Deep X-Ray Observations of Supernova Remnants

G 39.1−0.5 and G 39.0−0.9 With ASCA
near to the GC. Although and Egger, Sun (1998) discovered X-rays from G 359.1–0.5 with ROSAT, the spectral parameters, such as the temperature and the chemical composition, are not well constrained, due to the poor statistics and limited energy resolution. Preliminary results of the ASCA observation on G 359.1–0.5 are found in Yohkoh et al. (1999).

G 359.0–0.9 was first identified as an SNR by a 10.55 GHz observation (Sofue et al. 1984) and by a 2.7 GHz observation (Reich et al. 1990), and was later found to have an incomplete shell at the 843 MHz (Gray 1994). Leahy (1989) first detected a partial shell of soft X-rays from G 359.0–0.9 with the Einstein satellite, but no spectral information was reported.

This paper presents more comprehensive ASCA results and analyses of these two SNRs. Particular care concerning the background subtraction was made to exclude any possible contamination of near-by bright X-ray sources and the GC plasma’s contribution, of which the X-ray flux differs from position to position.

We describe the observations and the method of data reduction in section 2, and the analyses in subsection 3.1 and subsection 3.2, for G 359.1–0.5 and G 359.0–0.9, respectively. Section 4 is devoted to results and discussions on the distances, chemical compositions and morphology of these SNRs, and also on a relevant subject, the origin of the GC plasma.

2. Observations and Data Reduction
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Fig. 1. GIS contour map in the 1.6–2.1 keV band with Galactic coordinates, superposed on the radio map by Gray (1994). The contour levels are linearly spaced and are saturated at A 1742–294 and 1E 1740.7–2942. The source and background regions for spectral analyses are shown with solid and dotted lines, respectively.

The GC region was observed with the X-ray astronomy satellite ASCA (Tanaka et al. 1994). X-ray photons were collected with four XRTs (X-ray Telescopes; Serlemitsos et al. 1995) and simultaneously detected with four detectors on the foci, which are two GISs (Gas Imaging Spectrometers; Ohashi et al. 1996) and two SIS (Solid-state Imaging Spectrometers; Burke et al. 1991) cameras.

During observation of the most prominent radio filament (the Snake) made on 1997 March 20–22, G 359.1–0.5 was located in the GIS field (see figure 1). However, it was only partially covered with the SIS field (see figure 3), in which 2-CCD chips for each SIS were operated with the faint or bright modes, depending on the high or medium bit rates. Since the 2-CCD data were significantly degraded by the Residual Dark Distribution (RDD) noise (T. Dotani et al. 1997, ASCA News 5, 14), we applied the RDD correction technique, which is only possible for faint-mode data.

G 359.0–0.9 was in the GIS field when the ASCA was pointing at the region of an unusual radio source the “Mouse” (Yusef-Zadeh, Bally 1987) and X-ray bursters S/2 1744–299/300 on September 12–14 in 1998 (see figure 4). In this observation, because SIS was operated in the 1-CCD mode, G 359.0–0.9 was totally out of the SIS field.

In both observations, the GISs were always operated in the normal PH mode. We excluded high-background data and non-X-ray events with the standard method according to the user guide by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). In total, the available exposures are ~ 80 ks of the GIS, and ~35 ks of the SIS for G 359.1–0.5, and ~ 57 ks of GIS for G 359.0–0.9.

3. Analyses

3.1. G 359.1–0.5

We found X-rays from the position of the radio SNR G 359.1–0.5 only in soft X-ray bands below about 3–4 keV. Figure 1 shows the GIS contour map in the energy of 1.6–2.1 keV, in which band diffuse X-ray excess inside the radio shell is most clearly seen. In the hard X-ray band above 3 keV, on the other hand, we found no significant X-rays, neither along the radio shell nor in the center of the radio SNR. We also note that no significant X-rays were found from the Snake, the most prominent radio non-thermal filament (Uchida et al. 1992b).

Since we found soft X-rays only from the center region surrounded by the radio shell, we made the GIS spectrum of the SNR center, accumulating X-ray photons from GIS 2 and 3 in the X-ray bright region of a 6′-radius circle, as shown by the solid line in figure 1.

