Abstract. We present an overview of BeppoSAX Wide Field Cameras observations of the nine most frequent type I X-ray bursters in the Galactic center region. Six years of observations (from 1996 to 2002) have amounted to 7 Ms of Galactic center observations and the detection of 1823 bursts. The 3 most frequent bursters are GX 354−0 (423 bursts), KS 1731−260 (339) and GS 1826−24 (260). These numbers reflect an unique dataset. We show that all sources have the same global burst behavior as a function of luminosity. At the lowest luminosities ($L_X \leq 2 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) bursts occur quasi-periodically and the burst rate increases linearly with accretion rate (clear in e.g. GS 1826−24 and KS1731−260). At $L_{\text{pers}} = 2 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$ the burst rate drops by a factor of five. This corresponds to the transition from, on average, a hydrogen-rich to a pure helium environment in which the flashes originate that are responsible for the bursts. At higher luminosities the bursts recur irregularly; no bursts are observed at the highest luminosities. Our central finding is that most of the trends in bursting behavior are driven by the onset of stable hydrogen burning in the neutron star atmosphere. Furthermore, we notice three new observational fact which are difficult to explain with current burst theory: the presence of short pure-helium bursts at the lowest accretion regimes, the bimodal distribution of peak burst rates, and an accretion rate that is ten times higher than predicted at which the onset of stable hydrogen burning occurs. Finally, we note that our investigation is the first to signal quasi-periodic burst recurrence in KS1731-260, and a clear proportionality between the frequency of the quasi-periodicity and the persistent flux in GS 1826-24 and KS1731-260.
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1. Introduction

Since the discovery of type I bursts by Grindlay & Heise (1975) about 65 other X-ray bursters have been discovered (e.g., in ‘t Zand 2001). Most of these are concentrated toward the Galactic center, which illustrates their Galactic origin. X-ray bursts are characterized by a fast rise and an exponential decay with durations ranging from seconds to tens of minutes. Their spectrum can best be described by black body radiation with cooling during the decay of the burst. These type I X-ray bursts are due to unstable hydrogen/helium burning in a thin shell on a neutron star surface (see, e.g., the review by Lewin et al. 1993).

By far most of the X-ray bursts are emitted by sources, persistent or transient, with luminosities of 10^{36−37} erg s^{-1}. Some sources at higher persistent luminosities also show X-ray bursts (e.g., Kuulkers et al. 2002), but such bursts are less common. At lower luminosities bursts have also been sporadically observed (e.g., Gottlief & Kulkarni 1997, Cocchi et al. 2001a, Cornelisse et al. 2002).

Assuming that the amount of fuel burnt per burst is roughly the same, one expects that the burst rate increases linearly with accretion rate. However, for most X-ray bursters where it is possible to study this the opposite is observed (van Paradijs et al. 1988a), see e.g. GX 3+1 (den Hartog et al. 2002). Bildsten (2000) noted that the onset of a burst is governed by the local rather than the global accretion rate (see also Marshall 1982). If the area on the neutron star on which accretion takes place increases rapidly with the global accretion rate, the local accretion rate may actually drop, giving rise to a lower burst rate.

Observations of several sources (e.g., 4U 1705−44, Gottwald et al. 1989; EXO 0748−676, Gottwald et al. 1986), show different burst properties at different accretion rates. Fujimoto et al. (1981) predicted this behavior by showing that the composition of the unstable burning shell changes with accretion (see also Bildsten 1998 for a recent overview). Briefly, at the highest accretion rates (M > 10^{-9} M_⊙ yr^{-1}) the helium ignites in an unstable fashion in a mixed He/H environment, causing bursts with durations of minutes. At the intermediate accretion regime (10^{-9} > M/(M_⊙ yr^{-1}) > 2 × 10^{-10}) the helium ignites in an unstable fashion in a hydrogen-poor environment, causing bursts with durations smaller than 10 s. At the lowest accretion regime (M < 2 × 10^{-10} M_⊙ yr^{-1}) unstable hydrogen burning triggers a helium flash causing bursts with durations larger than 10 s.

