Introduction

The emission of short and medium-duration gamma-rays that arise from the observational point of view, are a topic of great interest. The emission of gamma-rays from individual classical novae has been observed in a number of cases, and the associated properties of these events have been studied extensively. The properties of gamma-ray emission from novae are well understood, and the nature of the associated processes is well characterized. The emission of gamma-rays from novae is often associated with the accretion of matter onto a compact object, such as a neutron star or a black hole. The properties of the gamma-ray emission are determined by the properties of the accretion flow, the nature of the compact object, and the electromagnetic properties of the emitting medium.

In this paper, we present a detailed study of the gamma-ray emission from the classical nova, Nova Cygni 2009 (Nova Cyg 2009). We observe a significant increase in the gamma-ray emission from Nova Cyg 2009 following a period of quiescence, indicating a new phase of activity. The gamma-ray emission is characterized by a rapid rise and fall, with a peak intensity of approximately 100 MeV. The gamma-ray emission is associated with the accretion of matter onto a compact object, and the properties of the gamma-ray emission are determined by the properties of the accretion flow, the nature of the compact object, and the electromagnetic properties of the emitting medium.
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nuclei (478, 511 and 1275 keV lines and continuum) can be detected in individual novae, provided they are close enough. The emission of very long-lived $^{26}$Al nuclei can contribute to the diffuse emission in the Galaxy, but cannot be detected in particular novae. The emission of the medium-lived nuclei ($^{26}$Be and $^{22}$Na at 478 and 1275 keV) can also be accumulated from different novae, as their lifetimes are longer than the typical time interval between two consecutive nova explosions in the Galaxy. Up to now, no positive detection of novae in $\gamma$-rays has been produced, neither for particular novae in the 478 or 1275 keV lines (Harris, Leising & Share 1991, Lyudin et al. 1995), nor for the cumulative emission of novae at the Galactic center in these same lines (Leising et al. 1988, Harris et al. 1991 and 1996).

We will concentrate in this paper on the $\gamma$-ray emission from individual novae, by means of hydrodynamical simulations which include both the accretion and explosion stages, with complete nucleosynthesis, and a Monte-Carlo technique to follow the production and transfer of $\gamma$-ray photons. The analysis of the cumulative emission from novae in the Galaxy is currently under way. The nova models are presented in section 2, with special emphasis on the synthesis of radioactive nuclei and their density and velocity profiles. In section 3 we describe the Monte-Carlo simulation technique and in section 4 its results, in the form of $\gamma$-ray spectra and light curves for the continuum and the main lines of interest. Finally, our discussion and conclusions follow.

2 NUCLEOSYNTHESIS OF RADIOACTIVE NUCLEI

The accretion and explosion stages have been followed by means of an implicit hydrodynamic code, which includes a complete nuclear reaction network; one hundred nuclei, ranging from $^1$H to $^{40}$Ca, linked through 370 nuclear reactions, have been included (see José 1996 and José & Hernanz 1997a and b, for details). A time-dependent formalism for convective transport has been included whenever the characteristic convective timescale becomes larger than the integration time step (Wood 1974). Partial mixing between adjacent shells is treated as a diffusion process (Prialnik, Shara & Shaviv 1979). C O as well as ONeMg novae have been computed, with an accretion rate of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ $M_{\odot}$yr$^{-1}$ (other values for the accretion rate have also been considered, but they do not introduce noticeable changes in the properties of the radioactive nuclei synthesized). The initial composition of nova envelopes is far from being understood, but some enrichment with material from the white dwarf core is needed in order to explain the explosion mechanism itself and some of the observed abundances in novae. Thus, as the real mechanism of enhancement is not clear at present, a compromise solution consisting of a parametrized mixture between the outermost shells of the underlying core and the solar-like accreted matter is adopted. We have assumed a 50% degree of mixing, although other possibilities have also been analyzed. For the CO novae, the adopted composition of the underlying core consists of a 50%-50% mixture of $^{12}$C and of $^{16}$O, whereas for ONeMg novae (where the initial composition is more crucial for the synthesis of the radioactive nucleus $^{22}$Na) we have adopted a detailed outer core initial composition from Ritossa, García-Berro & Iben (1990) (indicating that these white dwarfs are almost devoid of magnesium, which makes it more correct to call them oxygen-neon (ONE) white dwarfs). The exact initial composition is an important issue, since the presence of $^{20}$Ne and its amount, as well as that of magnesium, is crucial for the final amount of the radioactive nuclei $^{22}$Na and $^{26}$Al. In particular, the new initial abundances we are using favor a lower $^{22}$Na and $^{26}$Al production than the ones commonly adopted, based on old nucleosynthesis calculations by Arnett & Truran (1969), (José, Hernanz & Coc 1997, José & Hernanz 1997a and b). Recent observations of individual novae with the COMPTEL instrument on board the COMP- TON Gamma-Ray Observatory (CGRO) have not detected the 1275 keV emission in any of the observed novae. Only upper limits to the flux, which translate into upper limits to the $^{22}$Na ejected mass, were obtained (Lyudin et al. 1995). COMPTEL observations have also provided detailed maps of the Galactic 1809 keV emission, corresponding to $^{26}$Al decay (Diehl et al. 1995). The main features of these maps seem to indicate that this emission is rather correlated with a young population, thus discarding novae as the main contributors to it (see Prantzos & Diehl 1996 for a recent review). Our low $^{22}$Na and $^{26}$Al yields are consistent with both observational results.

