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ABSTRACT
The two brightest hard X-ray sources discovered serendipitously by Chandra in the field of the lensing cluster A2390 are found to have ISOCAM counterparts at 6.7 and 15 μm. We use this fact, together with their non-detection by SCUBA at 850 μm, as the basis for DUSTY radiative transfer modelling of their infrared spectral energy distributions. For the best-fitting models, we find that the dust which reprocesses the optical-UV light in these Compton-thin AGN is heated to near its sublimation temperature (above 1000 K), with an inner radius within a parsec of the nucleus. Some warm dust models with inner temperatures of 200 K are also formally acceptable. These findings strongly support the obscured AGN hypothesis for the new hard X-ray Chandra sources, which lack both strong emission lines and 850 μm SCUBA detections.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The Chandra X-ray observatory has recently resolved the bulk of the 2–7 keV hard X-ray background (HXB) into point sources (Mushotzky et al. 2000; Brandt et al. 2000). Much effort is now focused on determining the physical nature of these new sources and their relation to existing classes of active galactic nuclei (AGN). Mushotzky et al. (2000) found that roughly a third of their sources were blue broad-line (type I) AGN, one third were identified with apparently normal galaxies, whilst the final third had an extremely faint or no optical counterpart (I ≫ 23mag) (see also Fiore et al. 2000). Crawford et al. (2000) confirmed that sources in the last group are easily detected in the near-infrared, with featureless spectra suggesting that the AGN is heavily obscured and colours consistent with reddened elliptical galaxies at z = 1 – 2. Further insight has come from cross-correlating Chandra source lists with those from 850 μm SCUBA observations of the same fields; for the lensing clusters A2390 and A1835, Fabian et al. (2000) (hereafter F00) found only one source common to both datasets, implying that any AGN in the SCUBA sources must either contribute little to the sub-mm power or be Compton-thick with X-ray scattering fractions below 1 per cent; this result was confirmed by Hornschemeier et al. (2000), who detected none of the 10 sub-mm sources in and around the Hubble Deep Field North (HDFN) in a 166-ks Chandra exposure.

The two brightest X-ray sources in the A2390 field, CXOUJ215334.0+174240 and CXOUJ215333.2+174209 (hereafter sources A and B respectively), have ISOCAM counterparts at 6.7 and 15 μm in the literature (Altieri et al. 1999; Lémonon et al. 1998). Together with their non-detection at 850 μm by SCUBA, this has implications for the location and properties of the dust which may be associated with the X-ray obscuration. In this paper we use the dust radiative transfer code DUSTY (Ivezić, Nenkova & Elitzur 1999) to model the infrared spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of these two sources as reprocessed optical–UV emission from an AGN. The faint optical light from these sources (V ∼ 25) is assumed to be from the host galaxy and the HYPERZ code (Bolzonella, Pelló & Miralles 2000) is used to estimate photometric redshifts.

2 SED MODELLING
For the primary optical–UV AGN continuum input to the DUSTY models, we follow Granato, Danese & Franceschini (1996) and use a broken power-law with α = −0.5 for log ν < 15.4, α = −1.0 for 15.4 ≤ log ν < 16, and α = −2.2 for log ν ≥ 16 (Lν ∝ να). The central source is surrounded by a spherical dust cloud, specified by its optical depth τ at 0.3 μm (Aν ≈ 0.57τ, and for a Galactic dust:gas ratio N_H ≈ 1.1 × 10^{21} τ cm^{-2}), the ratio R = r_{out}/r_{in} of the radii of the outer and inner edges of the distribution (between which we take the density to be constant), and the dust temperature T_{in} at r_{in}. The code currently only supports grains of a single type, so we adopt the default ‘standard ISM mixture’ comprising grains which mimic a 53:47 mixture of silicate and graphite grains from Draine & Lee (1984). The DUSTY wavelength grid spans 0.01 μm–3.6 × 10^{4} μm, so the effects of harder radiation are not modelled. Since sources A and B are likely to be Compton-thin with N_H ≈ 10^{22} – 10^{23} cm^{-2} (as inferred from the hardness...
ratios in F00 and from AGN synthesis models for the XRB, e.g. Wilman & Fabian 1999), they are transparent to photons above a few keV; there are thus about 1.5 decades of frequency below 0.01 \( \mu \)m where photons would be absorbed but which are not included. Given that the input SED cuts off sharply below 0.03 \( \mu \)m, this omission is unimportant.

