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Abstract

The Swiss Light Source (SLS) at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) consists of a turn key 100 MeV linac, a novel type of booster synchrotron and a 12-TBA storage ring providing 5 nm $\cdot$ rad natural emittance at 2.4 GeV.

The SLS project was approved by Swiss Government in Sept. 1997. By June 1999 the building was finished. Linac and booster commissioning concluded by April, resp. Sept. 2000. First beam in the ring was stored Dec. 15, 2000. By June 2001 storage ring commissioning entered the final phase: The design current of 400 mA was reached, an excellent agreement of lattice functions with design calculations was achieved and first undulator spectra were measured.

Commissioning of booster and storage ring included commissioning of the innovative subsystems like the digital BPM system [10], the digital power supplies [7], the high stability injection system [5] and the CORBA based beam dynamics software [2].

1 THE SLS STORAGE RING

1.1 Description

The SLS storage ring is a 12 TBA ($8^\circ/14^\circ/8^\circ$) lattice with six short straights of 4 m length, three medium ones of 7 m and three long ones of 11 m. Four cavities of 650 kV peak voltage occupy two short straights, injection occupies one long straight. The lattice is designed to provide an emittance of 5 nm $\cdot$ rad at 2.4 GeV with dispersionfree straights and $\approx 4$ nm $\cdot$ rad when allowing some dispersion. 174 quadrupoles with independent power supplies grouped into 22 soft families allow large flexibility, 120 sextupoles in 9 families are carefully balanced to provide large dynamic apertures. Each 72 horizontal and vertical correctors and 72 BPMs control the orbit, 6 skew quadrupoles in 3 families suppress dispersion. Figure 1 and table 1 show lattice functions and list basic parameters of the optics presently used.

An initial set of four insertion devices consists of the high field wiggler W61 for materials science, the in vacuo undulator U24 (on loan from Spring-8) for protein crystallography, the electromagnetic twin undulator UE212 for surface interface spectroscopy and the Apple type twin undulator UE56 for surface interface microscopy.

The sophisticated concept for storage ring dynamic alignment involving girder movers and various position monitoring systems has been described earlier [11].

1.2 Lattice calibration

Several circumference measurements based on orbit correction or sextupole centering by variation of RF frequency confirmed the design value within 0.5 mm.

Linear coupling as determined by closest tune approach was found to be $\kappa = 0.007$ without, and $\kappa = 0.001$ with excited skew quadrupole correctors. For the rms value of spurious vertical dispersion after closed orbit correction we measured 5 mm. From these values we estimate an emittance ratio of $\approx 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$. Direct measurements of vertical emittance using a pinhole array are under preparation.

The machine obviously prefers a working point around 20.38/8.16 deviating from the design 20.82/8.28 but providing almost equal emittance. The tuning range is quite large: In the horizontal the integer 20 can be approached to 0.05, the half integer 20.5 to 0.005. The non systematic third integer 20.33 has to be crossed quickly in order to keep the beam. In the vertical the integer 8 can be approached by 0.01. The beam is not lost on the half integer 8.5 but shows some stochastic motion indicating a rather narrow resonance and stabilization due to detuning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Circumference</th>
<th>RF frequency</th>
<th>Tunes</th>
<th>Natural chromaticities</th>
<th>Momentum compaction</th>
<th>Radiation loss per turn</th>
<th>Damping times</th>
<th>Emittance</th>
<th>Relative energy spread</th>
<th>Bunch length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4 GeV</td>
<td>288 m</td>
<td>500 MHz</td>
<td>20.38/8.16</td>
<td>-66 / -21</td>
<td>6.5 $\cdot$ 10^{-4}</td>
<td>512 keV</td>
<td>9.0 / 9.0 / 4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.6 $\cdot$ 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The chromaticities were moved by design from the natural -66/-21 to +1/+1 and found to be +1.6/+0.5 actually. However beam stability at large currents requires increase to approx. +5/+5. The variation of tune with momentum deviation shows excellent agreement with theory as shown in figure 2.

Figure 2: Fractional tunes as a function of relative momentum deviation. A frequency variation of +10/-12 kHz translates to a momentum deviation of -4.7/+3.0 % due to the large nonlinear momentum compaction: \( \alpha_0 = 6.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \), \( \alpha_1 = 4.6 \cdot 10^{-3} \). The solid lines show the TRACY [3] simulation, the diamonds are measurements, upper curve (blue) is horizontal.

Since all 174 quadrupoles at SLS are equipped with individual power supplies, it was straightforward to measure the betafunctions at each quadrupole from tune variation. The rms measurement error was 1.5 % horizontally and 1.0 % vertically. Individual quadrupole gradient errors were fitted to the measurements using an SVD procedure. The inverse of these errors was added to the gradients and the betafunctions were measured again. Eventually the rms deviation of measured to design betafunctions achieved was only 5 % in the horizontal and 2.8 % in the vertical, using 22 from the 174 SVD eigenvalues.

Tools for closed orbit correction were installed within the CORBA based software environment integrating CDEV and TRACY servers [2]. Iterative orbit correction and RF frequency adjustment succeeded in rms BPM readings on a few micron level, which also indicates the excellence of the digital BPM system [10]. Calibration of BPM centers relative to adjacent quadrupoles by means of beam based alignment has just been started. Results will serve as calibration for a dedicated digital encoder based position monitoring system [11] for online control of BPM positions.

