Surface diffuseness anomaly in heavy-ion potentials
for large-angle quasielastic scattering
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Recent high precision experimental data for heavy-ion fusion reactions at subbarrier energies systematically show that a surprisingly large surface diffuseness parameter for a Woods-Saxon potential is required in order to fit the data. We point out that experimental data for quasi-elastic scattering at backward angles also favor a similar large value of surface diffuseness parameter. Consequently, a double folding approach fails to reproduce the experimental excitation function of quasielastic scattering for the 16O + 154Sm system at energies around the Coulomb barrier. We also show that the deviation of the ratio of the quasielastic to the Rutherford cross sections from unity at deep subbarrier energies offers an unambiguous way to determine the value of the surface diffuseness parameter in the nucleus-nucleus potential.

PACS numbers: 25.70.Bc,25.70.Jj,24.10.Eq,27.70.+q

The nucleus-nucleus potential is the primary ingredient in nuclear reaction calculations. Its nuclear part has often been parametrized as a Woods-Saxon form [1]. Elastic and inelastic scattering are sensitive mainly to the surface region of the nuclear potential, where the Woods-Saxon parametrization has a simple exponential form. This fact has been exploited to study the surface property of nuclear potential. Usually, the best fit to experimental data for scattering is obtained with a diffuseness of around 0.63 fm [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. This value is consistent with a double folding potential [6, 7], and seems to be well accepted [6].

In marked contrast, recent high precision experimental data for heavy-ion fusion reactions at energies around the Coulomb barrier suggest that a much larger value of diffuseness, ranging from 0.75 to 1.5 fm, is required to fit the data [6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12] (See Ref. [13] for a detailed systematic study). The Woods-Saxon potential which fits elastic scattering overestimates fusion cross sections at energies both above and below the Coulomb barrier, having an inconsistent energy dependence to the experimental fusion excitation function. When the height of the Coulomb barrier is fixed, the larger diffuseness parameter leads to the smaller barrier position and the smaller barrier curvature (thus the larger tunneling region). The main effect on the fusion cross sections comes from the barrier curvature (thus the larger tunneling region). A large diffuseness parameter appears to be desirable in both these aspects [8]. The reason for the large discrepancies in diffuseness parameters extracted from scattering and from fusion analyses, however, has not yet been understood.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the dependence of quasielastic excitation function at a large scattering angle on the surface diffuseness parameter in a nucleus-nucleus potential. The quasielastic cross section is defined as the sum of the cross sections of elastic, inelastic, and transfer reactions. Its excitation function at backward angles provides complementary information to the fusion process [14, 15, 16]. It therefore offers an ideal test ground for a large diffuseness parameter suggested by the recent fusion data. This is particularly of interest in connection to the steep falloff phenomena of fusion cross sections at deep subbarrier energies observed recently in several systems [11, 17, 18, 19]. This is so because the measurement of quasielastic scattering is experimentally much easier than that of fusion reaction, especially at deep subbarrier energies [16]. Contrary to what one might expect, we demonstrate below that the surface diffuseness parameter which fits the experimental data of quasielastic scattering is consistent with the one for fusion, rather than the commonly accepted value for scattering.

As a concrete example, let us consider the 16O + 154Sm reaction. Neglecting the finite excitation energy of the ground state rotational band in the target nucleus 154Sm, the cross sections for fusion and quasielastic scattering are given by

$$\sigma_{\text{fus}}(E) = \int_0^1 d(cos \theta_T) \sigma_{\text{fus}}(E; \theta_T), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{qel}}(E, \theta) = \int_0^1 d(cos \theta_T) \sigma_{\text{qel}}(E, \theta; \theta_T), \quad (2)$$

respectively, in the isocentrifugal approximation, where one neglects the angular momentum transfer in the centrifugal potential [16, 22]. \(\theta\) and \(\theta_T\) are the scattering angle and the orientation angle of the deformed target with respect to the projectile direction, respectively. \(\sigma_{\text{fus}}(E; \theta_T)\) and \(\sigma_{\text{qel}}(E, \theta; \theta_T)\) are the fusion and the elastic cross sections for the angle dependent potential \(V(r, \theta_T)\) given by

$$V(r, \theta_T) = V_N(r, \theta_T) + V_C(r, \theta_T), \quad (3)$$
FIG. 1: The ratio of quasielastic to the Rutherford cross sections at θlab = 170 deg (the upper panel) and the fusion cross section (the lower panel) for the $^{16}$O + $^{154}$Sm reaction. The solid line is obtained with the orientation-integrated formula with the surface diffuseness parameter $a$ with a Woods-Saxon potential with the integrated potential with the separation parameter $a=1.05$ fm while the dashed line with $a=0.65$ fm. The result of the double folding potential with the density-dependent M3Y interaction is denoted by the thin solid line. The experimental data are taken from Refs. 2,13.

\[ V_N(r, \theta_T) = \frac{-V_0}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{r - R - R_T \sum Y_0(\theta_T)}{a}\right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ V_C(r, \theta_T) = \frac{Z_P Z_T e^2}{r} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{2} e^{\lambda^2} Y_0(\theta_T) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Figure 1 compares the experimental data for the quasielastic (given as the ratio to the Rutherford cross section; the upper panel) and the fusion (the lower panel) cross sections with calculated cross sections obtained with different values for the surface diffuseness parameter $a$ in the Woods-Saxon potential. The experimental data are taken from Refs. 4, 13, where the quasielastic cross sections were measured at 170 degree in the laboratory frame. The solid and dashed lines are obtained with a Woods-Saxon potential with $a=1.05$ fm and $a=0.65$ fm, respectively. The depth and the radius parameters of the potential as well as the deformation parameters are identified with the fusion cross sections.

