1. Introduction

There are a variety of possible sources for astrophysical neutrinos in the Universe, including neutrinos from recent supernovae, supernova relic neutrinos, decays of exotic particles, beam dumps associated with active galactic nuclei, the galactic disk, and many other hypothetical sources. Based on the successes of the Super-Kamiokande project which was designed to search for proton decay and study other neutrino processes, plans are being formulated for larger water Cerenkov detectors to extend our reach in proton lifetime and neutrino flux. The word “megaton” has possibly negative connotations with regard to nuclear weapons, so I will refer to these new projects as “thousand milli-Megaton” detectors.

In the next section I will describe a search for AGN neutrinos using the 1 milli-Megaton Soudan 2 detector. This is neither new nor a water Cerenkov detector, but it has not previously been described at a NOW workshop. In the following sections, I will consider the capabilities of UNO and/or Hyper-Kamiokande to astrophysical neutrinos. The capabilities for solar neutrinos and galactic supernovae are left to other sessions of this workshop.

2. Search for AGN neutrinos in Soudan 2.

The one milli-megaton Soudan 2 detector, built to search for nucleon decay, was located approximately 700 meters below the Earth’s surface in an historic iron mine in Soudan, Minnesota. It was a very-fine grained detector, with drift tubes between thin steel plates, and read out by wire chambers and cathode pads. A muon traversing the detector would go through a few hundred gas crossings, with pulse height readout for each hit. The overburden and rock composition were such that atmospheric muons died out at approximately 82 degrees zenith angle, though the actual cut was applied using a rock density and topology map corresponding to 14 kmwe. Incoming muons with an angle larger than this were candidate atmospheric neutrino induced muons. Since Soudan 2 could not distinguish upward from downward going muons, this corresponded to a solid angle for neutrino astronomy of 1.77 sr or 14% of 4π. A cut on multiple scattering in the detector of less than 2 degrees was applied in order to reject vertical muons which may have scattered before arriving at the detector. With an effective area of 86.7 m², a trigger and reconstruction efficiency of 0.53 and a live time of 2.0 × 10⁸ s, 65 neutrino-induced horizontal muon candidates were detected, corresponding to a flux of 4.01 ± 0.50 ± 0.30 × 10⁻¹³ cm⁻² sr⁻¹ s⁻¹.

This dataset was used to perform a search for diffuse production of neutrinos by Active Galactic Nuclei. Models for AGN neutrinos predict a rather flat spectrum with substantial fluxes of neutrinos up to 100 TeV. These neutrinos would then produce high energy muons with energies from a few TeV up to 100s of TeV. In this energy range, the dominant energy loss process for
the muons in iron is electron pair production followed by bremsstrahlung. A GEANT-based Monte Carlo was used to study energy deposition in Soudan 2 by 5, 20 and 100 TeV muons. The result is shown in Figure 1. 60% of the 5 TeV muons lose 5 GeV or more while traversing the Soudan 2 detector, along with 91% of 20 TeV muons and 99% of 100 TeV muons. Such an energy loss is visible as a huge electromagnetic shower consisting of over 100 additional hits along the trajectory of the muon. None of the 65 muons had visible radiated energy loss greater than the predetermined cut of 5 GeV. This result allowed us to calculate an energy dependent flux limit for neutrino-induced muons. For 5, 20 and 100 TeV muons, the limits are 2.2, 1.5 and 1.4 \times 10^{-14} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}.

3. Future Searches for High-Energy Astrophysical Neutrino Sources

In order to search for neutrino-induced muons, the important property for a detector is its area. Super-Kamiokande is a 50 milli-Megaton detector. UNO as presently designed will be 13 times the volume of Super-K, but only 5.5 times the area, or 6600 m$^2$. For a background dominated signal, this will only improve limits by a factor of $\sqrt{5.5} = 2.3$. In contrast cubic kilometer detectors will have areas of about a million m$^2$ and are already under construction. It is unlikely that Megaton water Cerenkov Detectors will be competitive for any signal which will be triggered by the larger detectors. Possible neutrino signals which fall in this category include the following:

- Searches for diffuse neutrino production from Active Galactic Nuclei
- $\nu_\mu$ from WIMP annihilation products from the center of the earth
- $\nu_\mu$ from WIMP annihilation products from the sun
- Searches for WIMP annihilation in the galactic core
- Searches for point sources of VHE/UHE $\nu_\mu$
- Search for $\nu_\mu$ from the galactic disk (aka galactic atmospheric neutrinos)
- Search for coincidences with gamma ray bursts and other astrophysical phenomena

The search for point sources provides a particular challenge, because there are a variety of techniques to characterize the background. While the angular resolution of the detector can be known in principle, the energy distribution of the hypothetical source affects the analysis strategy for choosing the angular range. All-sky surveys have been done using bins, cones and unbinned searches. Each has advantages and disadvantages for particular kinds of sources. For examples cones have fewer bin-edge problems, but there are odd over-sampling effects to deal with. The use of multiple tests leads to multiple trial factors and hence a lower true sensitivity for a real signal.

