Molecular hydrogen formation in the interstellar medium
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ABSTRACT

We have developed a model for molecular hydrogen formation under astrophysically relevant conditions. This model takes fully into account the presence of both physisorbed and chemisorbed sites on the surface, allows quantum mechanical diffusion as well as thermal hopping for absorbed H-atoms, and has been benchmarked versus recent laboratory experiments on H$_2$ formation on silicate surfaces. The results show that H$_2$ formation on grain surface is efficient in the interstellar medium up to some 300K. At low temperatures (≤100K), H$_2$ formation is governed by the reaction of a physisorbed H with a chemisorbed H. At higher temperatures, H$_2$ formation proceeds through reaction between two chemisorbed H atoms. We present simple analytical expressions for H$_2$ formation which can be adopted to a wide variety of surfaces once their surfaces characteristics have been determined experimentally.

Subject headings: dust, extinction – molecular hydrogen – ISM:molecules – molecular processes

1. Introduction

Molecular hydrogen is the most abundant molecule in the universe and dominates the mass budget of gas in regions of star formation. H$_2$ is also an important chemical intermediate in the formation of larger species and can be an important gas coolant in some conditions, particularly in the early universe. Yet, despite its importance, the H$_2$ formation process is still not well understood. Observationally, it has been shown that H$_2$ can be efficiently formed over a wide range of temperatures (Jura 1974; Tielens & Hollenbach 1985a, 1985b; Hollenbach & McKee 1979). Theoretically, Gould and Salpeter (1963)
showed the inefficiency of H\textsubscript{2} formation in the gas phase and concluded that recombination of physisorbed H on “dirty” ice surfaces is efficient between 10 and 20K. Hollenbach and Salpeter (1970; 1971), recognizing that this small temperature range presents a problem, considered reactions involving H atoms bound to dislocations and impurities with energy exceeding normal physisorbed energies, and obtained a recombination efficiency \( \approx 1 \) up to a critical temperature between 25 and 50K. Goodman (1978) calculated the quantum and thermal mobility of the atoms on graphite grains assuming that these atoms could only be physisorbed. Many studies focussed for various reasons on icy surfaces where H is physisorbed (Buch & Zhang 1991; Takahashi et al. 1999). However, most astrophysically relevant material (e.g., silicates, graphite) can bind H also in chemisorbed sites (Barlow & Silk, 1976, Aronowitz & Chang 1980; Leitch-Devlin & Williams 1984; Klose 1992; Fromherz et al. 1993). On these surfaces, binding can occur in a physisorption layer (E\texttilde500K) at a distance of some Z\textasciitilde3Å as well as in a chemisorption layer (E\texttilde10000K) deeper into the surface Z\textasciitilde0.5Å (Barlow & Silk, 1976; Zangwill, 1988). Recently, Katz et al. (1999) developed a model for H\textsubscript{2} formation benchmarked by two sets of experiments. This model considers the atoms bound only in physisorbed sites and diffusing only thermally on the surface, colliding and recombining to form molecules. As for the ice models studied earlier, this allows molecule formation only below 15K for olivine grains and 20K for carbon grains which contradicts the ISM observations. Perusing these models, it is clear that the process of the H\textsubscript{2} formation is governed by the binding of atomic H to the surface and the concomitant mobility of these atoms (Leitch-Devlin & Williams 1984; Tielens & Allamandola 1987). Surface diffusion can occur through quantum mechanical tunneling (at low temperatures) and through thermal hopping (at high temperatures). For a proper description of molecular hydrogen formation in the ISM both types of binding diffusion processes have to be taken into account (Cazaux & Tielens 2002).
2. Model