As the background, we used the dotted line region in figure 1. This background region was carefully selected so that (1) possible contamination from the two nearby bright sources, A 1742–294 and 1E 1740.7–2942, would be precisely subtracted and (2) the contribution of the Galactic center plasma, distributed symmetrically
around the GC, could be properly subtracted. For the two requirements, the angular distances of the background region from the GC and the other two bright sources were taken to be nearly the same as those of the source region. The background-subtracted spectrum of GIS 2+3 is given in figure 2. We have checked the GIS energy scale by using the 1.86 keV Si line in the Galactic diffuse spectrum, since a long-term variation of a few percent level has been reported by Miyata (1996) and Yamauchi et al. (1999). In this observation, we found that the GIS energy scale was very accurate with errors of less than 1%.

In the spectrum of G 359.1−0.5 (figure 2), we can notice two prominent emission lines at about 1.9 and 2.6 keV. To determine the accurate energy of the lines, we first fitted the spectrum to a thermal bremsstrahlung for continuum and two Gaussian lines with an interstellar absorption. The abundance for the interstellar gas was assumed to be solar, and the absorption cross sections were taken from Morrison and McCammon (1983) (hereafter, we refer to these absorption cross sections unless otherwise mentioned).

The best-fit center energies for the two lines were determined to be $1.86^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$ keV and $2.61^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ keV (here and after, the errors are 90% confidence, unless otherwise mentioned). These line-center energies are consistent with those of Kα emission from helium-like silicon (He-like Si: 1.86 keV) and hydrogen-like sulfur (H-like S: 2.63 keV); hence, the observed line structures are attributable to these highly ionized atoms. The best-fit line energies, on the other hand, are different from those of H-like Si (2.00 keV) and He-like S (2.45 keV).

Although the presence of K-shell lines of He-like Si and H-like S supports that the SNR X-rays are due to a thin thermal plasma, these two lines can hardly coexist in a single-temperature plasma, because ionization of sulfur atoms requires a higher temperature than that to ionize silicon atoms. In fact, we can reject any single-temperature plasma model, even though allowance is made for a non-ionization equilibrium or a non-solar abundance plasma. Therefore, we applied a two-temperature model (MEKAL: the plasma code established by Mewe et al. (1985) and Kaastra (1992]) with a common interstellar absorption. The abundances of Si and S in each plasma were treated to be free parameters, whereas those of the other elements were fixed to the solar values (Anders, Grevesse 1989).

This model is statistically accepted within the 90% confidence level. The best-fit model and parameters are given in figure 2 and table 1, respectively. A remarkable result is that the sulfur abundance in the higher temperature plasma (here component 2) is larger than ~40 of solar.

Since SIS has a better energy resolution than GIS, a high-quality spectrum should be provided with SIS. However, as shown in figure 3, the SIS contour map in the 3.2–10.0 keV band, with Galactic coordinates, superposed on a schematic diagram of the radio shell and the “snake.” The contour levels are linearly spaced and are saturated at $1 \times 10^{44}$ photons s$^{-1}$. The source and background regions for spectral analyses are shown with solid and dotted lines, respectively.
fuse X-rays decreases as the distance from the GC increases; hence, the simple background subtraction in the present case may cause an over-subtraction of the diffuse background. We therefore derived the iron-line fluxes from both the source and the background regions. The spectrum of the background region was subtracted from that in the source region, after normalizing the effective exposure by the iron-line flux ratio. These procedures are justified, because strong iron lines with a nearly constant equivalent width have been reported from the GC plasma (Koyama et al. 1989; Maeda 1998).

To the background-subtracted spectrum, we fitted a model of 4 Gaussians and a power-law spectra with an absorption, of which the latter is simply a phenomenological model to represent the continuous spectrum. The center energies of the Gaussian profiles were fixed to the theoretical values of He-like Si, H-like Si, He-like S, and H-like S. The absorption column density was fixed to the best-fit value of the GIS analyses (see table 1). The best-fit fluxes, the ratios and most probable plasma temperatures under the assumption of ionization equilibrium are listed in table 2 (Mewe et al. 1985).

From this table, we can see that the plasma temperatures determined with Si and S are different from each other, and are consistent with those found in the GIS spectrum.

To examine whether these two temperature plasmas have different spatial structures or not, we made the GIS and SIS images in the 1.6–2.1 keV and 2.1–3.2 keV bands; the former may represent the image of component 1, and the latter is for component 2. However, no significant difference between these two energy band images was found.

