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The capability of the NLC run in the $\gamma e$ collision mode to probe the CP-conserving $\gamma WW$ and $\gamma ZZ$ anomalous couplings through the use of the polarization asymmetry is reviewed. When combined with other measurements, very strong constraints on both varieties of anomalous couplings can be obtained. We show that these bounds are complementary to those that can be extracted from data taken at the LHC.

In addition to unravelling the source of symmetry breaking, one of the most crucial remaining set of tests of the structure of the SM will occur at future colliders when precision measurements of the various triple gauge boson vertices (TGVs) become available. If new physics arises at or near the TeV scale, then on rather general grounds one expects that the deviation of the TGVs from their canonical SM values, i.e., the anomalous couplings, to be at most $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$ with the smaller end of this range of values being the most likely. To get to this level of precision and beyond, for all of the TGVs, a number of different yet complementary reactions need to be studied using as wide a variety of observables as possible.

In the present review we concentrate on the CP-conserving $\gamma WW$ and $\gamma ZZ$ anomalous couplings that can be probed in the reactions $\gamma e \rightarrow W\nu, Ze$ at the NLC using polarized electrons and polarized backscattered laser photons. In the $\gamma WW$ case, the anomalous couplings modify the magnitude and structure of the already existing SM tree level vertex. No corresponding tree level $\gamma ZZ$ vertex exists in the SM, although it will appear at the one-loop level. One immediate advantage of the $\gamma e \rightarrow W\nu$ process over, e.g., $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ is that the $\gamma WW$ vertex can be trivially isolated from the corresponding ones for the $ZWW$ vertex, thus allowing us to probe this particular vertex in a model-independent fashion and for the case of on-shell photons. We recall that the CP-conserving $\gamma WW$ and $\gamma ZZ$ anomalous couplings are denoted by $\Delta \kappa$, $\lambda$ and $h^0_{3,4}$, respectively.

The use of both polarized electron and photon beams available at the NLC allows one to construct a polarization asymmetry, $A_{pol}$, which provides a new handle on possibly anomalous TGVs of both the $W$ and $Z$. In general the $\gamma e \rightarrow$
$W\nu, Ze$ (differential or total) cross sections can be written schematically as
\[ \sigma = (1 + A_0 P)\sigma_{un} + \xi(P + A_0)\sigma_{pol}, \]
where $P$ is the electron’s polarization (which we take to be $> 0$ for left-handed beam polarization), $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$ is the Stoke’s parameter for the circularly polarized photon, and $A_0$ describes the electron’s coupling to the relevant gauge boson [$A_0 = 2v/\sqrt{v^2 + a^2} = 1$ for $W$’s and $\simeq 0.149$ for $Z$’s]. $\sigma_{pol} (\sigma_{un})$ represents the polarization (in)dependent contribution to the cross section, both of which are functions of only a single dimensionless variable after angular integration, i.e., $x = y^2 = s_{\gamma e}/M^2_{W,Z}$, where $\sqrt{s_{\gamma e}}$ is the $\gamma - e$ center of mass energy. Taking the ratio of the $\xi$-dependent to $\xi$-independent terms in the expression for $\sigma$ defines the asymmetry $A_{pol}$.

One reason to expect that $A_{pol}$, or $\sigma_{pol}$ itself, might be sensitive to modifications in the TGVs due to the presence of the anomalous couplings is the Drell-Hearn Gerasimov (DHG) Sum Rule $^3$. In its $\gamma e \rightarrow W\nu, Ze$ manifestation, the DHG sum rule implies that
\[ \int_1^\infty \frac{\sigma_{pol}(x)}{x} \, dx = 0, \]
for the tree level SM cross section when the couplings of all the particles involved in the process are renormalizable and gauge invariant. That this integral is zero results from (i) the fact that $\sigma_{pol}$ is well behaved at large $x$ and (ii) a delicate cancellation occurs between the two regions where the integrand takes on opposite signs. This observation is directly correlated with the existence of a unique value of $x$, i.e., $x_0$, where $A_{pol}$ vanishes. For the $W$ case this asymmetry ‘zero’ occurs at approximately $\sqrt{s_{\gamma e}} \simeq 254(150)$ GeV, both of which correspond to energies which are easily accessible at the NLC. In the $Z$ boson case, unlike that for $W$’s, the SM position of the zero can be obtained analytically as a function of the cut on the angle of the outgoing electron. As discussed in detail in Ref. $^2$, the inclusion of anomalous couplings not only moves the position of the zero but also forces the integral to become non-vanishing and, in most cases, logarithmically divergent. In fact, the integral is only finite when $\Delta \kappa + \lambda = 0$, the same condition necessary for the existence of the radiation amplitude zero $^4$. It is interesting that the anomalous couplings do not induce additional zeros or extinguish the zero completely. Unfortunately, since we cannot go to infinite energies we cannot test the DHG Sum Rule directly but we are left with the position of the zero, or more generally, the asymmetry itself as a probe of TGVs. In the $W$ case the zero position, $x_0$, is found to be far more sensitive to modifications in the TGVs than is the zero position in in the $Z$ case.

