The X-ray Afterglows of GRB 020813 and GRB 021004 with Chandra HETGS: Possible Evidence for a Supernova Prior to GRB 020813
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ABSTRACT

We report on the detection of an emission line near 1.3 keV, which we associate with blue-shifted hydrogen-like sulfur (S XVI), in a 76.8 ksec Chandra HETGS spectrum of the afterglow of GRB 020813. The line is detected at 3.3σ significance. We also find marginal evidence for a line possibly due to hydrogen-like silicon (Si XIV) with the same blue-shift. A line from Fe is not detected, though a very low significance Ni feature may be present. A thermal model fits the data adequately, but a reflection model may provide a better fit. There is marginal evidence that the equivalent width of the S XVI line decrease as the burst fades. We infer from these results that a supernova likely occurred $\gtrsim 2$ months prior to the GRB. We find no discrete or variable spectral features in the Chandra HETGS spectrum of the GRB 021004 afterglow.
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1. Introduction

Much evidence has accumulated in recent years connecting long-duration $\gamma$-ray bursts (GRBs) (Kouveliotou et al. 1993) to supernovae (SNe). The detection of SN 1998bw in association with GRB 980425 (Galama et al. 1998) and the detection of late-time SN “bumps” in several afterglow light curves (Bloom et al. (1999), Reichart (2001) and references therein), the observed positional coincidences between star-forming regions and long-duration GRB afterglows (e.g. Sahu et al. (1997), Kulkarni et al. (1998), Kulkarni et al. (1999)), and the evidence for dust extinction in some GRB afterglows all point toward this association. In this context, X-ray spectroscopic observations of early GRB afterglows are tremendously interesting, because they potentially allow us to view directly the effects of the GRB on the progenitor stellar material and possibly on metals freshly synthesized in the SN.

Several authors have claimed detection of Fe lines in GRB X-ray afterglows (Piro et al. (1999), Piro et al. (2000), Antonelli et al. (2002)). Beyond very weak evidence in Piro et al. (2000) for an emission line from H-like S in GRB 991216, Reeves et al. (2002) (hereafter R02) are the first to strongly assert evidence for emission from low-Z, multiple-$\alpha$ elements in a GRB X-ray afterglow. If valid, this claim has broad implications for GRB emission models and it would strongly link GRBs to SNe. The reduction of these data and the statistical significance of the results has been called into question by Borozdin & Trudoyubov (2002) and Rutledge & Sako (2002), respectively. In a follow-up paper (Reeves et al. 2002b), the XMM-Newton ((Jansen et al. 2001)) observers address those concerns. Physical interpretations aside, the R02 results are controversial largely due to the small number of observed counts and the low spectral resolution of the EPIC-pn instrument, which would blur some fraction of the line emission into the continuum. These hinder an accurate measurement of the continuum emission and make it difficult to gauge the significance of discrete spectral features.

We find possible evidence for Si and S emission in the Chandra X-ray Observatory (Weisskopf et al. 2002) High Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer (HETGS) spectrum of the GRB 020813 afterglow. We detect a Ni-K line at very low significance, and we find a strikingly low upper limit to the Fe-K line equivalent width. The emitting material is blue-shifted with velocity $\sim 0.1c$ relative to the GRB host galaxy. These results add weight to the detections claimed by R02 and Watson et al. (2002). With the HETGS’s high spectral resolution, we are able to resolve the line widths, and we are able to accurately separate the line and continuum emission. Because the lines we associate with S and Si are detected in the full data set, we have $\sim 5$ times more counts to work with than R02, where the line emission is observed during only a short period of time. Moreover, the continuum is an order of magnitude stronger than that reported by R02, possibly indicating, in the case of thermal emission, that a power-law component is also present. This may alternatively be a sign that
the lines are produced under reflection.

