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ABSTRACT

High-z Type Ia supernovae are expected to be gravitationally lensed by the foreground distribution of large-scale structure. The resulting magnification of supernovae is statistically measurable, and the angular correlation of the magnification pattern directly probes the integrated mass density along the line of sight. Measurements of cosmic magnification of supernovae therefore complements galaxy shear measurements in providing a direct measure of clustering of the dark matter. As the number of supernovae is typically much smaller than the number of sheared galaxies, the two-point correlation function of lensed Type Ia supernovae suffers from significantly increased shot noise. Nevertheless, we find that the magnification map of a large sample of supernovae, such as that expected from next generation dedicated searches, will be easily measurable and provide an important cosmological tool. For example, a search over 20 sq. deg. over five years leading to a sample of ~10,000 supernovae would measure the angular power spectrum of cosmic magnification with a cumulative signal-to-noise ratio of ~20. This detection can be further improved once the supernova distance measurements are cross-correlated with measurements of the foreground galaxy distribution. The magnification maps made using supernovae can be used for important cross-checks with traditional lensing shear statistics obtained in the same fields, as well as help to control systematics. We discuss two applications of supernova magnification maps: the breaking of the mass-sheet degeneracy when estimating masses of shear-detected clusters, and constraining the second-order corrections to weak lensing observables.

Subject headings: cosmology: observations — cosmology: theory — galaxies: fundamental parameters — gravitational lensing

1. INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernovae (SNe) are by now firmly established as powerful probes of the expansion history of the universe (Barris et al. 2004; Knop et al. 2003; Riess et al. 2004). In particular, the luminosity distance measurements from SNe provide a direct probe of dark energy in the universe and its temporal behavior (e.g., Huterer & Cooray 2005 and references therein). Numerous current and future SNe Ia surveys are being planned or performed, and this community-wide effort is expected to reach its apex with the NASA/DOE Joint Dark Energy Mission (JDEM).

While SNe are very good (~ 10% errors in flux) standard candles, the inferred luminosity of a given supernova is affected by cosmic magnification due to gravitational lensing from the mass distribution of the large scale structure along the line of sight between the supernova and the observer (Frieman 1997; Holz & Wald 1998). This is a fundamental limitation to the utility of standard candles, and SNe at high redshift (z > 1) are especially prone to fluctuations of their flux due to lensing. There was a recent claim of evidence for weak lensing of SNe from the Riess et al. (2004) sample (Wang 2005); however, this claim remains unconfirmed as the correlation with foreground galaxies that would be expected from lensing has not been observed (Menard & Dalal 2005).

Weak lensing biases the luminosity measurement from each SN and thus introduces a systematic error in the extraction of cosmological parameters. With large number of SNe in each redshift interval at high z this systematic can be essentially averaged out (Dalal et al. 2003; Holz & Linden 2005), though the full lensing covariance must be taken into account for accurate cosmological parameter estimates (Cooray et al. 2005). While lensing has mostly been considered as a nuisance, planned large area SN surveys provide an opportunity to treat lensing magnification on SNe as a signal, and extract information about the underlying dark matter distribution. In practice, by comparing the SN Hubble diagram averaged over all directions with individual SN luminosity distance measurements, one can map out anisotropy in the SN Hubble diagram. This anisotropy will trace cosmic magnification, or in the weak gravitational lensing limit, it will be linearly proportional to the convergence and hence to fluctuations in the distribution of the foreground large scale structure.

Previous studies have considered potential applications of cosmic convergence as a probe of the large-scale dark matter distribution (Jain 2002). Cosmic magnification has already been detected via cross-correlation between fluctuations in background source counts, such as quasars or X-ray sources, and a sample of low-redshift foreground galaxies (see Bartelmann & Schneider 2001 for a review). Past detections have often been affected by systematic uncertainties, but the powerful Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) has recently made the first reliable detection of cosmic magnification (Scranton et al. 2005). Nevertheless, even this measurement using several hundred thousand quasars and upwards of ten million foreground galaxies was at a relatively modest 8σ level, illustrating the intrinsic difficulty in extracting the cosmic magnification. Some proposals for future detections involve the use of 21 cm background anisotropies of the hydrogen distribution prior to reionization (Zhang & Pen 2005), but these studies are experimentally challenging and affected by large theoretical uncertainties in the amplitude of the expected signal and its modification due to lensing (Cooray 2004).

