Cold filaments in galaxy clusters: effects of heat conduction
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ABSTRACT
We determine the critical size $l_{\text{crit}}$ of a filament of cold ($T \sim 10^4$ K) gas that is in radiative equilibrium with X-ray emitting gas at temperatures $T_{\text{out}} \sim 10^6 - 10^8$ K. Filaments smaller than $l_{\text{crit}}$ will be rapidly evaporated, while longer ones will induce the condensation of the ambient medium. At fixed pressure $P$, $l_{\text{crit}}$ increases as $T_{\text{out}}^{11/4}$, while at fixed $T_{\text{out}}$ it scales as $P^{-1}$. It scales as $f^{1/2}$, where $f$ is the factor by which the magnetic field depresses the thermal conductivity below Spitzer’s benchmark value. For plausible values of $f$, $l_{\text{crit}}$ is similar to the lengths of observed filaments. In a cluster such as Perseus, the value of $l_{\text{crit}}$ increases by over an order of magnitude between the centre and a radius of 100 kpc. If the spectrum of seed filament lengths $l$ is strongly falling with $l$, as is natural, then these results explain why filaments are only seen within a few kiloparsecs of the centres of clusters, and are not seen in clusters that have no cooling flow. We calculate the differential emission measure as a function of temperature for the interface between filaments and ambient gas of various temperatures. We discuss the implications of our results for the origin of the galaxy luminosity function.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Intergalactic space within clusters of galaxies contains enormous quantities of gas that is at the virial temperature. In about three quarters of all rich clusters, the temperature falls by a factor of 2 to 3 within a few tens of kiloparsecs of the cluster centre – such clusters are said to possess a ‘cooling flow’. Nearby examples are the Virgo, Hydra and Perseus clusters.

Optical emission-line filaments are observed around NGC 1275 at the centre of the Perseus cluster (Minkowski 1957; Lynds 1970; Conselice, Gallagher & Wyse 2001, and reference therein). Similar structures of extended H$\alpha$ emission are seen near the centres of other cooling-flow clusters (e.g., Virgo, Hydra, A2597, A2052, A1795; see Heckman 1981; Hu, Cowie & Wang 1985; Johnstone, Fabian & Nulsen 1987; Heckman et al. 1989). The origin of these filaments and the mechanism responsible for their ionization have been extensively studied, but definitive conclusions have not been reached. Two formation scenarios have been proposed. In the first, the filaments form as the intracluster medium (ICM) cools (e.g., Fabian & Nulsen 1977, Cowie, Fabian & Nulsen 1980). In the other scenario, filaments are produced through cosmic infall of either cold gas or gas-rich galaxies (e.g., Soker, Bregman & Sarazin 1991; Baum 1992; Sparks 1992). The fact that filaments are observed only in clusters with cooling flows has often been considered evidence for the first scenario, but by no means all cooling-flow clusters have detectable optical emission lines (e.g., A2029; see Fabian 1994). Some observational data favour the infall scenario. For example, Sparks, Macchetto & Golombek (1989) showed that filaments contain dust with normal Galactic extinction properties, which filaments formed by condensation of the ICM should not (see also Donahue & Voit 1993). In addition, the filaments appear to be dynamically disturbed systems, suggesting that they form through violent processes, such as merging, rather than through the quiescent condensation of the X-ray emitting ICM.

An important related question is, what mechanism is responsible for heating the filaments? Many possible ionization sources have been considered: Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN); shocks; massive stars; radiation from the ICM; magnetic reconnection (e.g., Heckman et al.
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None of these ionization mechanisms, individually, can satisfactorily account for the observed emissionline ratios and intensities, so possible combinations of these processes have been explored (e.g., Sabra, Shields & Filippenko 2000). However, there are indications that the heating source is physically associated with the filaments (Conselice et al 2001 and references therein). Thus, it is likely that stellar photoionization makes an important contribution to powering their optical emission lines, since young stars are systematically found in the filaments (e.g., McNamara, O’Connell & Sarazin 1996).

The existence of cool filaments embedded in hot ambient plasma has implications for the problem of the plasma’s thermal conductivity, which must be moderated by the intracluster magnetic field. If the conductivity were high, one would expect the filaments to evaporate away by the intracluster magnetic field. If the conductivities were low, since young stars are systematically found in the filaments (e.g., McNamara, O’Connell & Sarazin 1996).

