The formation of gauge bosons is described here, along with the procedure to calculate the cross section for these processes. Beyond this, the mass of the Higgs boson is discussed, and we find the mass to be relatively low, around 125 GeV. The main focus is on the decays of the Higgs boson, which are also discussed in detail.

The discovery of a charged Higgs boson at the LHC opens new possibilities for new physics, as the LHC is expected to be sensitive to such particles. The production of a charged Higgs boson can be studied in various collisions at the LHC.

Abstract

We present results for the inclusive production of a charged Higgs boson at the LHC in the Drell-Yan limit. We also study the production of a charged Higgs boson in association with a Z boson and a W boson.

I. Introduction

The discovery of the Higgs boson is a significant milestone in particle physics. The most direct and definitive evidence for the existence of the Higgs boson comes from its discovery at the LHC. The Higgs boson is a scalar particle that mediates the electromagnetic force, and its existence is predicted by the Standard Model of particle physics.

The Standard Model (SM) is the most successful description of the fundamental forces and particles in nature. It predicts the existence of a scalar boson, the Higgs boson, which gives mass to other particles. The Higgs boson is a key component of the SM, and its discovery is a confirmation of the SM.

The SM is an extension of the electroweak theory, which unifies the electromagnetic and weak nuclear forces. The SM predicts the existence of three generations of quarks and leptons, and it also predicts the existence of three types of gauge bosons: the photon, the W and Z bosons, and the gluon.

The SM also predicts the existence of a Higgs boson, which is responsible for giving mass to the other particles. The Higgs boson is a scalar particle, and it is predicted to have a mass of around 125 GeV.

The discovery of the Higgs boson is a significant milestone in particle physics, and it opens new possibilities for new physics. The discovery of a charged Higgs boson can be studied in various collisions at the LHC, and it can provide new insights into the nature of the Higgs boson and the SM.
rather insensitive to the underlying model. More interesting in this respect is the associated \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production process. At an \( e^+e^- \) collider the process is effectively loop-induced and at hadron colliders the gluon-fusion process is loop-induced. Loop-induced processes depend already at leading order on the virtual particles in the loops. Thus, with a cross section measurement of such a process one would gain information about the underlying model.

**MSSM parameter scenario:**

We are interested in scenarios which potentially show large effects from virtual squarks and especially from Stops. Therefore, the MSSM parameter scenario used for the numerical results presented here has a rather low Squark mass scale and shows large mixing in the Stop sector. We choose: a) the common sfermion mass scale \( M_{\text{SUSY}} = 350 \text{ GeV} \), b) the Stop mixing parameter \( X_t = A_t - \mu \cot \beta = -800 \text{ GeV} \), c) the supersymmetric Higgs mass parameter \( \mu = 300 \text{ GeV} \), d) the gaugino mass parameters \( \approx O(1 \text{ TeV}) \).

The main features of the resulting scenario are the following. a) No mass bounds from direct search results are violated and indirect constraints on the parameters coming from requiring vacuum stability and from experimental bounds on the electroweak precision observables are also fulfilled. b) The mass of the light Stop is of order 100 GeV and there is a large mass splitting between the two Stop mass eigenstates. c) The Stop mixing angle is approximately 45°. Thus maximal mixing occurs, i.e. all entries in the Stop mixing matrix have approximately the same magnitude. d) The mass of the lightest MSSM Higgs boson \( m_h \) is almost maximal with respect to \( X_t \) (because \( |X_t| \approx 2M_{\text{SUSY}} \) \( [4] \)). Note that this scenario allows for the production of Stop pairs at the energy scales considered in the following sections and the decay of the charged Higgs into Stop and Sbottom will be kinematically allowed for \( m_{H^\pm} \gtrsim 450 \text{ GeV} \).

## 2 \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production at the LHC

There are two partonic processes contributing to \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production at hadron colliders like the LHC \(^2\). On the one hand there is the tree-level process \( b\bar{b} \) annihilation and on the other hand there is the loop-induced gluon fusion process (see Figure 1). Both processes have been considered already in \([5]\), but in the MSSM calculation squark loops had been neglected. The full MSSM prediction for the gluon-fusion process appeared much later \([6, 7]\).

