superior star-galaxy classification leads to a manageable number of color-selected candidates, and an efficient spectroscopic follow-up.

As of this writing, about 30 new $z > 4$ quasars have been discovered. Our initial results are the best estimates to date of the bright end of the quasar luminosity function at $z > 4$. We have thus verified the decline in the comoving number density of bright quasars at $z > 4$. There are also some intriguing hints of possible primordial large-scale structure as marked by these quasars. However, much more data is needed to check this potentially very exciting cosmological result.

We can also search for stars with unusual colors or variability. We have started a search for stars at the bottom of the main sequence and field brown dwarf candidates, using colors: anything with $(r - i) > 2.5$ should be interesting. At high Galactic latitudes, about one star in a few million is that red, down to the conservative limit used so far $(r < 19.5^m)$. Such a survey can be made much more powerful with the addition of IR data, such as the Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS).

4. New Directions: Unsupervised Classification and the Global Exploration of the DPOSS Data Space

A clustering algorithm, in general, is given input consisting of objects for each of which there is a set of measurements. Hence, objects are points or vectors in some multidimensional data parameter space. The algorithm is required to decide which groups of objects belong together in a cluster in this parameter space. If a distance measure is definable over the space, an algorithm can use this measure as a basis of a set of clusters which minimize intercluster distances but maximize intercluster distances. In general, a distance measure may not be defined and the problem is cast in a more general form: Hypothesize a set of models governing the observation space, and find the appropriate parameters of the models to best fit the data, or equivalently the probability distribution(s) that underlay the observed data.

The problem of fitting distributions to data is a difficult and computationally expensive one. Given $k$-dimensional data vectors (assuming that there are $k$ measurements for each object), the algorithm needs to decide the following: (1) how many classes (clusters) are present in the data, and (2) how to describe each of these classes. The first item involves searching over a large number of possible partitions of the data. Each partition specifies different regions across which the data is quantitatively and qualitatively different. Specifically, that means in each of the $J$ blocks of a chosen partition, the data is governed by a given distribution (class model). The second item involves a difficult search over possible models and over the large space of possible parameters (degrees of freedom) of a model. For example, if one hypothesizes that the data is normally distributed within each class, then the parameters would be the mean vector and covariance matrix of the multi-dimensional Gaussian distribution.

Most clustering approaches leave it to the user to guess the number of classes $J$; however, the most general ones have a method for estimating this number. In terms of models, most algorithms assume that observations within a cluster (class) are normally distributed. This is motivated by theoretical results stating that any distribution can be approximated fairly accurately with a mixture of Gaussians (assuming one is allowed to use as many Gaussian bumps as needed).
AutoClass\textsuperscript{27} is an unsupervised learning algorithm that fits user-specified probability distribution models to a set of examples represented as feature vectors. Object classes are represented as particular parametrizations of the models; typically, multi-dimensional Gaussian distributions are used. In order to decide how many classes there are, and what parameters to set for each, AutoClass uses a Bayesian strategy. This means that, for a data set $D$ deemed to be in one class modeled by model $M_i$ with parameter vector $\theta$, the program tries to find $\theta$ such that: $\text{Prob}(\theta|D,M_i)$ is maximized. This quantity is actually computed by noting that, by Bayes rule,

$$\text{Prob}(\theta|D,M_i) = \frac{\text{Prob}(D|\theta,M_i)\text{Prob}(D)}{\text{Prob}(D|M_i)\text{Prob}(M_i)}$$

The $\text{Prob}(D|\theta,M_i)$ is easily computed as a degree of fit, while the other terms represent prior probabilities that may be estimated or provided based on knowledge of the problem. For example, the prior expectation of a scientist might favour model $M_1$ (an exponential) over model $M_2$ (say, a Gaussian). Hence, the prior on $\text{Prob}(M_i)$ can be set accordingly. In a similar fashion, AutoClass tries to find the most probable number of classes $J$ by comparing the likelihoods of the fits for different numbers of classes. That is, find the $J$ which maximizes $\text{Prob}(J|M_i,\theta,D)$. An advantage to this scheme is that once models are found, one can obtain for new objects (observations) membership probabilities in the formed classes.

