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Abstract

In this paper we study non-commutative (NC) QED signatures at photon colliders through pair production of charged leptons($\ell^+\ell^-$) and charged scalars($H^+H^-$). The NC corrections for the fermion pair production can be easily obtained since NC QED with fermions has been extensively studied in the literature. NC QED with scalars is less studied. To obtain the cross section for $H^+H^-$ productions, we first investigate the structure of NC QED with scalars, and then study the corrections due to the NC geometry to the ordinary QED cross sections. Finally by folding in the photon spectra for a $\gamma\gamma$ collider with laser back-scattered photons from the $e^+e^-$ machine, we obtain 95% CL lower bound on the NC scale using the above two processes. We find that, with $\sqrt{s} = 0.5, 1.0, \text{ and } 1.5 \text{ TeV and integrated luminosity } L = 500(fb^{-1})$, the NC scale up to 0.7, 1.2, and 1.6 TeV can be probed, respectively, while, for monochromatic photon beams, these numbers become 1.1, 1.7, 2.6 TeV, respectively.
I. INTRODUCTION

The property of space-time has fundamental importance in understanding the law of nature. Non-commutative (NC) quantum field theory provides an alternative to the ordinary quantum field theory which may shed some light on the detailed structure of space-time and have been studied in the past [1]. Recently NC quantum field theory and its applications has also developed within string theories where it arises in low energy excitations of D-branes in the presence of certain $U(1)$ background field and has received a lot of attention [2]. A simple way to modify the commutation relation for the ordinary space-time $x$ is defined, with the modified space-time coordinate $\hat{X}$, as

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{\Lambda^2} c_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1}$$

In the above the parameter $\Lambda$ which has the dimension of energy signifies the scale where NC effects become relevant. $c_{\mu\nu}$ is a real anti-symmetric matrix with elements of order one which commute with the space-time coordinate $x^\mu$.

Phenomenologically the NC scale $\Lambda$ can take any value, the likely one being of the order of the Planck scale $M_p$. However, the recent studies in the area of large extra dimensions show that gravity becomes strong at the TeV scale [3], and also one might see some stringy effects at this scale. Hence, it is justified if one takes the scale of $\Lambda$ to be of the order of TeV scale. If this is the case, then whether NC geometry has anything to do with reality has to be tested experimentally. In this context the Next Generation $e^+e^-$ Linear Collider (NLC) will be an ideal machine to probe such new physics effects. The $e^+e^-$ version of NLC can be modified to give $e^-e^-$, $e\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ mode of collider. Some of the authors have already studied the NC effects at NLC [4,5].

In this paper we study signatures of NC Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) at $\gamma\gamma$ colliders. $\gamma\gamma$ colliders can be very sensitive to certain new physics beyond the standard model [6]. We also find that $\gamma\gamma$ colliders can provide interesting information about the scale $\Lambda$ of non-commutative geometry. Two processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ will be studied in detail. These processes are particularly interesting in studying the non-commutative QED effects because at leading order they are purely QED processes, eliminating problems associated with difficulties to have a full gauge theory for $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. This is because that only U(N) group can be gauged consistently with NC geometry [7]. The gauge group of the standard model has to be enlarged in the presence of NC geometry, Eq. (1). If weak interaction is involved, then there is problem to identify NC effects such as the process $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ where exchange of Z boson also contributes [5].

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we study the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process in NC QED with monochromatic photon beams and laser back-scattered photon beams for three values of center-of-mass energies 0.5 TeV, 1 TeV and 1.5 TeV [8]. We obtain 95% CL lower bound which can be probed on the NC scale $\Lambda$. In section III we study the non-commutative scalar QED. We first derive the corresponding Feynman rules and use them to obtain 95% CL lower bound on $\Lambda$ from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ process. Finally in section IV, we summarize our results.
II. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ IN NC QED

In this section we study the effects of NC QED in the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process. NC QED with fermions has been studied extensively [4]. The Feynman rules relevant are shown in Fig. 1 and the Feynman diagrams for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ are shown in Fig. 2. It is clear from the Feynman rules and as well as from Fig. 2 that there are extra contributions to the ordinary QED. The ordinary QED vertex is modified to have a momentum dependent phase factor. Apart from this there are completely new triple and quartic photon vertices making the NC QED like a non-abelian gauge theory. The origin of phase factors in the vertices can be traced back to the famous Weyl-Moyal correspondence [9] which we will state later. These new contributions to the existing vertices result in deviations from the ordinary QED predictions.

