Classical novae from the POINT–AGAPE microlensing survey of M31 – I. The nova catalogue
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ABSTRACT
The POINT–AGAPE (Pixel-lensing Observations with the Isaac Newton Telescope–Andromeda Galaxy Amplified Pixels Experiment) survey is an optical search for gravitational microlensing events towards the Andromeda galaxy (M31). As well as microlensing, the survey is sensitive to many different classes of variable stars and transients. Here we describe the automated detection and selection pipeline used to identify M31 classical novae (CNe) and we present the resulting catalogue of 20 CN candidates observed over three seasons. CNe are observed both in the bulge region as well as over a wide area of the M31 disc. Nine of the CNe are caught during the final rise phase and all are well sampled in at least two colours. The excellent light-curve coverage has allowed us to detect and classify CNe over a wide range of speed class, from very fast to very slow. Among the light curves is a moderately fast CN exhibiting entry into a deep transition minimum, followed by its final decline. We have also observed in detail a very slow CN which faded by only 0.01 mag d\(^{-1}\) over a 150-d period. We detect other interesting variable objects, including one of the longest period and most luminous Mira variables. The CN catalogue constitutes a uniquely well-sampled and objectively-selected data set with which to study the statistical properties of CNe in M31, such as the global nova rate, the reliability of novae as standard-candle distance indicators and the dependence of the nova population on stellar environment. The findings of this statistical study will be reported in a follow-up paper.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Classical novae (CNe) undergo unpredictable outbursts with a total energy that is surpassed only by gamma-ray bursts, supernovae and some luminous blue variables. However, CNe are far more commonplace than these other phenomena (Warner 1989).

CNe are a subclass of cataclysmic variables (CVs). The canonical model for CVs (Crawford & Kraft 1956) is that they are close binary systems, generally of short period, containing a low-mass G- or K-type near-main-sequence late-type dwarf that fills its Roche lobe (the secondary) and a more massive carbon–oxygen or magnesium–neon white dwarf companion (the primary). As the secondary fills its lobe, any tendency for it to increase in size through evolutionary processes causes a flow of material through the inner Lagrangian point into the primary’s lobe. The high angular momentum of the transferred material causes it to form a disc around the white dwarf. Viscous forces within this accretion disc act to transfer material inwards, so that a small amount of the accreted hydrogen-rich material falls on to the primary’s surface. As this layer grows, the temperature...
of the material increases. Eventually the temperature may become high enough to initiate hydrogen burning. Given the correct conditions, this can lead to a thermonuclear runaway in which the accreted material (and possibly some of the 'dredged-up' white dwarf) is expelled from the system in a nova eruption (King 1989; Starrfield 1989).

CNe exhibit outburst amplitudes of \( \sim 10-20 \) mag and, at maximum light, display an average absolute blue magnitude of \( M_B = -8 \) with a limit of around \( M_B = -9.5 \) for the fastest (Sharov 1981; Warner 1989). They are potentially useful as standard candles for mapping the spatial distribution of the population of close binary systems in our galaxy. Despite the high luminosities of CNe, our position within the Galaxy prevents us from directly observing their luminosity at maximum light and the rate of their decline (Hubble 1929; McLaughlin 1945; Arp 1956). Unfortunately, poor light-curve coverage, small sample sizes and a current lack of understanding of how the properties of CNe vary between different stellar populations, have limited their usefulness in this context. Due to their relatively high frequency, novae may also be used as a tool for mapping the spatial distribution of the population of close binary systems in our galaxy. Especially useful for this purpose are those CNe that erupt each year (Shafter 1997). Therefore, the Galactic nova rate is poorly known, with estimates ranging from 11 yr \(^{-1}\) (Ciardullo et al. 1990a) to 260 yr \(^{-1}\) (Sharov 1972). Fortunately, CNe can be readily identified in external galaxies. Surveys of CNe in M31 have been carried out by Hubble (1929), Arp (1956), Rosino (1964, 1973), Ciardullo et al. (1987), Capaccioli et al. (1989), Sharov & Alksnis (1991), Tomaney & Shafter (1992), Rector et al. (1999) and Shafter & Irby (2001) amongst others. These surveys have resulted in the discovery of around 450 novae and have indicated the global nova rate to be \( \sim 30-40 \) yr \(^{-1}\) (Shafter & Irby 2001). Table 1 summarizes the findings of many of these past surveys, with most of the data reproduced from Shafter & Irby (2001). The relatively high nova rate of M31 and its close proximity to our own Galaxy are major advantages of targeting M31 for nova surveys. However, because M31 is nearer edge-on than face-on, the task of distinguishing between possible separate disc and bulge nova populations is difficult, and there remains debate surrounding the distribution and rate of novae within M31. POINT–AGAPE (Pixel-lensing Observations with the Isaac Newton Telescope–Andromeda Galaxy Amplified Pixels Experiment) is searching for gravitational microlensing events against the mostly unresolved stars in M31 (Paulin-Henriksson et al. 2003). It uses the wide-field camera (WFC) on the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) to survey a 0.6 deg\(^2\) region of M31 in at least two broad-band filters. The survey has very good temporal sampling over the M31 observing season (August–January) for three seasons and is therefore an excellent data base within which to look for novae. Whilst H\(\alpha\) observations have been shown to be a particularly helpful diagnostic for CN identification (Payne-Gaposchkin 1957; Ciardullo, Ford & Jacoby 1983), the excellent sampling of our survey makes it easier than makes up for an absence of H\(\alpha\) data as it allows us to classify the light-curve profiles of different novae.

