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Using commodity component personal computers based on Alpha processor and commodity network devices and a switch, we built an 8-node parallel computer. GNU/Linux is chosen as an operating system and message passing libraries such as PVM, LAM, and MPICH have been tested as a parallel programming environment. We discuss our lattice QCD project for a heavy quark system on this computer.

Even a modest lattice QCD project demands quite large amount of computing resources. In this regard, it has always been an attractive idea to build a cheap high performance computing platform out of commodity PC’s and commodity networking devices. However, the availability of cheap hardware components solved only a part of problem in building a parallel computer in the past. There were large hidden cost in constructing a do-it-yourself parallel computer and only groups which could dedicate significant amount of resources were able to take advantage of this idea. Chief stumbling block has been in providing parallel programming environment (both in hardware and software) from the scratch and in maintaining one of a kind hardware. Following recent trend in do-it-yourself clustering technology [1], we built a cluster which uses only available hardware and software components and can be easily maintainable. Here, we discuss our experience.

In terms of hardware, the node level configuration of our cluster does not differ from ordinary PC’s other than the fact that it is monitor-less. Each node consists of a single 600 MHz Alpha 21164 processor and SDRAM SIMM main memory. The amount of memory on individual nodes varies from 128 Mbytes (5 nodes) to 256 Mbytes (2 nodes). SCSI hard disks on each nodes has either 2 Gbytes (4 nodes) or 4 Gbytes (4 nodes). Additionally, each node has CD-ROM drive and 3 1/2 inch floppy drive. Power requirement of each node is 300 Watt. As a network component, each node has a 100 Mbps Ethernet card (3Com 3C905). Node 0 which serves as a front-end has one more 100 Mbps Ethernet card for outside connection. For the inter-processor communication, we use a 100 Mbps switched HUB (24 port Intel 510T). Unlike the bus structure of a HUB, this inexpensive device allows simultaneous communications among the nodes and offers a flexibility in communication topology.

Fig. 1 shows the network configuration of our cluster. Since we use a switch, the communication distance between any two nodes are the same unless the number of nodes becomes larger than
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the number of available ports in the switch. To
outside world, only node 0 exists. All the nodes
are assigned local subnet addresses (192.168.1.1
– 192.168.1.8) where 198.168.x.x are reserved ad-
dresses specifically for a private subnet and node
0 acts as a gateway to the rest. In this way, we can
increase the number of nodes in the cluster with-
out worrying about available IP addresses. As a
node operating system, we use Alzza Linux ver-
sion 5.2a [2] for an Alpha processor which is a Ko-
orean customized version of Red Hat Linux 5.2 [3]
with kernel version 2.2.1. Three different paral-
lel programming environments, LAM (Local Area
Multicomputer) version 6.1 [4], MPICH (Mes-
sage Passing Interface-Chameleon) version 1.2.2
[5], and PVM (Parallel Virtual Machine) version
3.4 [6] have been tested on our platform. These
are all based on the message passing paradigm of
parallel computing and use TCP/IP mechanism
for the actual communication. Linux comes with
FORTRAN and C compiler and the parallel pro-
gramming environments offer wrappers for these
languages. Since these parallel programming en-
vironments use remote shell (rsh) for a parallel
job execution, users need to have accounts on
each nodes. NIS system is used for the pass-
word validation. Hard disk space on each nodes
has divided into three different partitions : one
for local operating system, the other for NFS
mounted '/home' directory and the third for a
scratch space for large I/O operations. Instal-
lation procedure consists of two parts : one for
Linux operating system setup and the other for
parallel programming library setup. Once Inter-
net setup for each node is properly done, sub-
net network can be established by just connecting
Ethernet ports. The overall cost for building our
8 node configuration is shown in Table 1. Cost for
the console device such as a monitor, mouse and
keyboard are not included since we use a used one
(this table should be taken as a rough indication
for the cost of our cluster since the component
price changes quite rapidly).

Since performance of a cluster is determined by
(single node performance − system overhead due
to inter-node communication) × the number of
nodes, sustained speed of a single CPU and effi-
ciency of network component play an important

Figure 2. Network bandwidth (ping test). The
vertical axis is Mbytes/sec and the horizontal axis
is ping data size in bytes

Figure 3. Network bandwidth (round robin test).
The vertical axis is Mbytes/sec and the horizontal axis
is message size in bytes
role in a cluster. Under GNU/Linux compiler, various tests showed that the sustained speed of a single Alpha processor is better than that of an Intel processor just by the difference in CPU clock speed. It is because Alpha 21164 processor does not support out of order execution (under the same condition, Alpha 21264 which supports out of order execution does better than Alpha 21164 by about factor two). Serial version of our quenched code for an $8^3 \times 32$ lattice which is coded with $SU(3)$ index as the innermost loop and uses multi-hit and over-relaxation algorithm achieved 50 MFLOPS. Under Compaq FORTRAN compiler for Linux system (beta version), the same code achieved 91 MFLOPS (a code with long innermost loop may do better under Compaq FORTRAN compiler by factor 4 or more [7]). In contrast, the same code on a 200 MHz Intel Pentium II MMX achieved 18 MFLOPS under GNU/Linux compiler. This single node benchmark suggests that with the same device, we can take advantage of future development of compiler without further tuning of codes as GNU/Linux compiler improves. As for the network performance, we tested the network setup using two different methods. One is using “ping” test and the other is using “round-robin” communication. “ping” uses ICMP layer on top of IP layer and “round-robin” test uses TCP layer on top of IP layer. Fig. 2 shows “ping” test bandwidth and Fig. 3 shows “round-robin” test bandwidth of LAM parallel programming environment. We found that LAM does better than MPICH for short message and MPICH does better than LAM for large message. Although we have a dedicated network for our cluster system, three parallel programming environments we have tested all assume normal LAN environment and use TCP/IP layer before the link layer in order to avoid various problems from sharing communication network. Further improvement in communication speed can be achieved if UDP layer with error handling is used instead of TCP. Under GNU/Linux, MPI parallel version of our quenched code for a $16^3 \times 32$ lattice achieved 346 MFLOPS with LAM and 378 MFLOPS with MPICH. Thus, communication overhead is about 21% for LAM and 14% for MPICH. Parallel code has not been tested under Compaq FORTRAN compiler yet.

Currently, we are generating full QCD configurations on a $8^3 \times 32$ lattice at $\beta = 5.4$ with $m_qa = 0.01$ for heavy quark physics and we found that relatively cheap high performance computing platform can be easily constructed and maintained using all commodity software and hardware.
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