In this Letter we propose mapping cosmic magnification with a sample of Type Ia SNe. We compute the predicted an-
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Fig. 1.—Angular power spectrum of cosmic magnification (bottom long-dashed curve), cross-correlation between magnification and foreground galaxies (middle solid curve), and foreground galaxy clustering (top short-dashed curve). The fractional error in the luminosity of each SN has been assumed to be 0.1, and the error boxes account for both the sample (cosmic) variance due to the limited survey area and the presence of shot noise due to the finite number of SNe. We assume 10,000 SNe obtained over an area of 10 sq. deg. with a uniform distribution in redshift between 0.1 and 1.7. The thin dashed line shows the shot noise in the magnification power spectrum. The cross-correlation makes use of the sample of SNe at \( z > 0.7 \), while the foreground galaxy sample is from Scranton et al. (2005). The thin dot-dashed line shows the second-order correction to magnification following Ménard et al. (2003), and using the halo model to describe the density field bispectrum.

The weak lensing power spectrum of lensing magnification and estimate how accurately it can be measured, as well as how this measurement can be improved through cross-correlating supernova distances with the foreground distribution of galaxies. We envision several important applications of this technique. The Letter is organized as follows: In §2 we describe the weak lensing of SNe and the extent to which it can be measured from future SN searches. In §3 we discuss our results and comment on specific applications of SN magnification maps. We adopt the current concordance cosmological model with a Hubble constant of \( h = 0.7 \), matter density \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), cosmological constant \( \Lambda = 0.7 \), and normalization of the matter power spectrum \( \sigma_8 = 0.85 \).

2. WEAK LENSING OF SUPERNOVAE

We begin by summarizing the effect of lensing magnification on SNe. While we concentrate on SNe, which have been firmly established as important and reliable cosmological probes, our study applies to any standard candle (e.g., Holz & Hughes, 2005). Luminosity of a given supernova at a redshift \( z \) and located in the direction \( \hat{n} \), \( L(z, \hat{n}) \), is affected by weak lensing magnification so that \( L(z, \hat{n}) = \mu(z, \hat{n})L \), where \( \mu(z, \hat{n}) \) is the weak lensing induced magnification in the direction \( \hat{n} \) and at redshift \( z \), and \( L \) is the true luminosity of the supernova. Note that \( \mu \) can take values between the empty-beam value and infinity; the probability distribution function of \( \mu \), \( P(\mu) \), has been extensively studied both analytically and numerically (e.g., Holz 1998, Wang et al. 2002). Since \( \langle \mu \rangle = 1 \), one can average over large samples to determine the mean luminosity \( \bar{L} \) (Wang 2004, Holz & Linden 2005). One can now consider spatial fluctuations in the luminosity

\[
\delta_L(z, \hat{n}) = \frac{L(z, \hat{n}) - \bar{L}}{\bar{L}},
\]

which traces fluctuations in the cosmic magnification \( \mu \). In the weak lensing limit \( \mu, \kappa \ll 1 \) we have

\[
\mu = [(1 - \kappa)^2 - |\gamma|^2]^{-1} \approx 1 + 2\kappa + 3\kappa^2 + |\gamma|^2 + \ldots,
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the lensing convergence and \( |\gamma| = \sqrt{\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2} \) is the total shear. To first order in the convergence, \( \delta_L(z, \hat{n}) \) traces spatial fluctuations of \( 2\kappa \), though higher order corrections may be important (Ménard et al. 2003). Traditional weak lensing involves measurement of statistics of the shear, \( \gamma \), as this leads to a distortion of background galaxy shapes (Bartelmann & Schneider 2001). Magnification, on the other hand, changes images sizes, but suffers from the problem that the true size of cosmological objects is highly uncertain. Fluctuations in the luminosity of standard candles provides a reliable way to probe cosmic magnification.