A commonly used method of describing the overall time-scale of an eruption and classifying CNe is the concept of the nova ‘speed class’, developed by McLaughlin (1939) and Bertaud (1948). Their definition of the various nova classes depends on the time taken for a nova to diminish by three magnitudes below maximum light, \( t_B \). Throughout this paper we will use the speed-class definition, modified by Payne-Gaposchkin (1957) for \( t_B \) times, given by Warner (1989), reproduced in Table 2. The 2.5-yr baseline of our survey, along with the high sampling rate, allows us to classify novae over a wide range of speed classes.

In order for robust statistical statements to be made about CN populations in external galaxies, it is important to take account of the bias induced by the unresolved galactic surface brightness component, which in the inner regions of galaxies may mask much of the CNe light-curve evolution, making identification and classification more difficult. One approach to this is to make the selection procedure for CN candidates completely automated (Darnley et al. 2002), so that the detection efficiency for the various CN light-curve morphologies may be assessed as a function of position objectively through Monte Carlo simulation. This is far from trivial because the light-curve structure of CNe varies considerably with nova speed class. Automation of the pipeline also requires that, in the absence of H\(\alpha\) observations, the light curves are well sampled.

The aim of this study is to present the first fully automated search for CN which can be used to assess in an objective manner the statistical properties of the CN population in M31. The study includes

**Table 1.** Principal M31 CN surveys. The references are as follows: (1) Hubble (1929); (2) Arp (1956); (3) Rosino (1964); (4) Rosino (1973); (5) Rosino et al. (1989); (6) Ciardullo et al. (1987); (7) Ciardullo et al. (1990b); (8) Sharov & Alksnis (1991); (9) Tomaney & Shafter (1992); (10) Shafter & Irby (2001); (11) Rector et al. (1999); (12) this work. The asterisk denotes results to be reported in a follow-up paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Filter(s)</th>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Novae</th>
<th>Annual rate</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hubble</td>
<td>1909–1927</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Plates</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>( \sim 30 )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arp</td>
<td>1953–1954</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Plates</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>( 24 \pm 4 )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciardullo et al.</td>
<td>1982–1986</td>
<td>B, H(\alpha)</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomaney &amp; Shafter</td>
<td>1987–1989</td>
<td>H(\alpha)</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shafter &amp; Irby</td>
<td>1990–1997</td>
<td>H(\alpha)</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>( 37^{+12}_{-8} )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rector et al.</td>
<td>1995–1999</td>
<td>H(\alpha)</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darnley et al.</td>
<td>1999–2002</td>
<td>( r', i', g' )</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>– ( ^* )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The classification of CN light curves into various speed classes according to the time taken to decrease in brightness by 2 mag \( (t_B) \) and their V-band rate of decline \( (dV/dr) \) from maximum light (Warner 1989).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed class</th>
<th>( t_B ) (d)</th>
<th>( dV/dr ) (mag d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very fast</td>
<td>( \leq 10 )</td>
<td>( \geq 0.20 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>11–25</td>
<td>0.18–0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Fast</td>
<td>26–80</td>
<td>0.07–0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>81–150</td>
<td>0.024–0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very slow</td>
<td>151–250</td>
<td>0.013–0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

some of the finest examples of extragalactic nova light curves observed to date. In a companion paper, we will study the spatial distribution and rate of nova in M31. We will also assess the potential of our nova candidates as distance indicators by calibrating the maximum magnitude versus rate of decline and other proposed relationships (Buscombe & de Vaucouleurs 1955).

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the POINT–AGAPE survey data set. In Section 3 we detail the initial data reduction stages. In Section 4 we describe the main nova detection pipeline used to define our CN catalogue. The catalogue itself is presented in Section 5 and we discuss our main findings in Section 6.

2 POINT–AGAPE DATA SET

Between the end of 1999 August and the end of 2002 January, we have used the WFC on the INT in La Palma to regularly monitor two fields positioned north and south of the M31 centre and covering 0.6 deg². The north field is located at \( \alpha = 0^\mathrm{h}44^\mathrm{m}00^\mathrm{s}, \delta = +41^\circ34'00'' \) and the south field at \( \alpha = 0^\mathrm{h}43^\mathrm{m}23^\mathrm{s}, \delta = +40^\circ58'15'' \) (J2000), with respect to the centre of CCD4. The WFC consists of a mosaic of four 2048 × 4100 pixel CCDs, and the field locations are indicated in Fig. 1. The field placements were primarily chosen to be sensitive to compact dark matter candidates, or Machos, which are predicted to be most evident towards the far side of the M31 disc (Kerins et al. 2001).

The observations were conducted over three seasons in at least two broad-band Sloan-like passbands (usually \( r' \) and \( i' \), although sometimes augmented by \( g' \)). The three seasons of \( r' \) data comprise 333 epochs from the northern field and 318 epochs from the southern field. The full distribution of observations, in each band, over the three seasons can be seen in Table 3, whilst a graphical representation of the temporal coverage of the northern-field data may be seen in Fig. 2.

3 DATA REDUCTION

3.1 Image pre-processing and alignment

The data pre-processing is performed using the WFC reduction package wfcred, the processing stages of which include:

(i) linearity correction;
(ii) CCD processing – including de-biasing and flat-fielding;
(iii) de-fringing – for \( i' \) images it is necessary to subtract a mean ‘fringe frame’ to correct for fringing effects;
(iv) world coordinate system definition.

After pre-processing, the data reduction steps below are carried out by automated scripts from within the National Optical Astronomy Observatories IRAF package environment.¹

The first step involves geometrically aligning the image stack. This is carried out using three packages: xxyymatch to produce lists of matched reference coordinates; geomap to calculate second-order geometric transformations between images; and geotran to

---

¹ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
4.1 Standard star selection

In order to detect objects which vary in flux, the first step in our pipeline is to prepare a list of resolved standard stars which are known not to vary in luminosity throughout the observations. We then calibrate the light curves of our CN candidates relative to these stars to eliminate any seeing effects in the data. This selection is carried out using the secondary standard stars from the Magnier et al. BVRI catalogues of M31 (Magnier et al. 1992; Haiman et al. 1994), which contain 485 425 objects. As the catalogues make use of a different filter system from that used by our survey, we must later use data provided by the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit (CASU) INT Wide Field Survey (WFS) to accurately calibrate our magnitude scales. However, for the purpose of relative calibration of the candidate light curves, we initially use a fiducial zero-point of \( r' = 25 \) to provide a rough estimate of the magnitude scale of our data.