2. Observations

Using Directors Discretionary Time, two afterglows of GRBs detected and localized by the High-Energy Transient Explorer (HETE) satellite (Ricker et al. 2003) were observed with the Chandra HETGS, approximately 1 day after each burst, and lasting for approximately 1 day. Both bursts were long-duration GRBs, with $\gamma$-ray durations $\gtrsim 100\text{s}$. The $\gamma$-ray peak flux from GRB 020813 (Villasenor et al. 2002) was quite high ($\sim 10^{-5}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$), while the peak flux from GRB 021004 (Shirasaki et al. 2002) was approximately two orders of magnitude lower.

Chandra began observing the GRB 020813 afterglow with the HETGS on August 13.990 ($t_{\text{burst}} + 21.02\text{ hrs}$) and continued until August 14.892 ($t_{\text{burst}} + 42.67\text{ hrs}$), with a total livetime of 76.8 ksec. The mean count rate from the HEG and MEG gratings and the 0th-order image was $0.12\text{ counts/s (0.06 counts/s for the gratings alone)}$. The afterglow candidate reported by Fox (2002a) was detected and was observed to fade in brightness with time according to a power-law of index $\alpha = -1.38 \pm 0.06 (\chi^2_v = 36.4/24)$, consistent with the value reported by Vanderspek et al. (2002) and consistent with measured values in the optical (Malesani et al. 2002). Between 0.6 and 6 keV, we measure a time-averaged flux of $2.2 \times 10^{-12}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$.

As first reported by Sako & Harrison (2002), Chandra began observing the afterglow of GRB 021004 on October 5.358 ($t_{\text{burst}} + 20.49\text{ hrs}$) and continued until October 6.400 ($t_{\text{burst}} + 45.50\text{ hrs}$), with a total livetime of 86.7 ksec. The mean count rate from the HEG and MEG gratings and the 0th-order image was $0.04\text{ counts/s (0.02 counts/s in the gratings alone)}$. The afterglow candidate reported by Fox (2002b) was detected and was observed to fade in brightness with time. The X-ray light curve appears variable. (Similar variability has been reported in the optical for this afterglow; see e.g. Halpern et al. (2002).) The temporal fade in flux can be described by a power-law with index $\alpha = -0.9 \pm 0.1 (\chi^2_v = 83.8/27)$, consistent with the values reported by Sako & Harrison (2002) and Holland et al. (2002). The time-averaged flux between 0.6 and 6 keV is measured to be $6.3 \times 10^{-13}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$.

The total number of counts detected by Chandra in the case of GRB 020813 (GRB 021004) is 6.5 times (2 times) the number of counts detected for GRB 991216 (Piro et al. 2000). We perform a parallel spectral/temporal analysis below for the X-ray afterglow spectra of GRB 020813 and GRB 021004.
3. Spectral Fitting

We reduce the HETGS spectral data from the standard L2 event lists using IDL and custom scripts, described in Marshall et al. (2002). For the 0th-order spectral data, we use the CIAO\(^4\) processing exclusively. Each data set is corrected for QE degradation\(^5\) due to contamination in the ACIS chips, prior to spectral fitting. We also destreak the S4 chip\(^6\).

Spectral fitting and analysis is performed with ISIS\(^7\). For each observation, we fit the HETGS HEG and HETGS MEG 1st-order data for the entire observation jointly. The +1 and -1 orders are combined for each grating. The data are then binned to a S/N \(\geq 5\) per bin, with the bin width restricted to \(\delta E/E \leq 0.1\). We define S/N as the background-subtracted number of counts divided by the square root of the sum of the signal counts and the variance in the background. Bins of the maximal width with S/N < 3 are rejected, due to lack of signal. For GRB 020813, this selects an HEG energy range of 0.8\(-\)7.6 keV and and MEG energy range of 0.6\(-\)5.2 keV. For GRB 021004, this selects an HEG energy range of 1.0\(-\)4.6 keV and and MEG energy range of 0.6\(-\)4.7 keV. We fit each model by minimizing \(\chi^2\). Unless otherwise noted, all quoted errors are 90% confidence.