\( b\bar{b} \) annihilation has to be considered if the bottom quark is treated as an active flavour in the proton. In Figure 1 all Feynman diagrams contributing to tree-level to the amplitude are displayed. There are \( s \)-channel exchange diagrams for each of the neutral Higgs bosons and a \( t \)-channel diagram including a virtual top quark. Recently, the QCD corrections to the \( b\bar{b} \) annihilation process became known \([9]\).

The gluon fusion process is mediated by loops of virtual quarks and squarks (see Figure 1). Thus, the amplitude naturally splits into two subsets, one consisting of the diagrams with quark loops (THDM amplitude) and the other consisting of all diagrams with squark loops (squark amplitude). Figure 1 shows essentially all types of Feynman diagrams that contribute to the process in the MSSM.

The reason why we treat a tree-level and a loop-induced process on equal footing is the much larger number of gluon fusion events compared to \( b\bar{b} \) annihilation events at a high energy proton collider. Formally, the distributions of bottom quarks and anti-quarks are

\(^2\)The work presented in this section has been done in collaboration with Wolfgang Hollik and Shinya Kanemura \([6]\).
Figure 1: Typical Feynman diagrams for the partonic processes gluon fusion and $b\bar{b}$ annihilation contributing to $W^\pm H^\mp$ production at a hadron collider.

suppressed by one power of $\alpha_S$ compared to the gluon distribution which is of order one. This is because the bottom is a heavy quark which doesn’t have a valence distribution in the proton. Therefore, its distribution is generated mainly by gluon splitting processes. By naively using the bottom quark distribution in order to estimate the hadronic cross section via $b\bar{b}$ annihilation it is well known that one overestimates the true cross section [8]. The way to improve the prediction is to include additional processes of the same order in $\alpha_S$ like $gb \to bH^+W^- + c.c.$ or $gg \to b\bar{b}H^+W^-$. But then a suitable subtraction of configurations with collinear bottom quarks of these additional processes has to be applied in order to avoid double-counting contributions which are already resummed in the bottom quark distribution [3, 10]. In our analysis we use for the $b\bar{b}$ process the LO cross section with a running bottom mass instead of the pole mass as an approximation to the NLO result [9] which leads to a considerable reduction of the hadronic cross section. But still, this might be an overestimation.

MSSM results:

Naturally, the $b\bar{b}$ cross section is rather insensitive to the superpartner spectrum as no SUSY contributions appear at LO. The gluon fusion process shows, as expected, large sensitivity to the quark spectrum which appears in the loops. Especially, the quark contribution to the amplitude can get large due to threshold effects in the box loops. A striking feature of the quark loop contribution to the gluon fusion amplitude, already noted in [5], is a large destructive interference between quark loops of box- and triangle-type. Both partonic processes, $b\bar{b}$ annihilation and gluon fusion, are rather sensitive to the neutral Higgs sector. As a consequence, in the THDM the choice of the masses of the neutral Higgs bosons can influence the cross section dramatically (see section 2), whereas in the MSSM the neutral Higgs boson masses are essentially fixed, once values have been assigned to $m_{h^\pm}$ and $\tan \beta$.

