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Direct determination of quasar redshifts
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Abstract. We present observations of 11 quasars, selected in the range $z \approx 2.2–4.1$, obtained with ESA’s Superconducting Tunnel Junction (STJ) camera on the WHT. Using a single template QSO spectrum, we show that we can determine the redshifts of these objects to about 1%. A follow-up spectroscopic observation of one QSO for which our best-fit redshift ($z = 2.976$) differs significantly from the tentative literature value ($z \approx 2.30$) confirms that the latter was incorrect.
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1. Introduction

Large ground and space telescopes combined with solid state detectors have revolutionized optical astronomy over the past two decades, yet deriving physical diagnostics of stars and galaxies still requires the somewhat indirect methods of filter photometry or dispersive spectroscopy to measure spectral features, energy distributions, and redshifts. The recent development of high-efficiency superconducting detectors (Perryman et al., 1993; Peacock et al., 1996) has introduced the possibility of measuring individual optical photon energies directly, and the first high time-resolution spectrally-resolved observations of rapidly variable sources such as cataclysmic variables and optical pulsars using these techniques have been reported (Perryman et al., 1999; Romani et al., 1999; Perryman et al., 2001; Bridge et al., 2001). Many extensive observational programmes which aim at determining the large-scale structure of the Universe, and galaxy formation and evolution (e.g., the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, Fan et al. 1999; the Anglo-Australian Telescope 2dF survey, Croton et al. 2001), demand high-efficiency extragalactic spectroscopy. Here we report the first optical measurements of spectral energy distributions of quasars using an imaging detector with intrinsic energy resolution, and show that we can determine their redshifts directly with excellent precision.
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2. Observations

We observed 11 quasars in the redshift range $z = 2.2–4.1$, the sample comprising relatively bright high-redshift Lyman-limit quasars from the published literature (Sargent et al., 1989), supplemented by three lower redshift objects, two of which were discovered in objective prism-type surveys (Table 1). Observations used the ESA superconducting tunnel junction (STJ) camera, S-Cam2 (Rando et al., 2000), on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope, La Palma, between 2000 October 1–4. The camera is a 6 x 6 array of 25 x 25 µm$^2$ (0.6 x 0.6 arcsec$^2$) tantalum junctions, providing individual photon arrival time accuracies to about $5 \mu s$, a resolving power of $R \approx 8$ at $\lambda = 500$ nm, and high sensitivity from 310 nm (the atmospheric cutoff) to about 720 nm (currently set by long-wavelength filters to reduce the thermal noise photons). All objects show strong Ly-$\alpha$ and CIV emission lines which, at these redshifts, will be present within our wavelength range. Observations were made in modest seeing (1–1.5 arcsec at airmass $X = 1$), and at air-masses between $X = 1.07–1.82$.

3. Data reduction

Information on each detected photon consists of arrival time, $x, y$ coordinates of the junction, and an energy channel in the range 0–255. A photon of energy $E_p$ (in eV) incident on a particular junction is assigned to an energy
channel $E_i = G \cdot E_p + C$, where each pixel is characterised by its own gain $G$ (in channels per eV) and offset $C$ (in channels). Laboratory measurements have confirmed that all 36 junctions have a highly linear, albeit slightly pixel-dependent, energy response. Calibration consists of first bringing the observed energy channels to a common reference scale, corresponding to an arbitrary reference pixel, using a fixed gain map based on laboratory measurements. The offset of the reference pixel is constant ($C = -2.0$), and its gain is then the only free parameter in the absolute energy calibration. Small temporal gain variations resulting from bias voltage drifts and small detector temperature variations ($\pm 0.01$ K on the nominal operating temperature of $\approx 32$ K) are monitored and calibrated.

Subtraction of the appropriate sky contribution for each quasar spectrum can in principle be based on the background signal in the outer array junctions, but given the small array size and seeing and refraction effects, we generally also took a nearby sky frame immediately following each quasar observation. Most observations were taken in astronomically dark time; QSO 2233+136, 2143–158, and 0148–097 were observed with the Moon setting, with background subtraction slightly less accurate.