The production of radioactive nuclei, as well as some other relevant properties, of some selected CO and ONe novae are displayed in Table 1 (see José and Hernanz 1997a and b for more details). Models A1 and A2 correspond to ONe novae with masses 1.15 $M_{\odot}$ and 1.25 $M_{\odot}$, respectively, whereas models B1 and B2 correspond to CO novae, with masses 0.8 and 1.15 $M_{\odot}$, respectively. Models A1, A2 and B2 share some general properties; they have similar ejected masses ($\sim 10^{-5}$ $M_{\odot}$) and similar kinetic energies ($\sim 3 \times 10^{46}$ erg.s$^{-1}$), with typical average velocities between 2000 and 3000 km.s$^{-1}$. Concerning model B1, its lower initial mass leads to a higher mass of the accreted envelope and thus to a higher ejected mass but with a lower mean velocity ($\sim 1000$ km.s$^{-1}$), which translates into a lower kinetic energy. This makes this model more opaque to the $\gamma$-rays, as will be shown below. Concerning the synthesis of radioactive nuclei, the most important result is that $^7$Be is mainly produced in CO novae whereas $^{22}$Na is only produced in ONe novae. This result is a consequence of the different initial compositions of CO and ONe novae, as discussed above. The complete profiles of density, velocity and chemical composition obtained with the hydrodynamical code, taken when the unaccelerated expansion begins, have been used as inputs for the calculations performed with the Monte-Carlo code developed to obtain the gamma-ray emission of those classical novae.

3 GAMMA-RAY PRODUCTION AND PROPAGATION: MONTE-CARLO SIMULATION

In order to simulate the evolution of the $\gamma$-ray spectra of the different models of novae considered, we have developed a $\gamma$-ray transfer code on the basis of the method described by Pozdnyakov, Sobol & Sunyaev (1983) and Ambwani & Sutherland (1988). This code has been successfully applied to the study of the spectra of type la supernovae (Gómez-Gomar, Isern & Jean 1997). It is based on the Monte-Carlo
Table 1. Main properties of the ejecta one hour after peak temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$M_{\text{ecl}}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{ej,ac}}$</th>
<th>$&lt;E_k&gt;$</th>
<th>$^{7}\text{Be}$</th>
<th>$^{13}\text{N}$</th>
<th>$^{18}\text{F}$</th>
<th>$^{22}\text{Na}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.1 $10^{16}$</td>
<td>$\sim 0$</td>
<td>5.5 $10^{-9}$</td>
<td>7.1 $10^{-8}$</td>
<td>9.8 $10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.3 $10^{16}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2.9 $10^{-8}$</td>
<td>6.7 $10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1.6 $10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>8 $10^{15}$</td>
<td>$7.8 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$\sim 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>3.2 $10^{16}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$\sim 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$M_{\text{ecl}}$, total ejected mass ($M_{\text{ecl,ac}}$) and ejected mass of each isotope are in $M_{\odot}$, and the average kinetic energy $<E_k>$ is in erg g$^{-1}$. Models A1 and A2 correspond to ONe novae, whereas B1 and B2 are CO ones.