Candidates for non-varying stars are selected from the Magnier et al. catalogues by virtue of their type (i.e. stars), reliability and apparent magnitude. Light curves for each of the standard stars are then produced using aperture photometry. Standard stars that contain any points in their light curves which correspond to saturated pixels in any observation are immediately eliminated. To define a sample of standards which do not vary over the survey lifetime, we first make the assumption that any variation in flux is due entirely to extraneous factors such as seeing variations. Then, for each epoch, we calculate the mean flux correction such that the stars have statistically the same flux as measured in the reference image. We then test the initial assumption that all the selected stars do not vary. We fit each standard star with a constant-flux light curve. If any of the standard stars have fits with a reduced \( \chi^2 > 3 \), then the star exhibiting the poorest fit is assumed to have varied in flux. This process is iterated, removing the star with the poorest fit, recomputing the statistical correction and refitting the light curves until all of the remaining stars have a reduced \( \chi^2 \leq 3 \).

4.2 Object definition

To produce an initial list of CN candidates, we first create a list of ‘objects’ for each observation epoch. We define an object to be a resolved structure in a PSF-matched image with a flux at least 10\( \sigma \) above the corresponding local median background flux. The flux difference is designated to be the object flux. Our object detection routine, based upon the IRAF daofind package, allows us to deal with the strongly varying background and uses median-filtered images to estimate the local background.

We eliminate any objects from the candidate list if they do not have 10\( \sigma \) detections for at least five consecutive observations. This allows us to eliminate from our candidate list any rapidly variable objects (which are unlikely to be novae), cosmic rays, contamination from bad pixels and many of the effects of the extended structure of unmasked saturated objects. When comparing objects between different images, some leeway is needed in the position of the objects to allow for alignment and centring errors. We allow \( \pm 1 \) pixel for the maximum error in our custom centring algorithm and \( \pm 0.5 \) pixel for the maximum error in the image alignment. In fact, the majority of the images are aligned to within \( \pm 0.1 \) pixel across the CCD. Thus, we treat objects on different frames which are positioned to within \( \pm 2 \) pixel as the same object. Giving this amount of leeway results in a small number of multiple detections arising from nearby contaminating variable sources, particularly when the contaminants are bright. However, these duplications are easily identified and removed at a later stage.

4 CLASSICAL NOVA DETECTION PIPELINE

After aligning and matching the \( r' \) images, they are fed into our nova detection pipeline. The pipeline is written in C and C++ using standard Unix/Linux libraries and the CFITSIO and CCFITS libraries. A flowchart of the pipeline, including the major steps and selection criteria, as discussed in this section, is shown in Fig. 3.
4.3 Preliminary nova selection

We aim to define a set of selection criteria which are general in the sense of not making unnecessary assumptions about CN light-curve morphology, because we want to minimize the risk of biasing against the detection of certain CN speed classes in favour of others. Our starting point is the catalogues of Galactic nova light curves compiled by Duerbeck (1981) and van den Bergh & Younger (1987).
and the ‘ideal light curve’ (McLaughlin 1960). We wish to derive a set of criteria that allow us to select ‘CN-like’ light curves from our object list. This is a difficult task because, for example, moderate speed class novae, such as T Aurigae 1891 (McLaughlin 1941) and DQ Herculis 1934 (Adams & Joy 1936), exhibit minima 7–10 mag deep during the transition stage. There is also a clear correlation between the morphology of CN light curves and their rate of decline. These difficulties are further compounded for extragalactic nova detection because it is possible that the transition stage of a moderate speed class nova may be obscured by the host galaxy’s own surface brightness. As a result, these novae may appear to have multiple peaks.

Given the different behaviours of CN light curves and the extended range of light curves due to potential contaminating objects, it is not possible, a priori, to define objective selection criteria that are truly effective. Thus, the development of the algorithm used to isolate a sample of CN light curves involved an iterative process, in which the results of visual inspection of a subset of light curves surviving each stage in the selection pipeline were used to refine the selection criteria. To ensure that the objective nature of the selection criteria was preserved, no more than 10 per cent of the candidates surviving at each stage in the selection pipeline were inspected visually. It was also the case that the feedback from the visual inspection to the refinement of the selection criteria was concerned primarily with reducing the contamination of the CN candidate sample by various classes of variable star. Only at the final stages, where colour cuts are adopted, is it necessary to inspect all the candidates, although by this stage the ‘obvious’ CN candidates are reasonably clearly delineated in magnitude and colour from the other light curves.

Our first task in the selection is to produce calibrated light curves for each of the remaining objects in our candidate list. At this preliminary stage the light curves are produced using aperture photometry and calibrated using the standard stars previously located. For each light curve we calculate a baseline flux by taking the minimum value obtained from a sliding seven-epoch mean. We also require that the seven consecutive points which define the baseline each lie within $3\sigma$ of the baseline value. Windows of seven points containing saturated data, or points lying outside the $3\sigma$ limit, are discarded. If any candidate’s light curve contains no valid windows (i.e. it is not possible to calculate a baseline flux) then that candidate is discarded.

To characterize CN light curves, we introduce the notion of primary and secondary peaks. CNe can have complex light-curve structures but are generically characterized by an initial large peak (the primary peak) which, in some cases, may be followed by one or more lesser (secondary) peaks. Secondary peaks tend to be at least 2 mag fainter than the primary. The peaks themselves can exhibit some substructure and therefore care is required in defining what constitutes a peak.