3.2. G 359.0–0.9

We made the X-ray images in several energy bands and found that X-rays from G 359.0–0.9 were detected only below ∼ 3 keV. The GIS contour map of G 359.0–0.9 in the soft X-ray band (0.7–1.5 keV) is shown in figure 4. Diffuse X-rays were clearly detected from the radio incomplete shell, although the X-ray shape is distorted by the bright X-ray sources SFX 1744–299/300. For a spectral study, we selected the source and background regions as given in figure 4. The selection criteria of the background region are the same as those of G 359.1–0.5; the angular distances of the background region from the GC and from SFX 1744–299/300 are the same as those of the source region.

We also examined any possible error of the GIS energy scale, as was done in the G 359.1–0.5 analyses, and found that the GIS energy is smaller than the proper value by about 2%, and hence made a fine tuning of the energy scale to the spectrum. The background-subtracted (and energy-scale tuned) spectrum is shown in figure 5. As expected from the multi-band X-ray images, most of the X-rays fall below ∼ 3 keV. Because the spectrum exhibits two clear lines, we applied a model of a thermal bremsstrahlung and two Gaussian profiles with an absorption, and determined the center energies to be 1.56±0.03 keV and 1.86±0.03 keV. Since these best-fit center energies are consistent with those of the Kα lines from He-like Mg at 1.35 keV and He-like Si at 1.86 keV, the origin of the X-rays is a thin thermal plasma. We therefore applied a thin thermal plasma model, MEKAL, with an absorption, and fixing the abundances of all elements to be solar ["model (a)"]. The best-fit model spectrum and parameters are shown in figure 5 and table 3, respectively.

![Fig. 4.](image.png) GIS contour map of G 359.0–0.9 in 0.7–1.5 keV with Galactic coordinates superposed on the radio map (Gray 1994). The contour levels are linearly spaced and are saturated at SFX 1744–299 and SFX 1744–300. The source and background regions for spectral analyses are indicated by the solid and dotted lines, respectively.

![Fig. 5.](image.png) Background-subtracted GIS spectrum of G 359.0–0.9. The crosses and the solid line represent data points and the best-fit model [model (a): a model of thin thermal plasma with an absorption. All abundances are fixed to be solar one], respectively.

This model is, however, rejected with a reduced chi-square of 49.8/38, leaving bump-like and dip-like residuals around 1.3 keV and 1.0 keV, respectively (figure 5).
Since these energies correspond to the emission lines of a He-like Mg and Fe-L line complex, we separately treated the abundances of Mg and Fe to be free and fitted the spectrum again [model (b) and (c)]. The best-fit spectra and parameters are given in figure 6, figure 7, and table 3 for models (b) and (c), respectively.

Fig. 6. Same as figure 5, but for model (b): (a model of thin thermal plasma with an absorption. Same as model (a), but the abundance for Mg is treated to be free).

Fig. 7. Same as figure 5, but for model (c): (a model of thin thermal plasma with an absorption. Same as model (a), but the abundance for Fe is treated to be free).

Although both the models (b) and (c) are acceptable, we further tried to fit the spectrum by treating the abundances of both Mg and Fe to be independent free parameters. However, the best-fit abundances have large errors, due to the limited statistics and the coupling of the He-like Mg line and Fe-L lines within the energy resolution of GIS. Therefore, we conclude that the plasma of G 359.0—0.9 is non-solar abundance, meaning that either Mg is over-abundant or Fe is under-abundant.

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. G 359.1—0.5

G 359.1—0.5 is found to exhibit a large absorption column of $\sim 5.9 \times 10^{22}$ H cm$^{-2}$. Since G 359.1—0.5 is reported to be surrounded by the $^{12}$CO ring for a total mass of about $2.5 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$ (Uchida et al. 1992b), local absorption due to the $^{12}$CO ring may not be ignored. Assuming that the $^{12}$CO ring is a homogeneous shell with nearly the same shape of the G 359.1—0.5 radio shell, the absorption column due to the $^{12}$CO ring is estimated to be $\sim 3 \times 10^{22}$ H cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, we infer that the column density of the foreground interstellar matter is about $\sim 3 \times 10^{22}$ H cm$^{-2}$. This value is equal to that of other X-ray sources near to the GC with the same Galactic coordinate of this SNR (Sakano et al. 1999b); hence, this SNR would really be located near to the GC region with a distance of about 8.5 kpc. Thus, the diameter of the radio shell is estimated to be $\sim 57$ pc, while that of the X-ray emitting central sphere is $\sim 28$ pc.