We obtain the unpolarized differential cross section for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process in the massless limit, as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz d\phi} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2s} \left[ \frac{\hat{u} t}{t} + \frac{\hat{u} - 4 \hat{t}^2 + \hat{u}^2}{s^2} \sin^2 \delta \right],$$

where the NC phase is $\delta = (k_1 \cdot k_2 - k_1 \cdot k_2)$. $s = (k_1 + k_2)^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $\hat{t} = (k_1 - p_1)^2 = (k_2 - p_2)^2$ and $\hat{u} = (k_1 - p_2)^2 = (k_2 - p_1)^2$ are the standard Mandelstam variables. In the $\gamma\gamma$ center-of-mass frame, $\hat{t}$ and $\hat{u}$ can be further written in terms of $\hat{s}$ and the angle $\hat{\theta}$ between $\vec{k}_1$ (the $z$-direction) and $\vec{p}_1$ with $\hat{t} = -\frac{\hat{s}}{2}(1 - z)$, $\hat{u} = -\frac{\hat{s}}{2}(1 + z)$, where $z = \cos \hat{\theta}$. The angle $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle. So, the NC effect in the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process lies in the even function $\sin^2 \delta$ of $\delta$ and one can recover the ordinary QED result by taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The phase $\delta$ arises from the $s$-channel triple photon vertex diagram and also from the interference between the $st$-channel and $su$-channel diagrams.

The cross sections are only sensitive to the NC parameter $c_{0z}$ because the corrections only depend on $\sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}k_1 \cdot \theta \cdot k_2\right)$ which is equal to $\sin^2\left[\left(\hat{s}/4\right)c_{0z}/\Lambda^2\right]$. Because of this the cross section does not depend on the azimuthal angle $\phi$ and will be integrated over in the cross section from now on. In our later discussions, we will set $c_{0z} = 1$ and study the sensitivity to the NC scale $\Lambda$.

Now we study the cross-section as a function of the NC scale $\Lambda$. In obtaining the cross-section we sum over three leptonic ($e, \mu, \tau$) generations. We also assume that the identification efficiencies for $e$ and $\mu$, and $\tau$ to be 100% and 60% respectively. One should note that, due to the neglect of small lepton masses, there are singularities in the cross section when $z = \pm 1$. To avoid these singularities, we demand that the rapidity $|\eta_e|$ of each lepton should be less than 1. This choice of rapidity cut corresponds to an angular cut $|\varphi| < 0.76$ on each leptons. In Fig. 3 we plot the variation of this cross-section with $\Lambda$ for a monochromatic (line with $\circ$) photon collider with energy $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1$ TeV. The adjacent solid line represents ordinary QED cross-section. It can be seen that the ordinary QED gets negative contribution from NC QED, and as the NC scale $\Lambda$ increases the NC QED result asymptotically approaches to the ordinary QED one.

To study the possible sensitivity of NLC to the NC scale $\Lambda$ we perform $\chi^2(\Lambda)$ fit assuming that statistical errors are Gaussian and that there are no systematic errors. $\chi^2(\Lambda)$ is given by

$$\chi^2 = L \frac{(\sigma_{NC}(\Lambda) - \sigma_{SM})^2}{\sigma_{SM}}.$$
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where $L$ is the integrated luminosity, $\sigma_{SM}$ is the ordinary QED total cross-section and $\sigma_{NC}(\Lambda)$ is the NC QED cross-section. By demanding $\chi^2 \geq 4$, we obtain the lower bound on the NC scale $\Lambda$ at 95% CL. We denote this bound by $\Lambda_{lower}$. We take three machine energies $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 0.5, 1.0$ and 1.5 TeV, for illustrations. In the case of monochromatic photon collider, $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = \sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}}$.

In Fig. 4 we show the scale $\Lambda_{lower}$ as a function of $L$ from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process. The solid lines in Fig. 4 represent as a function of integrated luminosity $L$ for monochromatic photon beams. Higher the $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}}$ larger the value of $\Lambda$ can be probed for a fixed integrated luminosity. This behavior can be understood from the nature of the NC correction term which goes as $\sim s/\Lambda^2$ to this process.

