Abstract

In order to design any accelerator one should know three constants, $\Delta f$, $k$, and $kk$. The $\Delta f$ determines the no-slot cavity frequency i.e., the cavity frequency will drop with the introduction of coupling slots. Constant $k$ determines the coupling between on-axis cavity to cavity. Constant $kk$ determines the next nearest coupling from on-axis cavity to cavity. In a Couple Cavity Drift Tube Linac the quantity $kk$ is minuscule. However in a Coupled Cavity Linac because of the close proximity of the coupling cavity slots the quantity $kk$ or next nearest becomes significant. Recent work at Los Alamos National Laboratory has employed a perturbation technique by J. Gao. Good values of $k$ are obtained from analytical expressions. With less success the quantity $\Delta f$ can be calculated. It is the purpose of this paper to extend this type of analysis to include $kk$ in a CCL. The approach will be to calculate the dipole induced in the slot by the field in the accelerating cavities. Next calculate an interaction energy between the two dipoles and finally employ Slater perturbation. The calculated value of $kk$ is approximately 0.002, a reasonable number compared to experimental data from the LAMPF accelerator at LANL.

1 INTRODUCTION

The motivation for the present paper is to calculate the frequency of coupled cavity structures, rather than measure them. Presently, there is work being performed at Los Alamos National Laboratory in the design of CCDTL for the Accelerator Production of Tritium project. Good values of nearest coupling are obtained by a perturbation technique, which involves fields from Superfish models of the on-axis cavity and the coupling cavity. These fields are entered into analytical expressions, which yield the coupling constant, and the $\Delta f$, due to slots. The Superfish cavity frequency is tuned beyond the nominal frequency such that when the slots are introduced the end result is the coupled cavity structure that will resonate at 700 MHz. The unperturbed cavity dimensions, along with the slots are iterated until a self-consistent solution exists at the nominal cavity frequency. It is the goal of the present paper to extend this work to the design of the CCL, where next nearest coupling is significant, and affects the mode spectrum. The next nearest neighbor coupling constant presented here is based upon a theoretical calculation. Cold models are presently being built for experimental verification. Next nearest neighbor coupling can then be estimated from the mode frequencies and program DISPER.

2 APPROACH TO COUPLING

J. Gao has published a paper calculating nearest neighbor coupling from analytical expressions. On-axis cavity coupling is calculated from Superfish models of the on-axis cavity and the coupling cavity. The fields in these models set up a pair of interacting electric and magnetic dipoles in the coupling slots. The energy of a self-induced dipole of one cavity interacting with the fields from another cavity is related to the energy term in the Slater perturbation formula. Analytical expressions for the dipole moments set up in elliptical slots came from an earlier paper by Hans Bethe. We will extend the present technique to calculate next nearest neighbor coupling.

3 FORMULAS

Because the slots are only in a region of high magnetic flux, we concern ourselves solely with the magnetic dipole term. We shall calculate the coupling from one on-axis cavity to another on-axis cavity. Some coupling may occur through the drift tubes. This can be calculated with Superfish and we found this term negligible.

The magnetic field intensity of a dipole can be expressed as

$$H = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{3n \cdot m - m}{|x|^3} \quad \text{(m.k.s.)} \quad (1)$$

Where $m$ is the magnetic dipole moment, and $n$ is the unit vector in the $x$ direction. The interaction energy between dipoles can be derived by summing the effect of magnetic dipole 1 in the field of 2, and conversely dipole 2 in the field of 1. The one-half coefficient in front of the parenthesis in (2) for time averaging.
\[ \Delta W = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{2} \mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{H}_2 + \frac{\mu_0}{2} \mathbf{m}_2 \cdot \mathbf{H}_1 \right) \]  

(2)

Employing the notation,

\[ \Delta W = \Delta W_{1,2} + \Delta W_{2,1} \]  

(3)

the quantity \( \Delta W_{1,2} \) is formed by substituting the value of dipole 1 into the H field of 2.

\[ \Delta W_{1,2} = \frac{\mu_0}{16\pi} \left( \frac{3n(n \cdot m_2) - m_1 \cdot m_2}{|x|^3} \right) \]  

(4)

Performing the vector algebra and expressing the total energy

\[ \Delta W = \frac{\mu_0}{16\pi} \left( \frac{3n(n \cdot m_1)(n \cdot m_2) - m_1 \cdot m_2}{|x|^3} \right) + \Delta W_{2,1} \]  

(5)

The H field and the induced dipole orientation for the \( \pi/2 \) mode are shown in Fig. 1. Our notation will be AC = Accelerating Cavity, CC = Coupling Cavity.

\[ \omega^2_{\text{mod}} = \omega^2 \left( 1 + \frac{2}{U} \Delta W \right) \]  

(7)

For the \( \pi \) mode the m's are anti-parallel therefore

\[ \omega^2_{\pi} = \omega^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_0 m_1 \cdot m_2}{4\pi U |x|^3} \right) \]  

(8)

For the zero mode, the m's have the same direction.

\[ \omega^2_{0} = \omega^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\mu_0 m_1 \cdot m_2}{4\pi U |x|^3} \right) \]  

(9)

From a Taylor expansion one can show
\[2\omega \Delta \omega = \omega_\pi^2 - \omega_0^2, \quad (10)\]

substituting,

\[\Delta \omega = \omega \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{m_1 \cdot m_2}{U|x|^3} \quad (11)\]

Finally, with

\[kk = \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega}, \quad (12)\]

\[kk = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\pi}{3(K(e_0) + E(e_0))} \right)^2 \frac{H^2_{ac}}{|x|^3 U_{ac}}. \quad (13)\]

In analogous fashion, a CC to CC term can also be calculated. This term tends to be less because the coupling cavities are usually staggered off the axis of symmetry, and their slots further apart.

If more cells are added a bi-periodic structure can be formed. The addition of more cells distances us from half-cell end boundary conditions. These end cells do not have the proper boundary conditions to fully reveal next nearest coupling. With all on-axis cavities tuned to one frequency \(\omega_1\), and all coupling cells tuned to another frequency \(\omega_2\), the \(\pi/2\) modes are related by the formula [8]:

\[\omega_{x/2}^2 = \frac{\omega_1^2}{1 - kk_{ac}} \quad (14)\]

\[\omega_0^2 = \frac{\omega_2^2}{1 - kk_{cc}} \quad (15)\]

The difference between the two modes is the stop band. In a well tuned accelerator the \(\pi/2\) mode for the CC cells is not present because there is no stored energy in them.

4 RESULTS

Presently we are calculating a value of 0.00188 for an on-axis cavity with \(\beta=.42277\) in our CCL. This number is in line with the final tuning data for the Los Alamos LAMPF accelerator [4] where for \(k=.05\), \(kk\) is in the range 0.001 to 0.005.

5 CONCLUSION

Reasonable values of the next nearest neighbor coupling coefficient can be calculated approximating the slots as magnetic dipoles and applying the Gao theory. Our value of next nearest neighbor coupling falls within the range 0.001 to 0.005 for values stated in the literature for a CCL with 5% coupling.
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