Thus, it is likely that stellar photoionization makes an important contribution to powering their optical emission lines, since young stars are systematically found in the filaments (e.g., McNamara, O’Connell & Sarazin 1996).

2 RADIATIVELY STABILIZED CYLINDRICAL CLOUDS

2.1 Model and equations

We consider a long cylindrically symmetric cloud in which there is perfect balance between conductive heat flow towards the symmetry axis and radiative losses, so the plasma flows neither inwards nor outwards (see McKee & Cowie 1977). The temperature on the axis is assumed to be $T \sim 10^4$ K, while far from the origin $T$ asymptotes to a value $\sim 10^8$ K. With these assumptions, and neglecting the effects of gravity, the energy equation reads

$$-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = n_e^2 \Lambda(T),$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is the heat flux, $n_e$ is the electron number density, and $\Lambda(T)$ is the normalized cooling function. In the unsaturated thermal conduction regime\(^1\), the heat flux is given by

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(T) \nabla T,$$

with $\kappa(T)$ thermal conductivity. For a hydrogen plasma, in the absence of magnetic fields, Spitzer (1962) derives $\kappa(T) = \kappa_0 T^{5/2}$, with $\kappa_0 \approx 1.84 \times 10^{-5} (\ln \Lambda)^{-1}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ K$^{-7/2}$, where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm, which is only weakly dependent on $n_e$ and $T$ (in the following we assume $\ln \Lambda = 30$). Confinement of thermal electrons by the intracluster magnetic field reduces the actual conductivity to

$$\kappa(T) = f \kappa_0 T^{5/2},$$

where the parameter $f \leq 1$ is the heat conduction suppression factor (e.g., Binney & Cowie 1981, Böhringer & Fabian 1989).

At least in some clusters, observations indicate that emission-line nebulae are in pressure equilibrium with the surrounding hot plasma (e.g., Heckman et al. 1989). So we require that the pressure $P = n_e T$ is constant throughout the interface, and we use this relation to eliminate $n_e$ in the right-hand side of equation [1]. Thus, under the assumption of cylindrical symmetry, eliminating $\mathbf{q}$ with the help of equations [2] and [3], the energy equation [1] is reduced to the differential equation

$$f \kappa_0 \frac{d}{dR} \left( RT^{5/2} \frac{dT}{dR} \right) = \frac{P^2 \Lambda(T)}{T^2},$$

where $R$ is the cylindrical radius.

2.2 Boundary conditions

Equation [1] applies outside the edge at $R_{in}$ of the $T \sim 10^4$ K cloud: we assume that the cloud contains its own heat sources, such as photoionizing stars, so on its surface the temperature gradient and heat flux vanish. We require $T$ to attain some specified value on $R = R_{out}$. Thus we solve equation [1] subject to three boundary conditions, $T(R_{in}) = T_{in} = 10^4$ K, $dT/dR(R_{in}) = 0$, and $T(R_{out}) = T_{out}$, the temperature of the ambient

\(^1\) In the Appendix we discuss the possible effects of saturation.
hot plasma, which varies with distance from the cluster centre. For completeness we will explore the wide range $10^6 \leq T_{\text{out}} \leq 10^8$ K.

Introducing the dimensionless quantities $\xi = R/R_{\text{out}}$, $\tau = T/T_{\text{out}}$, and $\Lambda_{\text{out}}(\tau) \equiv \Lambda(\tau T_{\text{out}})/\Lambda_{23}$, with $\Lambda_{23} = 10^{-23} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^3$, equation (4) reads

$$1 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left( \xi^{5/2} \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} \right) = \lambda \frac{\Lambda_{\text{out}}(\tau)}{\tau^2},$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{P^2 R_{\text{out}}^2 \Lambda_{23}}{f_{\text{Ko}T_{\text{out}}^{11/2}}}$$

is an unknown dimensionless parameter that is the eigenvalue of equation (5). Expressed in dimensionless variables, the boundary conditions are $\tau(\xi_{\text{in}}) = \tau_{\text{in}}$, $d\tau/d\xi(\xi_{\text{in}}) = 0$, and $\tau(1) = 1$ with $\xi_{\text{in}} \equiv R_{\text{in}}/R_{\text{out}}$ and $\tau_{\text{in}} \equiv T_{\text{in}}/T_{\text{out}}$. Due to the presence of $\Lambda_{\text{out}}$, the eigenfunctions $\tau(\xi)$ and eigenvalues $\lambda$ depend on the outer temperature $T_{\text{out}}$ in addition to the dimensionless numbers $\xi_{\text{in}}$ and $\tau_{\text{in}}$.

