We propose an experimentally feasible scheme to achieve quantum computation based solely on geometric manipulations of a quantum system. The desired geometric operations are obtained by driving the quantum system to undergo appropriate adiabatic cyclic evolutions. Our implementation of the all-geometric quantum computation is based on laser manipulation of a set of trapped ions. An all-geometric approach, apart from its fundamental interest, promises a possible way for robust quantum computation.
The physical implementation of quantum computers requires a series of accurately controllable quantum operations on a set of two-level systems (qubits). These controllable quantum operations can be either of the traditional dynamical origin (1) or of a novel geometric origin (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7). The all-geometric approach, proposed recently with the name of holonomic quantum computation (4, 5, 6, 7), achieves the whole set of universal quantum gates solely based on the abelian and non-abelian geometric operations (holonomies), without any contributions from dynamical gates. The holonomies are acquired when a quantum system is driven to undergo some appropriate cyclic evolutions by adiabatically changing the controllable parameters in the governing Hamiltonian (8, 9, 10). The holonomies can be either simple abelian (commutable) phase factors (Berry phases) or general non-abelian operations, depending on whether the eigenspace of the governing Hamiltonian is nondegenerate or degenerate. Besides its fundamental interest related to a general geometric global structure, the holonomic quantum computation scheme has some built-in fault-tolerant features (2, 7), which might offer practical advantages, such as being resilient to certain types of computational errors. Several schemes have been proposed for the geometric realization of the particular conditional phase shift gate with the use of the abelian Berry phase (2, 3), and one of them has been experimentally demonstrated with the NMR technique (2).

For a universal quantum computation, one still need to combine this particular geometric gate together with some single-bit dynamical gates (11). Here, in contrast, we propose an experimentally feasible scheme to achieve the universal quantum computation all by the geometric means. This requires us to realize the non-abelian holonomies as well as the abelian ones since the universal set of quantum gates are necessarily non-commutable. Our scheme, which is based on laser manipulation of a set of trapped ions, fulfils all the requirements of the holonomic quantum computation and fits well the status of current technology.

For the holonomic quantum computation proposed recently (4, 5, 6, 7), the computational space \( C \) is always an eigenspace (highly degenerate) of the governing Hamiltonian, with a trivial eigenvalue 0. Though the Hamiltonian restricted to the computational space is
completely trivial and there is no dynamical evolution at all, the dependence of the Hamiltonian on some controllable adiabatically changing parameters makes the space $C$ undergo a highly nontrivial evolution due to the global geometric structure in the parameter space. In fact, one requires any unitary operations in the space $C$ to be obtainable by these geometric evolutions in order to achieve universal quantum computing. It is well known that some single-qubit operations together with a non-trivial two-bit gate makes a universal set of gate operations for quantum computing (11). It is enough for us to construct some looped paths in the parameter space to achieve the desired geometric evolutions corresponding to these gate operations, and then a composition of these parameter loops suffices to obtain an arbitrary unitary evolution in the computational space $C$. In the following, we show how to achieve all the desired geometric gate operations using a set of trapped ions. The schemes for ion-trap quantum computers based on the conventional dynamical evolutions have been proposed (12, 13, 14, 15), and some single-bit and multi-bit gate operations have been demonstrated experimentally (16, 17, 18). We use the same setup, but to achieve the holonomic quantum computation. We also note that an idealized scheme (19) was proposed recently for holonomic quantum computation. Up to the best of our knowledge, our proposal is the first realistic one which achieves all the elements of holonomic quantum computation and is feasible with current technology.

We choose the universal set of gate operations to be $U_1^{(j)} = e^{i\phi_1 |1\rangle_j \langle 1|}$, $U_2^{(j)} = e^{i\phi_2 \sigma_y^j}$, and $U_3^{(jk)} = e^{i\phi_3 |11\rangle_{jk} \langle 11|}$, where $|0\rangle_j$ and $|1\rangle_j$ constitute the computational basis for each qubit, $\sigma_y^j = i \left( |1\rangle_j \langle 0| - |0\rangle_j \langle 1| \right)$ is the Pauli operator of the $j$ qubit, and $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ are arbitrary phases. The universality of this set of gates follows directly from the proof in (11) and is well known. First we show how to realize the single-bit gates $U_1^{(j)}$ and $U_2^{(j)}$ geometrically. The system we have in mind is a set of ions confined in a linear Paul trap (12, 17, 18). Each ion has three ground (or metastable) states $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$, and one excited state $|e\rangle$ (Fig.1). The state $|a\rangle$ is used as an ancillary level for gate operations. The ground states could be different hyperfine levels or in the same manifold but with different Zeeman sublevels,
and they are coupled to the excited state $|e\rangle$ separately by a resonant classical laser with a different polarization or frequency (a possible separate addressing of the three levels is shown by Fig. 1). The Hamiltonian for each ion with the laser on has the form