1.3 Current limitations

When increasing the current towards the design value of 400 mA, several problems had to be overcome: Higher order modes (HOMs) in the four cavities had to be detuned by means of cavity temperature variation and the HOM frequency shifters in order not to coincide with the beam spectrum [13].

Above \( \approx 65 \text{ mA} \) of beam current appears a vertical instability leading to sudden losses of single bunches or complete sections from the stored bunch train’s tail region as shown in figure 3. The instability can be suppressed by raising both chromaticities to positive values around +5 and by careful excitation of longitudinal HOMs, which gave rise to the suspicion that it could be the fast beam ion instability (FBII), however more experiments are needed to investigate it further.

Due to this instability or due to a bad orbit, bending magnet radiation missing the absorbers can lead to local vacuum chamber overheating, which once even caused a leak. After installation of several temperature sensors all over the vacuum chamber, a “golden orbit” was established by minimization of vacuum chamber heating.

1.4 Lifetime

After 50 Ampere hours of accumulated beam dose the average pressure is \( 1.6 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ mbar} \) without beam and \( 1.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ mbar} \) at 400 mA, composed to 25 % from carbon monoxide with the rest mainly hydrogen. At 250 mA we measured a lifetime of 15 hrs in perfect agreement with theory. At this current level, Touschek and gas scattering contribute equally to the losses, where, again, bremsstrahlung and elastic scattering contribute equally to gas scattering. Vertical acceptance was limited to \( \approx 5 \text{ mm-mrad} \) by the UE212 vacuum chamber. Closing undulator gaps to 4 mm full height will reduce it to \( \approx 2 \text{ mm-mrad} \) and thus increase the losses due to elastic scattering.

Touschek lifetime of course dominates in single bunch operation: We measured the expected 15 mA-hrs for the product of lifetime \( \times \) current up to 6 mA of single bunch current. Above we observe lifetime increase by orders of magnitude indicating a blow-up of the bunch, that needs further investigation.
1.5 Beam stability

The closure of the four kicker injection bump [5] has been optimized, leaving a betatron oscillation of the stored beam which amounts to only 150 \( \mu \)m horizontally (rms from all BPMs for next turns following injection).

The jitter of the stored beam due to the booster’s 3 Hz ramping cycle was measured to be \( \approx 0.3 \mu \)m at the location of the insertion devices. A horizontal jitter of 3 \( \mu \)m found at 50 Hz in dispersive regions only indicates an 10 ppm energy jitter due to interferences from the mains supply.


2 COMMISSIONING OF THE INJECTOR

2.1 Linac

The 100 MeV linac has been described earlier [9] including the commissioning results. All parameters fulfil or exceed the design specifications. By June 2001 the linac had acquired 2100 hours of operation. Reliability and reproducability are very good. The main problem concerns persistent multipactoring in the 500 MHz prebuncher, which therefore will be replaced.

2.2 Booster

The SLS booster synchrotron follows a novel concept to provide a low emittance beam for efficient filling of the ring while saving costs of both building and booster operation [8]: The machine is mounted onto the inner wall of the storage ring tunnel. The circumference is 270 m, 45 horizontally and 48 vertically focussing bending magnets (also containing the sextupolar fields) in three achromatic arcs provide a low emittance of 10 nm-rad at 2.4 GeV. Three quadrupole families in three straight sections allow variation of the tunes. Two additional discrete sextupole families for manipulation of the chromaticity have never been used. The diameters of the elliptical vacuum chamber are 30 mm in the horizontal and 20 mm in the vertical. Ramping to 2.4 GeV in a 3 Hz cycle is done by a digital power supply [7], also single cycles may be triggered for top up injection.

It was found to be more efficient, to start the ramp at 60 MeV and inject the 100 MeV beam from the linac on the slope for faster acceleration in order to decrease losses due to gas scattering at low energy. With a maximum current of 1 mA extracted at 2.4 GeV a full fill of the storage can be done within three minutes. Injection efficiency from booster to ring amounts to 100 %, from linac to booster 60 % only, which is subject to further investigation.

By June 2001 the booster had acquired 1800 operating hours at excellent reliability and reproducability and without any major faults.

3 CONCLUSION

Construction and commissioning of all three machines of the SLS complex was straightforward and well within time schedule and budget.

The achievements on the performance are based on strict quality control for fabrication of magnets, girders and other components, robust mechanical concepts and precise alignment, reliable and flexible digital power supplies [7], rich and powerful diagnostic systems including turn by turn BPMs [10] and \( \mu \)s-shutter cameras [12], a flexible and powerful environments for machine control and application development [2], and, last but not least, a high sense of responsibility from all PSI employees.

4 OUTLOOK

The four initial insertion devices will all have been implemented by August 2001. For the period of Sept.–Dec. 2001, 70 % of the beam time is scheduled for user operation. By end of 2001 the systems for position control (HLS, HPS), for multibunch feedback and for fast orbit feedback should become operational. Spring 2002 will already see installation of a 3rd harmonic superconducting twin cavity for increasing the beam lifetime. Generation of femtosecond X-ray pulses by means of laser beam slicing is planned for 2003 [6].
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