Recently, we have developed a model for $\text{H}_2$ formation on grain surfaces based upon Langmuir kinetics where species accrete, migrate, react and the product species, possibly assisted by thermal energy, evaporate. This model is based on two main points: 1) The atomic mobilities are due to a combination of quantum tunneling and thermal diffusion and this is controlled by the temperature of the grain and the mass of the species. 2) Atoms can bind to the surface in two energetically different sites: a chemisorption site and a physisorption site. These interaction energies set the migration between the different sites and the reactions among the species. We have compared the results of our model with laboratory experiments on molecular hydrogen formation on olivine surfaces (Pirronello et al. 1999; Katz et al. 1999) to determine the relevant parameters. Here, we extrapolate this model to study $\text{H}_2$ formation under astrophysically relevant conditions (e.g., low accretion rates and long timescales). We consider three different populations: physisorbed H, chemisorbed H and physisorbed $\text{H}_2$. The surface concentrations of these species are described by three rate equations. Using the surface concentration of these species, the $\text{H}_2$ desorption rate can be determined, which contains two contributions. First order desorption occurs when the grain temperature is high enough to allow evaporation of previously formed molecules. Second order desorption occurs when two atoms encounter and the newly formed molecule is directly released into the gas phase. We define $H_P$, $H_C$ and $H_2$ as the physisorbed H, chemisorbed H and molecular hydrogen concentrations, respectively; their evaporation rate are written as $\beta_{HP}$, $\beta_{HC}$, $\beta_{H_2}$. The different mobilities to go from a site $i$ to a site $j$ are given by $\alpha_{ij}$ where $i$ and $j$ are either a physisorbed site (P) or a chemisorbed site (C), and where the barrier between two sites is assumed to be square. However, the shape of the barrier is found out to be unimportant (see below). $\mu$ is the fraction of $\text{H}_2$ which stays on the surface upon formation. The rate equation can then be written as (for details see Cazaux & Tielens 2002),
\[
\dot{H}_P = F(1 - H_P - H_2) - \alpha_{pc} H_P - 2\alpha_{pp} H_P^2 + \alpha_{cp} H_C(1 - H_P) - \beta_{H_2} H_P \tag{1}
\]
\[
\dot{H}_C = \alpha_{pc} H_P (1 - H_C) - \alpha_{pc} H_P H_C - \alpha_{cp} H_C - 2\alpha_{cc} H_C^2 \beta_{H_2} H_C \tag{2}
\]
\[
\dot{H}_2 = \mu(2\alpha_{pp} H_P^2 + \alpha_{pc} H_P H_C + \alpha_{cp} H_C H_P + \alpha_{cc} H_C^2) - \beta_{H_2} H_2 \tag{3}
\]

Where \( F \) is accretion rate in unit of monolayers per second (ML. s\(^{-1}\)). The first order \( H_2 \) desorption rate is given by:

\[
k_1 = \beta_{H_2} H_2 \tag{4}
\]

For second order desorption several processes contribute depending on whether physisorbed, chemisorbed atoms or both are involved:

\[
k_2 = (1 - \mu)(\alpha_{pc} H_P H_C + \alpha_{cp} H_C H_P + \alpha_p H_P^2 + \alpha_{cc} H_C^2) \tag{5}
\]

\( \beta_i \), the desorption rate for a population \( i \) is written \( \beta_i = \nu_i \exp \left[ -\frac{E_i}{kT} \right] \), where \( \nu_i \) is the frequency factor of species \( i \), perpendicular to the surface, and \( E_i \) the desorption energy of species \( i \). The total \( H_2 \) formation rate, \( R \), is the sum of the first and second order terms, and the \( H_2 \) recombination efficiency – the fraction of the accreted hydrogen which desorbs as \( H_2^- \) – is defined by \( \epsilon = \frac{2R}{F} \). The parameters in this model are summarized in Table 1. They have been discussed extensively elsewhere (see Cazaux & Tielens 2002). We have integrated this set of time dependent equations using a Runge-Kutta method with adaptative stepsize control, (Odeint, Subroutine package from Numerical Recipes 1992) until steady state was achieved. Under astrophysically relevant conditions, steady state is generally achieved. These steady state results are shown as a function of \( T \) in fig. 1 for three different \( H \)-fluxes. All curves are characterized by a very low efficiency at low temperatures, a steep rise around \( \sim 5K \) – whose location depends on the actual \( H \)-flux –, an efficiency of unity until some 25K, followed by a gradual decline to \( \sim 0.2 \) at \( \sim 100K \),
and a final drop to very low efficiency around 300K, whose location again depends on the actual H accretion rate. In steady state, the system of equations 1-3 can be simplified by setting the time derivatives equal to zero. We can now discern two different temperatures regimes – low temperatures \((T \leq 100K)\) and high temperatures \((T \geq 100K)\) – where different processes dominate H\(_2\) formation. In these limits, the set of steady state equations can be further simplified and this yields much insight in the numerical results.