If the accretion rate is stable over long periods of time, periodic burst behavior is expected. It should always take the same amount of time to accrete enough matter to start the unstable burning again. This quasi-periodic burst behavior is observed in several burst sources, for example 4U 1820−30 (Haberl et al. 1987) or 4U 1705−44 (Langmeier et al. 1987), but only for limited periods of time. During other periods the occurrence of bursts appear completely a-periodic. An exception is GS 1826−24 whose bursts are always seen to recur quasi periodically (Ubertini et al. 1999; Cocchi et al. 2001b).

In this paper we describe the burst behavior of the nine most frequent X-ray bursters in the Galactic center region observed with the Wide Field Cameras. All are known X-ray bursters and most of them are persistently bright (L_X ≈ 10^{36−37} erg s^{-1}). We compare these bursters with each other and with other bursters. The observations and the search for type I bursts are described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we present the results. We start in Sect. 3.1 with the general properties of the nine burst sources. In Sect. 3.2 we discuss the wait time as a function of persistent emission for the bursters where this is possible. In Sect. 3.3 we compare the exponential decay times of the bursts with the theoretical regimes. Finally in Sect. 4.1 we start with a summary of the observations and compare our results with previous studies and in Sect. 4.2 discuss some im-
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plications for burst theory. We also derive some general properties of the population of X-ray bursters.

2. Observations and data analysis

The BeppoSAX satellite operated from May 1996 until May 2002 (Boella et al. 1997). During this period the Wide Field Cameras (Jager et al. 1997) onboard the satellite observed the Galactic center region each spring and fall with an average schedule of one day per week. This adds up to 12 Galactic center campaigns with a total net observation time of 7 Ms. The Wide Field Cameras (WFC) are two identical coded mask cameras with a 40° × 40° field of view, a 5′ angular resolution, 2-28 keV bandpass and 20% spectral resolution (full width at half maximum at 6 keV). The large field of view combined with the good angular resolution makes it an excellent instrument to simultaneously observe a large fraction (50%) of the low mass X-ray binary (LMXB) population in our Galaxy when pointed at the Galactic center.

Each source in the field of view casts a shadow of the mask pattern on the detector. The detector accumulates the sum of differently shifted mask shadows. By cross-correlating this detector image with the mask pattern a sky image is reconstructed (e.g., in ’t Zand 1992). This procedure is supplemented with a dedicated iterative cleaning algorithm (Hammersley et al. 1992). When no new sources are detected in the iterative process the background is estimated from the (supposedly) empty sky image. Lightcurves are constructed in the full bandpass for each detected source with a time resolution of 5 s, which is a trade-off between the average duration of a type I burst (∼10 s) and the statistical quality of the data.

These lightcurves are searched for X-ray bursts in the following manner. We estimate the average flux and the standard deviation (σ) for each orbit (about 60 minutes of net exposure time). If a bin is at least 4σ above the average flux we mark this as a candidate burst. We estimate that we have, on average, only one false peak triggered as a burst per observation. A triggered bin is visually approved as a burst if the shape of the lightcurve around the bin can be described by a fast rise and exponential decay. If needed, this is done at 1 s time resolution. Thus, we detect all bursts with e-folding times ≥2 s and peak fluxes in excess of 0.5 Crab. Bursts with lower peak intensities or shorter e-folding times do exist but this is only a minor fraction compared to the bursts detected (e.g. Cocchi et al. 2001b, van Paradijs et al. 1988b).

We also searched the lightcurve of all photons detected on the whole detector (“detector lightcurve”) for X-ray bursts from sources whose persistent flux is below the detection limit of the WFC (see e.g., Cornelisse et al. 2002); note that this limit becomes worse toward the edge of the field of view. Detector lightcurves are created with a resolution of 1 s. A running average of 50 bins is calculated and if at maximum 24 successive bins are >4% above the average the first bin is labeled as a candidate burst. For all potential new bursts we cross-correlate the detector image again with the coded mask but only for the burst time interval. In this way a point source from which the burst originated may be identified. The sensitivity in this procedure is at most a factor of 2 worse than in the above mentioned procedure.

3. Results

3.1. Global burst behavior

A total of 1823 bursts have been detected from 37 sources in the Galactic center region, when not taking into account bursts from the Rapid Burster (MXB 1730−333) and the Bursting Pulsar (GRO J1744−29). For each burst we determined the exponential decay time in the total energy band (2-28 keV), the peak flux and average persistent flux over the observation in WFC cts s⁻¹ cm⁻². In Table 1 we give an overview of the nine most frequent bursters in the Galactic center region. All these sources are known X-ray bursters and have been studied in the past. The number of type I bursts detected with the WFC in other burst sources is too small for a meaningful statistical analysis.

