Abstract

The impedances of the Tevatron separators are revisited and are found to be negligibly small in the few hundred MHz region, except for resonances at 22.5 MHz. The latter are contributions from the power cables which may drive head-tail instabilities if the bunch is long enough.

I. INTRODUCTION

Large chromaticities (~12 units) were required to control the vertical transverse head-tail instabilities observed recently in the Tevatron. Application of the head-tail growth expression [1] reveals that the necessary transverse impedance to drive such instabilities has to be at least twice the amount estimated in the Run II Handbook. [2] This underestimation becomes thrice when it was suggested [3] that the transverse impedance of the Lambertson magnets have been overestimated by ten fold.1 It was further suggested that most of the transverse impedance actually comes from the static separators: the vertical transverse impedance should be 5.33 MΩ/m assuming 27 separators while the Run II Handbook estimate has been only 0.082 MΩ/m assuming 11 separators.2 This 26-time difference for each separator prompts us to review the impedances in detail by numerical computation, theoretical reasoning, and experimental measurement. The conclusion points to the fact that the separators actually contribute negligibly when compared with other discontinuities in the Tevatron vacuum chamber, except for the rather large resonances at 22.5 MHz due to the power cables.

II. NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

We model a separator without the power cables as two plates 6 cm thick, 20 cm wide, 2.57 m long, separated by 5 cm inside a circular chamber of length 2.75 m and radius 18 cm (Fig. 1). The beam pipe is circular in cross section with radius 4 cm. The 3-D code MAFIA in the time domain [4] has been used to obtain the longitudinal and transverse impedances shown in Fig. 2. We find that, at low frequencies, the longitudinal impedance per harmonic and the vertical transverse impedance are, respectively, $Z_\parallel/0 \sim 0.019 j \Omega$ and $Z_V^1 \sim 0.0075 j M\Omega/m$, which agree with the estimates given in the Run II Handbook.

In many cases, a 2-D approximation, assuming cylindrical symmetry of the 3-D problem, should give us a good insight as to the physics of the problem. The advantage is obvious; we can use more mesh points to better represent the geometry. The first 50 resonant modes computed by the 2-D URMEL code [4] are shown in Fig. 3 (top). They are well below the cutoff frequency of 4.59 GHz and therefore appear as narrow resonances. The separator can be viewed as two pill-box cavities joined by a coaxial waveguide. The coaxial waveguide resonates when its length equals to an integral number of half wavelengths. Thus, the lowest mode has a frequency of $c/2\ell = 54.5$ MHz and successive modes are separated also by 54.5 MHz, where $c$ is the velocity of light and $\ell = 2.75$ m is the length of separator. To excite these standing TEM modes in the coaxial waveguide, electromagnetic fields must penetrate into the separator, and penetration is only efficient when the frequency is near the resonant frequencies of the cavities at each end of the separator. These pill-box-like cavities have a radial depth of $d = 18$ cm with the first resonance at 637 MHz, and we do see coaxial-guide modes excited very much stronger near this frequency. The next pill-box-cavity mode is at 1463 MHz with a radial node at 7.84 cm.

1 Email: ng@fnal.gov. Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC02-76CH03000.

2 The Run II Handbook estimate has been rather rough, but reasonable. The C0 Lambertson magnet removed recently shows very large transverse impedance.

3 0.82 MΩ/m quoted in the Run II Handbook is a misprint.
Figure 3: $\text{Re} Z_0^\parallel$ (top) and $\text{Re} Z_1^\parallel$ (bottom) as obtained from 2-D URMEL computation.

which is very near the outer radius of 8.5 cm of the cylindrical plates. Thus a rather pure cavity mode is excited with very little contamination from the coaxial guide. This explains why we see a very strong excitation of this mode without many coaxial-guide modes at nearby frequencies. The third pill-box-cavity mode at 2294 MHz can also be seen in the figure with coaxial-guide modes at surrounding frequencies. Because excitation decreases with frequency, the shunt impedances are much smaller.

Due to the finite mesh size and rms bunch length used in the computation, MAFIA broadens all these sharp resonances. If all quality factors are broadened to $Q = 15$, the results look very similar to those in Fig. 2, implying that our interpretation of the longitudinal impedance of the separator is correct.

Similar analysis applies to the transverse dipole modes. The lowest 50 resonances computed by URMEL are shown in Fig. 3 (bottom). The first two transverse resonances in the pill-box cavities are 1016, 1860 MHz. We do see coaxial-guide modes enhanced near these frequencies. There is a special mode when one wavelength of the magnetic field wraps around the “cylindrical plate” between the plate and the encasing outer shield. The radius is from $r = 8.5$ to 18 cm. The wavelength will be $\lambda = 2\pi r$ and the frequency will be between 265 and 562 MHz. This explains the cluster of low-frequency coaxial-guide modes in the URMEL results. There is no cylindrical symmetry in the actual separator and this low-frequency cluster is therefore not present in the MAFIA results. Again if we broaden the sharp resonances until the quality factor reaches 15, the real and imaginary parts of the transverse impedance will look similar to the MAFIA results of Fig. 2.

III. COMPARISON WITH BPM

Although the Tevatron stripline beam-position monitor (BPM) is similar in structure to the separator, however, its impedance is completely different. Here, the striplines play the role of the separator plates. The main difference is that each end of the striplines is terminated with a resistor of $Z_c = 50 \, \Omega$, which is equal to the characteristic impedance of the transmission line formed from the stripline and the enclosing outer shield. As a pulse of protons crosses the upstream gap, it creates on the beam-side of the stripline negative image charges, which move forward with the beam pulse. Since the stripline is neutral, positive charges will be created at the underside of the stripline. These positive charges, seeing a termination $Z_c$ in parallel with a transmission line of characteristic impedance $Z_c$, split into two equal halves: one half flows down the termination while the other half travels along the transmission line and flows down the termination $Z_c$ at the other end of the stripline.

For a separator plate, there are no terminations on either end. As a result, while the negative image charges flow along the beam-side of the plate, all the positive charges needed to balance the neutrality of the plate flow along the underside of the plate. These negative and positive charges just annihilate each other when the beam pulse reaches the downstream end of the plate. Thus there is no dissipation if the plates are considered perfectly conducting. Therefore, the impedance in Eq. (??) does not apply. The only contribution to the impedance come from the resonances in the cavity gaps. Since these resonant frequencies are high, there is little contribution in the few hundred MHz range.

IV. MEASUREMENT

The coupling impedances of a separator have recently been measured [5] via the attenuation $S_{21}$ by stretching a 0.010" tin-plated copper wire through the separator for the longitudinal mode and two wires for the transverse mode. The impedances are derived from

V. OTHER ESTIMATION
Ref. [3] suggests the vertical transverse separator wake,
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