3.1 GRB 020813

As reported by Vanderspek et al. (2002), we find that an absorbed power-law fit to the 1st-order spectra requires no absorption column in excess of Galactic value. Therefore, in each model that we consider, we include absorption with \(N_H\) frozen to 7.5 \(\times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

The data are moderately well fit by a power-law (Figure 1, \(\chi^2_\nu = 176.0/154\), with photon index \(\Gamma = 1.85 \pm 0.04\) and normalization \((5.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}\) photons/keV/cm\(^2\)/s at 1 keV. A thermal bremsstrahlung fit is a much poorer fit to the data (\(\chi^2_\nu = 196.7/154\), rejectable at 99% confidence), with \(kT = 4.6 \pm 0.5\) keV. We find consistent fits using the 0th-order data. Of note, a large fraction of the contribution to \(\chi^2\) in the case of the HETGS power-law fit arises between 1.2 and 1.5 keV. The addition of a Gaussian component in this energy range improves the \(\chi^2\) (\(\chi^2_\nu = 160.5/151\)). This improvement is at the 99.9% confidence level according the the likelihood ratio test (\(\Delta \chi^2 = 15.5\) for 3 additional degrees of freedom). However, this method for determining significance is deprecated (see Protassov
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et al. (2002)), and we present Monte Carlo calculations for the significance below. The line energy is $1.31 \pm 0.01$ keV, with a width of $8 \pm 4$ eV (1σ). The rest-frame equivalent width is $67 \pm 32$ eV, and the flux is $(1.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Given the optically measured GRB host galaxy absorption redshift $z \geq 1.254$ (Price et al. 2002), a likely association for this line is S XVI Kα, blue-shifted by 0.12c from $z = 1.254$. The $\chi^2$ is marginally improved ($\chi^2 = 155.7/150$) with the inclusion of an additional Gaussian component of the same width, constrained to lie at predicted energy of Si XIV Kα (1.01 keV at $z = 0.99 \pm 0.01$). From the likelihood ratio test, the confidence for the pair can be estimated as 99.96% ($\Delta \chi^2 = 20.3$ for 4 additional degrees of freedom). Allowing the widths to vary jointly, the best fit width value is $10 \pm 9$ eV.

In gauging the significance of these features, we must take into account the number of trials performed (i.e. line widths and line energies searched). Figure 2 displays the power-law model found above over-plotted on the summed HEG+MEG counts, at three binnings. The finest binning is chosen so that each bin between 1.5 and 16.0 Å contains one or more counts. We rebin twice by a factor of approximately two in order to look for broader features. The 1.31 keV (9.4 Å) feature is seen in both the top and middle plots at 4.0σ. This significance describes the Poisson probability that the signal plus background counts could reach a value greater than or equal to the observed values, with the Poisson mean fixed to the number of counts predicted by the model plus the background. The probability for a chance fluctuation of this or greater significance in one or more of the 235 bins shown in Figure 2 is approximately 1.5%, calculated as $P_N \approx 1 - (1 - P_1)^N$. From Monte Carlo simulations of $10^4$ spectra using the best fit power-law model above, we find $P_N = 1.4\%$. From the simulations, we find that the probability for getting two or more 4σ fluctuations (as observed) is 0.1%. Thus the line is detected at 3.3σ significance. A broad feature corresponding to the 1.01 keV line mentioned above is observed in the third panel (and also in the 1st panel) of Figure 2 at $\sim 12.2$ Å, with a significance of 2.9σ. We estimate a 58% probability that a 2.9σ or greater fluctuation would occur by chance in one or more of the trials. From the Monte Carlo simulations, the probability for getting two or more 2.9σ fluctuations is 23%. Thus the line is detected at very low (1.2σ) significance in a blind search, and it is potentially meaningful only if the line location is constrained as in the preceding paragraph. No additional features at or above 2.9σ are present in the HETGS spectrum at the binnings searched. Finally, we derive a 3σ upper limit of 20 eV for the equivalent widths of any lines unresolved in this search (FWHM $\ll 0.11$ Å) between 6 and 16 Å. This figure grows $\propto \lambda^{-3}$ shortward of 6 Å.

