Photo-chemical evolution of elliptical galaxies II. The impact of merging-induced starbursts
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ABSTRACT

The effects of late gas accretion episodes and subsequent merger-induced starbursts on the photo-chemical evolution of elliptical galaxies are studied and compared to the picture of galaxy formation occurring at high redshift with an unique and intense starburst modulated by a very short infall, as suggested by Pipino & Matteucci (2004, Paper I). By means of the comparison with the the colour-magnitude relations and the \(<Mg/Fe>-\sigma\) relation observed in ellipticals, we conclude that either bursts involving a gas mass comparable to the mass already transformed into stars during the first episode of star formation and occurring at any redshift, or bursts occurring at low redshift (i.e. \(z\leq0.2\)) and with a large range of accreted mass, are ruled out. These models fail in matching the above relations even if the initial infall hypothesis is relaxed, and the galaxies form either by means of more complicated star formation histories or by means of the classical monolithic model. On the other hand, galaxies accreting a small amount of gas at high redshift (i.e. \(z\geq3\)) produce a spread in the model results, with respect to Paper I best model, which is consistent with the observational scatter of the color-magnitude relations, although there is only marginal agreement with the \(<Mg/Fe>-\sigma\) relation. Therefore, only small perturbations to the standard scenario seem to be allowed. We stress that the strongest constraints to galaxy formation mechanisms are represented by the chemical abundances, whereas the colours can be reproduced under several different hypotheses.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The debate on the formation of spheroids is still one of the major issues in astrophysics. In particular, the monolithic scenario (e.g. Larson, 1974, Arimoto & Yoshii, 1987, Matteucci & Tornambe', 1987) and the hierarchical paradigm (e.g. White & Rees, 1978, Kauffmann & Charlot 1998, Steinmetz & Navarro 2002, Meza et al. 2003) are the main competing theories on the market.

According to the monolithic scheme, ellipticals are assumed to have formed at high redshift as a result of a rapid collapse of a gas cloud, characterized by a short and intense starburst, followed by a galactic wind at very early epochs. This scenario naturally explains the observations of nearby ellipticals showing a Mass-Metallicity relation (e.g. Carollo et al., 1993; Gonzalez, 1993; Davies et al., 1993; Trager et al. 1998, 2000) and a reddening of the stellar light (Color-Magnitude relation, hereafter CMR, Bower et al., 1992) with the velocity dispersion of the galaxies, because the most massive ellipticals, having the deepest potential wells, are the most metal rich. The lack of significant change in the slope and in the scatter of the CMR (Stanford et al., 1998, but see Kaviraj et al., 2004), the slow evolution of colors (e.g. Saglia et al. 2000; Ellis et al., 1997), mass to light ratios (e.g. van Dokkum & Franx, 1996; van Dokkum & Ellis 2003; Rusin et al., 2003; van de Ven et al., 2003) and line strength indices of cluster early-type galaxies out to \(z\sim1\) (e.g. Bernardi et al., 2003; van Dokkum et al. 1998), are consistent with a passive aging of the stellar populations and hint toward a high and quite synchronized redshift of formation for these galaxies. Further support to this picture seems to emerge from the very recent observations which lead Cimatti et al. (2004) to the identification of four old, fully assembled, \(10^{11}M_\odot\) spheroids at \(1.6<z<1.9\).

On the other hand, hierarchical semi-analytic models predict that ellipticals are formed by mergers of already formed stellar systems, which closely follow the behaviour of their dark matter haloes and regulate the chemical enrichment of the system (White & Rees, 1978). In this picture massive ellipticals form at relatively recent epochs through major mergers between spiral galaxies (e.g. Kauffmann & White, 1993) occurring over a large redshift interval. Ev-
idences favouring the hierarchical scenario are represented by the observed interacting galaxies (on-going mergers), ellipticals with disturbed morphologies (i.e. counter-rotating cores, dust lanes, ripples, e.g. Kormendy & Djorgovski, 1989), the morphology-density relation in clusters (Dressler et al., 1997), the relatively large values of the $H_0$ index in a non negligible fraction of nearby ellipticals (Gonzalez 1993, Trager et al 1998).