We define a primary peak as being bounded by points at least $15\sigma$ above the baseline flux. The other points within the primary peak must either lie at least $15\sigma$ above the baseline or within $3\sigma$ of the previous $15\sigma$ point (in which case they are regarded as ‘substructure’ points). A primary peak may contain any number of substructure points as long as there are never more than three consecutive substructure points. Finally, a primary peak must contain at least five points overall. At this stage we are able to discard the majority of candidates as they do not contain any primary peaks. We keep for the moment candidates which contain one or more primary peaks.

For the surviving light curves, we calculate the characteristic width of each primary peak. We define the end of each primary peak as the first point following the peak maximum at which the flux of the object drops below $3\sigma$ above the baseline, or the final observation if this occurs first. Using a similar definition for the start of each primary peak, we are able to specify the size of each peak. Overlapping primary peaks are then reassigned as a single primary peak. At this stage, for light curves with more than one primary peak we require that the time between the maximum flux of the first and last primary peak be less than half the total baseline time of the survey. This criterion is introduced in order to eliminate ‘contained’ periodic variables.

From our studies of a large proportion of all past Galactic nova light curves, we have noticed that maxima occurring after the

\[ \text{Table 5. The effect of each stage of our selection pipeline upon the CN catalogue. These steps are described in Section 4.} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline stage</th>
<th>North-field CCDs</th>
<th>South-field CCDs</th>
<th>All CCDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pixels</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$\sigma$ objects</td>
<td>29423</td>
<td>29931</td>
<td>3921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five consecutive detections</td>
<td>17976</td>
<td>15901</td>
<td>19292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 1$ primary peak</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodicity test</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary peak height</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary peak height</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pipeline first pass – aperture photometry

| Pipeline second pass – PSF-fitting photometry |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Five consecutive detections | 135 | 66 | 59 | 110 | 35 | 62 | 132 | 81 | 680 |
| $\geq 1$ primary peak | 66 | 43 | 38 | 59 | 29 | 44 | 61 | 50 | 390 |
| Periodicity test | 66 | 43 | 38 | 59 | 29 | 44 | 61 | 50 | 390 |
| Primary peak height | 59 | 33 | 26 | 49 | 16 | 24 | 52 | 34 | 293 |
| Secondary peak height | 35 | 21 | 21 | 35 | 12 | 16 | 37 | 21 | 198 |
| Further candidate elimination stages | 22 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 8 | 13 | 29 | 13 | 120 |
| $< 90$ per cent of points in peaks | 22 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 28 | 13 | 115 |
| Five $g'$ or $i'$ points | 12 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 10 | 71 |
| Colour evolution | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 52 |
| Rate of decline | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 20 |

Final candidates | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 20 |
transition phase of the nova are always at least two magnitudes fainter than its maximum light. This presents a simple way to eliminate the majority of the multiple primary peak candidates: we require that all primary peaks after the initial one be at least 2 mag below the first peak.

From the remaining light curves we search for secondary peaks. We define a secondary peak as being five or more consecutive points lying at least 15σ above the baseline after increasing the flux of each data point by 2 mag. Points already associated with primary peaks are excluded from the search. We then eliminate candidates unless their secondary peaks are at least 2 mag fainter than the first primary peak.

4.4 Relative PSF-fitted photometry

The result of the CNe detection pipeline at this stage is a preliminary catalogue of 741 candidates, compared to the 9835 strongly variable objects (at least one primary peak) originally identified from 267 368 10σ objects detected within the two fields. The contaminants within this preliminary catalogue are expected to be mainly long-period variables such as Miras. Further cuts require accurate, multicolour photometry. This is performed for each surviving candidate in all three colours ($g'$, $r'$ and $i'$) for all three seasons.

Accurate photometric measurements are obtained by PSF fitting rather than relying on the aperture photometry used until now. The PSF fitting handles the strongly variable background much more robustly than the simple aperture photometry technique. This is carried out for each of the selected Magnier et al. standards, as well as for the CN candidates. Instrumental magnitudes are extracted using the psf and peak routines within the IRAF daophot package. After this more accurate calibration, the flux stability of the standards is rechecked using the procedure outlined in Section 4.1. In total, we identify 650 standards with stable $r'$ light curves, the number of standards per CCD ranges from nine (south-field CCD2) to 202 (south-field CCD3).

We can now reapply the criteria previously used in Section 4.3 to eliminate further candidates, taking advantage of the more accurate photometry. This reprocessing proves very important in order to remove spurious candidates allowed by aperture photometry. A further 543 candidates are eliminated – more than 70 per cent of the surviving sample. A breakdown of the candidates eliminated at each stage in the pipeline is given in Table 5. The higher photometric reliability allows us to introduce further selection criteria. We require that the light curves should not have more than 90 per cent of their points in primary peaks. This is essentially a second ‘containment’ criterion which allows us to eliminate long-period variables. To investigate colour evolution, we further require that the candidates comprise at least five points in either $g'$ or $i'$.

4.5 Photometric calibration and colour selections

To calibrate our instrumental $r'$, $i'$ and $g'$ magnitudes we used the calibrated zero-points for the INT WFC calculated by the CASU WFS team. Their zero-points, calculated for many of the nights on which POINT–AGAPE observations were also taken, allow us to test our relative photometry.

Because the colour of CNe is known to vary strongly throughout the eruption (especially just after maximum light), we demand that the $r'-i'$ and $g'-r'$ colours of our candidates show significant colour evolution. Accordingly we compute the reduced $\chi^2$ for a constant colour fit and reject those light curves where the reduced $\chi^2 < 3$. This cut essentially rejects light curves unless they exhibit colour evolution at a high level of significance.