### 2.1. Physisorbed H

At low temperatures, by far the most efficient way to form H\(_2\) is when a physisorbed atom reacts with a chemisorbed atom. Other routes forward H\(_2\) as well as the evaporation of chemisorbed atoms are negligible. Realizing that \(H_P\) is always small compare to 1 (physisorbed H quickly migrate to a chemisorbed well), the steady state equations can be simplified to,

\[
F(1 - H_2) - \alpha_{pc} H_P - \beta_{H_P} H_P = 0 \tag{6}
\]

\[
\alpha_{pc} H_P (1 - 2H_C) = 0 \tag{7}
\]

\[
\mu(\alpha_{pc} H_P H_C) - \beta H_2 H_2 = 0 \tag{8}
\]

The different populations can easily be determined in this system (e.g., \(H_C = \frac{1}{2}\), \(H_P = \left(\frac{\alpha_{pc}}{2\beta_{H_2}} + \frac{\alpha_{pc}}{F} + \frac{\beta H_2}{F}\right)^{-1}\) and \(H_2 = \frac{\alpha_{pc}}{2\beta_{H_2}} H_P\), the H\(_2\) desorption rate is calculated and we deduce the recombination efficiency:

\[
\epsilon_{H_2} = \left(\frac{\mu F}{2\beta_{H_2}} + 1 + \frac{\beta_{H_P}}{\alpha_{pc}}\right)^{-1} \tag{9}
\]

We can recognize three different regimes in this temperature range. At really low temperatures, below some 10K, the high mobility of H atoms due to tunneling permits the recombination of incoming H atoms. The H\(_2\) formed stays on the surface, since
the temperature is not high enough to allow evaporation and blocks further H-atom accretion. Then, the recombination efficiency is $\epsilon_H = \left[ \frac{\mu F}{2 \beta H_2} \right]^{-1}$. At higher temperatures, (6-25K) the desorption rate depends only on the flux because all the incoming H atoms adsorb, recombine and desorb as $H_2$. In this temperature regime, hydrogen accretes into a physisorbed site but quickly drops into a chemisorbed well. If this chemisorbed site is empty, the H atom will be trapped. If an H atom is already present, reaction will occur and the product will evaporate quickly either upon formation or thermally assisted; thus, $H_C = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\epsilon_H = 1$. Between ~25K up to ~100K, evaporation of physisorbed atoms competes with recombination, and the desorption rate decreases considerably.

### 2.2. Chemisorbed H

With increasing temperature, the physisorbed (at T~100K) and then the chemisorbed atoms (at T~300K) will start to evaporate. The most efficient reaction to form $H_2$ is then the “collision” of two chemisorbed atoms. The system of equations reduces to,

\begin{align*}
F - \alpha_{pc} H_P - \beta_{H_P} H_P &= 0 \quad (10) \\
\alpha_{pc} H_P - 2\alpha_{cc} H_C^2 - \beta_{H_C} H_C &= 0 \quad (11) \\
\mu (\alpha_{cc} H_C^2) - \beta_{H_2} H_2 &= 0 \quad (12)
\end{align*}

and the $H_2$ recombination efficiency can be written as,

$$
\epsilon = \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_{H_P}}{\alpha_{pc}} \right)^{-1} \xi \quad (13)
$$

with $\xi$ the correction factor at high temperatures,

$$
\xi = \left( 1 + \frac{\beta_{H_C}^2 \beta_{H_P}}{2F \alpha_{pc} \alpha_{cc}} \right)^{-1} \quad (14)
$$

which reflects the evaporation of chemisorbed H. This factor is flux dependent.
2.3. General expression for the recombination efficiency