We refer the reader to Paper I. Peebles (2002), Calura et al. (2004) for a more detailed discussion on the topic and references. Here we recall that in Paper I we showed that the majority of the optical properties of elliptical galaxies can be simultaneously reproduced under the assumption that the formation process, occurring at high redshift (as in the monolithic collapse scenario), is stronger and faster in more massive objects with respect to the less massive ones. In fact, the $\langle Mg/Fe \rangle_V$ ratio in the cores of ellipticals increases with galactic mass (Worsey et al. 1992; Weiss et al. 1995; Kuntschner 2000, Kuntschner et al., 2001), being always larger than zero in the core of bright galaxies (e.g. Faber et al., 1992; Carollo et al., 1993; Davies et al., 1993; Worthey et al., 1992). This strongly suggests that the star formation (SF) lasted for a period shorter than the time at which the Fe pollution from type Ia SNe becomes dominant (see Weiss et al., 1995, Matteucci, 1994). Recently, a similar suggestion was proposed by Granato et al. (2004) in the scheme of the joint formation of ellipticals and QSOs.

In order to complete the study, we aim at verifying whether Paper I conclusions can be achieved with a more complicated formation history, namely to study in a simple way the effect of merger induced starbursts on the properties of a dominant stellar population assembled at high redshift. A complementary view on the analysis made in this paper is presented by Thomas (1999) and Thomas et al. (1999). In particular, they compared the outcome of a fast collapse to the results obtained by the merger of two spirals and found difficult to reproduce the $\langle Mg/Fe \rangle_V$ overabundance in the latter case, unless very flat IMFs are invoked during the merger. Furthermore, since the $\langle Mg/Fe \rangle_V$-$\sigma$ relation seems to be independent from the environment and correlates with galactic age (the older is the galaxy the higher is its $\langle Mg/Fe \rangle_V$), Thomas et al. (2002) concluded that SF histories (SFHs) based on the hierarchical clustering scenario (Kauffmann & Charlot, 1998) cannot reproduce the observed chemical properties of elliptical galaxies. On the other hand, by means of broad-band photometry alone, Shioya & Bekki (1998) constrained the epoch of the major merger. They found that mergers between spirals are allowed even at $z=0.3$, but their models cannot account for the Mg enhancement. Therefore, it seems necessary to couple the information coming from the chemistry to those from the broad-band colours in order to better constrain the SFH of spheroids. Due to the fact that we are not modelling the dynamical evolution, our treatment of the galaxy merging is quite simple and focused only to study how the mean chemical and photometric properties of the stellar populations change as a consequence of late episodes of star formation triggered by gaseous mergers. In particular, we analysed different scenarios involving high mass spheroids (i.e. initial gas mass $M_{\text{in,initial}} = 10^{11-12} M_\odot$), as predicted by Paper I’s best model, that we consider our fiducial case, and we took it as a basis for studying simulated accretion of primordial gas triggering a starburst. We explored several cases by varying the duration of the starburst and its intensity.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we present the model. In section 3 we discuss the results and in section 4 we draw some conclusions. In order to be consistent with the results of Paper I, we assume a cosmological model with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. For all models we assume that the star formation starts at redshift $z_f=5$. Variations in $z_f$ do not significantly affect the conclusions.

2 THE MODEL

The adopted chemical evolution model is based on that presented in Paper I. In this particular case, however, we consider our model galaxies as a single zone extending out to one effective radius, with instantaneous mixing of gas. Moreover we take explicitly into account a possible mass flow due to the galactic wind and a possible secondary episode of gas accretion. Therefore, the equations of chemical evolution take the following form:

$$
\frac{dG_i(t)}{dt} = -\psi(t)X_i(t) + \int_{M_L}^{M_B} \psi(t-\tau)Q_{mi}(t-\tau)\phi(m)dm
+ A \int_{M_B}^{M_{BM}} \phi(m) \left[ \int_{\mu_{min}}^{0.5} f(\mu)Q_{mi}(t-\tau_m)\psi(t-\tau_m)dm \right] \phi(m)dm
+ (1 - A) \int_{M_B}^{M_{SM}} \psi(t-\tau_m)Q_{mi}(t-\tau_m)\phi(m)dm
+ \int_{M_{SM}}^{M_{SM}} \psi(t-\tau_m)Q_{mi}(t-\tau_m)\phi(m)dm
+ \left( \frac{dG_i(t)}{dt} \right)_{\text{infall}} - W(t)X_i(t) + \left( \frac{dG_i(t)}{dt} \right)_{\text{acc}}, \tag{1}
$$