We also attempt to fit these data using a collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) plasma model, as has been done previously in the case of several recent GRB afterglows observed with XMM-Newton (R02, Watson et al. (2002)). We form the model using the Astrophysical Plasma Emission Database (APED) accessible through ISIS, with the redshift fixed at $z =$
0.99. We utilize a turbulence velocity $v_T$, fixed at 2000 km/s, and thermal line profiles to produce the best-fit line widths above. We fix the abundance of metals lighter than Mg to solar. We tie the Si abundance to the S abundance, and allow Ni to vary freely. All other metals are frozen to zero abundance. The CIE plasma fit (Figure 3, $\chi^2_v = 177.8/152$) is slightly poorer than the pure power-law fit. Allowing the APED metal abundances previously set to zero to vary does not improve the fit. The best fit plasma temperature is $9.2 \pm 1.2$ keV. The best fit S,Si abundance is 2.9 times solar, and the linked abundance is greater than solar at 98% confidence ($\Delta \chi^2 = 5.5$ for 1 additional parameter). The best fit Ni abundance is 1.8 times solar. There is a low (1.6$\sigma$) significance detection of a Ni-K line in the MEG spectrum. This features appears as two bins modestly over the power-law fit in Figures 1, 3 near 4 keV. The APED model fits these bins with a blend of K lines from H and He-like Ni. The APED model with an abundance of Fe comparable to the Si and S abundances would produce a very strong and easily detectable Fe-K feature (Figure 3 Inset). In Table 1, we report 1-parameter 90% confidence upper limits to the abundances of several elements, with upper limits to the line fluxes and rest-frame equivalent widths of H-like K lines in each species. In Figures 4, 5, and 6 we compare the abundances, line luminosities, and rest-frame equivalent widths, respectively, to those reported for other GRB X-ray afterglows.

Since, the abundances of $\alpha$-particle nuclei produced by core-collapse SNe are expected to be approximately the same (see e.g. Rauscher et al. (2002)), relative to solar, the low relative upper limits we find for the abundances of Ar and Ca possibly indicate that the Si and S lines are not the result of thermal emission from a hot plasma. After radioactive decay, we would expect an Fe abundance similar to the low-Z, multiple-$\alpha$ metal abundances. This implies that the line fluxes from one or more of the Fe group elements (Fe, Ni, Co) should be higher than we observe. We discuss below the possibility that the lines are due to reflection. An alternative possibility, suggested in part by the APED model’s apparent tendency to under-fit the continuum below $\sim 1.5$ keV (Figure 1), is that we must also include a power-law component. It is then possible to use the APED model with a lower temperature ($\sim 2$ keV), and this weakens the high energy continuum and the lines from Ar, Ca, and the Fe group elements relative to the Si and S lines. We find models with a single abundance for the $\alpha$-particle nuclei and Fe which improve upon the power-law fit but do not appear to be as good as the power-law plus two Gaussian fit. Finally, we note that the abundances quoted in Table 1 lose meaning if a power-law component is also present.
3.2. GRB 021004

An absorbed power-law fit to the 1st-order spectra does not require an absorption column in excess of the Galactic value, as reported by Sako & Harrison (2002). Therefore, in each model that we consider, we include absorption with $N_H$ frozen to $4.24 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

The data are very well fit by a power-law ($\chi^2_\nu = 39.5/55$), with $\Gamma = 2.01 \pm 0.08$ and normalization $(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ photons/keV/cm$^2$/s at 1 keV, consistent with the values found by Sako & Harrison (2002) and Holland et al. (2002). A thermal bremsstrahlung fit is a somewhat poorer, though still very acceptable, fit ($\chi^2_\nu = 47.2/55$), with $kT = 3.2 \pm 0.4$ keV. We find consistent fits using the 0th-order data.