We found that G 359.1—0.5 has at least two temperature plasmas: the cooler plasma (component 1) is abundant in Si, whereas the hotter one (component 2) is extremely over abundant in S. The center-filled thermal X-rays imply that these plasmas originated from the ejecta. Assuming a $\sim 28$ pc-diameter of spherical plasma of uniform density with a filling factor of 0.1, we estimate the total mass of Si and S to be about 0.1 $M_{\odot}$ and 0.3 $M_{\odot}$, respectively. However, no current theory of nucleosynthesis in supernova explosions predicts such a large mass of S compared to Si (see e.g. Thielemann et al. 1996). This problem can be solved by assuming a smaller filling factor of S than that of Si; a smaller filling factor of S, less than 0.1, reduces the total mass of S to be acceptable for the model of Thielemann et al. (1996).

The context of the very small filling factor and the extreme richness of S lead us to suspect that the S-rich plasma is a "shrapnel" ejected from the massive progenitor of G 359.1—0.5, in analogy of the Vela SNR (Aschenbach et al. 1995). However, the narrow band image including only the S-line (2.1–3.2 keV) shows no spatial structure like a "shrapnel", mainly due to a lack of photon statistics.

Uchida et al. (1992a) argued that the $^{12}$CO ring surrounding the SNR shell was created by stellar winds and/or multiple supernovae of O-type stars, and that several radio sources clustered at the center of the SNR are possibly O-type stars. The X-ray spectrum of G 359.1—0.5 contains no clear emission line of Fe (see figure 2), and is thus consistent with the proposed O-star origin. However, we could not quantitatively predict on the mass of the progenitor because of a lack of photon statistics.

We found no shell-like X-ray from G 359.1—0.5, although the radio morphology shows an almost complete shell. Shell-like X-rays may originate either by (1) a synchrotron mechanism in a shell, or (2) a thermal plasma made by the shock wave.

The lifetime of X-ray emission in case (1) depends on the magnetic field. Generally, the GC region is known to have a stronger magnetic field than the other regions of our Galaxy. In particular, Robinson et al. (1996) ob-
served Zeeman effects of three OH masers near to the shell of G 359.1–0.5, then directly estimated the magnetic field to be 0.4–0.6 mG, which is more than one order of magnitude larger than that in usual shell-like SNRs (∼ 10 μG). High-energy electrons to emit synchrotron X-rays have a lifetime of ∼ 10^3 yr in an ∼ 10 μG field (e.g. Reynolds 1996); hence, those in the shell of G 359.1–0.5 should be much shorter than ∼ 10^3 yr. From the large diameter of the G 359.1–0.5 shell of 60 pc, this SNR would be middle age, or typically ≥ 10^4 yr. Thus, no synchrotron X-ray from the shell would be expected from this SNR.

The evolved age of G 359.1–0.5 makes a shock-heated shell rather cool, with a typical temperature of less than a few 100 eV. Furthermore, if the shell interacts with the dense 12CO ring, the X-ray emitting shell density becomes larger, and hence the cooling time is much shorter. Therefore, X-rays should be very soft, and should be entirely absorbed by the large interstellar gas column. Thus, the apparent lack of an X-ray shell of G 359.1–0.5 would be reasonable.

Rho and Petre (1998) proposed that SNRs with center-filled X-rays and a shell-like radio structure should be called “mixed morphology” (MM) supernova remnants. They show that the scale height of the MM SNRs’ distribution from the Galactic plane is smaller than that of shell-like SNRs in both radio and X-ray bands, and that many MM SNRs are located near to molecular clouds or H I clouds. G 359.1–0.5 is located near to the Galactic plane, and is surrounded by the 12CO ring (Uchida et al. 1992b). Thus, G 359.1–0.5 shares the common features of MM SNRs.

4.3. Comments on the Galactic Diffuse Plasma

The GC region is surrounded by the large scale hot plasma, which emits fairly strong X-rays with many emission lines from He-like and H-like Si, S, Ar, Ca and Fe. The co-existence of highly ionized light elements (such as Si and S) and heavier elements (such as Ca and Fe) implies that the plasma is not a single temperature. Kaneda (1996) and Kaneda et al. (1997) confirmed the two-temperature structure of the Galactic ridge plasma, which shows a very similar spectrum to that of the GC region. In fact, Maeda (1998) found that the GC plasma has two-temperature components.