where $G_i(t)$ is the normalized mass density of the element $i$ at the time $t$ in the ISM, $X_i(t)$ is defined as the abundance by mass of the element $i$. We address the reader to the original papers of Matteucci & Greggio (1986), Matteucci & Tornambe’ (1987), Gibson (1997) and Paper I for a comprehensive discussion of these equations. By means of this equation we can calculate the evolution of 12100 elements. In particular, the integrals at the right-hand side of the equations give the rate at which the element $i$ is restored into the interstellar medium both as newly-synthesized and already existing element by low- and intermediate-mass stars, Type Ia and Type II supernovae (SNe Ia and SNe II, respectively). Here we recall that for SNe Ia we assume as a progenitor model the simple degenerate one: a C-O white dwarf which accretes material from a companion (the secondary), eventually reaching the Chandrasekar mass and exploding via carbon-deflagration (Whelan & Iben 1973). We make use of the SNe Ia rate formalism derived by Greggio & Renzini (1983). The predicted SNe Ia explosion rate is constrained to reproduce the present day observed value in ellipticals (Cappellaro et al. 1999). This can be done by means of the parameter $A$ in eq. (1), which represents the fraction of binary systems in the IMF able to give rise to SNIa.
2.1 The initial burst of star formation

We refer to Paper I Model II input parameters for our fiducial model, namely Salpeter (1955) IMF, Thielemann et al (1996) yields for massive stars, Nomoto et al (1997) yields for type Ia SNe and van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997) yields for low- and intermediate-mass stars. According to this model, the infall timescale ($\tau$) and the star formation efficiency ($\nu$) decreases and increases with increasing galactic mass, respectively.

\[ \tau_{acc} \sim 0.2 \text{ Gyr} \]

\[ \nu \sim 0.5 \text{ Gyr} \]

2.2 The merger-induced starburst

In this paper we need to take into account the possible presence of a second infall episode (which simulates the merger), therefore we added a merging term on the right-hand side of the chemical evolution equation:

\[ \left( \frac{dG_i(t)}{dt} \right)_{acc} = X_{i,acc} e^{-\frac{t-t_{acc}}{\tau_{acc}}} \]

$X_{i,acc}$ describes the chemical composition of the accreted gas, assumed to be primordial. This is a good assumption for all practical purposes, since, even in the case of gas with average Intergalactic Medium metallicity ($Z \approx 0.04Z_\odot$, e.g. Calura and Matteucci, 2004), the metal abundances of the accreted gas are much lower than the ones in the ISM. C represents the normalization constant, evaluated by integrating the law from $t_{acc}$, namely the time at which the merging episode starts, to the present time, and requiring that the galaxy accretes $M_{acc}$ solar masses of gas. Finally, $\tau_{acc}$ is the gas accretion timescale, fixed to be 0.2 Gyr. The reason for this choice is linked to the fact that we expect a $\tau_{acc} \sim \tau$ for accreting a gas mass $M_{acc} \sim M_{lum}$. In fact, we recall that $\tau \sim 0.2 - 0.4$ Gyr in Paper I’s best model. Small variations in $\tau_{acc}$, however, do not affect our results. Only a much longer timescale ($\tau_{acc} \sim 1$ Gyr) would imply that most of the newly accreted gas cannot be consumed during the second SF episode.

We recall that, once the conditions for the galactic wind onset are satisfied in a given time-step, we allow the galaxies to lose all the residual gas present at that time. Only during the merging-induced starburst, the mass flux rate $W(t)$ entering eq. (1) is assumed to be proportional to the star formation rate ($W(t) = \psi(t)$) as suggested for starbursting galaxies (e.g. Heckman, 2002). It can be shown that these assumptions do not dramatically alter our conclusions. A more detailed treatment for the energetics and the mass exchange after the galactic wind has been developed in a separate paper (Pipino et al. 2005).