The inner and outer radii $R_{\text{in}}$ and $R_{\text{out}}$ cannot be exactly identified with observational quantities. However, we can assume that $R_{\text{in}}$ corresponds approximately to the width of the filament, and $R_{\text{out}}$ to its length $l$. The latter assumption is consistent with the hypothesis of cylindrical geometry, which does not hold at $R \gtrsim l$. Observed filaments are highly elongated, with ratios length/width $\gtrsim 100$ (Conselice et al. 2001). Thus, we explore a range of values of $\xi_{\text{in}}$ that satisfy $\xi_{\text{in}} \ll 1$.

### 2.3 Numerical solutions

Equation (5) is non-linear and would require numerical integration even if $\Lambda_{\text{out}}(\tau)$ were approximated by a simple analytical function. Thus, use of the tabulated cooling function of Sutherland & Dopita (1993) for metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.5$ introduces no additional computational complexity. We use a shooting method, with Newton iteration, to calculate the solutions.

Numerical integration of equation (5) shows that, for any fixed $T_{\text{out}}$ in the range considered, the value of $\lambda$ is virtually independent of $\xi_{\text{in}}$, provided that $\xi_{\text{in}}$ is sufficiently small (i.e., $\xi_{\text{in}} \lesssim 10^{-3}$). Thus, in what follows we can simplify our treatment by assuming that the eigenvalue $\lambda$ depends only on $T_{\text{out}}$.

Although the details of the inner temperature profile $\tau(\xi)$ depend significantly on $\xi_{\text{in}}$, the behaviour of the profile at $\xi \gtrsim 10^{-2}$ is virtually independent of $\xi_{\text{in}}$ provided $\xi_{\text{in}} \lesssim 10^{-3}$. Henceforth we set $\xi_{\text{in}} \lesssim 10^{-3}$ so that both $\lambda$ and the observationally significant part of $\tau(\xi)$ are independent on the exact radial range considered.

Fig. 1 shows $\lambda$ as a function of $T_{\text{out}}$, while Fig. 2 shows a number of the corresponding eigenfunctions $T(R)$. For values of $T_{\text{out}}$ in the relevant range, $\lambda$ spans a relatively small range $0.08 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 0.37$, which is reduced to $0.25 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 0.37$ for $T_{\text{out}} > 4 \times 10^6$ K.

From Fig. 2 is apparent that over a significant fraction of the radial range the temperature profiles are roughly power laws, with similar indices. This suggests that an approximate analytical solution could be obtained by exploring the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions of equation (5) for $\xi \rightarrow 1$ $(\tau \rightarrow 1)$. When we assume $\tau = \xi^\alpha$, and approximate the cooling function near $T_{\text{out}}$ by $\Lambda_{\text{out}}(\tau) \approx [\Lambda(\tau T_{\text{out}})/\Lambda_{23}] \tau^\alpha$, equation (5) requires $n = 4/(11-2\alpha)$, and $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{2} n^2/[\Lambda(T_{\text{out}})/\Lambda_{23}]$. Since $-1/2 \lesssim \alpha \lesssim 1/2$ for the relevant temperatures, the outer slope of the eigenfunction is expected to lie in the small range $1/3 \lesssim n \lesssim 2/5$ (cf. Fig. 2). The run of the eigenvalue as a function of $T_{\text{out}}$ (Fig. 1) reflects the proportionality to $1/\Lambda(T_{\text{out}})$, modulated by $n^2$, which accounts for the dependence on the local slope of the cooling function $\alpha$. 