To eliminate candidates from the catalogue which decay too slowly to be CN, we introduce a rate-of-decline criterion. Using the speed class definitions in Table 2 as a guide, and applying them to the $r'$ band, we remove light curves where $dr'/dt < 0.004$ mag d$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $t_z > 500$ d). At this stage we are left with the 52 candidate light curves that are plotted on the colour–magnitude diagram in Fig. 4, which shows $r'$ at peak versus $r'-i'$ near peak. Specifically, $r'-i'$ in Fig. 4 represents the mean colour of the object in the magnitude range $r'_\text{max} < r' < r'_\text{max} + 3$. The mean is used in order to average over colour variations near maximum light. In some cases, where the peak flux occurs during poor observing conditions, the mean $r'-i'$ is better determined than $r'$ at peak. The candidates are, for the most part, segregated into two clumps, one at $r' \sim 20$, $r'-i' \sim 1.5$ and the other at $r' \sim 18$, $r'-i' \sim 0$. If the remaining candidates lie within M31, we expect them to suffer to varying degrees from extinction within that galaxy. However, as the $r'$ and $i'$ filters are relatively closely spaced in wavelength, we expect that the change in $r'-i'$ due to extinction is small, compared to the decrease in both $r'$ and $i'$, and much smaller than the change in $g'-r'$.

Therefore, the use of colour–magnitude criteria to eliminate further CN candidates is practical. In order to eliminate bogus candidates from the list, we introduce two further criteria:

\begin{align}
  r'-i' &< 0.5 \\
  r'-i' &< 8 - 0.4r'
\end{align}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nova</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>Dec. (J2000)</th>
<th>x (arcmin)</th>
<th>y (arcmin)</th>
<th>( t_0(r') )</th>
<th>( r'(t_0) )</th>
<th>( \dot{r}'(t_0) )</th>
<th>( g'(t_0) )</th>
<th>( \dot{r}'/dr ) (mag d(^{-1})) (estimate)</th>
<th>Speed class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-01</td>
<td>0°43′27″2</td>
<td>+41°24′11″1</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>392.63</td>
<td>16.53 ± 0.03</td>
<td>16.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-02</td>
<td>0°44′39″2</td>
<td>+41°44′32″4</td>
<td>−21.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>394.65</td>
<td>18.91 ± 0.03</td>
<td>19.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>20.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-03</td>
<td>0°42′34″9</td>
<td>+41°08′24″5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>−7.7</td>
<td>395.71</td>
<td>17.79 ± 0.02</td>
<td>17.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-04</td>
<td>0°42′46″1</td>
<td>+40°53′35″3</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>−22.6</td>
<td>400.61(^a)</td>
<td>18.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.34 ± 0.07</td>
<td>18.83 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-05</td>
<td>0°42′41″1</td>
<td>+41°19′12″2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>427.69</td>
<td>17.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>18.47 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-06</td>
<td>0°42′15″9</td>
<td>+41°23′05″4</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>432.69(^a)</td>
<td>16.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>16.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-99-07</td>
<td>0°43′06″7</td>
<td>+41°30′14″2</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>484.50</td>
<td>18.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Very fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-01</td>
<td>0°42′44″0</td>
<td>+41°17′56″5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>760.52</td>
<td>17.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>17.58 ± 0.8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-02</td>
<td>0°43′06″0</td>
<td>+41°30′48″3</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>761.63</td>
<td>18.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>18.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-03</td>
<td>0°42′44″6</td>
<td>+41°20′42″1</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>766.64</td>
<td>18.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>18.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-04</td>
<td>0°42′37″6</td>
<td>+41°17′38″6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>766.65(^a)</td>
<td>17.61 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-05</td>
<td>0°43′08″9</td>
<td>+41°29′16″6</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>786.54</td>
<td>17.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>17.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-06(^b)</td>
<td>0°42′57″1</td>
<td>+41°07′16″3</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>838.52(^a)</td>
<td>17.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16.64 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-00-07</td>
<td>0°43′53″8</td>
<td>+40°55′43″5</td>
<td>−13.1</td>
<td>−20.4</td>
<td>854.46(^a)</td>
<td>19.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>19.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-01</td>
<td>0°42′30″6</td>
<td>+41°14′36″8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
<td>1135.64</td>
<td>18.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.16 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Very slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-02</td>
<td>0°42′18″4</td>
<td>+41°12′40″3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
<td>1142.71(^a)</td>
<td>17.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>16.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-03</td>
<td>0°43′10″6</td>
<td>+41°17′57″6</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1148.67(^a)</td>
<td>17.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>16.88 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-04</td>
<td>0°42′40″6</td>
<td>+41°07′59″9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
<td>1148.69(^a)</td>
<td>17.90 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.38 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-05</td>
<td>0°44′32″5</td>
<td>+41°25′21″9</td>
<td>−20.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1191.48</td>
<td>15.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>15.61 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACN-01-06(^c)</td>
<td>0°43′03″2</td>
<td>+41°12′10″5</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
<td>−4.0</td>
<td>1194.62(^a)</td>
<td>17.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16.88 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Moderately fast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) These novae have been observed before maximum light, in their final rise phase.

\(^b\) CN NMS-1 (Joshi et al. 2004).

\(^c\) CN NMS-2 (Joshi et al. 2004).
4.6 Astrometry

The rationale behind equation (1) is that we expect novae to have approximately equal brightness in all bands at around maximum, after which they become bluer as the eruption develops. This cut effectively eliminates the clump at \( r' \sim 20, r'-i' \sim 1.5 \), which appears mostly to comprise Mira variables. The second colour criterion in equation (2) is almost orthogonal to the line joining the two clumps and also to the expected direction of the reddening vector indicated in Fig. 4. This cut ensures that, whilst we may potentially miss CNe due to reddening, extinction should not cause Miras or similar objects to be mistaken for CNe. This second cut also deals with novae whose maximum light may have been missed; we would expect these novae to appear fainter and bluer. A summary of all of the selection criteria, and their impact for the number of surviving candidates at each stage for each CCD is given in Table 5.