We limit our analysis to a one-zone treatment out to one effective radius, in such a way that the accreted gas is uniformly distributed in this region. In this paper, we are interested to the effect of star formation histories made by multiple episodes, therefore we force the starburst to happen at the beginning of the merger (i.e. at time $t_{acc}$). We stress that this condition is likely to hold, since we are dealing with a large amount of infalling gas, and thus, strong cooling.

Since we are dealing not only with old stellar populations, the light originated by the stellar populations born during the second burst could be a significant fraction of the total flux coming from the galaxy, thus influencing the spectral features. Therefore we calculate the mean stellar abundances by weighting on the V luminosity. In particular, we follow Arimoto & Yoshii (1987) and Gibson (1997), by means of the photometric code by Jimenez et al. (1998). In any case, we confirm the previous findings (Matteucci et al. 1998; Gibson 1997; Thomas et al. 1999) that for old and massive objects the mass weighted averages are very close to the luminosity weighted ones, whereas, in the case of more recent star formation, the former are systematically $\sim 0.2$ dex higher than the latter.

Once we have defined the parameters governing the merging event, it is useful to separate the models analysed in different groups, each one representing a different region in the space defined by the parameters $\tau$, $\tau_{acc}$, $M_{lum}$, $M_{acc}$, $\nu$. A simple classification can be done by considering the amount of stars formed during the SF episodes.

Case 1: Models in which the SFH of the main episode creates many more stars than the SF during the merger-induced burst.

Case 2: Models in which the SFH of the main episode is comparable to the SFH during the merger-induced burst.

Case 3: Models in which the SFH of the main episode is less efficient than the SFH during the merger-induced burst.

We will start from Case 1, showing that those models are "perturbations" of the Paper I best model, and in many cases predict properties still in agreement with the observations (Sec. 3.1). Other models belonging either to Case 1 or 2 will be presented in sections 3.2 - 3.4. In particular, we verify whether a best model with merger-induced starburst which reproduces the properties of ellipticals can be found (Sec. 3.2 and 3.3).
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Perturbing the best model

We start to explore the parameter space by considering merger-induced starburst as perturbations of Paper I’s best model. In this case the merging-induced starburst is forced to last for $t_{\text{burst}} = 1$ Gyr with the same star formation efficiency of the initial one (i.e. $\nu = 10Gyr^{-1}$ and $\nu = 22Gyr^{-1}$ for models with initial mass in gas $M_{\text{gum}} = 10^{10}M_\odot$ and $M_{\text{gum}} = 10^{12}M_\odot$, respectively), unless otherwise stated. As a first step, in fact, the burst duration and efficiency in converting gas into stars are chosen in order to have duration and intensity similar to those characterizing the main star formation episode (i.e. before the galactic wind). In this case, the SFH during the second burst will be influenced only by the gas supply ($M_{\text{acc}}$).

We explored very extreme cases, namely we run models in which the accreted gas mass is either $\sim 10\%$ (i.e. those probably leading to Case 1) or $\sim 100\%$ (which, according to their SFH, will belong either to Case 1 or 2) of the initial mass, occurring either 1 or 10 Gyr after the galactic evolution has started. Mergers occurring at lower redshifts are not allowed, to avoid violation of the constraints on the present-day Type II SNe rate in ellipticals; in fact, SNIa are not observed in local ellipticals (Cappellaro et al. 1999).