We adopt the cosmological parameters \( H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \) and \( q_0 = 0.5 \) throughout the paper.

2.1 Source B (CXOUJ215333.2+174209)

Lémonon et al. (1998) give 6.7 and 15 \( \mu \)m ISOCAM fluxes for source B of 110\( ^{+60}_{-40} \) and 350\( ^{+50}_{-40} \)\( \mu \)Jy, respectively. From a total of 33 counts, F00 estimate 0.5–2 and 2–7 keV fluxes of 5.9 and 23\( \times 10^{-15} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \), respectively; the upper limit at 850 \( \mu \)m is 5.7 mJy (F00). We use the relative fluxes in the B, V (F555W), R, I (F814W), J and K’ filters provided by Lémonon et al. along with HYPERZ to compute a photometric redshift (N.B. the HST F555W and F814W magnitudes given by Lémonon et al. differ from those listed in F00, but for internal consistency we adopt the former values). We consider 2 families of HYPERZ models: (i) where at least 80 per cent of the K’ light (2.103 \( \mu \)m) is host galaxy starlight; (ii) where at least 50 per cent of it is nuclear dust emission. A satisfactory HYPERZ model could not be found for the case where all the K’ light is from the nucleus. HYPERZ uses Bruzual & Charlot (1993) spectral synthesis models and varies the redshift, age and extinction of the population. The elliptical galaxy model we use has an exponentially-declining star formation rate with an e-folding time of 1 Gyr; other models with longer star-formation timescales (S0–Sd and elliptical galaxy model we use has an exponentially-declining star formation rate with an e-folding time of 1 Gyr; other models with longer star-formation timescales (S0–Sd and IIm), as well as bursts, were also considered, but they do not significantly change the fitted reddening (essentially because the break between the V (F555W) and R points is assumed to be the redshifted 4000 \( \AA \) break).

For case (i), HYPERZ fits a 500 Myr old elliptical galaxy with \( A_V = 2.40 \) mag at \( z = 0.575 \). For this redshift, we generated a grid of DUSTY models, with \( \tau = 10, 20,..,100 \) (equivalent to \( N_H \approx 10^{22} - 10^{23} \) cm\(^{-2} \) for a Galactic dust:gas ratio, and appropriate for the moderately Compton-thin obscuration implied by the X-ray fluxes), \( T_{in} = 100, 200,..,1500 \) K, and \( R = 5, 50, 250 \) and 1000. After integration over the ISOCAM filter bandpasses, the models were normalised to the observed 15 \( \mu \)m flux density, and deemed acceptable if the 6.7/15 \( \mu \)m flux ratio fell within the range allowed by the errors on the ISOCAM data, if the 850 \( \mu \)m flux fell below the SCUBA limit, and if the K’ flux was less than 20 per cent of that observed. Fig. 1 shows which models meet these criteria, and Fig. 2 theSED fits for three such cases, with \( R, \tau, T_{in} \) = (250,50,1500), (50,100,700) and (5,60,200). The implied optical-UV DUSTY input luminosity, 2–10 keV X-ray absorption corrected luminosity (derived from the observed 2–7 keV flux, assuming an intrinsic power-law spectrum with a photon index \( \Gamma = 2 \)), optical (2500 A) to X-ray (2 keV) spectral index, \( \alpha_{ox} \), and the inner radius, \( r_{in} \), for each are shown in Table 1. The best fits to the portion of the SED covered by the ISOCAM data are obtained with hot dust (heated close to its sublimation temperature of 1500 K), with an inner radius within a parsec of the central engine; the implied \( \alpha_{ox} \) in this case is, however, somewhat flatter than the canonical value of 1.35 for quasars (Elvis et al. 1994). Figs. 1 and 2 also show, however, that models with warm dust at \( T_{in} = 200 \) K cannot be ruled out at present; such models have inner radii of tens of pc and \( \alpha_{ox} \) values close to those of quasars. Discrimination between the warm and hot dust models would be possible using data at 70 \( \mu \)m; e.g. the 200 K model shown in Fig. 2 has a 70 \( \mu \)m flux density of 16 mJy, which is well within the capability of SIRTF (see e.g. Brandl et al. 2000).