We plot the combined HEG/MEG data in count space with the power-law model over-plotted in Figure 8, for the same binnings as in Figure 2. No significant features are observed. The largest fluctuation occurs in the middle panel, where one of the ∼ 70 bins reaches 2.6σ. There is approximately an 89% chance that this would happen by chance in one or more of the bins in that plot. At the 3σ level, this search is sensitive to moderately broad (FWHM $\sim \delta \lambda$) emission and absorption lines between 6 and 16 Å with equivalent widths greater than 50 eV. Over this same wavelength interval, we derive a 3σ upper limit of 35 eV to the equivalent widths of any narrow lines (FWHM $\ll 0.11$ Å). These figures grow $\propto \lambda^{-3}$ shortward of 6 Å. Considering the GRB redshift of 2.328 determined in the optical by Mirabel et al. (2002), several K-lines from S, Ar, Ca, Fe, Co, and Ni would have been detected were their equivalent widths larger than these estimated limits.

4. Temporal Analysis

As displayed in Figure 9, the afterglow continua, though moderately variable in the case of GRB 021004, do not appear to evolve spectrally. To search for time-variable discrete features, we divide the summed HEG+MEG data sets into 2, 4, or 8 portions of equal numbers of counts. For each time section, we examine the counts data at 3 binnings: 0.11, 0.21, and 0.42 Å (as in Figures 2, 8). For each GRB afterglow, we fit absorbed power-law’s to each of these 42 data sets by minimizing the Cash statistic (Cash 1979). We then search the bins between 1 and 16 Å for deviations from the best fit model, interpreting the deviations using Poisson statistics as in Section 3. We set a limiting detection threshold of 3.7σ so that fewer than one chance detection is expected in $15 \times 235$ bins searched. The search yields no emission or absorption line detections in the case of GRB 021004, while for GRB 020813 only the Si and S lines (Section 3) are detected. The Si XIV K$\alpha$ line is detected at 3.7σ ($P_N \approx 53\%$) in the second quarter (12-28.5 ksec) of data, while the S XVI K$\alpha$ line
(3 detections) peaks at $4.3\sigma \ (P_N \approx 6\%)$ in the first quarter (12 ksec) of data. In Figure 10, we plot the S XVI $K\alpha$ line flux (measured with Gaussian fits of fixed width and location) and the continuum flux versus time. Using the likelihood ratio test, we find evidence for a decrease in equivalent width between the first 28.5 ksec of data and the remainder of the observation at 97% confidence ($\Delta \chi^2 = 4.7$ for 1 additional parameter).

5. Discussion

The most significant discrete feature we observe in afterglow spectrum of GRB 020813 is clearly the line we associate with S, which we detect at $3.3\sigma$ significance. This is a somewhat marginal detection. However, the reality of the line is supported by the presence of line counts in various times slices throughout the observation and in each of the four independent 1st-order spectra (MEG/HEG ±1 orders). For the full observation no detector anomalies (e.g. flares, flickering pixels) are observed in the ACIS-S CCD regions yielding the HETGS MEG/HEG ±1 order counts from this line. The background is negligible between 0.6 and 6 keV, contributing only 3% of the total counts. Pileup in the grating spectrum is not a concern for this observation due to the very low count rate. Excess counts corresponding to the line are detected in each independent spectrum, and the numbers are consistent at the 1.5$\sigma$ level. The combined 1st-order spectra (MEG/HEG) show a consistent number of excess counts at the 1$\sigma$ level. The validity of this line detection is possibly strengthened by an association with S XVI $K\alpha$ and by a marginal detection of a line at the expected energy for Si XIV $K\alpha$. We have performed a parallel reduction for the GRB 021004 afterglow data, and this has yielded no convincing evidence for discrete spectral features.