We begin by examining the energy, i.e., $y$ dependence of $A_{pol}$ for the two processes of interest; we consider the $W$ case first. For a 500(1000) GeV collider, we see that only the range $1 \leq y \leq 5.4(10.4)$ is kinematically accessible since the laser photon energy maximum is $\simeq 0.84E_e$. Since we are interested in bounds on the anomalous couplings, we assume that the SM is valid and generate a set of binned $A_{pol}$ data samples via Monte Carlo taking only the statistical errors into account. We further assume that the electrons are 90% left-handed polarized as right-handed electrons do not interact through the $W$ charged current couplings. Our bin width will be assumed to be $\Delta y = 0.1$ or 0.2. We then fit the resulting distribution to the
Figure 1: 95% CL bounds on the $W$ anomalous couplings from the polarization asymmetry. The solid (dashed, dash-dotted) curves are for a 500 GeV NLC assuming complete $y$ coverage using 22 (22, 44) bins and an integrated luminosity per bin of 2.5 (5, 1.25) $fb^{-1}$, respectively. The corresponding bins widths are $\Delta y = 0.2 (0.2, 0.1)$. The dotted curve corresponds to a 1 TeV NLC using 47 $\Delta y = 0.2$ bins with 2.5 $fb^{-1}$/bin. ‘s’ labels the SM prediction.

$\Delta \kappa$- and $\lambda$-dependent functional form of $A_{pol}(y)$ and subsequently extract the 95% CL allowed ranges for the anomalous couplings. The results of this procedure are shown in Fig. 1, where we see that reasonable constraints are obtained although only a single observable has been used in the fit.

Figure 2: Same as the previous figure, but now for a (0.5)1 TeV NLC on the left (right) and combined with data on the total cross section and angular distribution in a simultaneous fit. The dotted (solid) curve uses the polarization asymmetry and total cross section (all) data. Only statistical errors are included. The dashed lines are the corresponding bounds from the LHC from the $pp \rightarrow W\gamma + X$ process with an integrated luminosity of 100 $fb^{-1}$.

Clearly, to obtain stronger limits we need to make a combined fit with other observables, such as the energy dependence of the total cross section, the $W$ angular distribution, or the net $W$ polarization. As an example we show in Fig. 2 from the results of our Monte Carlo study that the size of the 95% CL allowed region shrinks drastically in both the 0.5 and 1 TeV cases when the $W$ angular distribution
and energy-dependent total cross section data are included in a simultaneous fit together with the polarization asymmetry. Note that the constraints obtained by this analysis are comparable to those obtainable at the LHC\(^1\).

In the Z case we will follow a similar approach but we will simultaneously fit both the energy dependence of \(A_{\text{pol}}\) as well as that of the total cross section. (Later, we will also include the Z boson’s angular distribution into the fit.) In this Z analysis we make a 10° angular cut on the outgoing electron and keep a finite form factor scale, \(\Lambda = 1.5\) TeV, so that we may more readily compare with other existing analyses. (The angular cut also gives us a finite cross section in the massless electron limit; this cut is not required in the case of the W production process.) We again assume that \(P = 90\%\) so that data taking for this analysis can take place simultaneously with that for the W. The accessible \(y\) range is \(1 \leq y \leq 4.6\) for a 500 GeV collider; Fig. 3 shows our results for this case.

Even these anomalous coupling bounds can be significantly improved by including the Z boson angular information in the fit. The result of this procedure is shown in Fig. 4 together with the anticipated result from the LHC using the \(Z\gamma\) production mode. Note that the additional angular distribution data has reduced the size of the 95\% CL allowed region by almost a factor of two. Clearly both machines are complementary in their abilities to probe small values of the \(\gamma ZZ\) anomalous couplings. As in the W case, if the NLC and LHC results were to be combined, an exceptionally small allowed region would remain. The NLC results themselves may be further improved by considering measurements of the polarization of the final state Z as well as by an examination of, e.g., the complementary \(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\) process.

The collision of polarized electron and photon beams at the NLC offers an exciting opportunity to probe for anomalous gauge couplings of both the W and

Figure 3: 95% CL allowed region for the anomalous coupling parameters \(h_3^0\) and \(h_4^0\) from a combined fit to the energy dependencies of the total cross section and polarization asymmetry at a 500 GeV NLC assuming \(P = 90\%\) and an integrated luminosity of \(3(6) fb^{-1}/\text{bin}\) corresponding to the solid (dashed) curve. 18 bins of width \(\Delta y = 0.2\) were chosen to cover the \(y\) range \(1 \leq y \leq 4.6\). The corresponding bounds for negative values of \(h_3^0\) are obtainable by remembering the invariance of the polarization dependent cross section under the reflection \(h_{3,4}^0 \rightarrow -h_{3,4}^0\).
Figure 4: The solid curve is the same as dash-dotted curve in Fig. 3, but now at a center of mass energy of 1 TeV and including in the fit the Z boson angular distribution obtained from the highest 10 bins in energy. The corresponding result for the 14 TeV LHC with 100fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity from the process $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma + X$ is shown as the dotted curve.

the Z through the use of the polarization asymmetry. In the case of $\gamma e \rightarrow W\nu$ we can cleanly isolate the $\gamma WW$ vertex in a model independent fashion. When combined with other observables, extraordinary sensitivities to such couplings for W’s are achievable at the NLC in the $\gamma e$ mode. These are found to be quite complementary to those obtainable in $e^+e^-$ collisions as well as at the LHC. In the case of the $\gamma ZZ$ anomalous couplings, we found constraints comparable to those which can be obtained at the LHC.
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