3 APPLICATIONS

3.1 Critical length for filaments

We now discuss the physical implications of the results presented in the previous section. Equation (6) relates the numerical value of \( \lambda \) to the dimensional quantities associated with a filament and its surroundings. If, as explained above, we identify \( R_{\text{out}} \) with the length of a filament, then this equation yields for a given environment the critical length \( l_{\text{crit}} \) of filaments, such that smaller filaments evaporate while larger ones grow. Numerically we have

\[
l_{\text{crit}} \equiv R_{\text{out}} \approx 1.4 f^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{out}}}{10^5 \text{ K}} \right)^{11/4} \left( \frac{P}{10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \text{kpc},
\]

This equation indicates that \( l_{\text{crit}} \) has a strong dependence on the outer temperature \( T_{\text{out}} \), neglecting the weak dependence of \( \lambda \) on \( T_{\text{out}} \); see Fig. 3, and also is inversely proportional to the pressure \( P \). Even though the dependence of \( l_{\text{crit}} \) on the thermal conduction suppression factor \( f \) is not very strong \( (l_{\text{crit}} \propto f^{1/2}) \), \( f \) can significantly affect \( l_{\text{crit}} \) because the value of \( f \) is very uncertain: \( f \approx 1 \) seems to be excluded, but different authors gives values differing by two orders of magnitude, from a few \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^{-3} \) – see Narayan & Medvedev (2001) for a discussion. We consider values in the range \( 10^{-3} \lesssim f \lesssim 1 \) and discuss the possibility of constraining \( f \) from observations of filaments in Section 3.4.

Consider the implications of the dependence of \( l_{\text{crit}} \) on \( T_{\text{out}} \) at fixed pressure. The left panel of Fig. 3 shows \( l_{\text{crit}}(T_{\text{out}}) \) from equation (4), for pressure \( P = 10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3} \), which is a typical value in the core of a cooling-flow galaxy cluster [e.g., for the Perseus cluster, Conselice et al. (2001), Schmidt, Fabian & Sanders (2002); see also Heckman et al. (1989)]. A few values of the suppression factor \( f = 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 \) are considered. For given \( f \), the relation \( l_{\text{crit}}(T_{\text{out}}) \) divides the diagram in two regions: filaments longer than \( l_{\text{crit}} \) are condensing, while smaller ones are evaporating. It is apparent from the steep slope of the curves in the diagram that the process of evaporation or condensation of filaments is very sensitive to the temperature of the ambient medium. For a plausible value of the suppression factor, \( f \sim 0.01 \), at fixed pressure \( P \sim 10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3} \), any filament longer than \( \sim 0.1 \text{kpc} \) is condensing in a cluster with ambient temperature \( T_{\text{out}} = 10^7 \text{ K} \), while filaments as long as \( \sim 10 \text{kpc} \) are evaporating if \( T_{\text{out}} = 6 \times 10^5 \text{ K} \).

Although \( l_{\text{crit}} \) depends on pressure more weakly than on temperature, pressure also plays an important role in determining the fate of filaments. This is apparent in the right diagram of Fig. 3, where we fix \( T_{\text{out}} = 3 \times 10^7 \text{ K} \) and plot \( l_{\text{crit}} \) as a function of \( P \), for the set of values of \( f \) considered above. The diagram shows that, at fixed temperature, in low-pressure clusters virtually all filaments are evaporating (e.g., \( l_{\text{crit}} \sim 100 \text{kpc} \) for \( P \sim \text{few} \times 10^4 \text{ K cm}^{-3} \) and \( f = 0.01 \)), but condensation is effective in the highest-pressure ambient gas \( l_{\text{crit}} \sim 1 \text{kpc} \) for \( P \gtrsim 10^6 \text{ K cm}^{-3} \) and \( f = 0.01 \).