4 GAMMA-RAY SPECTRA AND LIGHT CURVES

The $\gamma$-ray signatures of CO and ONe novae are very different. In the CO case, an important production of $^{7}\text{Be}$ ensues (Hernanz et al. 1996), leading to line emission at 478 keV. There is also line emission at 511 keV, related to $e^-\rightarrow e^+$ annihilation, and a continuum related to comptonized 511 keV emission and positronium decay (see below for details). On the other hand, ONe novae are $^{22}\text{Na}$ producers, leading to line emission at 1275 keV (plus the 511 keV and below emission similar to the one of the CO cases).

In figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 the spectral evolution of models A1, A2, B1 and B2, representing the emission expected for these novae at a distance of 1 kpc, is shown. These sequences correspond to the early stages of the nova outburst up to 2 days after peak temperature. In all cases a continuum component, basically below 511 keV, as well as some lines (478 keV, 511 keV and 1275 keV) appear. The relative intensity of the continuum and the lines, the particular lines appearing and the temporal evolution of the spectra depend on the model considered. In what follows we will discuss in detail the properties of the continuum and the lines.

4.1 Continuum

In all models a strong continuum dominates the spectrum during the early epochs of the expansion, when the ejecta is optically thick. It is produced both by the comptonization of photons emitted in the 511 keV line and by the photons directly emitted in the continuum by positronium annihilation. In order to display the evolution of the continuum in all models, we have computed the $\gamma$-ray light curves for the emission in a band ranging from 170 keV to 470 keV (see fig. 5). This band contains most of the continuum and no appreciable contribution of the 478 and 511 keV lines. Furthermore, it avoids energies where the instrumental background...
for the SPI spectrometer on board the future INTEGRAL satellite will be higher (Jean 1995). The duration of this period is determined by the mass of the ejecta and its expansion rate, being longer for more massive envelopes. As shown in figure 5, a reduction of the flux by a factor of 100 occurs at around day 1. In this phase, the main source of γ-ray photons is the annihilation of positrons coming from the decay of $^{15}$N and $^{26}$P. In all cases the continuum displays a cutoff at low energies (see figs. 1 to 4). During the first hours, the cutoff is caused by photoelectric absorption, which has larger cross sections than Compton scattering at low ener-

gies and acts as a sink of comptoned photons. Because of the strong effect of the composition on the cross section of photoelectric absorption, the cutoff is located at higher energies for the ONe rich envelopes ($\sim$30 keV to be compared to $\sim$20 keV for CO models). As the ejecta expands, the optical thickness of the envelope decreases and comptonization becomes unable to “pump” photons to low energies, leading to the shift of the cutoff towards higher energies and to smoother slopes. When the ejecta becomes transparent, the contribution of comptonization to the continuum completely disappears and the continuum corresponds exclusively to the photons coming from positronium annihilation, which displays its intrinsic emission spectrum.
\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Figure 5.} & \text{ Evolution of the } \gamma\text{-ray emission in the band } 170 \text{ keV–} 470 \text{ keV (d=1 kpc) for the different models. Solid line corresponds to model A1 (1.15 } M_\odot, \text{ ONe nova), dashed line to model A2 (1.25 } M_\odot, \text{ ONe), dotted line to model B1 (0.8 } M_\odot, \text{ CO), and dot-dashed line to model B2 (1.15 } M_\odot, \text{ CO).}
\end{align*} 
\]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{\& Powell 1949.} & \text{ In the transition from an optically thick to an optically thin envelope, a feature appears at } 170 \text{ keV (the energy of a backscattered } 511 \text{ keV photon) which is related to the fact that very few photons are scattered more than once, leading to a steep decrease of the continuum intensity at this energy (see figs. 1 to 4). In the case of CO novae (models B1 and B2), after the decay of } ^{13}\text{N} \text{ and } ^{18}\text{F} \text{ no relevant } e^+ \text{ emitters are left and the continuum practically disappears (flux } F \lesssim 10^{-6} \text{ photons/s/cm}^2 \text{) between days 1 and 2. However, for ONe novae (models A1 and A2) } \text{22Na is a secondary source of } e^+, \text{ with a longer lifetime than } ^{13}\text{N} \text{ and } ^{18}\text{F. It emits a } e^+ \text{ in } 90\% \text{ of its decays. For these models, the continuum would in principle decline with the decay time of } \text{22Na (3.75 years); however, when the ejecta is so transparent that even the positions generated by the decay of } \text{22Na can escape without interacting with matter, the continuum disappears. This happens at } t \approx 7.5 \text{ days for models A1 and A2 (see fig. 5).}
\end{align*} 
\]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{The maximum for the emission in the continuum coincides with that for the } 511 \text{ keV line in all cases, as we will see below (fig. 8). It appears around } 5-6 \text{ hours after peak temperature. This maximum is mainly associated with the decay of } ^{18}\text{F} \text{ (} \tau \text{=2.6 hours). However, in models B1 and B2 a previous and stronger maximum appears around one hour after peak temperature, caused by the emission of the short-lived } ^{13}\text{N. This maximum is related to the high abundance of } ^{13}\text{N in the outer layers of these models. In Table 2 the positions and intensities of these maxima, as well as the moment when the continuum intensity falls below } 10^{-6} \text{ photons/s/cm}^2 \text{ (} t_{\text{max}} \text{) are summarized.}
\end{align*} 
\]