The angular power spectrum of magnification fluctuations is, assuming statistical isotropy

\[
\langle \mu_{\ell m}\mu_{\ell' m'} \rangle = C_{\ell}^{\mu\mu} \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{mm'},
\]

where \( \mu_{\ell m} \) are the multipole moments of the magnification. Using the Limber approximation, the angular power spectrum can be written as (Kaiser 1998, Cooray et al. 2001)

\[
C_{\ell}^{\mu\mu} = \int dr \frac{W^2(r)}{d_A^2} P_{\text{dm}} \left( k = \frac{\ell}{d_A}, r \right)
\]

\[
W(r) = 3 \int dr' n(r') H_0^2 \frac{\sigma_8^2}{\Omega_m^{1/2}} \frac{d_A}{c} a(r) \frac{d_A(r')}{d_A(r)}
\]

where \( r \) is the comoving distance, \( d_A \) is the angular diameter distance and \( n(r) \) is the radial distribution of SNe normalized so that \( \int dr n(r) = 1 \). \( P_{\text{dm}}(k, r) \) is the three-dimensional power spectrum of dark matter evaluated at the distance \( r \); we calculate it using the halo model of the large-scale structure mass distribution (Cooray & Sheth 2002). The next correction term, \( \langle \mu_{\ell m}\mu_{\ell' m'} \rangle \), is easily related to the convergence bispectrum and we calculate it using the halo model (Cooray et al. 2001).

In addition to a measurement of the projected angular power spectrum of cosmic magnification, one can also cross-correlate the magnification with the foreground galaxy distribution. The idea here is that the dark matter distribution that causes the magnification pattern \( \delta\mu(z, \hat{n}) \) is traced by galaxies and, therefore, \( \delta_\mu \) and the normalized galaxy overdensity, \( \delta_{\text{gal}} \), are correlated. The projected cross-correlation between the two fields is described by the angular power spectrum

\[
C_{\ell}^{\mu-\text{gal}} = \int dr \frac{W(r) n_{\text{gal}}(r)}{d_A^2} P_{\text{dm-gal}} \left( k = \frac{\ell}{d_A}, r \right),
\]

where \( n_{\text{gal}}(r) \) is the normalized radial distribution of foreground galaxies. Since \( \delta_L = \delta_\mu \), the cross-correlation is independent of the power-law slope of the source number counts, unlike in the case of traditional galaxy-quasar cross-correlation measurements (Scranton et al. 2005).

To estimate how well these angular power spectra can be measured with upcoming surveys, we compute the cumulative signal-to-noise ratio for detection

\[
\left( \frac{S}{N} \right)^2 = \sum_\ell \left( \frac{C_{\ell}^{\mu-\text{gal}}}{\Delta C_{\ell}^{\mu-\text{gal}}} \right)^2,
\]
where the index $i$ references either the magnification power spectrum or the magnification-galaxy cross power spectrum. The error in the magnification power spectrum is given by

$$
\Delta C_\mu^\mu = \sqrt{\frac{2}{(2\ell + 1)f_{sky}\Delta \ell}} \left[ C_\mu^\mu \sigma_\mu^2 N_{SN} \right],
$$

(7)

where $N_{SN}$ is the surface density of SNe (number per steradian), $\sigma_\mu$ is the uncertainty in the $\delta_\mu$ measurement from each supernova, $f_{sky}$ is the fraction of sky covered by the survey, and $\Delta \ell$ is the binning width in multipole space. For the SN luminosity-galaxy count cross correlation, the error is

$$
\Delta C^\mu_{\mu\text{gal}} = \left( \frac{1}{(2\ell + 1)f_{sky}\Delta \ell} \right) \times \left( C^\mu_{\mu\text{gal}} \right)^2 + \left( C^\mu_{\mu\text{gal}} + \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{N_{SN}} \right) \left( C^\mu_{\mu\text{gal}} + \frac{1}{N_{\text{gal}}} \right)^{1/2}
$$

(8)

where $C^\mu_{\mu\text{gal}}$ is the angular clustering power spectrum of foreground galaxies, and $N_{\text{gal}}$ is their surface density.