5 THE CATALOGUE

Following the implementation of our nova detection pipeline and all the candidate selection criteria, we have identified 20 CN candidates. The positions of each of the CNe and further information are tabulated in Table 6. The \( dr'/dr \) parameter is estimated from the general slope of the \( r' \)-band light curve between the brightest observation and the observation closest to 2 mag fainter than the maximum. The speed class of each CN is then estimated using the definition given in Table 2. However, note that the various speed classes in Table 2 are defined for \( V \)-band light curves, whilst we are applying them to \( r' \)-band data. Because novae become bluer as they decline, we expect a slight overestimation of the speeds of the CN relative to the \( V \)-band definitions. Table 7 shows the distribution of our sample of CNe with speed class.

Figs 5–9 provide the \( r' \)-band light curves for each of the 20 CNe discovered and identified in Table 6. Also shown are the \( g'-r' \) and \( r'-i' \) colours, where available. The span of our three observing seasons, and the approximate \( r' \)-band magnitude limit of the PSF fitting is indicated by the horizontal lines in the \( r' \)-band panels. The magnitude limit is determined in the immediate region of each candidate by adding successively brighter artificial PSFs to the data until they are recognized by the PSF-fitting routine. This is performed only on our reference CCD frames (those with a seeing scale closest to five pixels), and so represents only an approximate limit for data at other epochs. Where points in the \( r'-i' \) light curves are apparently ‘missing’, this is usually due to the object being particularly blue. Coupled with the higher (\( \sim 1 \) mag) zero-point of the INT CCDs in \( i' \), this means that very blue objects such as novae are often unresolved in \( r' \)-band observations.

In the following subsections we describe in some detail features of the light curves of selected CNe from each speed class.

5.1 Very fast novae

A lone ‘very fast’ nova was identified by the pipeline, PACN-99-07, which is plotted in Fig. 5. The most prominent peak in the \( r' \)-band light curve of this CN (occurring around JD \( \simeq 245 1485 \) d) exhibits \( dr'/dr = 0.2 \) equivalent to \( t_2 \sim 20 \) d. However, this peak appears to be substructure within a broader outburst, which seems to have skewed the estimation of the speed class. PACN-99-07 reaches a

![Figure 5](image-url)
maximum magnitude of $r' = 18.1 \pm 0.1$ – much lower than would be expected for a very fast nova at, or near, peak brightness at the distance of M31 (assuming no significant extinction). Together with the full behaviour of the light curve around outburst, this leads us to the conclusion that PACN-99-07 is in fact a moderately fast speed class CN.

5.2 Fast novae

As shown in Table 7 and Fig. 6, three of the CNe discovered are fast novae, taking between 11 and 25 d to decrease in brightness by 2 mag from maximum light. Two of these fast CNe (PACN-00-06 and PACN-01-02) have been caught in their final rise phase before maximum light. PACN-99-05 appears to have been first observed at or around maximum.

PACN-00-06 was observed four times during its final rise phase. It was first observed at on 2000 October 19 with $r' = 18.08 \pm 0.01$, before rising to $r' = 17.09 \pm 0.01$ on October 21. The nova was again observed two weeks later about 1.5 mag fainter. PACN-00-06 was also observed by the Naini Tal M31 microlensing survey group (Joshi et al. 2004), and was designated by them CN NMS-1.

PACN-01-02 was observed several times before maximum light, first on 2001 August 15. It brightened by 1.3 mag until it reached a maximum light of $r' = 17.14 \pm 0.03$ on August 21. The light curve was well sampled through maximum light and into the initial decline phase and was followed for around 3 mag.

5.3 Moderately fast novae

We have discovered 11 moderately fast novae, those with \( \frac{dr'}{dt} \) in the range 0.025–0.07 mag d\(^{-1}\). Their light curves are shown in Fig. 7.

Five of these novae (PACN-99-06, PACN-00-04, PACN-00-07, PACN-01-04 and PACN-01-06) were first seen during their final rise phase, with the remaining novae all appearing to be first observed around or just after maximum light. Two of the moderately fast novae (PACN-00-04 and PACN-00-05) exhibit strong oscillations in their light curves around maximum light, as is expected for some moderately fast novae. PACN-00-05 also shows evidence of a large transition phase minimum between its early and late decline stages, typical of that associated with the rapid formation of an optically thick dust shell in the ejecta (Bode & Evans 1989). The light curve of PACN-99-06, contains four points in both \( r' \) and \( g' \) during the final rise phase before reaching a maximum of \( r' = 16.17 \pm 0.01 \) and \( g' = 16.91 \pm 0.01 \). This CN was first observed on 1999 September 7 with \( r' = 17.36 \pm 0.03 \). When observed again, just over 24 h later, it had increased in brightness by 0.9 mag. This CN was observed two days later at its maximum light (September 11), so in the 78 h prior to maximum light this CN had increased in brightness by 1.2 mag. We were able to follow PACN-99-06 for about 5 mag below peak. The light curve of PACN-99-06 appears to show that we have observed the pre-nova and post-nova light for this nova; however, this would give this nova a range of only \( \sim 5.5 \) mag, highly unlikely for any CN. In fact, the points in the light curve, all at \( r' \sim 21 \), are contaminants from a nearby object, whose position is within the
errors allowed for each object (see Section 4). When PACN-99-06 is unresolved, at the beginning of the first season and for the entirety of the second and last, the PSF-fitting photometry procedures have recenred upon this nearby object – a relatively faint resolved star in the M31 field.