Although we find possible evidence for temporal variability in the line emission for GRB 020813 (Section 4), the lines are detected in the full 76.8 ksec observation, and the full data set is moderately well fit by a plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium (Section 3). Figures 4-6 show the line and metallicity parameters we derive in relation to those reported for other GRBs in the literature. Our required abundances are only modestly super-solar (Section 3), in contrast to those reported for several other GRBs. The line luminosities are quite low, and the rest-frame equivalent widths are an order of magnitude smaller than those reported for any other GRB. Figure 10 shows the GRB 020813 continuum and S XVI line fluxes versus time alongside the data for GRB 011211 from R02. In comparison to GRB 011211, it appears that the longevity of the line emission (and also the narrowness of the lines) was critical to their detection, whereas the 10 times stronger continuum could easily have hidden less persistent emission.

We can interpret the longer duration and later onset of the lines for GRB 020813 relative
to GRB 011211 (Figure 10) as a purely geometrical effect due to observing emitting material residing at a larger radius $R$ from the GRB. For radially expanding emitting material heated by GRB photons or by the GRB shock, the narrowness of the lines requires that the emission comes from only a small solid angle ($\Omega \lesssim 0.4$), as viewed from the GRB, consistent with GRB beaming models (Frail et al. 2001). The line duration ($t_{\text{line}} \gtrsim 76.8$ ksec) can be attributed to time delays across a thin shell, and this leads to the requirement $R = \frac{ct_{\text{line}}2\pi}{1+z}\gtrsim 10^{16}$ cm. An estimate $R \lesssim 10^{18}$ cm for the maximum likely $R$ is determined using the smallest solid angle found for a GRB jet in Frail et al. (2001). For the reprocessing material to lie this far out from the GRB, it must have gotten there prior to the GRB. The line identifications we have made (Section 3) require a blue-shift $\sim 0.1c$, and this high outflow velocity implicates expanding SN ejecta rather than a stellar wind. The SN would have gone off 0.1 to 10 years prior to the GRB. The need for a precursor SN can be avoided if the GRB host (absorption) redshift is taken as a lower limit on the redshift, rather than the actual redshift. In that case, an identification of the S XVI and Si XIV lines with Ar XVI II and S XVI ($z = 1.53$, no blue-shift), respectively, could be made.

In the case of GRB 011211, R02 assert that a shell of thermal plasma at $R \sim 10^{15}$ cm can account for the afterglow spectrum and for the duration of the reported emission lines. Taking into account radiation transfer across the shell, Lazzati (2002) argues that a larger radius is required ($R \gtrsim 10^{17}$ cm), because the large afterglow luminosity implies a high density, which implies a cooling time much shorter than the observed line duration. Following Lazzati (2002), we find that a thermal model for GRB 020813 also implies $R \gtrsim 10^{17}$ cm, except for the case (discussed below) where the luminosity of the thermal component is actually overwhelmed by a ($\sim 10$ times brighter) power-law continuum component. In this case, a solution with $R \sim 10^{16}$ cm is possible. The density is low ($n \sim 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$), and this implies a shell with thickness $dR \sim R/10$ for $\tau_T = 0.1$. At larger radii, the shell must be either very thin or very clumped for the contained mass to be similar to that expected from SNe ($M_{\text{ejecta}} \lesssim 20M_\odot$, Woosley & Weaver (1995)).

We see three reasons, which arise from complexities in our modeling, to be cautious in interpreting our results as evidence for a persistent thermal component. First, we observe lines with best fit widths an order of magnitude larger than the thermal line widths. We account for this in the thermal model by introducing a turbulence velocity of 2000 km/s. It is also possible that this broadening is kinematical. Second, the best-fit CIE plasma model has marginally dissimilar abundances for the multiple-$\alpha$ elements (Figure 4) and very low abundances for the Fe group elements relative to the multiple-$\alpha$ elements (Figure 4, Figure 3 Inset). This would require an unusual chemical composition for the emitting medium, a mechanism beyond the APED model for suppressing emission from metals other than Si and S, or the addition of a power-law continuum component to the model as discussed in Section
3. Third, the data do not tightly constrain the relative contributions to the continuum from the CIE plasma and from this possible power-law component. Indeed, the continuum appears non-thermal, as would be expected for the X-ray afterglow of the burst.