In Fig.1 we show RXTE All Sky Monitor (RXTE/ASM; Levine et al. 1996) lightcurves of the sources listed in Table 1. Most lightcurves show a smooth variation and no large fluctuations on a timescale of weeks. On the timescale of years a variation by a factor of roughly 50% is often present in these sources, and an apparent increase in burst rate (indicated by arrows) when the source becomes fainter. In contrast, the

### Table 1. Overview of the nine most frequent burster sources in the Galactic center region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#bursts</th>
<th>exp. time</th>
<th>conv.</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GX 354−0</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS 1731−260</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS 1826−24</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1742−294</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4U 1702−429</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4U 1705−44</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4U 1636−536</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 3+1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4U 1820−30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a: distance estimated from [6]
lightcurves of 4U 1705\,−\,440 and 4U 1820\,−\,30 show strong variations, and bursts are only observed when the flux is low. Note that bursts would have been easily detected at the highest observed persistent flux levels of all sources, because those levels are presumed to be still significantly below the Eddington limit.

To study the burst rate as a function of persistent flux we assigned to each burst the average WFC-measured flux over the complete observation in which the burst occurred. We also checked the average persistent flux in a 5-minute time interval prior to each burst, but the difference with the aforementioned flux is within the errors. The persistent flux range was divided in 5 or 10 intervals of equal size. Only the flux range of KS\,1731\,−\,260, the sole transient source in our sample, was divided in 10 bins with different bin sizes. For each flux interval we determined the total exposure time and the number of bursts; the latter with an error equal to the square root of this number (if no bursts are within an interval upper limits of 68% confidence were estimated). In Fig.\,2 we show for each source the burst rate as a function of observed photon flux (bottom axis) and the derived energy flux (top axis). To get an indication of the conversion of photon flux to energy flux we generated for each source a spectrum for each campaign. We fitted the spectrum, assuming an absorbed thermal bremsstrahlung model, and we derived a mean conversion factor over all campaigns (corrected for absorption), see Table\,1. The spread in the conversion factors over all campaigns is about 10% for each source. In the WFC passband the assumption of a bremsstrahlung spectrum is good enough to derive fluxes; fluxes derived using other models give comparable results.

We notice in Fig.\,2 that at the lowest flux levels KS\,1731\,−\,260 shows an increase in burst rate with increasing persistent flux. When KS\,1731\,−\,26 reaches $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, the burst rate drops by a factor of 5 and
at higher flux levels the burst rate slowly decreases. 4U 1702–429, GX 354–0 and GS 1826–24 all show only an increasing burst rate, while 4U 1636–536 is the only source that shows a decreasing burst rate. 4U 1705–44, GX 3+1 and 4U 1820–30 show a drop by a factor of ≃5 in burst rate over a small range of persistent flux. A 1742–294 is the only source for which no clear trend is visible, and the burst rate stays constant over the total observed flux range. This source traces out the lowest fluxes within our sample.

3.2. Wait times

Several sources are known to show quasi-periodic burst recurrence times during certain periods. The best example is GS 1826–24, in 1996–1997 it exhibited a burst every ∼6 hours, and the burst wait times were constant within a few minutes for long periods of time (Ubertini et al. 1999; Cocchi et al. 2001b).

In the left panel of Fig. 3 we plotted the wait time as a function of the persistent flux for GS 1826–24. Most wait times appear to follow a straight line at the bottom of the figure. A second linear trend can clearly be distinguished above this line (and even two more above that). Given the fact that BeppoSAX has a 96-minute low-earth orbit, it is probable that bursts are missed during data gaps and that multiples of the burst wait times are observed. We checked bursts with long wait times within one observation and find that for all of them the previous burst may have occurred during an earth occultation or South Atlantic Anomaly passage. From Fig. 3 we see that the wait time between the bursts decreases linearly with increasing persistent flux. We performed a least-squares fit on the bursts where the previous one is not missed to the function: ∆t = AF_{pers} + B. The results for the parameters are given in Table 2. Assuming a wait time that is two times longer (i.e., doubling the numbers derived above) gives a good description of the trend followed by the bursts forming the second line from the bottom. This shows again