We present our results for the fiducial case in Table 1 (models a-j), where, each galactic model is identified by a letter and the initial luminous gas mass in Cols. 1 and 2, respectively. We show in Cols. 3 and 4 the amount of gas mass accreted during the merger and the time at which the accretion begins, respectively; in Cols. 5-7 we present the stellar [$Mg/Fe > \nu$], [$Fe/H > \nu$] and [$Mg/H > \nu$]. Finally, in Cols. 8-11 we show the V magnitude and the U-V, V-K, J-K colours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$M_{\text{gum}}$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{acc}}$ ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>$t_{\text{acc}}$ (Gyr)</th>
<th>[$Mg/Fe &gt; \nu$]</th>
<th>[$Fe/H &gt; \nu$]</th>
<th>[$Mg/H &gt; \nu$]</th>
<th>$M_\odot$</th>
<th>U-V</th>
<th>V-K</th>
<th>J-K</th>
<th>$R_V$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a (Paper I)</td>
<td>$10^{11}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>-0.284</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>$10^{11}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>-19.8</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>$10^{11}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>$10^{11}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>$10^{11}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (Paper I)</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>-0.129</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>$10^{12}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paper I’s best model and closed box model without mergers are labelled as Paper I and CB, respectively.
The effect produced by the merging-induced starburst to the fiducial model is a decrease in $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$, although the ISM abundances increase as a consequence of the increased stellar nucleosynthesis. The reason lies in the fact that the [Mg/Fe] ratio in the ISM after the galactic wind (and before the occurrence of the merger) is dominated by Type Ia SN explosions, which keep its value well below the solar ratio. When the merger-induced starburst occurs, most stars form out of this gas (thus lowering the total $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$); at the same time, the new generations of Type II SNe produce a sudden increase in the ISM $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ ratios, but the value reached in this way is lower than the typical abundance ratio of the ISM during the first $\sim 500$ Myr (see Fig. 1). Therefore, the new SNII contribution is not enough to make $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$ rise again significantly. Since the star formation efficiency and the infall time scale for the fiducial case were tuned to reproduce the color-magnitude, the mass-metallicity and the $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$-$\sigma$ relations (see Paper I), the straightforward result is that, at this stage, the merging episode worsens the results obtained in Paper I. We recall that the star formation rate peak values during either the first or the second burst have similar intensity (e.g. $\sim 80\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ in the case of models $d$).

### 3.2 Comparison with merging-induced bursts in a Closed Box case

A possible alternative route to reconcile the presence of mergers with the observed $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$, requires perhaps more complex star formation histories. As a first step, we run also a closed box model (Table 1, models $k-t$), with the same input parameters of the fiducial case, the only difference being that in this case the gas mass is already present since the beginning. From the analysis made in Paper I, in fact, we know that this case gives very high $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$, at odds with observations. Therefore we are interested in checking if a closed box model plus a second starburst triggered by a merger (which always lowers $[\text{Mg/Fe}]_V$ in the stellar populations), can match the observations. In this way, the fiducial and the closed box model allow us to re-
strict a first region of the parameter space (τ varying in the interval [0.0, 0.4] Gyr) in which also intermediate cases can occur, possibly producing normal ellipticals.

In order to derive more quantitative constraints we compare both fiducial and closed box cases with observations in Figs. 2-5. In particular, the fiducial models (either a $10^{11}M_\odot$ or a $10^{12}M_\odot$ galaxy) are represented by filled squares, whereas the closed box galaxies by empty ones. The solid (dashed) lines give the fit (3 σ boundary) to the observed values in the case of the three CMRs, as given by Bower et al. (1992). In the case of the $<Mg/Fe>_{M_\nu}$ relation (Fig. 5), the lines bracket the observed region as given by Thomas et al. (2002).

The fiducial model still reproduces the CMRs in most of the cases when mergers occur: the same happens in the semi-analytical models applied to the hierarchical clustering scenario, which succeed in reproducing the CMRs (e.g. Kauffmann & Charlot, 1998), but fail in reproducing the $<Mg/Fe>_{M_\nu}$-σ relation, as shown by Thomas & Kauffmann (1999). Care should be taken since our predictions are made for a one-zone model, thus neglecting radial gradients in the colours and in the abundances. Furthermore, the observations were made by means of a fixed aperture which is in general different from the radius considered here (Bower et al., 1992; see also Paper I). In any case, the difference between the detailed predictions of a multi-zone photochemical code (such as those of Paper I) and the ones presented here are smaller than the effects produced by either the merging-induced starburts or by the assumption of an instantaneous initial infall. The J-K colour is not an useful tool to discriminate among the models. In general, small (i.e. $M_{acc} \leq 0.1M_{12}$) or high redshift (i.e. $t_{acc} \leq 1$ Gyr) perturbations do not significantly alter the colours, thus predicting values well within the observed scatter. Cases c and h are ruled out, because they predict U-V and V-K colours which are 0.3 mag and 0.5 mag less than the typical value at a given $M_\nu$, respectively. As expected from the analysis made in Paper I, models without infall (i.e. closed box) predict very blue galaxies. The agreement with the colours is even worse when very recent and massive mergers are allowed (e.g. case m). Only one case of massive merger seems to be consistent with observations in the whole mass range, namely the one in which $M_{acc} = M_{12}$ and $t_{acc} = 1$ Gyr (models b, g). In these particular models, in fact, the merging-induced starburst increases the mean stellar metallicity (and thus makes the colours redder) and occurs at a sufficiently high redshift that it can be considered a continuation of the main star formation episode; in other words, it is like to have a single burst converting $\sim 1.5 - 2 \times M_{12}$ of gas into stars and evolving passively since then.