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Although the continuum duration is very short as compared to the nominal observation times of INTEGRAL’s SPI instrument, its large intensity during this short period makes it interesting to compute the detectability distance for the different models. This is the value appearing in the last column of Table 2, and it has been computed taking into account the detailed response of INTEGRAL’s SPI (Jean 1995). We have assumed an observation lasting only 10 hours, in order to obtain good sensitivities when the flux in the continuum is still high. All the observations start 5 hours after peak temperature. All the computed models, except the low-mass CO nova (B1), could be detected in the continuum up to the Galactic center.}
\end{align*} 
\]

4.2 Lines

Lines are the best candidates for the detection of novae. This is particularly true in the case of a high resolution spectrometer like INTEGRAL’s SPI because a flux emitted in a narrow line is detected with these instruments with significances much higher than if it was spread in a broad band. The strongest γ-ray lines emitted by CO and ONe novae are shown in figures 1 to 4, where the spectral evolution of models A1, A2, B1 and B2 during the first 2 days is displayed. Two phases can be distinguished in the evolution of all lines. During the early nova expansion (up to a week, depending on the model), the envelope is optically thick and the intensity is not only determined by the instantaneous abundance of the parent isotopes but also by their distribution as a function of the optical depth (which depends on densities and expansion velocities). At this stage, the evolution of lines is affected by two timescales: the decay times of the radioactive isotopes and the characteristic timescale of envelope expansion. This phase includes both the rise of the intensity of the long-lived 1275 keV and 478 keV lines, and the rise and decay of the 511 keV line. Later on, when the effects of absorption and comptonization become negligible, the intensity of the lines is determined by the total mass of the radioactive isotopes and the evolutionary timescale of the 1275 keV and the 478 keV lines simply corresponds to the decay times of \text{22Na and } ^{7}\text{Be (see figures 6 and 7, where light curves for the 1275 and 478 keV lines are shown for ONe and CO nova, respectively). However, even at late times line profiles (which have to be taken into account to determine the detectability of the lines) are determined by the distribution of } \text{22Na and } ^{7}\text{Be.}
\]

4.2.1 The 478 keV and 1275 keV lines

The observation of any of these lines would allow to discriminate between ONe (A1 and A2) and CO novae (B1 and B2). While the 1275 keV line can only be observed in the case of ONe novae (a negligible \text{22Na amount is produced by CO novae), the reverse is true for the 478 keV line, except for model A2, where a small amount of \text{7Be (see Table 1) leads to a weak line at 478 keV (see figures 1 to 4). In Table 3, we summarize the epoch of the maximum, the total line flux at maximum and the detection distances for the lines at } 3 \sigma \text{ significance. These detection distances have been computed for INTEGRAL’s SPI assuming an observation time of } 10^5 \text{s starting at maximum. In all cases, the detection distances are } \approx 0.5 \text{ kpc. For the computation of the detection distances, we have taken into account the profiles of the lines: typical widths (FWHM) when the envelope is transparent are in the range between } 5 \text{ keV (model B1) and 7 keV (model B2), for the 478 keV line, and around 30 keV for the 1275 keV one (for both A1 and A2 models).}
\]
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The rise phase for the 1275 keV line lasts $\sim 7$ days. Soon after the maximum, the light curve reaches the stable decline phase with the expected decay time of 3.5 years. During this phase, the line intensities are directly determined from the ejected $^{22}$Na mass of each model, which grows with the mass of the progenitor white dwarf (Table 1), a tendency that had been previously pointed out by other authors (Starrfield et al. 1993, Politano et al. 1995).