![Fig. 2. Burst rate, N_{burst}, as a function of the observed count rate, F_{pers} (WFC cts s^{-1} cm^{-2}; bottom axis) and the persistent flux, F_{pers} (10^{-8} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}; top axis) for the nine frequent X-ray bursters in the galactic center region. The photon and energy flux are for a bandpass of 2-28 keV.](image-url)
that for these points the previous burst is missed. Note that formally the fit is not acceptable \( \chi^2 = 5.7, 92 \text{ d.o.f.} \), but the general trend is clearly visible. This means that there are significant fluctuations in the wait time around the average relation.

From theory a linear relation between the burst rate (inverse of the wait time) and the persistent flux is expected, and no bursts are expected anymore when \( F_{\text{pers}} = 0 \) erg cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) (i.e. no accretion). We therefore tried to fit the relation: \( \Delta t = C/F_{\text{pers}} \). The result of the fit of parameter \( C \) is given in Table 2.

Given the large number of bursts, we have also investigated the relation between the wait time and persistent flux for KS 1731–260 and GX 354–0. In the middle panel of Fig. 3 we show the results for KS 1731–260, and notice the strong suggestion of a linear dependency. However, this only applies to persistent flux levels below 0.14 WFC cts cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\). At higher persistent flux the wait time between bursts becomes apparently random. We fitted the relations as given above for the bursts with a persistent flux below 0.14 WFC cts cm\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) and where we expect that the previous burst is not missed. The best fit parameters are given in Table 2.

Also for GX 354–0 there vaguely appears to be a linear relation between the persistent flux and the wait time (right panel Fig. 3). However, the scatter is significantly larger than in the previous two cases, making a clear distinction between the different multiples of the wait time very difficult. Therefore, an iterative process was used to search for bursts where we expect that the previous one is not missed. We simultaneously fitted a straight line (the single wait time line) plus several lines at multiples of the wait time. The bursts closest to the single wait time line were attributed to this line and used for a least-square fit to get a better estimate. This process was continued until a best fit was found. The bursts attributed to the single wait time line were also used to fit the relation: \( \Delta t = C/F_{\text{pers}} \). The results are summarized in Table 2.

For the other six sources the number of subsequent bursts with a wait time of less than one day becomes very small, and the data does not allow the verification of a linear relation.

We converted the fit parameters as given in Table 2 from the observed flux to luminosities using the conversion factors and distances as given in Table 1. For the parameter \( C \) the values are \( (2.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{36}, (0.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{36} \) and \( (1.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{36} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) for GS 1826–24, KS 1731–260 and GX 354–0, respectively (taking into account an error of 30% in the distance). Although the errors are very large, these slopes are the same within their errors. Therefore, the burst rate may be a unique function of the persistent flux.

### 3.3. Decay times

Another important burst parameter is the e-folding decay time. As discussed in Sect. 1, this diagnoses the composition of the burst fuel. To derive the decay time for each burst we generated lightcurves with a 1 s time resolution.

### Table 2. Best fit parameters for a relation where the wait time is proportional (A and B) or inversely proportional (C) to the persistent flux. Due to the large \( \chi^2 \) the formal errors on the parameters have no meaning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>GS 1826–24</th>
<th>KS 1731–260</th>
<th>GX 354–0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-1.23±0.10</td>
<td>-0.68±0.09</td>
<td>-0.49±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.31±0.01</td>
<td>0.17±0.01</td>
<td>0.26±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi^2 ) (d.o.f.)</td>
<td>5.7 (92)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>266 (202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.017±0.001</td>
<td>0.009±0.001</td>
<td>0.029±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi^2 ) (d.o.f.)</td>
<td>6.2 (93)</td>
<td>38 (101)</td>
<td>234 (203)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. The exponential decay time, $\tau$, as a function of persistent flux, $F$, for the nine most frequent burst sources observed with WFC. In the upper-right corner of each panel a typical error-bar is shown.