On the other hand, when analysing the trend of $<Mg/Fe>_{V}$ versus $M_\nu$, the presence of a radial gradient in the abundance ratio should be considered. In fact, we are showing predictions which refer to the whole galaxy, whereas the observations are taken within 0.1 effective radius. Moreover, according to Paper I’s best model (see their sec. 3.2), a variation of the order of $\Delta[Mg/Fe] \sim 0.2 - 0.3$ dex is expected to occur within one effective radius, with this ratio increasing outwards. These predictions have been recently confirmed by the observed gradients in the galaxies NGC4697 (Mendez et al. 2005) and NGC821 (Proctor et al., 2005). However, these results are at variance with the mean value of the gradient slope ($\Delta[Mg/Fe] \sim 0$) observed by Mehlert et al. (2003) for a large sample of early-type galaxies in Coma. This topic is still debated in the literature, given its importance in the study of the galaxy formation process. At this stage further (either theoretical or observational) efforts are needed, which go beyond the scope of the present work. In any case, in this paper we assume the results of Paper I on the radial variation of the $[Mg/Fe]_{V}$ ratio. When this happens, both models a and f, their predictions in the $[Mg/Fe]_{V}$-$M_\nu$ plane move down in the area where the observations lie (see arrows in Fig. 5). Under the hypothesis that the gradient is unaffected by merging episodes, it is clear from Fig. 5 that models with small perturbations are still in agreement with the data. In the case of the closed box models, we can see that the predictions can be reconciled with observations only if a very strong (i.e. $\Delta[Mg/Fe] \geq 0.4$) radial gradient in $[Mg/Fe]_{V}$ occurs. However, this is not predicted for the CB cases and is not confirmed by observations, which show $\Delta[Mg/Fe] \leq 0.2$ (Mehlert et al., 2003, Mendez et al., 2005). Among this set of models, only cases m and r do not require gradients stronger than those predicted by Paper I’s best model. However, they are ruled out by the photometric analysis. In more realistic cases the models featuring a merging episode would face another problem that might make their disagreement with the observations even worse. In particular, we expect that the newly accreted gas undergoes stronger cooling in the central region, thus leading to longer star formation in the galactic core with respect to the outskirts. In this case the radial gradient of

Figure 5. Fiducial (full squares) and closed box (empty squares) model predictions (entire galaxy) compared with observations (within 0.1R$_{eff}$). The dashed lines limit the observed values as given by Thomas et al. (2002) from data by Gonzalez (1993), Mehlert et al. (2000), Beuing et al. (2002). Models are labelled according to Table 1. The arrows show that the predictions for the whole galaxies become consistent with observations, when the radial gradient in $[Mg/Fe]_{V}$ (as predicted by Paper I’s best model) is taken into account.
\[ \text{[Mg/Fe]} \] will be even larger than the one predicted by the best model of Paper I and also at odds with observational results. Therefore, the predictions for the central zone of perturbed models lie well below the observed region, at a given magnitude.