For case (ii), HYPERZ fits a 3.5 Gyr old elliptical galaxy at \( z = 0.505 \) with \( A_V = 0.60 \) mag. The need for DUSTY to reproduce at least half of the K’ light in this case means that the dust must be hot (\( T_{in} = 1000 - 1500 \) K) and not too optically thick at 2 \( \mu \)m (\( \tau \sim 25 - 40 \)); \( R \) is not very well constrained, with a value of 100 for \( \tau = 35 \), although a more compact structure, \( (R, \tau) = (5, 40) \), is also acceptable. For \( (R, \tau) = (100, 35) \), we find \( L_{2-10} = 4.6 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and \( \alpha_{ox} = 1.12 \); the latter model SED is shown in Fig. 2.

We conclude that the best-fitting models are those in which the dust is hot, with an inner radius within \( \sim 1 \) pc of the nucleus. Several models with warm dust (200 K) are also formally acceptable, but for \( R = 50 \) some of these underpredict the SCUBA upper limit by only a small factor (\( \sim 2 \)), which, if this source is representative of the new Chandra HXB sources, seems unlikely given the non-detection of large samples of them by SCUBA (see references in section 1).

2.2 Source A (CXOUJ215334.0+174240)

This is the brightest Chandra source in the A2390 field, coincident with a slightly reddened L’ mid-type spiral at a photometric redshift of \( z = 0.85 \pm 0.15 \) (F00). From a total of \( \sim 90 \) counts, F00 performed crude X-ray spectral fitting...
Table 1. Details of three acceptable DUSTY models for source B case (i), spanning the range of allowed temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\tau) (K)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_{\text{in}})</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R = r_{\text{out}}/r_{\text{in}})</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_{\text{in}}) (pc)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_{\text{opt}} - UV) ((10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1})) (\dagger)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_{\text{2 - 10 keV}}) ((10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1})) (\ddagger)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{\text{OX}})</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\dagger\) Luminosity of the DUSTY input continuum.
\(\ddagger\) Absorption-corrected 2–10 keV luminosity.

* Implied optical to X-ray spectral index of the intrinsic continuum.

and deduced an intrinsic \(N_{\text{H}} = (6 \pm 2) - (9 \pm 3) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), with a de-absorbed \(L_{\text{3-10}} \approx 2 - 3 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) (after correction for a lensing magnification by a factor of about 2), for \(z = 0.7 - 1\), respectively. It is thus an X-ray type II quasar.

It also has ISOCAM counterparts at 6.7 and 15 \(\mu\)m (Altieri et al. 1999) but the source fluxes have not been published. We proceed on the assumption that they are equal to those of source B, which appears reasonable from the images in Altieri et al.

For redshifts in the range 0.7–1.0, we find acceptable DUSTY models with \(\tau = 55 - 80\) (equivalent to the fitted \(N_{\text{H}} = 6 - 9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) for a Galactic dust:gas ratio) for \(R = 100\) and \(T_{\text{in}} = 1500\) K; they have \(r_{\text{in}} = 0.55 - 1.0 \text{ pc}\) and \(\alpha_{\text{OX}} \approx 1.0\).