3.2 Time-scales

What are the characteristic time-scales for evaporation and condensation of filaments? A detailed answer to this question would require the solution of the full hydrodynamic equations for non-vanishing mass flow. However, for the purpose of our investigation we are interested in an order of magnitude estimate, which can be obtained by considering that the time variation of temperature is described by a differential equation of the form

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{T}{t_{\kappa}} - \frac{T}{t_{\text{cool}}},
\]

where \( t_{\kappa} \) and \( t_{\text{cool}} \) are the thermal conduction and cooling time-scales, respectively. The relation between these time-scales is given by

\[
\frac{t_{\kappa}}{t_{\text{cool}}} = \frac{n_2^2 \Lambda(T)}{|\nabla \cdot q|} \sim \frac{n_2^2 \Lambda(T)f^2}{\kappa(T)/l^2},
\]

because \( |\nabla \cdot q| \sim \kappa(T)/l^2 \), where \( l \) is the temperature variation length scale (Begelman & McKee 1990). In the considered case, \( l \) is the radial extension of the interface, which is of the order of the filament length (see Section 2). Considering that the equilibrium solution \( l = l_{\text{crit}} \) corresponds to \( t_{\kappa} \sim t_{\text{cool}} \), from equation (3) we get

\[
t_{\kappa} \sim \left( \frac{l}{l_{\text{crit}}} \right)^2 t_{\text{cool}},
\]

and equation (3) can be approximated as

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{T}{t_{\text{cool}}} \left[ \left( \frac{l_{\text{crit}}}{l} \right)^2 - 1 \right].
\]
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3.3 The fate of filaments in cooling-flow and non–cooling-flow clusters

The results presented above have interesting implications, when compared with the properties of observed galaxy clusters.

(i) A first simplistic implication is that, due to the strong dependence of \( l_{\text{crit}} \) on temperature, most cold gas structures are evaporating, while even relatively small clouds can survive (and condense) in small (low-temperature) clusters. On the other hand one needs to bear in mind that clusters with higher temperatures have larger virial radii \( R_V \), and it is natural to expect the typical size of clouds to increase proportional to \( R_V \). The left panel of Fig. 4 shows the relation between \( R_V \) and the virial temperature \( (R_V \propto T_V^{1/2}) \) predicted for galaxy clusters under the assumption of self-similarity (the slope of this scaling relation is consistent with that derived from X-ray observations of galaxy clusters; see, e.g., Ettori, De Grandi & Molendi 2002, and references therein). Thus, as is apparent from the diagram, the relation \( l_{\text{crit}}(T_{\text{out}}) \) is much steeper than the relation between cluster size and temperature. Hence, in the highest-temperature clusters any cold filament is evaporating, while in the lowest-temperature clusters, any resolvable filament is condensing.

(ii) The scenario presented in the previous point would hold under the assumption that the physical properties (gas density and pressure) of clusters of given temperature would not differ significantly from cluster to cluster. In fact, we know this is not the case: for fixed gas temperature, non–cooling-flow clusters (i.e., ones with long cooling times) have, by definition, lower central density (and pressure) than cooling-flow clusters. Thus, as shown by the right panel of Fig. 4 since \( l_{\text{crit}} \propto 1/P \), a filament long enough to be condensing in the core of a cooling-flow cluster with central temperature \( T \) might evaporate if it were at the centre of a non–cooling-flow cluster with the same temperature (but lower density). This argument suggests that filaments of cold gas are not observed in non–cooling-flow clusters because in these clusters they are evaporated very efficiently, even at the cluster centre. On the other hand, whatever their formation mechanism, filaments are more likely to survive and grow in the denser, higher pressure cores of cooling-flow clusters.

(iii) In a given cooling-flow cluster, \( T \) increases as one moves away from the centre, while \( P \) falls. Consequently, equation (13) predicts that \( l_{\text{crit}} \) increases rapidly with distance from the cluster centre. This result explains why, even in the infall or merging scenario for the formation of the filaments, these are observed only in the cooling cores of clusters, and not in the outer regions. For example, in the Perseus cluster, the gas temperature at a distance \( \sim 100 \) kpc from the center is roughly twice the central temperature. Also, in the same radial range the pressure decreases by a factor of \( \sim 2.5 \) (e.g., Schindl et al. 2002; Churazov et al. 2003). Thus, equation (13) predicts that \( l_{\text{crit}} \) grows by over a factor of 10 between the centre and 100 kpc. Interestingly, even in the core, observations indicate that the mean H\( \alpha \) emission is a strongly decreasing function of the cluster radius (e.g., Conselice et al. 2001). This result may arise because as one moves out, \( \text{H} \alpha \) emission from smaller filaments contributes less and less to the overall signal.