Finally, we remark that all models with a non-negligible merger, exhibit very high metallicity \([\text{[Fe/H]}] \sim 1\), \([\text{[Mg/H]}] \sim 0.4\), when the second burst occurs very late, at variance with observations (e.g. Kobayashi & Arimoto, 1999, who give \([\text{[Fe/H]}] \sim -0.3\)). Because of the different ages of the two groups of stellar populations in the model galaxies (i.e. the old one, made during the first episode of SF, and the young one, made several Gyr later during the second burst), we do not consider safe to obtain estimates of the metallicity indices by simply using a calibration relation, as done in Paper I. Therefore, we avoided this part of the analysis. Tantalo & Chiosi (2004, see also Maraston & Thomas, 2000) reached conclusions similar to ours by analysing the spread in the \(H_{\beta} - [\text{MgFe}]\) plane and the colour evolution. In particular, they found that the presence of young populations superimposed to the old bulk of stars would be detectable, at odds with observations. On the other hand, small merging events producing differences in the \(\alpha\)-enhancement can explain the observational scatter. However, in a very recent paper, Thomas et al. (2004) found that a non-negligible fraction of low-mass ellipticals in their sample shows evidences of a young (i.e. age \(< 2\) Gyr) and metal-rich \([Z/H] \sim 0.2\) stellar population which represents \(\sim 10-20\%\) of their total stellar mass. These values are independent from the environment and the typical \([\alpha/Fe]\) of this population are \(\sim 0.2\) dex lower than the bulk of the stars, as expected if the young component formed out of gas strongly polluted by SNIa (e.g. models c and d).

### 3.3 Other star formation histories

We complete the analysis of both Cases 1 and 2 by considering models in which the SFH is modified by changing the second burst duration and its star formation efficiency (see Table 1). For example, in case \(c+t_{\text{burst}}=0.5\) (i.e. model c with \(t_{\text{burst}}=0.5\) Gyr), the colours are still bluer than the fiducial case and very similar to those predicted by model c, although the predictions regarding the chemical evolution are significantly better. In order to improve the agreement between the predictions of the fiducial case and the observations, we need simultaneously \(t_{\text{burst}}=0.1\) Gyr, and either a low amount of mass accreted or a low \(t_{\text{acc}}\) (i.e. high redshift). In these cases, in fact, the time interval during which new stars are allowed to form, is short enough to ensure negligible perturbations in the final abundance estimates. This result holds even in very extreme cases, namely we run a model in which \(M_{\text{acc}} = 0.1 M_{\text{tum}}, t_{\text{burst}}=0.1\) Gyr and \(\nu = 1000\text{Gyr}^{-1}\), for mergers occurring at \(t_{\text{acc}} < 4\) Gyr (i.e. \(\tau \sim 1\)). Despite the large value adopted for \(\nu\), in fact, the SFR is only \(\sim 100 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}\), owing to the small amount of gas present in the ISM. This finding is particularly important because, allowing for mass accretion onto the galaxies, but limiting the star formation process to a very short period, the typical star formation rate is of the order of \(\sim 40-80 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}\) \(\sim 4-6 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}\) for model \(b+t_{\text{burst}}=0.1\) \((d+t_{\text{burst}}=0.1)\). Therefore, these models cannot convert into stars all the gas they accreted, and might end up with a cold and massive ISM (even \(< 0.4-0.5 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}\) in cases with \(M_{\text{acc}} = M_{\text{tum}}\)), which is not observed in present-day ellipticals. Allowing for a very high SF efficiency it is helpful in removing all the cold gas and, at the same time, it shows the robustness of our conclusions for a large range of SFR.

Finally, we consider cases in which also the SFH of the initial burst deviates significantly from the fiducial model, in order to complete the study of the parameter space for Cases 2 and 3. We concentrate on cases in which the galaxy accretes a gas mass \(M_{\text{acc}} = M_{\text{tum}}\), since in the previous sections we have shown that models with \(M_{\text{acc}} = 0.1 M_{\text{tum}}\) can be considered as small perturbations (see the ratio \(R\) in Table 1). For what concerns Case 2, the aim is similar to what done in Sec. 3.2., namely we try to have a new best-fitting model for the \([\text{Mg/Fe]}\) vs. mass relation. In particular, we explore the cases in which we keep \(\nu\) fixed and change \(\nu\). Values of \(\nu = 35-45\) Gyr\(^{-1}\) during both the main SF episode and the starburst of model g improve only mildly the agreement with observations. A significant increase in the predicted value for \([\text{Mg/Fe]} > v\) (i.e. 0.363 dex) is attained when \(\nu = 55\) Gyr\(^{-1}\) (model a). In this case, we predict the ratio \(R\) to be \(< 0.5\), therefore it can be regarded as a model similar to g, but with a more intense SF during the second burst. The problem is that, however, the colours start to deviate from the observed relations \((U-V=1.67, V-K=-3.48\) for \(M_V = -22.2\) mag) and the Fe abundance becomes quite high \((<\text{[Fe/H]} > v] = 0.700)\).