The above expression for the $H_2$ recombination efficiency can be combined into one general expression valid at any temperatures:

$$
\epsilon_{H_2} = \left(1 + \frac{\mu F}{2\beta_{H_2}} + \frac{\beta_{H_2}}{\alpha_{pc}}\right)^{-1} \xi
$$

(15)

The mobilities, $\alpha_{PC}$ and $\alpha_{CC}$, are due to a combination of quantum mechanical tunneling and thermal hopping but considering the temperatures at which these parameters play a role, these mobilities are dominated by thermal hopping. Therefore, the $H_2$ recombination efficiency is independent of the width of the considered barriers, and we can approximate $\xi$ and $\frac{\beta_{H_2}}{\alpha_{pc}}$ by the following expressions:

$$
\xi = \left(1 + \frac{\nu_{pc} \exp \left(-\frac{1.5E_{HC}}{kT}\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{E_{HC} - E_S}{E_{H_2} - E_S}}\right)^2}{2F}\right)^{-1}
$$

(16)

$$
\frac{\beta_{H_2}}{\alpha_{pc}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{E_{HC} - E_S}{E_{H_2} - E_S}}\right)^2 \exp \left[-\frac{E_S}{kT}\right]
$$

(17)

The parameters in these expressions have been determined from experimental data (Table 1; Cazaux & Tielens 2002). The $H_2$ recombination efficiency for three different flux is reported figure 1. In astrophysical environments, the recombination rate is written:

$$
R_{H_2} = \frac{1}{2} n_H v_H n_d \sigma_d \epsilon_{H_2} S_H(T)
$$

(18)

where $n_H$ and $v_H$ are the number density and the thermal velocity of H atoms in the gas phase, $n_d \sigma_d$ is the total cross section of the interstellar grains and $S_H(T)$ is the sticking coefficient of the H atoms which can depend on temperature.
3. Discussion

Our study reveals the presence of two distinct regimes of H\textsubscript{2} formation, which reflect directly the presence of two types of atomic H binding sites. At low temperature (T≤100K), H\textsubscript{2} formation involves migration of physisorbed H atoms. At higher temperatures (T≥100K), H\textsubscript{2} formation results from chemisorbed H recombination. The presence of these two types of binding sites allow H\textsubscript{2} formation to proceed relatively efficiently even at elevated temperatures. The study of Hollenbach and Salpeter (1971) focused on icy surfaces on which H can only physisorb. As a result, H\textsubscript{2} formation ceased at temperatures in excess of ∼20K. Recognizing this problem, Hollenbach and Salpeter involved the presence of enhanced binding sites on the ice with ill-determined parameters. These sites allowed H\textsubscript{2} formation to proceed up to some 75K. Since their study, it has become abundantly clear that interstellar grains are not covered by ice in the diffuse interstellar medium (Whittet et al. 1983, 1988). Silicate and graphitic surfaces are now widely accepted as astrophysically relevant grain surfaces (Mathis 1991) and those surfaces intrinsically possess enhanced binding sites; e.g., chemisorbed sites. The parameters of these chemisorbed sites have not yet been well determined because experiments have focused on low temperature H\textsubscript{2} formation (Pirronello et al. 1997; Katz et al. 1999). The values adopted in this study are however quite reasonable and illustrate the efficiency of H\textsubscript{2} formation at elevated temperatures well. When future experiments determine the values of the parameters involved (E\textsubscript{H\textsubscript{C}}, \textit{E}_{\text{H\textsubscript{P}}}, \mu), the results can be directly adjusted. Inside dense clouds, interstellar grains are covered by ice. Of course, in such environments, almost all hydrogen is already in molecular form and H\textsubscript{2} formation is perhaps only of academic interest. Nevertheless, we note that in such environments, molecular hydrogen formation may proceed mainly through H-abstraction from molecules such as H\textsubscript{2}S and N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} (Tielens and Hagen 1982). In a sense, these species act as “chemisorption” sites for hydrogen. Migrating atomic H may tunnel through the reaction barriers involved and form H\textsubscript{2}. Eventually, these ice covered
grains are transported back into the diffuse ISM, when the molecular cloud is disrupted. Photodesorption and sputtering in strong shocks quickly remove their ice on a timescale of some $10^6$ yr (Tielens and Hagen 1982, Jones et al. 1994, Draine and Salpeter 1979, Barlow 1978). At that point, molecular hydrogen formation is again governed by the properties of bare grain surfaces. Similarly, any (thin) layer accreted in the diffuse interstellar medium will be quickly sputtered in even a modest velocity ($\sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$) shock (Jones et al. 1994). Finally, the formation efficiency of molecular hydrogen will also depend on the sticking coefficient of H atoms colliding with the grain. In our model and the formulae derived, the sticking coefficient is subsumed in the incident flux, $F$. Astrophysical studies of sticking of H on grain surfaces have concentrated on physisorbed interactions and the sticking coefficient is $\sim 1$ at low temperatures and decreases with increasing temperature to about 0.3 at $T=300$K (Hollenbach & Salpeter 1970; Hollenbach & McKee 1979; Burke & Hollenbach 1983; Leitch-Devlin & Williams 1985). However, if the interaction occurs through much stronger chemisorption, then the sticking coefficient might be large even at high temperatures (Tielens & Allamandola 1987; Duley & Williams 1984).