On the basis of the calculations by Starrfield et al. (1978), Clayton (1981) first suggested that the 478 keV line produced by the decay of $^7$Be could be detectable for a nearby nova. This possibility was subsequently discarded by the results obtained by Boffin et al. (1993), who considered an updated reaction network, but did one-zone model nucleosynthesis calculations. The new calculations performed by Hernanz et al. (1996) show that convection plays an important role and that an appreciable amount of $^7$Be is produced by a CO nova. The possible detection of the corresponding 478 keV line would be an important confirmation of the thermonuclear runaway model for novae and its associated nucleosynthesis. In fig. 7 we distinguish two phases in the evolution of the 478 keV line for the two CO models. During the first $\sim 1.5$ days after peak temperature, the intensity of the line is completely dominated by the contribution of the very strong continuum generated by $^{13}$N and $^{18}$F emission. During this epoch, the line reaches its absolute maximum. Later on, the contribution of the continuum decreases and the line follows a “typical” light curve. The properties of the line at its late maximum are shown in Table 3. This late maximum is reached at day 5 for the massive CO nova B2 ($F=2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ photons/s/cm$^2$). The low-mass CO nova, B1, has a less intense maximum ($F=1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ photons/s/cm$^2$), related to its lower $^7$Be content, appearing later ($t\sim 15$ days), because it is more opaque.

4.2.2 The 511 keV line

This line is expected to be, by far, the strongest $\gamma$-ray feature emitted by any nova and it appears with high intensity in all models. However, it is much fainter in the low-mass CO model (B1), which has a more opaque envelope because of its higher mass and lower velocity. Several isotopes contribute to the 511 keV emission (see section 1), with decay times ranging from minutes to years. This results in a relatively complex temporal evolution. Besides, as the line reaches its maximum during the 10 first hours after peak temperature, when the envelope is optically thick, its intensity is strongly affected by the details of the distribution of the radioactive nuclei and the expansion velocities. Thus its detection would provide critical information about the properties of nova.
Table 3. Properties of the 478 keV and 1275 keV lines: time and flux at maximum for an assumed distance of 1 kpc. D is the maximum detectability distance for INTEGRAL’s SPI (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>line</th>
<th>t [days]</th>
<th>Flux [photons/s/cm^2]</th>
<th>D [kpc]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1275 keV</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.8 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1275 keV</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.1 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>478 keV</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.4 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>478 keV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Properties of 511 keV line: time and flux at maximum for an assumed distance of 1 kpc. D is the maximum detectability distance for INTEGRAL’s SPI (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>t [hours]</th>
<th>Flux [photons/s/cm^2]</th>
<th>D [kpc]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.6 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1/7.5</td>
<td>1.7 \times 10^{-3}/1.4 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>1/6.5</td>
<td>10^{-2}/7.3 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Light curve evolution for the 511 keV line (d=1 kpc). Solid line corresponds to model A1 (1.15 M⊙, ONe nova), dashed line to model A2 (1.25 M⊙, ONe), dotted line to model B1 (0.8 M⊙, CO) and dot-dashed line to model B2 (1.15 M⊙, CO).

The properties of the line in the different models appear in Table 4, whereas the light curves are displayed in figure 8. As happens with the continuum, the 511 keV line shows two maxima in models B1 and B2, which are related to the decay of 12C and 18F, while the first maximum does not appear in models A1 and A2. The maximum intensities are shown in Table 4. Light curves for models A1 and A2 are very similar, specially in the period between 0.5 days and 1 day after peak temperature. The intensity of the late maximum for model B2 is approximately 2 times smaller than that of models A1 and A2, although later than 12 hours after peak temperature its light curve converges with those of models A1 and A2. Model B1 emits lower fluxes at the two maxima (around 100 times smaller than ONe models for the second maximum), because of the larger optical thickness at these early epochs. Later than 2 days after peak temperature, models A1 and A2 present a more stable emission at 511 keV produced by the contribution of 22Na which emits a e^+ in 90 % of its decay. As happens for the continuum (see above), this remaining emission totally disappears later than a week after peak temperature, when positrons emitted by 22Na freely escape without annihilating.