Though these considerations do not rule out a thermal model, reflection models may more naturally describe a spectrum with broad lines superposed on a power-law continuum (see e.g. Ballantyne et al. (2001), Vietri et al. (2001)). In these models, the line broadening is due to Compton scattering. If the electron temperature is similar to the line energy, we expect $\sigma_E/E \sim (kT/m_e c^2)^{1/2} \sim 0.05$ (at 1.3 keV) for a single scattering. Because this is already a factor $\sim 5$ times larger than the observed widths, we require the reflector to not be highly ionized. Consulting Figure 2 of Lazzati, Ramirez-Ruiz, & Rees (2002), it appears that our observed dissimilarity in line luminosities (Table 1, Figure 5) may further reinforce this conclusion. Their reflection models with ionization parameter $\xi$ in the range $10 < \xi < 100$ can produce Si and S line luminosities which are an order of magnitude greater than luminosities of lines from Ar, Ca, and Fe. In the case of GRB 011211, Lazzati et al. (2002) argue that the large line equivalent widths rule out nearby reprocessor models. This is not the case for GRB 020813, and the line duration may be set by a delayed energy injection rather than by the geometry of the reprocessing material (see Rees & Meszaros (2000), Meszaros & Rees (2001)). In this case, we would not need a two-step (SN then GRB) explosion.

In conclusion, we detect lines from an over-abundance of light metals characteristically produced in massive stars during pre-supernova nucleosynthesis in the X-ray afterglow of GRB 020813. The long S XVI line duration and narrow line widths can be explained via the geometry of the emitting material, and this would likely require a two-step explosion as in the supranova (Vietri & Stella 1998) scenario. The time delay between the SN and GRB is $\gtrsim 2$ months in this picture. In contrast, our weak detection of a Ni feature, with no detection of Fe (or Co), suggests a very short time delay ($\lesssim 1$ week) between the SN and the GRB. As discussed in Woosely, Zhang & Heger (2002), it is not likely possible to observe a GRB through a supernova remnant this young; the Ni feature may indicate that the geometric picture is wrong. A thermal model adequately fits the full data set. A bright Fe (or Ni or Co) feature is not observed, however, and this is perhaps the strongest reason to favor a reflection model, where an Fe line can be quenched by Auger auto-ionization (Lazzati et al. 2002).