Thus we investigate the possibility of finding natural (data-based) partitions of the attribute spaces which show high correlations between the plate-measured attribute space, and the CCD-based attribute space, or a high degree of separation between expected classes such as stars versus galaxies, spirals versus ellipticals, or galaxies of different concentrations. These partitions of the data may be used for investigations of unusual regions of the attribute space, and may even lead to the discovery of previously unknown classes of objects. We used a small subset of data from DPOSS, with only 7 attributes per object. We intentionally did not use several legitimate attributes like colors, mean surface brightness and concentration index, which are available in our catalogs, because at this point they can help us understand the association between the classes which come out from the experiment and the large scale distribution of galaxies. Also, the classification is not given to the algorithm but is only used to judge its performance.

In our preliminary experiments using Bayesian clustering algorithms to classify objects present in the DPOSS\textsuperscript{28,29} we found that AutoClass was able to form several sensible categories from a few simple attributes of the object images, separating the data into four recognizable and astronomically meaningful classes. The results were robust and repeatable from field to field, and not a function of magnitude. The four classes found can be identified with stars (s), galaxies with a bright core (g1), galaxies without a bright core (g2), and stars with fuzz around them (sf). Thus, the object classes found by AutoClass are astronomically meaningful – even though the program itself does not know about stars, galaxies and such. Moreover, the two morphologically distinct classes of galaxies populate different regions of the data space, and have systematically different colors and concentration indices, even though AutoClass was not given the color information! Thus, the program has found astrophysically meaningful distinction between these classes of objects, which is then confirmed by independent data.

One scientifically interesting lead is the physical nature of the objects classified as “fuzzy stars”. Our preliminary follow-up indicates that a large number of them may be previously unrecognized active galactic nuclei, e.g., Seyfert galaxies. This opens an exciting possibility of the automated discovery of active galaxies by many thousands, which could lead to a range of astrophysical applications: from an improved luminosity function of active galactic nuclei, to their use as tracers of very large structure, to the origins of the x-ray background. It is very likely that other astrophysical classes of objects can be separated once we use additional information (e.g., the colors). One intriguing possibility would be extremely compact nucleated dwarf galaxies, which may be the progeny of the mysterious starforming
dwarf galaxies at redshifts $z \sim 0.3 - 0.5$, the notorious faint blue galaxies seen in deep galaxy counts. Discovery of such a population in the DPOSS data base would be of a great interest for cosmology.

A critical point in constructing scientifically useful object catalogs is the star/galaxy separation. Various supervised classification schemes can be used for this task\cite{12,30,31}. However, a more difficult problem is to provide at least rough morphological types for the galaxies detected, in a systematic and objective way, without visual inspection of the images, which is obviously impractical. We have thus started to explore new clustering analysis and unsupervised classification techniques to try to separate astronomically meaningful morphological types on the basis of the data themselves, rather than some preconceived scheme.

Similar approaches can be made to the automated pattern recognition and classification of objects in the pixel domain. One is then solving the following inference problem: given the pixel data, what is the most likely hypothesis regarding the physical features which yielded these particular data? In particular, for a given new object, we are interested in composite hypotheses concerning the presence or absence of a new class. The problem is ill-posed; the pixel data are inherently ambiguous due to the inevitable resolution limitations and noise. A further complication arises because the objects of interest and the surrounding sky background can vary substantially in manners that are not easy to predict. The natural framework for solving problems of this nature is a probabilistic one: how to find the composite hypotheses which accumulate the greatest probability mass conditioned on the data? The actual computation of posterior probabilities is relatively trivial given a model.

A more sophisticated approach is to model this in a Bayesian manner and complement the widely-used squared-error goodness-of-fit metric with a penalty term to reflect prior bias in terms of expected appearance of the object. For example, one might try to adjust the boundaries of the segmented pixels to minimize the following objective function:

$$E = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(x_i | \theta_k, s_k) p(\theta_k, s_k)$$

where $N$ is the total number of pixels, $i$ is an index over the pixels, $K$ is the total number of segments, $s_k$ is a particular segment and $\theta_k$ are the parameters for $s_k$. The first term, $p(x_i | \theta_k, s_k)$, is the likelihood of the pixel data given a particular set of segment parameters $\theta_k$ and segment $s_k$. The segment parameters $\theta_k$ could include the area, shape characteristics such as eccentricity, mean intensity, moments, and so forth. The second term $p(\theta_k, s_k) = p(\theta_k | s_k) p(s_k)$ reflects our a priori expectations on the parameters themselves, e.g. a particular combination of intensity and shape is highly unlikely to occur. More complicated models would model the interaction between the segmented regions; e.g. their relative positions. The use of a prior knowledge to guide the search (where the problem is modelled probabilistically using the Bayesian formalism) is relatively novel in this type of application.