Till now, we have discussed about the monochromatic photon beams. However, it is very difficult to obtain such a beam in practice. A realistic method to obtain high energy photon beam is to use the laser back-scattering technique on an electron or positron beam which produces abundant hard photons nearly along the same direction as the original electron or positron beam. The photon beam energy obtained this way is not monochromatic. The energy spectrum of the back-scattered photon is given by [10]

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{D(\xi)} \left[ 1 - x + \frac{1}{1 - x} - \frac{4x}{\xi(1 - \xi)} + \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2(1 - x)^2} \right],$$

$$D(\xi) = (1 - \frac{4}{\xi} - \frac{8}{\xi^2}) \ln(1 + \xi) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8}{\xi} - \frac{1}{2(1 + \xi)^2}.$$ (4)

where $x$ is the fraction of the energy of the incident $e^\pm$ beam. The parameter $\xi$ is determined to be $2(1 + \sqrt{2})$ by requiring that the back-scattered photon to have the largest possible energy, but does not interfere with the incident photon to create unwanted $e^+e^-$ pair which sets $x_{max} = \xi/(1 + \xi) \approx 0.828$. The cross section at such a $\gamma\gamma$ collider with the $e^+e^-$ collider center of mass frame energy $\sqrt{s}$ is given by

$$\sigma = \int_{x_{1min}}^{x_{max}} dx_1 f(x_1) \int_{x_{2min}}^{x_{max}} dx_2 f(x_2) \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz \frac{d\sigma(x_1x_2s,z)}{dz}.$$ (5)

To avoid the singularities at $z = \pm 1$ in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$, we make a cut on the rapidity of each lepton in the laboratory frame to be less than 1 and also a cut on the lepton energy such that the minimal values for $x_{1,2}$ to be $x_{1,2min} = 0.5$. With this choice of cuts we show the variation of cross-section with $\Lambda$ in Fig. 3. The dotted lines represent the NC QED cross-section, while the adjacent solid lines correspond to the ordinary QED results. Compared to the monochromatic case, the cross-section decreases. Naively one would expect the other way around because the cross-section decreases with energy. However, due to the cut on $x_{1,2min}$, certain portion of the scattering is also cut off which results in a smaller cross-section.

In Fig. 4 we present $\Lambda_{lower}$ as a function of $L$ with dashed line. In this case, for a given $\sqrt{s}$ and integrated luminosity, the 95% CL lower bounds are weaker than that of monochromatic photons. For example, at $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 1$ TeV and assuming the integrated luminosity $L = 500$ fb$^{-1}$ the 95% CL lower bound can be probed on $\Lambda$ is 1.2 TeV. While in the monochromatic case, the corresponding bound is 1.6 TeV. This is due to the fact that the available $\gamma\gamma$ center-of-mass energy is not fixed but has an energy spectrum, which suppresses the NC effect.
III. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ IN NC SCALAR QED

NC QED with scalars are less studied. In order to study $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$, we first construct the NC QED Lagrangian with scalars in the following. The Lagrangian in the ordinary quantum field theory relevant to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ is given by

$$L = (D_{\mu}H^-)^*(D_{\mu}H^-) - m_H^2 H^+ H^-,$$

where $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative.

When the above Lagrangian is formulated with non-commutative coordinates, there are corrections. NC quantum field theory can be easily studied using the Weyl-Moyal correspondence replacing the product of two fields $A(\hat{X})$ and $B(\hat{X})$ with NC coordinates by [9]

$$A(\hat{X})B(\hat{X}) \rightarrow A(x) \ast B(x) = [e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta_{\mu x} \theta_{\nu y}} A(x) B(y)]_{x=y},$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the ordinary coordinates, and $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$, $\partial_y = \partial/\partial y$.