The nova PACN-00-04 was observed six times before maximum light, first on 2000 August 8 with \( r' = 19.34 \pm 0.06 \), before rising to \( r' = 17.61 \pm 0.03 \) just over two days later. A secondary maximum was observed at \( r' = 18.07 \pm 0.03 \) on September 1. This nova was only followed for about 2.5 mag below peak.

The light curve of PACN-01-04 contains six points before the observed maximum of \( r' = 17.90 \pm 0.03 \) at 4:25 on 2001 August 27. It was first observed on August 24 at 1.9 mag below peak and was followed for about 2 mag after its maximum light. PACN-00-05 was first observed on 2000 August 4, during the second season. Its maximum observed brightness was \( r' = 17.58 \pm 0.03 \). A secondary maximum of \( r' = 19.59 \pm 0.03 \) occurred about 500 d after maximum light following a transition phase. Unfortunately, the transition phase occurred between the end of the second season and the start of the third, so no information is available for this nova during this phase. The nova was followed through 2 mag before the end of the second season.

The CN PACN-01-06 was also discovered by the Naini Tal microlensing group (Joshi et al. 2004), and was designated CN NMS-2 by them.

We are less certain about the classification of PACN-00-07 as a CN. At first glance it looks very much like that of a CN. The rate of decline indicates that it is a moderately fast nova. However, with a
maximum magnitude of $r' = 19.53 \pm 0.04$ it is much fainter than the other 10 moderately fast CNe, which all have maximum light in the range $r' = 15.9$ to $17.9$. Interestingly, PACN-00-07’s colour evolution appears to be more like that of a Mira than of a CN (see Fig. 11 for comparison), but its position on the colour–magnitude diagram (Fig. 4) is much nearer to the CNe group than that of the other objects (see Section 5.8). Perhaps tellingly, it is the object which lies closest to the dividing line between the groups in a position which is suggestive of a significant extinction effect ($\sim 1–2$ mag in $r'$). It is possible that PACN-00-07 is a highly extinguished nova or that it is a CN whose maximum light occurred during the gap between the first and second season, and we are just observing a local maximum in the light curve. Conceivably, this object could be a recurrent CN or perhaps an X-ray nova, although these properties would not necessarily result in a fainter maximum than expected for ‘regular’ CN, and a search for possible X-ray counterparts in the Nasa Extragalactic Data base (NED) reveals no matching candidates. In our view, the most probable explanation is that the light from this CN is attenuated by dust.

### 5.4 Slow novae

Three slow novae were discovered, with $dr'/dt$ of 0.013–0.024 mag d$^{-1}$, and are displayed in Fig. 8. Two of these slow CNe, PACN-99-04 and PACN-01-03, were first observed during their final rise phase. However, as slow novae are generally fainter than the fast
novae (at maximum light), it was not possible to follow either PACN-99-02 or PACN-99-04 into their transition stage. PACN-01-03, although somewhat brighter, occurred at the end of the third season.

PACN-99-04 was first observed on 1999 August 4, the first epoch of the first season, with $r' = 18.84 \pm 0.04$. The maximum light was observed on August 9 with $r' = 18.41 \pm 0.03$. The nova was followed for about 2 mag below peak.

The CN PACN-01-03 was observed 17 times throughout its final rise phase. This nova was first observed with $r' = 19.27 \pm 0.08$ and rose steadily for 8 d to its observed maximum of $r' = 17.30 \pm 0.04$ on 2001 August 27. We were only able to follow this nova during its initial decline phase for around 2 mag before the end of the third season.

### 5.5 Very slow novae

As shown in Fig. 9, we discovered two very slow CNe. Very slow CNe take 151–250 d to decrease by 2 mag from maximum light.

The maximum in the $r'$-band light curve of PACN-00-02 was observed at the beginning of the second season on 2000 August 4 and the nova was still clearly visible by the end of the second season on 2001 January 3. However, it had become unresolved by the beginning of the third. This CN reached an observed maximum light of $r' = 18.15 \pm 0.03$ and had diminished by only 1.8 mag by the end of the second season, 150 d later, with an estimated $dr'/dt \simeq 0.01$ mag d$^{-1}$. PACN-00-02 has a relatively smooth light curve, except for a feature about 100 d after maximum light in which the nova brightened by about a third of a magnitude, before continuing to decline again.

PACN-01-01 is an interesting object, although we have some reservations over its classification as a nova. It was not possible to sample enough of PACN-01-01’s light curve to make a reliable measurement of $dr'/dt$ as this nova remained around maximum light for the majority of the time that it was observed. Its speed class, if it is a CN, is therefore uncertain. However, it has passed all of our selection criteria. The third season data are similar in some respects to the structure around maximum light of the light curves of some of the moderately fast (DQ Her-like) novae in this catalogue, e.g. PACN-00-04 and PACN-00-05. However, there may be a more marked similarity to the light curve around maximum of the very slow nova HR Del 1967 (Drechsel et al. 1977). We also note that PACN-01-01 is only 3 arcmin from the centre of M31 and hence suffers significantly from a highly variable background. The points in the first and second seasons likely arise from a statistical anomaly in the M31 background at or close to the position of this nova, and are not related to the outburst in the third season, as no resolved object is visible at this location.

### 5.6 Distribution of classical novae

The distribution of candidates with CCD can be seen in Table 8. Fig. 10 gives a graphical representation of each candidate’s position.
within our fields. The distribution shows some evidence of spatial concentration around the bulge, however we caution that the significance of this cannot be properly estimated before we make Monte Carlo completeness tests of our selection criteria, something which we will report in a follow-up paper. One nova (PACN-00-07) lies well outside the main disc light on the far-disc side of M31. If it is associated with the M31 disc, then it must lie at a de-projected distance of around 25 kpc from the centre of M31, or around four disc scalelengths.