Direct application of these techniques to the DPOSS database also represents a novel and powerful form of quality control for our data products, as multidimensional clustering can reveal subtle mismatch patterns between individual sky survey fields, e.g., due to otherwise imperceptible calibration variations. This would apply to virtually any other digital sky survey or other patchwise collated data sets.

5. Concluding Remarks

These algorithms may be also used for an objective discovery of clusters of stars or galaxies in physical space, by utilizing the full information available in the catalog. For example, in addition to the clustering on the sky itself, galaxies or stars belonging to a physical cluster have a well defined apparent luminosity function, and correlations between colors, magnitudes, surface brightness (for galaxies), etc., so that clusters in physical space may be even more prominent in the suitably defined
parameter space. This is indeed the concept behind the matched-filter approach\textsuperscript{32}, but it is more general.

Likewise, these methods can be used in an automated search for high-redshift quasars, using their distinct color signature in the color parameter space. We have already demonstrated this using simpler, semi-interactive techniques described above. However, a purely automated, objective selection has obvious statistical advantages, for both quasar and cluster searches.

Perhaps the most exciting scientific prospects in this kind of studies involve serendipity: with a data set as large as DPOSS, there is even a real possibility of discovering some heretofore unknown types of objects or phenomena, whose rarity would have made them escape astronomers’ notice so far.

These, and other studies now started or planned, should produce many interesting and useful new results in the years to come. Availability of large data sets such as DPOSS over the Net or through other suitable mechanisms would also enable astronomers and their students anywhere, even if they are far from the major research centers or without an access to large telescopes, to do some first-rate observational science. This new abundance of good data may profoundly change the sociology of astronomy, which is still dominated by a few major research centers.

These techniques are clearly and directly applicable to a wide variety of astronomical imaging applications, especially sky surveys of any sort, e.g., IRAS, Rosat, 2MASS, etc. In addition to the efficient analysis of vast amounts of new data, these techniques can also be used to explore existing data archives, and have a potential of revolutionizing archival research (e.g., the HST archive, reanalysis of IRAS or Rosat data, etc.). This great universality should attract a very broad constituency of science users, probably with a multitude of applications which have never occurred to us.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Projected surface density of color-selected galaxies detected in the DPOSS field 475, created with the adaptive kernel algorithm. Presence of large-scale structure, including possible clusters, is evident. Statistically significant clusters are circled. While the known Abell clusters are among the more significant peaks, there are some as statistically significant clusters which he missed. The majority of the new candidates are less rich and/or fainter, presumably extending to higher redshifts.

Figure 2. A typical color-color diagram of objects morphologically classified as stellar in a POSS-II field (dots), with some of our newly discovered (spectroscopically confirmed) high-redshift quasars plotted as solid circles. Only the stars in a narrow magnitude interval 19'' < r < 19.5'' are plotted here, for clarity. Galactic stars occupy a well-defined, banana-shaped locus in this parameter space, while the high-redshift quasars cluster to the lower right in this diagram. The principal contaminant in the quasar candidate selection are misclassified galaxies, which sometimes have colors like those of quasars.

Figure 3. An example of unsupervised object classification applied to DPOSS data. This shows the four statistically distinct classes of objects found by AutoClass in a very small subset of data from DPOSS. A set of 9 morphological image parameters was used. Four examples are shown for each of the four classes found. With an astronomical hindsight, they are labeled stars (s; first row), stars with a fuzz (sf; second row), early-type galaxies (g1; third row) and late-type galaxies (g2; fourth row). As an independent check, the two types of galaxies also separate cleanly when color information is used – even though the program was not given this information! This demonstrates the power of an unsupervised classification algorithm in finding astrophysically meaningful classes of objects in a large data set.