The discrepancy between the experimental data and the theoretical curve for the quasielastic excitation function around $E=65$ MeV is due to the transfer process [15], which is not included in the present calculations. For a single channel problem, the ratio of the elastic to the Rutherford cross sections at backward angles is given by [14, 22]

\[ \frac{d\sigma_{el}}{d\sigma_R}(E, \theta) \sim 1 + \frac{V_N(r_c)}{k a} \sqrt{2a_0 k \eta} \frac{E}{E_0}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

at energies well below the Coulomb barrier, where the tunneling probability is exponentially small (see Ref. 16 for a more general formula which is valid also at higher energies). This formula is obtained with the semiclassical perturbation theory by assuming that the elastic potential $V_N(r)$ is proportional to $\exp(-r/a)$ around the distance of closest approach, that is, $r_c = (\eta + \sqrt{\eta^2 + \lambda_c^2})/k$, where $\eta$ is the Sommerfeld parameter and $\lambda_c = \eta \cot(\theta/2)$. The deviation of the ratio of the cross sections at subbarrier energies from unity is therefore sensitive only to the surface property of nuclear potential, and provides a relatively model independent way to study the effect of surface diffuseness parameter. In order to demonstrate that the surface diffuseness is indeed more...
Influential than the channel coupling effect to quasielastic scattering at low energies, Figure 2 shows the effect of deformation of the target nucleus on the quasielastic cross sections. We find that the effect is negligible at deep subbarrier energies, and the role played by the surface diffuseness parameter is indeed identified unambiguously. The strongest energy dependence of the cross section comes from the exponential factor, $\exp(-r_c/a)$, in the nuclear potential $V_N(r_c)$. The larger value of diffuseness parameter results in the stronger energy dependence, and thus the larger deviation of the ratio from unity. The measured quasielastic cross sections at energies between 35 and 55 MeV are clearly inconsistent with $a=0.65$ fm. As in subbarrier fusion reactions, a larger diffuseness parameter seems to be required in order to fit the experimental data.

For completeness of our study, we next examine the performance of a double folding potential for the subbarrier reactions. In order to construct a nucleus-nucleus potential with the double folding procedure, we assume a deformed Fermi function for the (intrinsic) target density,

$$\rho_T(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp[(r - R - R \sum_{\lambda} Y_{\lambda 0}(r) / a_d)]}.$$  

(7)

We use the same parameters as in Ref. 24, including the $\beta_2$ and $\beta_4$ deformations. We numerically expand Eq. (7) into multipoles up to $L=6$, and construct the double folding potential for each multipole component, leading to an orientation dependent potential which corresponds to Eq. (3). We use the same (spherical) density for $^{16}$O as in Ref. 28. For an effective nucleon-nucleon interaction, we use the density-dependent Michigan three-range Yukawa (DDM3Y) interaction 29, together with the zero-range approximation for the exchange contribution (See Ref. 28 for the parameters). We introduce an overall scaling factor to the nuclear potential so that the barrier height is the same as that of the Woods-Saxon potentials. The cross sections computed with the double folding potential thus obtained are denoted by the thin solid line in Fig. 1. Those are similar to the results of the Woods-Saxon potential with the diffuseness parameter of $a=0.63$ fm. This finding is consistent with a recent observation in heavy-ion subbarrier fusion reactions. It would be helpful to perform other quasi-elastic measurements at deep subbarrier energies, so that a systematic study for the diffuseness parameter for scattering process is possible.

We have also discussed the applicability of a double folding potential in quasielastic scattering. We have shown that the cross sections obtained with the double folding potential is similar to the one obtained with a Woods-Saxon potential whose surface diffuseness parameter is around 0.65 fm. Consequently, the double folding potential does not reproduce the experimental excitation function for large angle quasielastic scattering around the Coulomb barrier. This may appear rather surprising, given that a double folding approach has enjoyed success in reproducing an angular distribution for elastic and inelastic scattering in many systems. In order to reconcile this apparent contradiction, a more careful investigation, e.g., for the energy dependence of a double folding potential due to the exchange contribution, would be necessary. We will report this in a separate paper.

In summary, we have studied the sensitivity of large angle quasielastic scattering to the surface diffuseness parameter in the nucleus-nucleus potential. We have argued that the deviation of the ratio of quasielastic to the Rutherford cross sections from unity at deep subbarrier energies is sensitive mainly to the surface property of nuclear potential, and thus provides a useful way to determine the value of surface diffuseness parameter. Using this fact, we have shown that the experimental excitation function for quasielastic scattering at energies around the Coulomb barrier can be reproduced only when a much larger diffuseness parameter is used in a Woods-Saxon potential than the commonly accepted value, that is, around 0.63 fm. This finding is consistent with a recent observation in heavy-ion subbarrier fusion reactions. It would be helpful to perform other quasi-elastic measurements at deep subbarrier energies, so that a systematic study for the diffuseness parameter for scattering process is possible.
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