3 DISCUSSION

It is instructive to compare our results with the calculations of Granato, Danese & Franceschini (1997), who compared dust radiative transfer models with the infrared properties of Seyfert galaxies. They concluded that the moderately thick, extended tori of Granato & Danese (1994) (GD) (with 5 \(\leq A_V \leq 80\) mag and outer radii of 10’s to 100’s of parsec), provided a better fit to the data than the thick, very compact models of Pier & Krolik (1992a) (PK) (with \(A_V \geq 800\) mag, all within a pc). They also found that the observed X-ray absorption to the nucleus was much higher than that implied by the \(A_V\) obtained by modelling the infrared SED (for a Galactic dust:gas ratio), suggesting that much of the X-ray absorbing gas lies within the dust sublimation radius.

In terms of its optical depth and radial extent, the obscuring dust in these two Compton-thin, absorbed, X-ray background sources more closely resembles the model of GD than that of PK. Indeed, Granato, Danese & Franceschini (1997) predicted a significant correlation between the HXB sources and those appearing in ISO surveys at 10–20 \(\mu\)m, precisely because the GD models are (at the most) only moderately optically thick over this wavelength range. An important difference, however, is that the GD tori have opening angles of 35–45 degrees, whereas the covering fraction of any torus-like structure in the HXB sources must be higher (e.g. Fabian & Iwawas 1999 deduce that 85 per cent of the accretion power must be absorbed). Note also that the DUSTY calculations assumed a spherical dust geometry. It is thus appropriate to examine how such a high space covering obscuration could be maintained against the dissipative forces which would tend to yield a flattened structure: at the sub-parsec scale inner radii found for sources A and B, Pier & Krolik (1992b) have shown that the pressure of the nuclear radiation on the grains can make the torus geometrically thick, by both reducing the vertical component of gravity, and if the torus is sufficiently clumpy, driving random motions of its constituent clouds. Fabian et al. (1998) demonstrated that a space-covering obscuration could be maintained with energy input from a nuclear starburst within 100 pc, but the distinct lack of emission lines in many of the newly-discovered HXB sources appears to rule this out. More generally, the lack of AGN emission lines in such objects may be due to dust within the narrow line region clouds. Netzer & Laor (1993) showed that its presence could significantly suppress line emission, through absorption of the photoionizing continuum and destruction of line photons, and thereby account for the mismatch between the inferred covering factors of the broad and narrow line regions in classical AGN when dust is not considered (the broad line region lies just within the dust sublimation radius). Our findings suggest the presence of a high covering fraction of dusty gas in the new HXB sources.

Alternatively, the lack of emission lines and nondetections by SCUBA could be used to argue that the new HXB sources are not actually obscured AGN, but some other class of intrinsically hard source (e.g. ADAFs, as proposed for the HXB by Di Matteo & Allen 1999); the present quality of the X-ray spectra is not high enough to discriminate between these two possibilities. Our present findings, however, strongly support the obscured AGN hypothesis, by demonstrating that the energy which is inferred to be absorbed in the optical–UV–X-ray range is reradiated in the infrared. In consequence, the results of deep Chandra surveys of well-studied ISO fields (e.g. from the ELAIS consortium; Rowan-Robinson et al. 1999), are eagerly awaited. Indeed, as noted by F00, 5 of the 6 Chandra sources discovered by Hornschemeier et al. (2000) in the HDFN also have ISOCAM counterparts at 15 \(\mu\)m listed by Aussel et al. (1999) (CXO-HDFN 123648.1+621309 is the only source not detected).
Figure 2. Observed and model SEDs for source B, for the cases where the galaxy produces essentially all (case i) and half (case ii) of the light at 2.1 µm. The circles and triangles are the optical and ISOCAM fluxes, respectively, from Lémonon et al. (1998); the arrow is the SCUBA upper limit from F00. The DUSTY models are normalised to the observed 15 µm flux density; the optical points are overlaid with the best-fit HYPERZ model for the host galaxy. In the upper panel, the solid, dashed and dotted lines are the models with \((R, \tau, T_{in}) = (250, 50, 1500), (50, 100, 700), (5, 60, 200)\), respectively.
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