Under an infinitesimal local gauge transformation $\lambda(x)$, the transformation law for $H$ is given by

$$ReH^-(x) \rightarrow ReH^-(x) - \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mu x} \partial_{\nu x} \theta_{\nu y} \right) \lambda(x) ImH^-(y)|_{x=y}$$

$$- \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mu x} \partial_{\nu x} \theta_{\nu y} \right) \lambda(x) ReH^-(y)|_{x=y},$$

$$ImH^-(x) \rightarrow ImH^-(x) + \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mu x} \partial_{\nu x} \theta_{\nu y} \right) \lambda(x) ImH^-(y)|_{x=y}$$

$$- \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mu x} \partial_{\nu x} \theta_{\nu y} \right) \lambda(x) ReH^-(y)|_{x=y}. \quad (8)$$

Writing the Lagrangian in NC geometry, one obtains the tree level NC QED with scalars. We have

$$L = (\partial_{\mu}H^+ + ieH^+ \ast A_{\mu}) \ast (\partial^\mu H^- - ieA^\mu \ast H^-) - m_H^2 H^+ \ast H^-.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Due to the NC properties, the ordering of the fields in the above equation is important and should not be misplaced. From this Lagrangian one obtains the Feynman rules given in Fig. 5. The structure shows some similar momentum dependent phase factor as in the NC QED with fermions. The Feynman diagrams for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ are shown in Fig. 6. In this case also we get an additional contribution to the normal QED process from an extra $s$-channel diagram, which has a non-abelian kind of structure. The amplitude for this process can be expressed as

$$iM = 2ie^2 e^\mu(k_1) e^\nu(k_2) e^{\frac{i}{2} p_1 \cdot \theta p_2}$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{i}{s} \sin \left( \frac{k_1 \cdot \theta \cdot k_2}{2} \right) \left( (u-t)g_{\mu\nu} + 2k_{2\mu}(p_1 - p_2)_{\nu} - 2k_{1\nu}(p_1 - p_2)_{\mu} \right) \right.$$

$$+ \cos \left( \frac{k_1 \cdot \theta \cdot k_2}{2} \right) g_{\mu\nu} + e^{-\frac{i}{2} k_1 \cdot \theta \cdot k_2} \frac{2p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu}}{t - m_H^2} + e^{\frac{i}{2} k_1 \cdot \theta \cdot k_2} \frac{2p_{2\mu} p_{1\nu}}{u - m_H^2}. \quad (10)$$

In obtaining the cross section one should be careful about the non-abelian nature of the triple photon vertex since more than one gauge bosons are involved, that is one should treat
the photon polarization sum with care to make sure that Ward identities are satisfied and also to guarantee that the unphysical photon polarization states do not appear. We have worked with two methods with the same final results, one using explicit transverse photon polarization vectors, and another using [11]

\[ \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon^\mu(\lambda)\epsilon^{\nu*}(\lambda) = -\left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^\mu k^\nu + n^\nu k^\mu}{(n.k)} + \frac{n^2 k^\mu k^\nu}{(n.k)^2} \right]. \]  \tag{11}

where \( n \) is any arbitrary 4-vector and \( k \) is the photon 4-momentum. The same technique has been applied in the above charged lepton pair production study. The unpolarized differential cross section in the \( \gamma\gamma \) center-of-mass frame is given by

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dzd\phi} = \frac{\alpha^2\beta}{4s} \left[ \frac{(m_H^2 + \hat{\tau})^2}{(m_H^2 - \hat{\tau})^2} + \frac{(m_H^2 + \hat{\nu})^2}{(m_H^2 - \hat{\nu})^2} + \frac{8m_H^4}{(m_H^2 - \hat{\tau})(m_H^2 - \hat{\nu})} \right] \times \left[ 1 - 4 \frac{(m_H^2 - \hat{\tau})(m_H^2 - \hat{\nu})}{s^2} \sin^2 \delta \right]. \]  \tag{12}

where the NC phase \( \delta \) has been defined earlier, \( \hat{\tau} = m_H^2 - \frac{s}{2}(1 - \hat{\beta}z) \), \( \hat{\nu} = m_H^2 - \frac{s}{2}(1 + \hat{\beta}z) \), and \( \hat{\beta} = \sqrt{1 - 4m_H^2/s} \) is velocity of the charged scalar. In this case also, in the limit \( \delta \rightarrow 0 \), one obtains the pure QED result. Again this process depends only on \( c_{0z}/\Lambda \).

The scalars are similar to charged Higgses in multi-Higgs models. However the decay products are not clear because the minimal Standard Model for electroweak interactions have to be extended with NC geometry. The charged scalar decay products may be modified. We will assume that the decay products of \( H \) are similar to the charged Higgs scalars in multi-Higgs models and can be studied experimentally. One may also formulate NC QED with composite charged scalars, such as \( \pi^\pm \) and \( K^\pm \) which will be commented on later.