For definitiveness we assume a magnification measurement error for each SN of $\sigma_\mu = 0.1$; while smaller than errors in current SN surveys, this is expected to be achievable in the near future. For simplicity we consider $N_{SN}$ SNe uniformly distributed in $0.1 \leq z \leq 1.7$, which roughly approximates the distribution expected from SNAP (Aldering et al. 2004).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the angular power spectra of cosmic magnification of SNe, the cross power spectrum between the magnification anisotropies and the foreground galaxy distribution, and the galaxy angular power spectrum. Here we are particularly interested in the $\mu-\mu$ and $\mu$-gal spectra, for which we also show error bars. We have assumed the same foreground galaxy sample as in Scranon et al. (2003), with the redshift distribution of the form $dn/dz \sim z^{2.3} \exp[-(cz/0.26)^2]^{1.7}$ and a number density of usable galaxies of 3 arcmin$^{-2}$. To avoid overlap of SNe with the galaxy sample, in the case of cross-correlation we only consider a SN subsample with redshifts greater than 0.7. In Figure 1 we also show the second order correction to magnification corresponding to the $\kappa^2$ term in Eq. 2; we calculate this following Ménard et al. (2003), but using the halo model description for the density field bispectrum rather than a numerical fit to simulations.

In Figure 2 we show the total signal-to-noise ratio for the detection of the angular power spectrum of SN magnifications. The left panel shows the $S/N$ as a function of the smallest scale (maximum multipole) probed by the survey. We show cases of 3,000 and 10,000 SNe observed over 20 sq. deg. (i.e. $f_{sky} \approx 0.0005$). Note that, while the signal-to-noise ratio for the magnification power spectrum is usually around 20 or below, the cross power spectrum can be detected with considerably better significance due to the much smaller shot noise in the foreground galaxy population. Compare this to the current state-of-the-art in mapping the cosmic magnification: the SDSS catalog of quasars and galaxies has been used to detect the cosmic magnification at the 8$\sigma$ level, and this detection is not expected to improve significantly given the already impressive statistics. In the future, SNe will provide the best opportunity to extend and improve the mapping of the cosmic magnification. The right panel of Figure 2 shows the signal-to-noise ratio, except now as a function of the sky coverage of the survey, $f_{sky}$, as we hold the total number of observed SNe fixed. This allows us to optimize the magnification measurement for a given amount of telescope time. Very small $f_{sky}$ leads to large cosmic variance, while large $f_{sky}$ decreases the surface density of SNe (since both their number and the observation time are held fixed) and therefore increases the shot noise. In addition, the upper limit on the rate of SNe trans-
lates into a minimum $f_{sky}$. Measurements of the actual rate of SNe (Pain et al. 2002) combined with theoretical estimates (Oda & Totani 2005) suggest that a year-long survey should find up to $\sim 10^3$ SNe per square degree, and this limit, assuming a five-year survey, is shown as a lower limit on $f_{sky}$ in the right panel of Figure 2.

The surface density of galaxies, estimated to be around $10^0$ sr$^{-1}$ down to 27th magnitude (Smail et al. 1995), is far larger than the surface density of SNe (which is of order $10^0$ sr$^{-1}$ over a year-long integration). Nevertheless, cosmological methods that use galaxies to probe the formation of structure in the universe, chiefly through weak gravitational lensing, are subject to systematic errors that range from theoretical uncertainties to a variety of measurement systematics (see Huterer et al. 2005 and references therein). Supernova measurements of the magnification can be extremely valuable in helping control these systematics and break certain degeneracies.