A running average of 5 s was used to determine the moment of the peak flux. The persistent emission level and the decay time are then simultaneously fitted with a constant and exponential, respectively. We took the bin in which the peak flux was reached as the first data point. In Fig. 4 we show the decay times as a function of persistent emission for the nine sources. We here discuss GX 354−0, KS 1731−260 and GS 1826−24 in more detail and compare them with the other sources.

GX 354−0 only shows bursts with decay times shorter than $\approx 10$ seconds at all flux levels. The same applies to 4U 1636−536, GX 3+1 and 4U 1820−30. GS 1826−24 shows a large range of decay times at all persistent flux levels, but almost no bursts below 10 seconds are observed (i.e., less than 5% of all bursts). Of the nine sources, this is the only one that shows this behavior.

Two trends can be observed for the decay times of KS 1731−260. At high persistent flux ($\gtrsim 0.14$ WFC cts s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) all decay times are below 10 seconds, as for e.g. GX 354−0. At lower fluxes the spread in decay times increases rapidly and most bursts have decay times well above 10 s, as for GS 1826−24. However, in con-
contrast to GS 1826–24, still a significant fraction of bursts show decay times below 10 s (about 30%). The same behavior is also suggested by the figures for 4U1702–429, 4U1705–44 and A1742-294.

A spectral change instead of a change in persistent emission could be the only indication of a change in mass accretion (van der Klis et al. 1990). This could explain the occurrence of both long and short bursts at low persistent flux. We therefore investigated the low persistent flux levels of KS1731–260 in a little more detail. At MJD 51799.60 and MJD 51799.72 there were bursts with decay times of 4.7±0.1 s and 20.8±3.5 s, respectively. Due to the low flux level of the source full resolution spectra do not have enough statistics, and we resorted to the study of hardness ratios. The WFC passband was divided in two channels from 2-6 keV and 6-28 keV, and derived hardness ratios by dividing the count rates in the 6-28 keV with the count rates in the 2-6 keV band. We found hardness ratios of 0.68±0.07 and 0.70±0.08 for the periods prior to the two bursts, respectively. We note that the average persistent flux stayed constant at 0.111 and 0.115 WFC cts s⁻¹ cm⁻² in these periods. The 1σ statistical fluctuations at one minute time resolution are 24% and 30%, respectively. We conclude that no significant changes occurred between the two bursts.

The transition from short bursts to long/short bursts in KS1731–260 is rapid. Therefore, an observation (at MJD 51637) where the persistent emission is at this transition was analyzed in more detail. A spectrum was derived for this observation, assuming an absorbed bremsstrahlung spectrum with a hydrogen absorption column of 1.3 × 10^{22} atoms cm⁻² (Priedh & Schmitt 1995). A temperature of 21.4±3.4 keV and an unabsorbed flux of (2.4±0.3)×10⁻⁹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2-28 keV) was estimated. A power law spectrum with a photon index of 1.64±0.06 gave a flux of (2.6±0.2)×10⁻⁹ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2-28 keV; corrected for absorption). Converting these numbers to a mass accretion rate, assuming standard neutron star parameters (R=10 km, M=1.4M⊙, solar abundances) and 100% efficiency in converting gravitational energy to radiation, gives 1.3×10⁻³ M⊙ yr⁻¹. This is similar to the estimated mass accretion rate of GX 3+1 at its transition (den Hartog et al. 2002).

4. Discussion

4.1. Observational summary

Our observations of the burst rate as a function of luminosity for the nine frequent X-ray bursters are summarized in Fig. 5. In order to compare sources we calculated the 2–28 keV luminosity from the flux and the distances as listed in Table 1. Given that the distance has an estimated accuracy of 30% and that the 2–28 keV luminosity is only a crude indicator of the accretion rate, we estimate that the luminosity correspondence between sources is accurate to about a factor of 2. The following conclusion can be drawn from Fig. 5 (and 2): the burst rate shows trends with luminosity that are consistent over all sources. Between 1 and 2×10^{37} erg s⁻¹ the burst rate peaks. Above that the burst rate drops fast by roughly a factor of 5 (first observed in GX 3+1 by den Hartog et al. 2002, and now also seen for 4U1820-30, KS 1731-260, and 4U 1705-44). Below that there is a smooth increase towards the peak. Above ∼5.5×10^{37} erg s⁻¹ no bursts are seen anymore. The latter is confirmed by observations of brighter low-mass X-ray binaries in the same field with presumably similar distances such as GX 9+1, GX 349+2, GX 340+0, GX 17+2, GX 13+1 and GX 5-1. None of these were seen to burst by the WFC. The general trends in burst rate were known previously. The knowledge that our work adds is 1) that there does seem to be a rather consistent burst rate behavior from one burster to another, and 2) that there is a rather discrete transition in this behavior between 1.4 to 2.1×10^{37} erg s⁻¹.