The low-temperature component (≤ 1 keV) would be the same as that found with ROSAT (Snowden et al. 1997). The plasma has a large-scale height of 1.9 kpc and a temperature of 0.3–0.4 keV. Kaneda et al. (1997) suggested that the soft components of the Galactic ridge originated from a multiple supernova explosion. From the spectral similarity, this scenario may be applied to the GC soft component. Then, can we find many individual SNRs which have a similar spectral shape? Because G 359.0–0.9 has a 0.4 keV temperature and strong emission line of Mg and Si, it is a possible candidate. The GIS flux at 0.5–10.0 keV of G 359.0–0.9 is 2.4 × 10^{-12} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}, which is of the GC plasma in a 25' diameter field is 8.3 × 10^{-13} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} (Kaneda 1996). We thus require about four G 359.0–0.9-like SNRs in this field. However, at this moment, the number density of the resolved X-ray SNRs or its candidates is far less than the requirement.

A more difficult issue is the origin of the high-temperature component. The temperature of 10 keV and the size of about 100-pc diameter are inferred by the observation of Ginga and ASCA GC surveys (Koyama et al. 1989; 1996). As far as we know, no SNR exhibits such a high temperature. In this sense, the high-temperature sulfur-rich plasma of G 359.1–0.5 is suggestive. If there are many G 359.1–0.5-like SNRs, with an enriched abundance of not only S, but also other elements in high-temperature plasmas, the integrated emission may contribute, at least, some fractions of the GC hot plasma.
At present, we are still lacking any quantitative information of individual X-ray sources, like SNRs, near to the GC region. Thus, further systematic study is highly encouraged.
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Table 1. Best-fit parameters for G 359.1−0.5 for the model of two thin thermal plasmas with an absorption.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>$kT$ (keV)</th>
<th>Si/H$^\dagger$</th>
<th>S/H$^\dagger$</th>
<th>$N_H$ ($10^{22}$ H cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Flux (0.7−10.0 keV) ($10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.$^\ddagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4−0.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.2−8.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.9</td>
<td>5.9 (4.1−8.4)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>17.18/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.4 (2.2−13.2)</td>
<td>not determined</td>
<td>&gt;38</td>
<td>5.9$^\dagger$</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>⋯</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parentheses indicate 90% confidence regions for one relevant parameter.
† Abundance ratio relative to the solar value.
‡ d.o.f. = degree of freedom.
§ Common with component 1.

Table 2. Best-fit Si and S line fluxes and most probable plasma temperature for G 359.1−0.5, using the SIS data.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>He-like (photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>H-like (photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>H-like/He-like$^\ddagger$</th>
<th>$kT^3$ (keV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si$^\dagger$ ⋯</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (8.6 $\times 10^{-4}$−1.3 $\times 10^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ (7.8 $\times 10^{-5}$−2.7 $\times 10^{-4}$)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.07−0.25)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4−0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$^\dagger$ ⋯</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ (&lt;5.9 $\times 10^{-5}$)</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-5}$ (1.7 $\times 10^{-5}$−8.8 $\times 10^{-5}$)</td>
<td>3.4 (&gt;0.29)</td>
<td>1.7 (&gt;0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parentheses indicate 90% confidence regions for one relevant parameter.
† Best-fit values and relevant parameters determined with silicon or sulfur.
‡ Flux ratio between H-like and He-like K-shell lines.
§ Plasma temperature determined with the line flux ratio under the assumption of ionization equilibrium.

Table 3. Best-fit parameters for G 359.0−0.9 for thin thermal models.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$kT$ (keV)</th>
<th>Mg/H$^\dagger$</th>
<th>Fe/H$^\dagger$</th>
<th>$N_H$ ($10^{22}$ H cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Flux (0.7−10.0 keV) ($10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.$^\ddagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.3–0.4)</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>2.0 (1.9–2.2)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>49.84/38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3–0.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3–2.2)</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5–2.0)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>40.92/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3–0.5)</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>0.1 (&lt;0.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–1.8)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>41.18/37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Parentheses indicate 90% confidence regions for one relevant parameter.
† Abundance ratio relative to the solar value.
‡ d.o.f. = degree of freedom.