As pointed out above, these signals typically depend on the area of the detector, so the cubic kilometer arrays will have a clear advantage over Megaton water Cerenkov detectors. However, as
the search for AGN $\nu$s with Soudan 2 showed, advantage can be taken of the additional information that a finer grained detector provides. The signals may show up only after a series of cuts that can only be made using the greater information in the finer grained detectors. Or a signal from the sun may be difficult if the only cubic kilometer detector is at the south pole where the sun is often near the horizon. Astrophysical sources may not be a primary motivation for Megaton Cerenkov detectors, but when built, searches should be made!

4. Supernova Relic neutrinos

Supernova relic neutrinos (SNR) is the name given to the diffuse sum of all supernovae at cosmological distances from long long ago and far far away. The energy spectrum for these neutrinos will be lower than the distribution from a galactic supernova due to the red shift. The observation strategy is to look between 18 MeV which is the upper end of the hep solar $\nu$ flux and about 30 MeV where atmospheric neutrinos will dominate. Observation of SNR $\nu$s will provide a direct test of various early star-formation models. A variety of authors have made predictions for the flux ranging from $0.30$ to $1.62$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ based on the ultraviolet luminosity and other assumptions.

The Super-Kamiokande collaboration has conducted a search for SNR neutrinos and set a limit at $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

5. Prospects for Megaton Water Cerenkov Detectors

Super-Kamiokande has demonstrated the tremendous versatility of large water Cerenkov detectors, by obtaining important results in the fields of solar neutrinos, atmospheric neutrinos, accelerator neutrinos (K2K) and supernova neutrinos, as well as setting important limits on proton decay. In my opinion, a crucial reason to consider another generation of water detectors is to continue the search for nucleon decay. In most GUTs, there is an upper limit for the lifetime of proton decay around a few $10^{36}$ years. With current limits around $10^{34}$ years, most of the remaining parameter space is accessible using Megaton detectors for ten years. The UNO collaboration had done a detailed study of backgrounds in such a detector, and shown how the sensitivity increases with time.

In UNO, with a planned 450 kiloton fiducial volume, a signal of 20-60 events per year would be expected, which is enough to rise above the backgrounds. This is a background limited search, so the significance will increase with the square-root of the exposure. Due to spallation backgrounds, this search would prefer a deeper location for UNO than might be required for proton decay or long-baseline accelerator searches. Depending on the flux, a one sigma “hint” for signal is expected in 0.5 to 6 years.
volume would have 40% phototube coverage while the other two would have 10%. The fiducial volume of 440 kton would be twenty times larger than Super-K. There would be 56,000 20 inch PMTs and 14,900 8 inch PMTs. Possible sites for UNO include the FREJUS tunnel in France, and a number of sites in the U.S. The Frejus site is being considered in conjunction with a new safety tunnel to be constructed alongside the existing highway tunnel. In the U.S., a process has been identified to consider sites for a Deep Underground Science and Engineering Laboratory (DUSEL) by the National Science Foundation. Sites under consideration include the Kimballton site in Virginia, the Sudbury site in Canada, the Soudan site in Minnesota, the Homestake site in South Dakota, the WIPP site in New Mexico, the Henderson site in Colorado, the San Jacinto site in California and the Icicle Creek site in Washington. The collaboration has been working most closely with the Henderson mine, which is owned by Climax Molybdenum Company, a subsidiary of Phelps Dodge Corporation. The mine produces 21,000 tons of ore per day, and has a lifetime of another 20 years. It is the 6th-7th largest underground hard rock mine in the world. A 28 foot diameter shaft from the surface can haul up to 200 people down to the 7500 foot level in about 5 minutes.

In Japan, a site for a one Megaton Detector, called Hyper-Kamiokande has been identified at Tchibora, a few miles from the present site. The new beam for T2K is aimed at the same angle with respect to the current Super-K detector and the possible new detector Hyper-K.

The Hyper-K idea is not presently before the Japanese funding agencies. The UNO collaboration provided its white paper on its capabilities for the 2001 Snowmass meeting. A new proton decay experiment was identified by HEPAP on its wish list for new long-term projects in March 2003. The report, titled “High energy physics facilities recommended for the DOE office of science twenty-year roadmap”, rates the scientific potential of UNO (Classified as Underground Detector) as “Absolutely Central”. However, this was the only absolutely central experiment which did not make it onto the smaller list of projects in the DOE Office of Science’s 20 year strategic plan. The APS neutrino study, in its “Neutrino Matrix” report stated its assumption that adequate R&D would be carried out “to assure the practical and timely realization of accelerator and detector technologies critical to the recommended program. Of particular importance are R&D efforts aimed toward development of... a very large neutrino detector...” Megaton water Cerenkov detectors, with a price tag approaching a billion dollars, are probably not on the threshold of being approved. However, the case for such detectors continues to solidify, and I hope they will become a reality in the not-too-distant future.
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