We can summarize the points above by considering the relation between \( l_{\text{crit}} \) and the cooling time of ambient gas. For simplicity, we use the approximate isobaric cooling time \( t_{\text{cool}} \geq 10^3 n_e^{-1} T^{1/2} \) yr (where \( n_e \) is in cm\(^{-3}\) and \( T \) in K; e.g., Sarazin 1986), considering the temperature range \( 10^7 - 10^8 \) K. The dotted curves in Fig. 4 represent the loci of constant cooling time in density-temperature space, while the solid curves show the loci of constant \( l_{\text{crit}} \), for suppression factor \( f = 0.01 \). We see that if the cooling time is long (as in the cores of non–cooling-flow clusters, and in the outer regions of any cluster), \( l_{\text{crit}} \) is large, so evaporation is very likely. On the other hand, small \( l_{\text{crit}} \) corresponds to a short cooling time. Hence it

\[ T \geq 10^7 \text{ K} \]

\[ t_{\text{cool}} \geq 10^3 \text{ yr} \]

\[ l_{\text{crit}} \geq 100 \text{ kpc} \]

\[ l \geq l_{\text{crit}} \]

Consequently, filaments shorter than \( \lesssim \frac{1}{4} l_{\text{crit}} \) evaporate in a fraction \((l/l_{\text{crit}})^2 \) of the cooling time, which for example is \( \lesssim 300 \) Myr within \( \sim 4 \) kpc of the centre of the Hydra cluster, (David et al. 2000). Filaments smaller than \( l_{\text{crit}} \) by a factor \( \geq 8 \) have evaporation times \( \lesssim 5 \) Myr that are smaller than their likely dynamical times, \( l/v \), where \( v \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) is the typical gas velocity estimated from line widths (Sabra et al. 2000 and references therein). On the other hand, equation (13) in the limit \( l \gg l_{\text{crit}} \) indicates that, as expected, very long filaments condense on time-scales of the order of the cooling time of the ambient medium.

These considerations strongly support the hypothesis that only filaments with lengths comparable to the critical length or longer are likely to survive for long enough to be observed.

Figure 4. Dotted curves: density–temperature relation for plasma with fixed cooling time \( t_{\text{cool}} = 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3 \) in units of \( 10^{10} \) yr. Solid curves: density as function of temperature for \( l_{\text{crit}} = 1, 10, 100 \) kpc and \( f = 0.01 \) (equation 13).
the value of the suppression factor. This result is compatible with that found by Markevitch et al. (2003) on the basis of observations of cold fronts in A754. We note that a substantially higher efficiency would be required in order for the thermal conduction to significantly affect cooling flows in the centres of clusters (e.g., Narayan & Medvedev 2001).

In the discussion above we considered the thermal conduction suppression factor as a global parameter characterizing the ICM in clusters. In fact, the reduction of thermal conductivity could vary from cluster to cluster, or within a given cluster, depending on the detailed properties of the magnetic field. However, there are indications that the effect of the reconnection of the magnetic field lines between the filaments and the ICM would be to enhance the efficiency of heat conduction in the centres of cooling-flow clusters (Soker, Blanton & Sarazin 2004). Thus, at least according to this scenario, it seems reasonable to extend the derived upper limit on $f$ to the ICM in general.

### 3.5 X-ray emission from conduction fronts of filaments

Here we explore the possibility that the conduction fronts described above can be detected in soft X-rays. This is particularly interesting since Fabian et al. (2003) find soft X-ray emission associated with the brightest Hα emission filaments around NGC1275, suggesting that it is produced in the conductive evaporation or condensation of the filaments. We quantify the emission properties of the conduction fronts for the considered cylindrically symmetric clouds, computing the differential emission measure

$$EM(T) \equiv n_e^2 dV/d\log T,$$

(12)

where $n_e$ is the electron number density and $dV$ is the volume element. Under the hypothesis of constant pressure $P = n_e T$, the differential emission measure can be related directly to the temperature profile $T(R)$: using $dV = 2\pi R dR$, with $l$ length of the filament, we get