Figure 2 shows the MSSM prediction for the hadronic cross section for $W^\pm H^\mp$ production at the LHC. The contributions from $b\bar{b}$ annihilation and gluon fusion are displayed separately as a function of the charged Higgs mass $m_{h^\pm}$ and $\tan \beta$. The results of the full MSSM (using the parameter scenario described above) are compared to a MSSM-like THDM (denoted by
sTHDM in Figure 2). For the $b\bar{b}$ annihilation only one line, valid for both cases, is shown. The gluon fusion process instead is significantly different in the full MSSM and the MSSM-like THDM. The cross section via gluon fusion in the full MSSM can be up to an order of magnitude larger than in the sTHDM in our scenario. This is mainly due to threshold effects in the box-type squark loops which are not present in the sTHDM amplitude. The effect is maximal, if the production threshold \( \sqrt{s} \approx 2m_{H^\pm} \) (here \( \approx 680 \text{ GeV} \)) where the threshold peak in the partonic cross section appears. This happens in the left plot in Figure 2 for \( m_{H^\pm} \approx 450 \text{ GeV} \). For this interesting value of \( m_{H^\pm} \) the right plot in Figure 2 shows the \( \tan \beta \)-dependence. One can see that the pronounced minimum in the MSSM-like THDM has completely disappeared in the full MSSM and especially for \( \tan \beta \) up to about 15 the cross section for the gluon fusion process has the same order of magnitude as for the $b\bar{b}$ annihilation process. Of course for large \( \tan \beta \) the $b\bar{b}$ annihilation process dominates.
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\caption{Hadronic cross section for $W^{\pm} H^\mp$ production via $b\bar{b}$ annihilation and gluon fusion as a function of $m_{H^\pm}$ and $\tan \beta$.}
\end{figure}

**THDM results:**
In the MSSM the mass relations among the Higgs bosons for large $m_{H^\pm}$ lead to
\[
m_{h_0} = \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV}), \quad m_{H^0} \approx m_{A^0} \approx m_{H^\pm}.
\]
This has some interesting consequences for the process under study. a) The contributions of neutral Higgs s-channel exchange cannot decouple from the process, because the masses of the heavy Higgs bosons are nearly degenerate. b) Resonant s-channel Higgs exchange is impossible in the MSSM, because the threshold $m_{H^\pm} + m_W$ is always larger than any of the masses of the neutral Higgs bosons \( (m_{h_0}, m_{H^0}, m_{A^0}) \). c) Therefore, the negative interference between box- and triangle-type quark loops in the gluon fusion amplitude seems to be unavoidable in the MSSM.

But this is not true in the general THDM. As all Higgs masses are free parameters, there can be resonant s-channel exchange of neutral Higgs bosons. It is also possible to choose some
of the neutral Higgs bosons much heavier than the threshold \( m_{H^\pm} + m_W \), thus suppressing their contribution to the amplitude. Thus, in the general THDM the above-mentioned strong negative interference need not be present and therefore the cross section can be much larger than in the MSSM.

In Figure 3 one example for the typical behaviour in the general THDM is shown. We take \( m_{H^\pm} = 400 \text{ GeV}, \tan \beta = 6 \) and the MSSM values for the mass of the lightest Higgs \( m_{h_0} \) and the mixing angle in the Higgs sector \( \alpha \). Figure 3 shows a contour plot of the hadronic cross section for \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production via gluon fusion as a function of the neutral Higgs masses \( m_{A^0} \) and \( m_{H^0} \). The point where the scenario coincides with a MSSM-like THDM is marked by a red cross (labelled sTHDM). In this case the cross section via gluon fusion is 0.48 fb (via \( b\bar{b} \) annihilation: 2.7 fb). The parameter region displayed in Figure 3 is roughly the region which is compatible with negative direct search results for \( H^0 \) and \( A^0 \) bosons and the requirement of tree-level unitarity. Within the star-shaped region, bounded by the thick lines in the plot, the scenarios are compatible with the measured value of the electroweak rho-parameter. The cross section shows a steep rise if one of the Higgs masses, \( m_{A^0} \) or \( m_{H^0} \), gets bigger than the \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production threshold which is due to the resonant behavior of the corresponding s-channel exchange diagrams. But, even without any resonance in the partonic cross section near the \( W^\pm H^\mp \) threshold the cross section can be up to a factor 500 larger than in the corresponding MSSM-like THDM (e.g. for \( m_{A^0} = 800 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_{H^0} = 400 \text{ GeV} \) we have \( \sigma = 470 \text{ fb} \) via gluon fusion plus 860 fb via \( b\bar{b} \) annihilation). It seems that some scenarios in the general THDM can be already distinguished from the MSSM just by looking at the rate of \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production.