The variation of unpolarised cross-section with \( \Lambda \) for scalar mass \( m_H = 100 \) GeV at \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1 \) TeV is also shown in Fig. 3 for both monochromatic (line with dark boxes) and laser back-scattered (dashed lines) photon beams. The corresponding ordinary QED contributions, are also depicted by the solid lines. From this figure it can be seen that the ordinary QED gets negative contribution from NC QED like the \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \) process , and as the NC scale \( \Lambda \) increases the NC QED contribution asymptotically approaches to the ordinary QED result. It is interesting to note that the cross-section in the back-scattered case is larger than that of monochromatic one, unlike the \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \) case discussed earlier. This is because that in this case no cut on the final product energy is applied, therefore all contributions are included. However, the monochromatic case still has larger deviation between the ordinary and non-commutative QED as can be seen in Fig. 3.

Now we discuss our results on \( \Lambda_{\text{lower}} \) for \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^- \) with monochromatic photon beams. We use two charged scalar masses, \( m_H = 100 \) GeV and 200 GeV, for illustrations. We display \( \Lambda_{\text{lower}} \) as a function of \( L \) by the solid lines in the Fig.7 (a) \( (m_H = 100 \) GeV) and Fig.7 (b)\( (m_H = 200 \) GeV). The numbers adjacent to each curve correspond to monochromatic photon collider. It is clear from these two figures that \( \Lambda_{\text{lower}} \) does depend on the scalar mass. The lighter the mass, the larger the scale one can explore for a given \( \sqrt{s} \) and integrated luminosity. For example, with \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1 \) TeV, \( \Lambda_{\text{lower}} \) are 1.53 TeV for \( m_H = 100 \) GeV and 1.48 TeV for \( m_H = 200 \) GeV, respectively. Like the dilepton final state, here also, one can probe larger value of \( \Lambda \) if one goes to higher energies.
The results for the laser back-scattered photon beams are also shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) by the dotted lines. In the case, there are no singularities at \( z = \pm 1 \). Therefore we will let \( z \) vary within the full allowed range, that is with \( z_{\text{min}} = -1, z_{\text{max}} = 1 \). The integration lower limits for \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are: \( x_{1\text{min}} = 4m_H^2/sx_{\text{max}} \) and \( x_{2\text{min}} = 4m_H^2/sx_1 \). The maximum value of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) has been already mentioned in section II. Using Eqn.(3) we then obtain \( \Lambda_{\text{lower}} \) as a function of integrated luminosity \( L \) which is shown by the dotted lines in Fig. 7. As before, we study this case also for two values of scalar masses 100 GeV and 200 GeV. We see that the bounds which can be probed on the scale are in the range of 0.8 to 1.2, 0.7 to 1.0, and 0.4 to 0.6 TeV for \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1.5, 1.0, \) and 0.5 TeV, respectively. These bounds are slightly lower than that obtained in \( \gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^- \).

If the theory is applicable to composite particles such as \( \pi^\pm \) and \( K^\pm \), the NC scale that can be probed with \( L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) is 1.5 TeV (1.2 TeV) for monochromatic (back-scattered) photon beams for \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1 \text{ TeV} \) (\( \sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 1 \text{ TeV} \)). Of course it may be difficult to carry out such experiments with energies as high as what we are considering.

In the above discussions we have used tree level cross sections, especially our reference ordinary cross sections \( \sigma_{\text{SM}} \). There are loop contributions which may lead to the change of \( \chi^2 \) compared with when tree cross sections are used. However, the loop corrections are much smaller than the NC corrections for \( \chi^2 \) as large as 4. The bounds we obtained are for NC corrections to good approximations.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have examined the feasibility of observing the experimental signature of non-commutative QED by studying dilepton and pair of charged scalars productions at high energy photon collider. We have parametrized the effect of NC QED by an anti-symmetric matrix \( c_{\mu\nu} \) and an overall NC scale \( \Lambda \). We found that in our processes only \( c_{0z} \) contributes. Throughout our analysis we have set this parameter to 1 and studied the sensitivities of \( \gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^- \) and \( \gamma\gamma \to H^+H^- \) processes on the NC scale \( \Lambda \).