As can be seen from Fig. 10, one of our CNe may be located within the dwarf spheroidal galaxy M32. This nova, PACN-99-04, is located 22.6 arcmin from the centre of M31, but is only 1.8 arcmin from the centre of M32.

5.7 Pipeline detection efficiency

In a forthcoming study, we shall make a careful assessment of the efficiency with which CNe are detected by our pipeline. However, a useful comparison can be made with the CNe which have been announced on IAU Circulars during the lifetime of the survey. An et al. (2004a) found that 12 of the 14 CN which were alerted during our survey are present in the POINT–AGAPE data set. All of these CNe are located within a few arcmin of the centre of M31 and are of fast or moderately fast speed class. Our automated pipeline has identified seven out of the 12 CNe listed in table 3 of An et al. (2004a). Of the remaining five, two (26285/26121 and 79136, using the An et al. identifiers) occur too late in the survey to be properly
Table 8. The distribution within each CCD of candidates selected by our CN detection pipeline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Percentage of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0 per cent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sampled (and therefore failed selection). One CN (78668) was lost due to our image trimming as it occurs close to the northern edge of CCD3 in the southern field. Another (83479) was lost due to the masking of a diffraction spike of a very bright star, and the final missed CN (26277/25695) failed the initial 15σ cut. We therefore conclude that our pipeline successfully recognizes CNe within the boundaries of our defined selection criteria.

5.8 Borderline and other light curves

From the inspection of the CN in our catalogue, we are confident that at least 18 of the candidates are CNe. However, there are two CN, PACN-00-07 and PACN-01-01, that we are less certain about.
As shown in Table 5, 32 CN candidates were eliminated only by the two colour–magnitude criteria. As can be seen in Fig. 4, these candidates appear to be located in a ‘clump’, indicating that they may be similar types of object. From inspection, the majority of these candidates appear to be Miras or Mira-like variables, with a few exceptions. Fig. 11 shows the light curve of the brightest Mira discovered. Its position in the colour–magnitude diagram is indicated in Fig. 4. This object exhibits a very smooth light curve and its position \((\alpha = 0^h44^m23^s7, \delta = +41^\circ28'4''1)\) is coincident with an object exhibiting a remarkably similar light curve from the DIRECT survey (Stanek et al. 1999), V14148 D31C, observed between 1996 September and October. Fig. 12 shows both the DIRECT \(I\)-band data and POINT–AGAPE data that have been transformed into \(I\)-band data, as well as the span of the first and second POINT–AGAPE observing seasons. The transformation of the POINT–AGAPE data to the \(I\) band is obtained by deriving a best-fitting linear transformation from \(r'\) and \(r''\) to \(I\) using the Magnier et al. (1992) standard stars. From a simple analysis of the DIRECT and POINT–AGAPE data, given that the Mira was unresolved throughout the first POINT–AGAPE season, we arrive at two possible periods for this Mira, either \(\sim 700\) d or \(\sim 1400\) d, making it one of the longest period Miras observed. At the distance of M31, it is also one of the most luminous.

The high signal-to-noise ratio microlensing event PA-99-N2 (Paulin-Henriksson et al. 2003) was also identified and was eliminated from the catalogue via the colour evolution selection criterion (as would be expected for a strong microlensing event). The light curve of the event produced using the CN detection pipeline is shown in Fig. 13. A recent detailed analysis of this event using ‘superpixel’ photometry indicates anomalies near the peak of the light curve, which are well explained as being due to a binary lens system (An et al. 2004b). The PSF-fitting photometry independently undertaken for this study confirms the anomalous kink on an otherwise smooth and achromatic light curve, occurring on the rising side near peak.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The primary aim of the POINT–AGAPE survey is to search for microlensing events due to compact dark matter in M31. However, the requirements for such a survey also enable us to compile a substantial catalogue of variable stars and transients. In this study, we have conducted the first fully-automated search for CNe, using objective selection criteria to define our sample. Our aim has been to devise criteria which, as far as possible, do not bias against the detection of CNe of certain speed classes in favour of others, despite the difficulty that the CN light-curve morphology is inextricably linked to its speed class. In the absence of H\(\alpha\) observations, which are an important diagnostic for CN identification, excellent sampling is a crucial prerequisite for this task.

Our final catalogue of 20 CNe obtained from three seasons of data covering 18 months of total observing time spans a wide range of speed class from very fast to very slow. Their light-curve morphologies vary considerably from the smoothly declining very slow CN, PACN-00-02, through to the moderately fast CN, PACN-00-05, which exhibits multiple maxima as well as a deep transition minimum. Among the objects which did not make our catalogue is V14148 D31C, first seen by the DIRECT survey (Stanek et al. 1999). Combining the DIRECT data and POINT–AGAPE data
reveals this object to be a Mira with a period of either 700 or 1400 d, making it one of the longest-period and (if as seems most likely it lies in M31) most luminous Miras known. Our PSF-fitting photometry also confirms the anomalous behaviour of the high signal-to-noise ratio microlensing event PA-99-N2 (An et al. 2004b), first discovered using our ‘superpixel’ photometry pipeline. Overall, despite the absence of Hα data, we are confident that most, if not all, of our sample are indeed CNe. The only possible borderline candidates are PACN-00-07 and PACN-01-01.

In a follow-up study, we intend to use our CN catalogue to undertake an objective study of the spatial and speed class distribution of CNe in M31. This may help to answer key questions such as whether there is more than one population of CN and whether CNe of different speed classes tend to be associated with different stellar populations. From Monte Carlo completeness tests we should be able to assess objectively the underlying global nova rate, the spatial distribution of CNe, and their potential as standard candle distance estimators via the maximum magnitude versus rate of decline and other relationships. The detailed profiles observed for several of the novae, especially prior to maximum, may also prove helpful for constraining theoretical models of the nova outburst.
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