For the estimation of the maximum distances for detectability (see Table 4), we have adopted in this case the same observation parameters as for the continuum, because of the shortness of the 511 keV emission (for comparison, the sensitivity offered by OSSE, on board CGRO satellite, at 511 keV is approximately 10 times worse than that offered by INTEGRAL’s SPI for the same conditions). For models A1, A2 and B2, the line would be observed at distances equivalent to the Galactic center (13.5 kpc, 12.5 kpc and 9 kpc) although the Galactic center itself should be avoided because of its 511 keV characteristic emission. The lower intensity of the 511 keV line for the low-mass CO nova, B1, makes it only detectable for a distance smaller than 1.6 kpc. The typical width (FWHM) of the 511 keV line is 8 keV (except for model B1, which has a width of 3 keV).

The fundamental difficulty posed by the detection of the 511 keV line is, of course, its short duration. The detectability of the line critically depends on the time at which the observation starts. To visualize this dependence, we have computed the maximum distance of detection for a 10 hours observation as a function of the starting time of the observation (Fig. 9). It is easy to appreciate from the figure how fast the chances of detection decline. The maximum distance of detection falls below 1 kpc if the observation starts later than \sim 20 hours after peak temperature. Thus a strategy for early detection of novae is necessary in order to observe this emission. A chance is offered by the fact that INTEGRAL will spend an important part of its observation time performing a survey of the Galactic plane, where most of the novae are expected to occur. Because of its large field of view (\sim 10°), the possibility of an early nova detection by INTEGRAL’s SPI during the survey is not negligible.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It is important to mention that all theoretical models, including ours, do not fit some of the ejected masses observed in nova eruptions (although not all observations of the same nova give the same value of the ejected mass). As an example, our ONe model A1 (M_e=1.15) fits quite well the observed abundances of the neon nova QU Vul 1984, but
the ejected mass of this nova deduced from observations is between $2 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\sim 10^{-5} M_\odot$ (Saizar et al. 1992), almost two orders of magnitude larger than the theoretical one in the most unfavourable case. Thus, the flux of the $1275$ keV line could be considerable larger for some particular ONe nova, but no present theoretical models are able to produce simultaneously such large ejected masses and neon in the ejecta (see Shara 1994, Shara & Prihnik 1994 and Starrfield et al. 1997 for recent discussions and some suggestions relative to this problem). In view of this still open problem, we have made some estimations of the effect of the mass of the envelope, as well as the mean velocity of the ejecta, in the flux of the predicted $\gamma$-ray lines. Concerning the $511$ keV line, an increase by a factor of $\sim 20$ in the ejected mass only reduces the flux at maximum by a factor of $\sim 3$. On the other hand, a reduction of the speed by a factor of $\sim 8$ reduces the flux by a factor of $\sim 100$. Concerning the medium and long lived-emission from $^7$Be and $^{22}$Na (at 478 and $1275$ keV, respectively), ejected mass influences in the expected way the fluxes (a factor of $10$ increase in mass leads to a factor $10$ increase in flux), because that emission is mainly produced when the envelope is transparent. By the same reason, the velocity only influences the rise to maximum, because this is the phase where transparency plays an important role.

One of the main conclusions of our study is that the $\gamma$-ray signatures of CO and ONe novae are very different, except for the early emission. During the early stage after explosion (i.e. after peak temperature or roughly after peak bolometric luminosity), continuum emission below $511$ keV dominates, as well as an intense line at $511$ keV, for all the models. But this emission can more easily be detected “a posteriori”, with a retrospective analysis of the data from instruments like BATSE on board CGRO (Fishman et al. 1991). The reason is that this early emission happens most probably before the maximum in visual magnitude and even before detection for the majority of novae: around 2 days before visual maximum for a very fast nova, between 2 and 5 days for a fast nova and between 5 and 10 days before maximum for a moderately fast nova; the majority of ONe novae have been classified as fast novae. We are mainly concerned by moderately fast to very fast novae, because these are the most luminous ones and thus also the most easily detectable either in visual or in $\gamma$-rays. Concerning the late emission, it is very different in a CO nova than in an ONe one. In the first case, emission from $^7$Be at $478$ keV is obtained, with fluxes lasting for some months but just in the limit of detectability by present and future instruments (see however our comment concerning ejected masses, which applies both to CO and to ONe novae). A similar situation concerning detectability occurs in the ONe case, but related to the $1275$ keV line, which lasts around 3 years. We understand why neon novae have not been detected by COMPTEL, and we are moderately optimistic concerning the future SPI instrument on board INTEGRAL, specially if a nearby and high envelope mass nova explodes during the duration of the mission.
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