$$H_j = \hbar \left[ |e\rangle_j \langle 0| + \Omega_1 \langle 1| + \Omega_a \langle a| + \text{h.c.} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in the rotating frame, where $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_a$ are Rabi frequencies serving as the controlling parameters. Note that the Hamiltonian in the rotating frame is independent of the laser frequencies since all the lasers are resonant with the corresponding level transitions. The parameters $\Omega_0, \Omega_1$ should be set to zero initially so that the computational space spanned by $|0\rangle_j$ and $|1\rangle_j$ is initially an eigenspace of the gate Hamiltonian with a zero eigenvalue. Then the three Rabi frequencies make an adiabatic cyclic evolution in the parameter space $M$ with the change rate significantly smaller than the typical Rabi frequencies (the adiabatic condition), and the adiabatic theorem assures that the computational space remains the eigenspace of the gate Hamiltonian with the zero eigenvalue so there is no dynamical phase contribution at all. However, we will explicitly show that the topological holonomies accompanying the adiabatic evolutions suffice for construction of the gates $U_1^{(j)}$ and $U_2^{(j)}$, which in fact shows any single-bit operation is obtainable by such holonomies.

To get the gate $U_1^{(j)}$, we set $\Omega_0 = 0$ so that the state $|0\rangle_j$ is decoupled, and choose $\Omega_1 = -\Omega \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi}, \Omega_a = \Omega \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$. The relative amplitude $\theta$ and phase $\varphi$ of the Rabi frequencies $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_a$ are the effective control parameters, and the absolute magnitude $\Omega$ is irrelevant for the gate control as long as it is large enough to satisfy the adiabatic condition, which could be a good feature for real experiments. The dark state (the eigenstate with the zero energy eigenvalue) of the gate Hamiltonian has the form $\cos \frac{\theta}{2} |1\rangle_j + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} |a\rangle_j$, where the parameters $\theta, \varphi$ make a cyclic evolution with the starting and ending point to be $\theta = 0$. Using the standard formula for the geometric phase ($8$, $10$), we can show that this cyclic evolution achieves the gate operation $U_1^{(j)}$ with the acquired Berry phase $\phi_1 = \oint \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi$. This evolution has a definite geometric interpretation: the acquired Berry phase is exactly the enclosed solid angle $\oint d\Omega$ swept by the vector always pointing to the $(\theta, \varphi)$ direction.
From this interpretation, one immediately sees that the gate operation is only determined by the global property, i.e., the swept solid angle, and does not depend on the details of the evolution path in the parameter space. This is an advantage of the holonomic quantum computation, which makes it robust against certain types of errors. For instance, the local random errors along the evolution path caused by some unwanted interaction would have very small influence on the global property.

Now we show how to achieve the gate $U^{(j)}_2$ geometrically. For this purpose, we choose $\Omega_0 = \Omega \sin \theta \cos \varphi$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega \sin \theta \sin \varphi$, and $\Omega_a = \Omega \cos \theta$ in the Hamiltonian (1), with the parameters $\theta, \varphi$ similarly undergoing an adiabatic cyclic evolution from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = 0$. The two degenerate dark states of this gate Hamiltonian have the form $|D_1\rangle = \cos \theta (\cos \varphi |0\rangle_j + \sin \varphi |1\rangle_j) - \sin \theta |a\rangle_j$ and $|D_2\rangle = \cos \varphi |1\rangle_j - \sin \varphi |0\rangle_j$, from which we can show by using the formula for holonomies ($6$, $9$) that the cyclic evolution of $\theta, \varphi$ achieves the gate operation $U^{(j)}_2$ with the phase $\phi_2 = \oint d\Omega$, the swept solid angle by the vector $(\theta, \varphi)$. The ability to obtain both of the non-commutable geometric gates $U^{(j)}_1$ and $U^{(j)}_2$ in fact shows that one constructs non-Abelian holonomies, since the composite holonomies of the $U^{(j)}_1$ and $U^{(j)}_2$ and of the $U^{(j)}_2$ and $U^{(j)}_1$ are different. Note that while the Abelian holonomies have been tested experimentally by various means ($10$, $20$), the controllable demonstration of the non-Abelian ones is believed to be more complicated ($10$, $19$). Here, in contrast, we introduce a simple way to test this fundamental effect by manipulating a single ion with a laser. In fact, for the demonstration of the non-Abelian holonomies we do not need to exploit any interaction between the ions, so one can also use a sample of free particles instead of a single ion for a simple test. For instance, one can experimentally verify this by laser manipulation of a cloud of atoms in a magnetic-optical trap, which is readily available in many laboratories.

A combination of the gates $U^{(j)}_1$ and $U^{(j)}_2$ permits to implement any single-bit operation, which together with the nontrivial two-bit gate $U^{(jk)}_3$ between the qubits $j, k$, are enough for universal quantum computation. To construct the gate $U^{(jk)}_3$ using geometric means, we need
exploit the Coulomb interactions between the ions. For this purpose, we provide a scheme based on the recent dynamical proposal (14) which uses two-color laser manipulation. The transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$ for the $j, k$ ions is driven by a red and a blue detuned laser, respectively with detunings $-(\nu + \delta)$ and $\nu + \delta$ (Fig. 2), where $\nu$ is the phonon frequency of one oscillation mode (normally the center of mass mode) and $\delta$ is an additional detuning. Similarly, the transition $|a\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$ is also driven by a red and a blue detuned laser, but with the additional detuning $\delta' \neq \delta$ to avoid the direct Raman transition. For simplicity here we choose $\delta' = -\delta$ as in Fig. 2. Under the condition of strong confinement $\eta^2 \ll 1$ (the Lamb-Dicke criterion), where $\eta$ is defined by the ratio of the ion oscillation amplitude to the manipulation optical wave length, the Hamiltonian describing the interaction has the form