![Figure 3: Hadronic cross section for \( W^\pm H^\mp \) production via gluon fusion in fb as a function of the neutral Higgs masses \( m_{A^0} \) and \( m_{H^0} \) in the general THDM. The thin coloured lines represent lines of equal cross section. The parameter regions between the thick lines and the corners of the plot are excluded (see text).](image-url)
3 \quad e^+e^- \rightarrow W^\pm H^\mp

The leading contributions to the amplitude are one-loop Feynman diagrams (see Figure 4).\textsuperscript{3} There are diagrams with $H\rightarrow W$ and $G\rightarrow H$ mixing self-energy insertions and there are triangle- and box-type diagrams which contain either only THDM particles or superpartners in the loop. The calculation of the cross section in the framework of the THDM has been done by several authors\cite{ref1} and only recently the MSSM calculation has been done\cite{ref2,ref3}.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon \quad \gamma, Z \quad W \\
\epsilon \quad \gamma, Z \quad W \\
\end{array}
\end{array} \quad + \text{boxes} \]

Figure 4: Feynman diagrams contributing to the amplitude of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^\pm H^\mp$. Only generic one-loop vertex insertions are shown.

**MSSM results:**

Typically the vertex diagrams give the main contribution to the cross section. Especially, vertex graphs with loops of third generation quarks and squarks which couple to the outgoing charged Higgs boson are dominant. In Figure 5 the predicted cross section for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^\pm H^\mp$ is displayed for the full MSSM using the scenario of section 1 and the corresponding MSSM-like THDM (sTHDM). The Figure shows examples for the $m_{H^\pm}$- and $\tan \beta$-dependence of the cross section. Generically, for large $\tan \beta$ the result for our MSSM scenario is considerably larger than for the corresponding THDM (up to two orders of magnitude), as exemplified by the $\tan \beta$-plot in Figure 5. The enhancement with respect to the sTHDM case is especially due to the squark-loop diagrams which contain enhanced couplings to the charged Higgs. The $m_{H^\pm}$-plot in Figure 5 shows the cross section for $\tan \beta = 30$, i.e. in the area of large enhancement with respect to $\tan \beta$. It is interesting that the MSSM prediction stays at least one order of magnitude larger than the sTHDM prediction over the entire charged Higgs mass range which is kinematically accessible.

The large differences between the MSSM prediction in our scenario and the corresponding THDM prediction suggest that, once a charged Higgs is found, one might gain valuable information about the underlying model it is embedded in by observing the associated production channel. The results presented here assume unpolarized beams. Note that optimal polarization of $e^-$ and $e^+$ can increase the cross section almost by a factor of four. It seems that large corrections by neutralino and chargino loops are possible, but this issue is still under investigation\cite{ref3}.

4 **Summary**

We investigated the predictions of the MSSM and the THDM for $W^\pm H^\mp$ production at the LHC and a future high energy $\epsilon^+\epsilon^-$ collider with 500 GeV center of mass energy. One interesting result for the hadron collider is that among the contributing partonic processes...\textsuperscript{3}The work presented in this section has been done in collaboration with Thomas Hahn and Wolfgang Hollik\cite{ref3}.
Figure 5: Unpolarized cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^{\pm}W^{\mp}$ for a center of mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV as function of $m_{H^\pm}$ and $\tan \beta$. The predictions of the MSSM in our scenario and the corresponding MSSM-like THDM (sTHDM) are compared.

the loop-induced gluon-fusion can compete with the tree-level $b\bar{b}$ annihilation in certain cases. Therefore and with regard to the potential pitfall of overestimating the $b\bar{b}$ cross section, a careful treatment of the $b\bar{b}$ process is needed (see [9, 10]). Furthermore, we showed that the hadronic cross section in the general THDM can be much larger than in the MSSM (about a factor 500-1000). At a high energy $e^+e^-$ collider the $W^{\pm}H^{\mp}$ production is especially important for the charged Higgs boson detection, if pair production is kinematically forbidden.

In general these loop-induced processes are highly model-dependent. Therefore, they are well suited to gain information about the underlying model. The MSSM scenarios with large Stop mixing and low sfermion mass scale, for which we showed here one example, can give rise to cross sections for $W^{\pm}H^{\mp}$ production at the LHC or $e^+e^-$ machines which are significantly different from a MSSM-like THDM.
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