### 3.1. Summary and conclusions

Recently, we have modelled molecular hydrogen formation on grain surfaces. This model consider hydrogen atoms bound to the surface at two energy levels (i.e. chemisorption and physisorption). The H mobility from one site to another is a combination of tunelling effect and thermal diffusion. This model has been experimentally benchmarked (Pirronello et al. 1997a, 1997b, 1999) and the relevant surface characteristics have been determined. These characteristics allow us to extend our model for $\text{H}_2$ formation under astrophysically relevant conditions. The results show efficient $\text{H}_2$ formation from $\sim 6$K to $\sim 300$K. The different processes involved in $\text{H}_2$ formation at different temperatures has been discussed.
Until about 100K, H₂ forms by recombination of a physisorbed H with a chemisorbed H and is highly efficient. At higher temperatures, when physisorbed atoms evaporate quickly, the recombination of two chemisorbed atoms is required to form H₂. H₂ formation is then less efficient, $\epsilon_{H_2} \sim 0.2$. The parameters involved in H chemisorption and H₂ formation at high temperatures are presently not well known. The adopted values are very reasonable and the gross characteristics – H₂ formation at high temperatures – are undoubtedly correct. Nevertheless, future experiments are very important to determine the maximum temperature to which H₂ formation in the ISM can occur.
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Table 1: Model parameters for silicate surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{H_2}^a$</th>
<th>$\mu^a$</th>
<th>$E_S^a$</th>
<th>$E_{HP}^a$</th>
<th>$E_{HC}^a$</th>
<th>$\nu_{H_2}^a$</th>
<th>$\nu_{HC}^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
<td>$s^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more details about the determination and calculation of these parameters, see Cazaux & Tielens 2002

Note. — $E_{H_2}$, $E_{HP}$, and $E_{HC}$ are the desorption energies of H$_2$, physisorbed H (H$_P$) and chemisorbed H (H$_C$), and $E_S$ is the energy of the saddle point between two physisorbed sites. $\mu$ is the fraction of the newly formed H$_2$ which stays on the surface and $\nu_{H_2}$ and $\nu_{HC}$ are the vibrational frequencies of H$_2$ and H in their surface sites.
Fig. 1.— H$_2$ recombination efficiency for three different flux: $10^{-15}$ monolayers per second (solid), $10^{-10}$ monolayers per second (dash) and $10^{-5}$ monolayers per second (dot-dash).