We first studied the sensitivity for monochromatic \( \gamma\gamma \) colliders. The variation of \( \sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^-) \) and \( \sigma(\gamma\gamma \to H^+H^-) \) with the NC scale \( \Lambda \) at \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 1 \text{ TeV} \) were obtained. We found that there are visible deviations between ordinary and NC QED predictions for small \( \Lambda \), but when \( \Lambda \) becomes larger, \( \sigma_{\text{NC}} \) asymptotically approaches \( \sigma_{\text{SM}} \). We also obtained 95% CL lower limit which can be probed on \( \Lambda \) from above mentioned two processes as functions of the integrated luminosity \( L \). It turned out that higher the available center-of-mass energy larger the NC scale \( \Lambda \) one can probe. We found that with \( \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 0.5, 1.0, \) and 1.5 TeV and integrated luminosity \( L = 500(\text{fb}^{-1}) \) the NC scales can be probed up to 1.1, 1.7, and 2.6 TeV, respectively.

Next we considered more realistic case, where, the photon beams are obtained by laser back-scattered from \( e^\pm \) beams. In this case, the available \( \gamma\gamma \) center-of-mass energy has a spectrum with a maximum energy around 80% of the \( \sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} \). In general bounds on the scale that can be probed become lower. We have observed that for \( \sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 0.5, 1.0, \) and 1.5 TeV, with the integrated luminosity \( L = 500(\text{fb}^{-1}) \), the NC scales up to 0.7, 1.2, and 1.6 TeV can be probed, respectively.

In both monochromatic and laser back scattered photon collider cases, the bounds on \( \Lambda \) can be probed using \( \gamma\gamma \to H^+H^- \) are slightly lower than that can be obtained using
\[ \gamma \gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- . \]
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FIGURES

\[ i e \gamma^\alpha \exp(i\frac{k_1 \theta k_2}{2}) \]

\[ = e \sin(\frac{k_1 \theta k_2}{2}) \left[ (k_1 - k_2)^\gamma g^{\alpha \beta} 
+ (k_2 - k_3)^\alpha g^{\beta \gamma} + (k_3 - k_1)^\beta g^{\gamma \gamma} \right] \]

\[ = 4ie^2 \left[ (g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta}) \sin(\frac{k_1 \theta k_2}{2}) \sin(\frac{k_3 \theta k_4}{2}) 
+ (g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} - g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta}) \sin(\frac{k_2 \theta k_3}{2}) \sin(\frac{k_3 \theta k_4}{2}) 
+ (g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\delta \gamma} - g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\delta \beta}) \sin(\frac{k_1 \theta k_2}{2}) \sin(\frac{k_3 \theta k_4}{2}) \right] \]

FIG. 1. Feynman rules for NC QED with fermions.
FIG. 2. Feynman diagram for $\gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^-$ in the presence of NC QED.
FIG. 3. Variation of $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^-)$ and $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to H^+H^-)$ with the NC scale $\Lambda$ at $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 1$ TeV NLC machine. The notations are following: (i) $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^-)$ with monochromatic and with laser back-scattered photon beams are represented by the curve with $\odot$ and with dotted lines respectively. The solid lines adjacent to these correspond to commutative QED contribution. (ii) $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to H^+H^-)$ with monochromatic and with laser back scattered photon beams are represented by the curve with dark boxes and with dashed lines respectively. The solid lines adjacent to these correspond to ordinary QED contribution. For this we have fixed the scalar mass $m_H = 100$ GeV.
FIG. 4. 95% CL lower bound on $\Lambda$ can be probed as a function of integrated luminosity from $\gamma\gamma \to \ell^+\ell^-$ process. The solid lines are using monochromatic photon beams, while the dashed lines are with back-scattered photons. The numbers adjacent to each curve represents the $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}}$. 
\[ \alpha = i e (k_1 + k_2) c_1 \exp \left( i \frac{k_1 \theta k_3}{2} \right) \]

\[ = 2 i e^2 \cos \frac{k_3 \theta k_4}{2} \exp \left( i \frac{k_3 \theta k_4}{2} \right) \]

FIG. 5. Feynman rules for NC scalar QED.
FIG. 6. Feynman diagram for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow H^+ H^-$ in the presence of NC QED.
FIG. 7. 95% CL lower bound on $\Lambda$ can be probed as a function of integrated luminosity from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H^+H^-$ process for $m_H = 100$ GeV (a) and 200 GeV (b). The solid lines are using monochromatric photon beams, while the dashed lines are with back-scattered photons. The numbers adjacent to each curve represents the $\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}}$. 
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