4. Results

We have determined each quasar redshift by comparing the calibrated energy distributions, $f_{\text{obs}}(E_i)$, with a single rest-frame composite quasar spectrum (Zheng et al., 1997) based on 284 Hubble Space Telescope Faint Object Spectrograph spectra of 101 quasars with $z > 0.33$. For a given gain $G$ and redshift $z$, we construct the model energy-channel distribution $f_{\text{mod}}(E_i)$, as follows. The template spectrum is shifted from the rest frame to redshift $z$, and a mean accumulated absorption of the Lyman forest for this redshift is introduced (Møller & Jakobsen, 1990) (all our objects are at high Galactic latitude, and we neglect Galactic reddening). The resulting spectrum is corrected for the mean atmospheric transmission at the relevant airmass, adjusted for the instrument and telescope efficiency curves and exposure time, transformed from wavelength spectra to energy-channel spectra, and finally convolved with a suitable Gaussian in order to account for the finite energy resolution of the detector. We then derive redshift $z$ and gain $G$ (and a normalization constant $N$), by minimizing the classical $\chi^2$ function:

$$\chi^2(z, G, N) = \frac{1}{118} \sum_{i=50}^{170} \left[ \frac{f_{\text{obs}}(E_i) - N \cdot f_{\text{mod}}(E_i)}{\sigma_{f_{\text{obs}}(E_i)}} \right]^2,$$

using a downhill simplex routine (Press et al., 1995). Summation extends over relevant energy channels, and 118 is the number of degrees of freedom. Resulting gains are in the range 42–45 channels eV$^{-1}$, consistent with laboratory calibration. The resulting redshifts are listed in Table 1, along with the literature values. Examples of the observed and modeled spectra are shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. The 11 quasars observed. $V$ gives the catalogue magnitude (probably questionable for 2143–158). $T$ gives the exposure time in seconds, and $z_{\text{obs}}$ our estimated redshift. The final two columns give the literature redshift and its source: S89 = Sargent et al. (1989) [spectroscopy]; M77 = MacAlpine et al. (1977) [objective prism]; C91 = Chaffee et al. (1991) [spectroscopy]; C85 = Crampton et al. (1985) [grens plate].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>QSO name</th>
<th>$V$ (mag)</th>
<th>$T$ (s)</th>
<th>$z_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{lit}}$</th>
<th>Lit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0000–263</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>4.095</td>
<td>4.111</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0052–009</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>2.190</td>
<td>2.212</td>
<td>C91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0055–264</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.625</td>
<td>3.656</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0127+059</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>2.970</td>
<td>M77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0132–198</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.073</td>
<td>3.130</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0148–097</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>2.848</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0153+045</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>2.991</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0302–003</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.263</td>
<td>3.286</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0642+449</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.366</td>
<td>3.406</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2143–158</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2.296</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>C85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2233+136</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>3.110</td>
<td>3.209</td>
<td>S89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Results for QSO 0127+059, 0148–097, and 0642+449. Left: the observed (black curves) and modeled (grey curves) energy channel distributions (arbitrary units). Insets indicate the estimated Poisson noise. Numbers above the top left panel show the mapping between energy channel and wavelength. Right: the corresponding dependence of $\chi^2$ on $z$. Vertical dashed lines indicate the literature redshifts; the dotted line for QSO 0127+059 indicates the spectroscopic redshift reported in this letter ($z = 3.04$).
Fig. 2. Observed versus literature redshifts. Numbers refer to the objects listed in Table 1, and symbol sizes correspond to $\chi^2$ (smaller symbols indicating a poorer fit). QSO 0127+059 has an incorrect literature redshift of 2.30; our spectroscopic follow-up observation yields $z = 3.04$, moving the point to the position shown in grey. The dashed line shows the nominal 1:1 correlation.

The pronounced minima are apparent in our data sets truncated a posteriori to observation times as small as, e.g. 10–20 s for the $z = 4.1$ object QSO 0000−263, where $\approx 350$ source photons s$^{-1}$ were recorded.

5. Discussion

Although extraction of detailed physical information from the spectra is limited by the modest resolving power ($R \approx 8$) of the current device, a significant improvement in energy resolution can be expected in the future (Perrymann et al., 1993; Peacock et al., 1997), and additional template spectra could then be used for model fitting. Our results show that low-resolution spectroscopy of faint extragalactic sources is possible with these devices, enabling the determination of redshift, and perhaps morphological type and emission and absorption line ratios (Jakobsen, 1999; Mazin & Brunner, 2000). Our instrument development is aimed at larger format arrays to facilitate sky subtraction and possibly for multi-object spectroscopy, and an increased energy resolution to improve physical diagnostic capability. An overall wavelength response extending...
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