$$H_{jk} = \frac{\eta^2}{\delta} \left[ -|\Omega_1|^2 \sigma_{j1} \sigma_{k1} + |\Omega_a|^2 \sigma_{ja} \sigma_{ka} \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_{j\mu} \equiv e^{i\varphi_\mu} |e\rangle_j \langle \mu| + \text{h.c.} \ (\mu = 1, a)$ and $\Omega_1, \Omega_a$ are the corresponding Rabi frequencies respectively with the phases $\varphi_1, \varphi_a$. In writing the Hamiltonian (2) we have neglected some trivial light shift terms which can be easily compensated, for instance, by another laser.

To get a geometric operation, we choose the relative intensity $|\Omega_1|^2 / |\Omega_a|^2 = \tan(\theta/2)$ and phase $\varphi_1 - \varphi_a = \varphi/2$, with the control parameters $\theta, \varphi$ undergoing a cyclic adiabatic evolution from $\theta = 0$. During the evolution, the computational bases $|00\rangle_{jk}, |01\rangle_{jk}$ and $|10\rangle_{jk}$ are decoupled from the Hamiltonian (2), while the $|11\rangle_{jk}$ component adiabatically follows as $\cos \frac{\theta}{2} |11\rangle_{jk} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} |aa\rangle_{jk}$, which acquires a Berry phase after the whole loop. So we get the conditional phase shift gate $U_3^{(jk)}$ with the purely geometric phase $\phi_3 = \oint d\Omega$, the swept solid angle by the vector $(\theta, \varphi)$. Note that this geometric two-bit gate has shared the advantages of the recently proposed and demonstrated dynamical scheme (14, 18) in the sense that: first, the ion motional modes need not be cooled to their ground states as long as the Lamb-Dicke criterion is satisfied; and second, we do not need separate addressing of the ions during the two-bit gate operation.

For experimental demonstration of the above universal set of geometric gates, we need to consider several kinds of decoherence which impose concrete conditions on the relevant
parameters. Firstly, one should fulfil the adiabatic condition. This means the gate operation
time should be larger than the inverse of the energy gap between the dark states and the
bright and excited states. The energy gap is given by $\Delta_1 = |\Omega|$ for the single-bit gates and
by $\Delta_2 = \eta^2 |\Omega|^2 / \delta$ for the two-bit gate. So we require the single-bit and the two-bit gate
operation times $t_i^g$ ($i = 1, 2$) are reasonably long so that the leakage error to the bright and the
excited states, which scales as $1 / (\Delta_i t_i^g)^2$, is small. Secondly, we need to avoid spontaneous
emission (with a rate $\gamma_s$) of the excited state $|e\rangle$. Due to the adiabatic condition the excited
state is only weakly populated even though we use resonant laser coupling, and the effective
spontaneous emission rate is reduced by the leakage probability $1 / (\Delta_i t_i^g)^2$. As a result we
only require $\gamma_s / (\Delta_2 t_2^g) \ll 1$ for the spontaneous emission to be negligible during the gate
operation. Finally, the influence of the heating of the ion motion should be small. We assume
all the manipulation lasers are copropagating so that the heating caused by the two-photon
recoils is negligible. The carrier phononic states are only virtually excited during the two-bit
gate, so the influence of the heating rate $\gamma_h$ is reduced by the phonon population probability
$\eta^2 |\Omega|^2 / \delta^2$. The effective heating rate should be much smaller than the gate speed, which
requires $\delta \gg \gamma_h \ll \delta$. Note that all the conditions discussed above in the geometric gates are
exactly parallel to those in the dynamical schemes using the off resonant Raman transitions.
The reason for this is that in both cases the excited state is only weakly populated and
the population probability obeys the same scaling law, though the physical mechanism for
the weak population is quite different. So, compared with the dynamical schemes, our
requirements are not more stringent. However, the geometric proposal introduced in this
paper, on the one hand, will permit us to investigate experimentally the fundamental Abelian
and non-Abelian holonomies (10), and on the other hand, may open new possibilities for
robust quantum computation (21, 22).

Note added: After submission of this work, we became aware that a non-abelian holon-
omy has been designed for atoms by Unanyan, Shore, and Bergmann [Phys. Rev. A 59,
2910 (1999)].
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**Caption of Fig. 1:**

Level structure and laser configuration for single-bit operations. A possible choice for the three ground or metastable states is that $|1\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$ are two degenerate Zeeman sub-levels which are addressed by lasers with different polarizations, and $|0\rangle$ is the ground state (another hyperfine level) with slightly different energy so that it can be addressed by a laser with a different frequency.

**Caption of Fig. 2:**

Laser configuration for the two-bit operation. The same configuration for both ions.