For example, in order to establish the masses of shear-selected galaxy clusters, one can reconstruct the convergence $\kappa$ from the measured shear maps, $\gamma_{\ell,m}$. While well-known techniques exist for this purpose (e.g. Kaiser & Squires 1993), the reconstruction is insensitive to a constant mass sheet (or spatially uniform convergence). This mass-sheet degeneracy (e.g. Falco et al. 1985; Bradac et al. 2004) can be broken with direct convergence measurements via magnification, and SNe are ideal candidates for this purpose. Updating the calculations in Kolatt & Bartelmann (1998), we find that up to 2% of the SNe are magnified by foreground clusters at a factor greater than 1.3 (a 3σ or better detection). A survey covering $\sim 20$ sq. deg. with $\sim 10^4$ SNe, combined with a shear analysis, can provide mass (enclosed out to the impact radius of the background supernova) of $\sim 100$ clusters to better than 10%.

This approach can also test the consistency between shear measurements from galaxy shapes and convergence from SNe luminosity anisotropies. One can construct E- and B-modes of shear and, in the weak lensing limit, $C_\ell^E = C_\ell^B = 0$. Departures from these relations are expected from both physical and theoretical systematic uncertainties. For example, intrinsic correlations between galaxies may produce additional but unequal contribution to E and B-modes (Heavens et al. 2000). Moreover, there will exist contributions from higher-order effects due to slight departures from the weak lensing limit (see Eq. 2; Ménard et al. 2003) or higher order corrections to lensing that induce a rotational component via coupling between two or more lenses (Cooray & Hu 2002), or due to the presence of a gravitational wave background (Dodelson et al. 2003). The power of this consistency test is limited by the size of the higher order corrections.

To quantify the detectability of the difference between the shear and convergence power spectra, we assume for a moment that this difference is given by the second-order term $C_\ell^D - C_\ell^A$ plotted as the dot-dashed line in Fig. 1. We calculate the signal-to-noise in measuring the quantity $C_\ell^{\Delta^2} = |C_\ell^E - C_\ell^B|$ following the procedure similar to that in Eq. 5. Since future weak lensing shear surveys will have much smaller shot noise ($\gamma_{rms}^2 / \ell \sim 10^{-11}$) than the corresponding magnification power measurements ($\sim 10^{-9}$), the former source of noise can be ignored in the calculation. Using the SNe surface density of $10^4$ deg$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, we find that this difference between the power spectra can be detected with a signal-to-noise ratio of $10 (20 \text{deg}^2/A)^{1/2}$, where $A$ is the total survey area. Alternatively, if we assume that the fiducial difference between the power spectra has a shot-noise power spectrum (i.e. flat in $\ell$) with $C_\ell^{\Delta^2} = \Delta$, the minimum detectable amplitude (with a signal-to-noise ratio of unity) is $\Delta = 5 \times 10^{-7} (20 \text{deg}^2/A)^{1/2}$. Consequently, corrections to the shear signal that are due to intrinsic correlations may be detectable (Jing 2002).

Magnification statistics from SNe also provide information on the cosmological parameters. This can be estimated in similar fashion to the case of conventional weak lensing of galaxies (Hu & Tegmark 1999; Huterer 2002), since both techniques probe the matter power spectrum and geometrical distance factors (see Eq. 4). With the magnification power spectrum detected at a signal-to-noise ratio of 10 (100), one linear combination of parameters, typically with large weights in the $\Omega_m$ and $\sigma_8$ directions, can be constrained to 10% (1%). While conventional weak lensing of galaxies can provide a more accurate overall determination of cosmological parameters, the strength of the proposed method is that it combines lensing shear and magnification information in the same field, thereby providing a number of cross checks on systematics.
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