$$EM(T) = 2\pi l P^2 R \frac{dR}{T^2 d\log T}. \quad (13)$$

As discussed in Section 2, the temperature profile, for given $T_{out}$, can be considered independent of $\xi_c$, at least for large enough radii ($\xi \ga 10^{-2}$), correspondingly we find that the differential emission measure at $T \ga 0.1T_{out}$ is not sensitive to the value of $\xi_c$. Fig. 5 plots the emission measure as a function of $T$ for a subset of values of the outer temperature ($T_{out} = 1, 2, 5, 10$ in units of $10^7$ K). For the normalization of $EM(T)$ we assume $P = 10^7$ K cm$^{-3}$, and $l = l_{crit} = R_{out}$, in accordance with the discussion in Section 2. Due to the dependence of $l_{crit}$ on the thermal conduction suppression factor $f$ (equation 8), the normalization of $EM(T)$ is proportional to $f^{1/2}$. Thus it is difficult to make predictions on the absolute value of the emission measure, also because of the strong dependence on pressure. On the other hand, the shape of $EM(T)$ is not affected by these parameters, being determined by the temperature profile, which depends only on the temperature of the ambient medium. As apparent from Fig. 5, in the considered temperature range the differential emission measure can be roughly approximated with a power-law $EM(T) \propto T^{\beta}$. We find that $EM(T)$ is steeper for $T_{out}$ with best-fitting slope $\beta$ ranging from $\beta \approx 3.4$ for $T_{out} = 10^7$ K to $\beta \approx 4.6$ for $T_{out} = 10^5$ K. Accurate measurements of the soft X-ray spectra around
filaments could be interestingly compared with the slopes of these emission measure profiles.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Embedded within cooling flows, one frequently detects filaments of cold gas. Thermal conduction will cause heat to flow into these filaments from the hot ambient gas, with the result that filaments below a critical size will be evaporated. On the other hand radiative cooling is very efficient in cold, dense gas, so larger filaments can survive, and indeed nucleate the cooling of the ambient medium. We have determined the critical filamentary size that divides the two regimes.

The absolute value of this length scale, \( l_{\text{crit}} \), is uncertain because we do not know how effectively the magnetic field suppresses thermal conduction. By contrast, the way in which \( l_{\text{crit}} \) scales with the temperature and pressure of the ambient medium is well determined and leads to suggestive results.

The critical length scale rises sharply with ambient temperature: \( l_{\text{crit}} \propto T^{11/4} \), and decreases as \( P^{-1} \) with ambient pressure. Consequently, in the Perseus cluster \( l_{\text{crit}} \) increases by over an order of magnitude between the cluster centre and a radius of 100 kpc, where it is probably larger than 10 kpc. Within a few kiloparsecs of the cluster centre, filaments only a kiloparsec long may either grow, or at least take a long time to evaporate because their lengths are just below the critical value, while such small filaments are rapidly evaporated in the main body of the cluster.

Where do filaments come from in the first place? They cannot emerge spontaneously from the ambient medium because this is thermally stable, so they must be injected. Simulations of structure formation predict that significant amounts of cold gas fall into clusters (Katz et al. 2003), and the observed filaments could represent just the fraction of this infall that reaches the centre. There is also some indication that extremely close to the cluster centre a small amount of gas cools to filamentary temperatures, and some of this gas could be ejected by the AGN to the radii where filaments are observed.

Whether infall or AGN are responsible for injecting filamentary gas, there is no reason to expect the lengths of the injected filaments to have a characteristic value. It is natural to think in terms of a spectrum of filamentary lengths \( l \), in which the number of filaments decreases with increasing filament length, probably as a power law. Observations of filaments would then be dominated by filaments with \( l \sim l_{\text{crit}} \) because shorter filaments would be rapidly evaporated, and larger ones would be rare. The local number density of filaments would be a decreasing function of \( l_{\text{crit}} \), explaining why observed filaments are concentrated near the centres of cooling-flow clusters.

Since filament growth depends on the efficiency of radiative cooling, \( l_{\text{crit}} \) tends to be small when the cooling time of the ambient medium is short. Hence clusters with short central cooling times, have smaller values of \( l_{\text{crit}} \) and more filaments than clusters with similar temperatures but longer cooling times. Consequently, it is natural that filaments are only detected in cooling-flow clusters.