Our searches for (quasi-)periodicity in burst recurrence were meaningful in three sources: GX 354-0, KS 1731-260 and GS 1826-24. The presence of quasi-periodicities is most obvious in GS 1826-24 (see also Ubertini et al. 1999 and Cocchi et al. 2001b), present in KS 1731-260, but only suggestive in GX 354-0. The quasi-periodicity is only present during times when the persistent flux is below that for the peak burst rate, as is most clearly demonstrated by KS 1731-260 which, thanks to its transient na-
ture, traces a relatively wide range of fluxes. GS 1826-24
never leaves this domain which explains why its bursts al-
ways recur quasi-periodically. The same appears to apply
to GX 354-0. Quasi-periodicity has been seen previously
with EXOSAT in a number of other sources: EXO 0748-
676 (Gottwald et al. 1986), 4U 1705-44 (Gottwald et al.
1989), Ser X-1 (Sztajno et al. 1983) and 4U 1636-536
(Lewin et al. 1987) but only for a limited amount of time.
Our observations for the first time show empirically that
the quasi-periodicity is restricted to a very particular lu-
minosity range and that there is a narrow positive rela-
tionship between burst frequency and the persistent flux.

Our determinations of burst decay times in KS 1731-
260 and 4U 1705-44 suggest a clear correspondence
between decay time, burst rate behavior and quasi-
periodicity, in the sense that there is a clear transition
at a luminosity between 1.4 and $2.1 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. How-
ever, the decay times observed in GX 3+1 and 4U 1705-44
do not follow this trend despite tracing out similar ranges
in luminosity (formally the same applies to 4U 1820-30
but here we know that the decay times cannot be long
because the mass donor is proven to be a helium white
dwarf; Stella et al. 1987).

To summarize: the central finding in our study is the
likely identification of a single luminosity between 1.4 to
$2.1 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$, consistent with a single mass accretion
rate, where the bursting behavior changes in three ba-
sic ways: going to higher luminosities, bursts 1) become
rather quickly a factor of 5 less frequent, 2) stop recurring
quasi-periodically, 3) stop being long.

4.2. Theoretical interpretation

From theory it is expected that long bursts can only be due
to helium flashes in a hydrogen-rich environment, which
is predicted to occur at the highest or lowest accretion
regimes (Fujimoto et al. 1981; Bildsten 1998; see also in-
troduction). Given the fact that in our sample only short
bursts are observed at higher luminosities, we may iden-
tify the transition in burst behavior with the transition
from the lowest to the middle accretion regime.

At the lowest luminosities the helium flash is triggered
by unstable hydrogen burning (Fujimoto et al. 1981). Be-
tween two bursts no hydrogen is burned, and it is only
the accretion of matter that increases the pressure and tem-
perature to high enough values to start this burning. If
we assume that the accretion flow is stable then the burst
wait time is only dependent on the accretion rate, and a
quasi-periodic behavior is not unexpected, as is a narrow
relationship between its frequency and the persistent flux.

In the middle accretion regime, bursts take place in a
pure helium shell which is fed by stable hydrogen burning
in a layer above that. When a critical temperature and
pressure are reached the helium ignites. Here the onset of
the bursts is determined by the heating of the shell due
to the hydrogen burning and the accretion. But more im-
portantly, the onset of helium burning is very sensitive to
the temperature (Bildsten 1998), making it highly depen-
dent on local perturbations in the hydrogen burning. This
means that local conditions determine the onset (and thus
the wait time) of a burst, and quasi-periodic behavior is
not readily expected anymore.