We thank Harvey Tananbaum for his generous allocation of Director’s Discretion Time for these observations. This research was supported in part by NASA contract NASW-4690.
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Fig. 1.— The ±1 order HETGS (HEG/MEG) data for GRB 020813 are moderately well fit by an absorbed power-law (Section 3). The 0th-order data (S/N ≥ 5 per bin) are also fit well by this model. Here we divide the HEG data by a factor of 5 and the 0th-order data by a factor of 20 for ease of viewing.
Fig. 2.— The best fit power-law model on top of the combined HETGS HEG+MEG data, at 3 binnings, for GRB 020813. The significance (reported in $\sigma$ units) of deviations from the model are calculated using Poisson statistics. We associate the residuals near 9.4 Å in the top and middle plots with H-like S. We associate the high bin near 12.1 Å in the top and bottom plot with H-like Si.
Fig. 3.— The power-law fit to the GRB 020813 ±1 order HETGS data is improved by adding two Gaussian’s at the energies of blue-shifted (by 0.12c) S XVI Kα and Si XIV Kα. The lines and continuum can be modelled less effectively by a CIE plasma model (bottom plot) containing Si, S, and Ni. The inset panel in the bottom plot shows the 2-5 keV portion of the CIE plasma model fit with (dotted line) and without (1.8 times solar abundance in) Fe; an abundance of Fe similar to the abundances for Si and S is strongly ruled out. The HEG data are plotted in grey. The MEG data are plotted in black.
Fig. 4.— Metal abundances for the APED plasma model for GRB 020813 (solid circles), as in Table 1. Also plotted are the abundances reported in the literature for several other GRB X-ray afterglow spectra. The values for GRB 011211 come from R02. The values for GRB 010220 and GRB 001025A come from Watson et al. (2002). The value for GRB 991216 has been taken from Piro et al. (2000). Error bars have been plotted where available.
Fig. 5.— Isotropic equivalent line luminosities from Gaussian fits (Table 1) for GRB 020813 (solid circles, host at $z = 1.254$) and for several other GRBs in the literature. To determine luminosity distances, we use a cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $h = 0.65$. The values for GRB 011211 come from R02, with $z = 2.14$. The value for GRB 000214 comes from Antonelli et al. (2002), with $z = 0.47$. The value for GRB 991216 has been taken from Piro et al. (2000), using $z = 1$. The value for GRB 970828 comes from Yoshida et al. (1999), using $z = 0.33$. The value for GRB 970508 comes from Piro et al. (1999), using $z = 0.835$. Error bars have been plotted where available.
Fig. 6.— Rest-frame equivalent widths in eV from Gaussian fits (Table 1) for GRB 020813 (solid circles, $z = 0.99$) and for several other GRBs in the literature. The values for GRB 011211 come from R02, with $z = 1.88$. The values for GRB 010220 ($z = 1.0$) and GRB 001025A ($z = 0.7$) come from Watson et al. (2002). The value for GRB 000214 comes from Antonelli et al. (2002), with $z = 0.47$. The value for GRB 991216 has been taken from Piro et al. (2000), using $z = 1$. Error bars have been plotted where available.
Fig. 7.— The ±1 order HETGS (HEG/MEG) data for GRB 021004 are very well fit by an absorbed power-law (Section 3). The 0th-order data (S/N ≥ 5 per bin) are also fit well by this model. Here we divide the HEG data by a factor of 5 and the 0th-order data by a factor of 20 for ease of viewing.
Fig. 8.— The best fit power-law model on top of the combined HETGS HEG+MEG data, at 3 binnings, for GRB 021004. No highly significant deviations from the model are observed.
Fig. 9.— To look for spectral variability, the HETGS data for each GRB are divided into 8 regions of equal counts and fit with absorbed power-laws by minimizing the Cash statistic (Cash 1979). The above plots show the power-law indeces $\Gamma$ and the (energy) fluxes found for each fit, with the best-fit $\Gamma$’s derived for the entire data set and the best-fit temporal fade found for the count rates overplotted as dashed lines. The fluxes have been divided by the mean fluxes for each observation reported in Section 2. Error bars are 90% confidence, determined from the 1-parameter confidence intervals.
Fig. 10.— The points on the left show the Si XIV Kα line flux for GRB 011211 from R02, corrected to the redshift of GRB 020813. The integrated afterglow flux for GRB 012111 is also plotted and extrapolated. We employ a cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $h = 0.65$. At a later time ($\sim 50$ ksec later in the GRB frame), we measure a similar line flux. However, the GRB 020813 afterglow flux is approximately an order of magnitude higher that that of GRB 011211. On the right, we plot the measured line flux from S XVI Kα, and the afterglow flux, versus time since GRB 020813. Error bars and the one upper limit are at 1σ confidence.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Abund.</th>
<th>Flux</th>
<th>EW [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(2.9^{+2.0}_{-1.6})$</td>
<td>$(1.1 \pm 0.8)$</td>
<td>$(30 \pm 23)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(2.9^{+1.4}_{-2.0})$</td>
<td>$(1.6 \pm 0.7)$</td>
<td>$(50 \pm 22)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(1.8^{+2.6}_{-1.8})$</td>
<td>$1.0^{+0.5}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>$73^{+37}_{-73}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: GRB 020813 line emission upper limits at 90% confidence for APED model elemental abundances, H-like Kα line fluxes ($\times 10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), and rest-frame H-like Kα line equivalent widths. Values determined from power-law plus Gaussian fits. The observer-frame line widths were set via $dE/E = v_t/c \approx 0.0067$. Line centers are set with $z = 0.99$. 