For the case of a filament of critical length, we have calculated the temperature profile throughout the interface between gas at \( \sim 10^7 \) K that emits optical emission lines, and the ambient X-ray emitting plasma. This profile yields the run of differential emission measure with temperature, which can be tested observationally.

As cosmic clustering proceeds, the temperature of gas that is trapped in gravitational potential wells rises as longer and longer length-scale perturbations collapse. This temperature rise causes \( l_{\text{crit}} \) to rise much faster than the virial radius of the potential well. Hence, while at early times filaments that are much smaller than the system can grow, growth is later restricted to filaments that are comparable in size to the entire system. Consequently, the ability of infalling cold gas to nucleate star formation declines as clustering proceeds.

Semi-analytic models of galaxy formation that can account for the observed slope of the galaxy luminosity function at the faint end need efficient feedback from star formation, and over-produce luminous galaxies (Benson et al. 2003). Binney (2003) has argued that galaxy formation is dominated by infall of cold gas, and that it is the efficient evaporation of filaments in massive systems that suppresses the formation of massive galaxies. Our results make a step towards quantifying this picture.
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We have hitherto assumed that the thermal conduction is not saturated, so the heat flux is given by the classical equation \( q \), with the thermal conductivity given by equation (2). The latter formula is derived under the assumption that \( l_e/l_T \ll 1 \), where \( l_e \), the electron mean free path, and \( l_T = T/|\nabla T| \) is the temperature scale height. When this condition is violated, equation (2) overestimates the heat flux, which is limited by the thermal speed of electrons, and the modulus of \( q \) is given by the saturated heat flux (Cowie & McKee 1977)

\[
q_{\text{sat}} = 0.4 \left( \frac{2k_B T}{\pi m_e} \right)^{1/2} n_e k_B T, \tag{A1}
\]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( m_e \) is the electron mass. Cowie & McKee introduced a discriminant of whether the heat flux in a plasma is saturated: this is the saturation parameter \( \sigma \equiv q_{\text{sat}}/q_{\text{out}} \), where \( q_{\text{sat}} = \kappa(T)|\nabla T| \) is the modulus of the classical heat flux (equation 2). The critical value that separates the two regimes is \( \sigma \sim 1 \): for \( \sigma < 1 \) the heat flux is unsaturated, while for \( \sigma \gg 1 \), equation (A1) applies.

For given \( T_{\text{out}} \) and corresponding critical temperature profile \( T(R) \), the value of the saturation parameter as a function radius in the interface can be obtained combining equations (2), (3), and (A1), and using the relations \( n_e = e^{-\phi} \) and \( R_{\text{out}} = l_{\text{crit}} \) (equation 4). Numerically we get

\[
\sigma(R) \sim 8 \times 10^{-3} f^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{out}}}{10^7 \, K} \right)^{1/4} \tau \frac{d\tau}{d\xi}, \tag{A2}
\]

where \( \xi \equiv R/R_{\text{out}} \), \( \tau = \tau(\xi) \) is the normalized temperature profile, and \( \lambda = \lambda(T_{\text{out}}) \) the corresponding eigenvalue (see Section 2). We note that \( \sigma(R) \) is independent of the pressure and scales as the square root of the suppression factor \( f \). Applying equation (A2) to conduction fronts with ambient gas temperature in the considered range \( 10^6 \lesssim T_{\text{out}} \lesssim 10^8 \), we find in all cases \( \sigma(R) \lesssim 10^{-2} f^{1/2} \). Thus, the condition for unsaturated heat conduction (\( \sigma \ll 1 \)) is satisfied throughout the interface, even for the largest values of the suppression factor \( f \sim 1 \).
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**APPENDIX A: THERMAL CONDUCTION SATURATION PARAMETER**

We have hitherto assumed that the thermal conduction is not saturated, so the heat flux is given by the classical equation (2), with the thermal conductivity given by equation (4). The latter formula is derived under the assumption that \( l_e/l_T \ll 1 \), where \( l_e \) is the electron mean free path and \( l_T = T/|\nabla T| \) is the temperature scale height. When this condition is violated, equation (2) overestimates the heat flux, which is limited by the thermal speed of electrons, and the modulus of \( q \) is given by the saturated heat flux (Cowie & McKee 1977)