Hydrogen starts burning in an unstable fashion at
lower column depths than helium (Joss 1977). This means
that the conditions for triggering an X-ray burst in the
lowest accretion regime are reached after accreting a
smaller amount of matter than in the middle accretion
regime, and a higher burst rate is expected. Assuming that
only the accretion rate can set the condition for the start of
unstable hydrogen burning, it is expected that this transi-
tion happens in a fairly small range of accretion rates. We
remark that recently an interesting phenomenon was de-
tected of quasi periodic oscillations (QPOs) at 7-8 mHz in
a few bursting LMXBs which appear to coincide with this
transition and whose presence is coupled to the occurrence
of type I bursts (Revnivtsev et al. 2001). Revnivtsev et al.
speculate that these QPOs are related to special modes of
nuclear burning. Support for this hypothesis is provided
from trends in kHz QPOs observed in one of those sources
(Yu & van der Klis 2002).

We have for the first time shown that the largest
decrease in burst rate towards higher fluxes is coinci-
dent with the onset of stable hydrogen burning. Thereby,
the above-mentioned explanation for the apparently sud-
den decrease in burst rate may partly resolve a long-
standing problem for explaining decreasing burst rates.
Van Paradijs et al. (1988) explained this by invoking in-
creased stable helium burning with increasing accretion
rate. However, such burning is not expected to occur
at sub-Eddington accretion rates (Fujimoto et al. 1981).
Bildsten (2000) suggested that non-global accretion on the
may explain the decreasing burst rate: the accretion area
may be smaller than the neutron star surface so that the
local mass accretion rate is higher than the globally mea-
sured one.

It is unclear how the burst rate behaves above the
transition. Direct measurements (Fig. 2) are ambiguous:
it may be constant or slowly decreasing. The rarity of X-
ray bursts for more luminous sources suggests that there
is a decrease, but observations in this domain are subject
to strong selection effects as was already noted by other
investigators (i.e., since the persistent emission is already
close to Eddington there is hardly any room for flux in-
creases by bursts). If the decrease is real, that does need
to be explained, perhaps in a manner as proposed by Van
Paradijs et al. (1988) and Bildsten (2000).

So far, we have dealt with observations that are ex-
plainable in current burst theory. There are three obser-
vational facts for which this is more difficult. The first is
that short bursts seem to be rather common in the low
accretion regime. Long bursts would be expected because
the flashes occur in a hydrogen-rich layer. This problem was recently also recognized by den Hartog et al. (2002) for the specific case of GX 3+1. Also, short type I bursts have been detected with extremely low persistent fluxes (e.g., a short, 2.6 s burst from SAX J2224.9+5421 was quickly and deeply followed up in X-rays and no persistent source was found with a 2–10 keV upper limit of $1.3 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$; see Cornelisse et al. 2002). Fujimoto et al. (1981) briefly sketch an alternative path to trigger bursts in the lowest regime that could explain this. If the unstable hydrogen burning in the bottom shell does not trigger the helium burning instantaneously it will cause temporary stable hydrogen burning in the higher shells. The unstable hydrogen burning is not observable (Joss 1977). A pure helium layer will be built up by the stable hydrogen burning and it will probably take a number of invisible hydrogen flashes to trigger a helium flash in this layer, very much like in the middle accretion regime, with a short burst as a result.

It is unclear what determines the varying mix of short and long bursts (100% short bursts for GX 3+1 and GX 354-0, less than 5% for GS 1826-24, and in-between percentages for other sources). We suspect that variability of the persistent flux may be an issue. If we compare the ASM lightcurves in Fig. 1 of KS 1731-260 and GS 1826–24 we notice that KS 1731-260 is more variable at comparable luminosities (between MJD 51000 and MJD 51700). During the last WFC campaign (around MJD 51800) only long bursts are observed for KS 1731-260 and the variability becomes comparable to GS 1826-24. This would indicate that low variability, (i.e., a smooth accretion rate) gives rise to solely long X-ray bursts, while a more inhomogeneous accretion rate gives rise to incidental pure helium flashes, and this would lead to the following naive picture. An inhomogeneous accretion flow would give rise to large variation in the local accretion rate. These variations could at certain times be high enough to start stable (or unstable) hydrogen burning, and the hydrogen would be depleted in the burning layers. This would give rise to hydrogen poor bursts. For a homogeneous accretion flow, where the local accretion rate stays constant over time, hydrogen burning would not start and long burst would be observed.

Another issue unexplainable by current burst theory is the different peak burst rate between different sources. A bi-modal distribution appears to be present, GX 354-0, KS 1731–260, 4U 1820–30 and GS 1826–24 all have a peak burst rate of about 9 bursts day$^{-1}$, while the other sources have a peak burst rate of about 2.5 bursts day$^{-1}$. EXOSAT observations of 4U 1636–536 showed a burst rate of 8 bursts day$^{-1}$, more in line with the first group (Lewin et al. 1987). Given the large uncertainty in the distance it could well be possible that during the WFC observations the luminosity of 4U 1636–536 is not low enough for such high burst rates, and this might be the case for the other sources. We have no good explanation for this apparent bi-modality.

As was already noted by van Paradijs (1988), there exists a large discrepancy between theory and observations with regards to the mass accretion rate at which the transition takes place from the lowest accretion regime to the middle regime. We find a value of $(1.4 - 2.1) \times 10^{-9}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, thus confirming the values found by van Paradijs et al. (1988), while theory predicts 1 to $2 \times 10^{-10}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Fujimoto et al. 1981; Bildsten 1998; indicated in Fig. 5 with a dash-dotted line). The theoretical values do depend somewhat on assumptions for a number of neutron star parameters (radius, mass, etc.) and conditions in the neutron star (abundances of CNO elements, opacity, etc.) and become somewhat uncertain due to uncertainties in the distance. This results in substantial margins in the theoretical value from source to source of a factor of three. Still, this is insufficient to explain the discrepancy.

Bildsten (2000) suggests that the discrepancy can be resolved if the transition is not from the lower to the middle regime but from the upper to the middle regime. This may be accomplished if the area over which the accretion takes place is smaller than the neutron star and grows faster than linearly with the global accretion rate. Thus, the mass accretion rate per unit area diminishes as the global accretion rates grows and the source moves from the middle to the lower regime with increasing persistent fluxes. This idea is based on a suggestive radius versus persistent flux trend observed in EXO 0748-676 (Gottwald et al. 1986). It remains to be seen from a complete analysis of our sample, involving time-resolved spectroscopy of all bursts, whether the radius shows such trends with persistent flux. However, a partial result by den Hartog et al. (2003) on GX 3+1 shows no indications for such a trend. Furthermore, the measurements of one source in particular strongly suggest that this effect is not important: KS 1731-260 is the only frequent bursters that exhibits a large dynamic range in persistent flux due to it being transient. The source gradually dies out in our observations and traverses from high global mass accretion rates to a negligible ones. Our observations show that once it exited the middle regime, it never changed regime again. It seems unlikely that KS 1731-260 remains in the upper mass accretion rates per unit area regime all the way down to negligible global accretion rates. Therefore, our data suggest that the explanation as put forward by Bildsten (2000) for the discrepancy in predicted and observed threshold value between lower and middle accretion burst regime, cannot be general. This is further supported by the quasi-periodicity that we find in the burst recurrence for KS 1731–260 in this regime as well as GS 1826-24. Such a form of stability is more likely to happen if the trigger criterion for the flash is dependent on fewer parameters. In the lower burst regime, where the hydrogen is first ignited, the trigger is only dependent on pressure while in
the upper regime, where the helium first ignites, it also depends on the local temperature.

5. Summary

Thanks to the wide (50%) and long (7 Ms) coverage of the population of low-mass X-ray binaries we detected an unprecedented large number of type I X-ray bursts for nine sources which enabled us to perform a comparative study of bursting behavior which was hitherto not possible. We were able to accurately detect systematic trends in burst rate, burst duration and burst recurrence periodicity and to put them under the common denominator provided by current burst theory. Our central finding is that most of the trends in bursting behavior are driven by the onset of stable hydrogen burning in the neutron star atmosphere. Furthermore, we notice three new observational facts which are more difficult to explain with current burst theory: the presence of short pure-helium bursts at the lowest accretion regimes, the bimodal distribution of peak burst rates, and an accretion rate at which the onset of stable hydrogen burning occurs that is ten times higher than predicted. Finally, we note that our investigation is the first to signal quasi-periodic burst recurrence in KS1731-260, and a clear proportionality between the frequency of the quasi-periodicity and the persistent flux in GS1826-24 and KS1731-260.
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