We also tried another exercise, namely we abandoned our self-consistent evaluation of the time at which the galactic wind occurs, based on a detailed treatment of the SN remnant evolution, and fixed it a priori. Here we present the results for model g, with \(t_{\text{gw}} = 0.25\) Gyr. In this case, although the predicted abundance ratios (namely \([\text{Mg/Fe]} > v\) \(= 0.433, <\text{[Fe/H]} > v] = 0.414\) are fairly consistent with observations, the colours become too blue. In fact, we predict \(U-V=1.22\) and \(V-K=2.83\) for a total \(V\) magnitude \(M_V = -21.0\) mag.

This ad hoc model can be seen as a possible way to extend model g to Case 2. In fact, owing to the adopted self-consistent treatment for the development of the galactic wind, model g cannot reach \(R\) \(< 1\). Here, instead, we reduce the SF timescale during the initial burst (i.e. \(t_{\text{gw}}\)) by a factor of \(< 4\); therefore we have \(R\) \(< 1\).

Concerning Case 3, we start by increasing the SF efficiency during the second burst. For instance see the model \(c+\nu=20\) (i.e. model c with \(\nu = 20\) Gyr\(^{-1}\)), which leads to \(R\) \(< 2\), which improves the agreement between the predictions regarding the chemical properties, although the colours are still bluer than the fiducial case. Finally, we present model v, an illustrative case for a \(10^{12} M_{\odot}\) galaxy in which we vary the parameters in order to have a mild and prolonged SF before the onset of the merger-induced burst (occurring at \(2\) Gyr). This corresponds to the region of parameter space in which \(\tau\) varies in the interval \([0.5, 10]\) Gyr and \(\nu < 20\) Gyr\(^{-1}\) (during the first burst). In particular, we chose \(\tau = 3\) Gyr, \(\nu = 2.2\) Gyr\(^{-1}\) and \(\nu = 110\) Gyr\(^{-1}\) during the burst. A wind develops only after the starburst. The model exhibits \([\text{Mg/Fe]} > v] \geq 0.416, U-V=1.48\) and \(V-K=3.25\) (for a \(M_V = -21.8\) mag), values which are pretty close to Paper I’s best model results. Nevertheless, the SF produces a very high Fe enrichment in the bulk of the stars \((<\text{[Fe/H]} > v] = 0.839\) which is not observed. In the hy-
5 Gyr is to worsen the results, since the colours become progressively bluer (e.g. $V - K = 3.13$ for $M_V = -22.1$ mag).

Given its $R_e \sim 2$ and the behaviour of its SFH, to some extent, this is a complementary result of what Matteucci & Pipino (2005) have shown for PopIII stars in ellipticals (see also Gibson 1996 for a more extended discussion on the bimodal SF in ellipticals) by changing the IMF at early epochs. In any case, even a short pre-enrichment of the bulk of the stellar populations, produce either too red galaxies or abundance patterns in disagreement with observations.

In Fig. 6 we compare the star formation histories of some models, chosen as the most representative cases shown in the previous sections. In the upper panel we compare the Paper I’s best model ($f$) SFH with those from the cases $h$, $i$, $j$. In the lower panel, the solid line is taken from model $g$: the main burst clearly dominates over the merger-induced SF episode. Case 2 is represented by model $a$ (dashed line): the two SF episodes have a very close intensity and shape. They are separated by a short episode of galactic wind. Finally, a model in which the SF during the merger-induced burst exceeds the initial episode (Case 3, model $v$) is shown by a dotted line.

### 3.4 Other parameters effect

At this point it is useful to discuss other possible sources of degeneracy in our model results. First of all, it should be noticed that all the cases but the two Paper I’s best models (labelled as Paper I in Table 1), fail in reproducing the present-day SNIa rate observed in ellipticals ($0.18 \pm 0.06$ SNe, Cappellaro et al 1999). For example, model $c$ predicts an explosion rate of $\sim 1$ SNe, whereas model $b$ gives 0.48 SNe. The latter value, however, is only marginally consistent with the rate of $0.3 \pm 0.1$ SNe given by Cappellaro et al. (1999) for ellipticals bluer than the average. The reason for this disagreement is that the parameter $A$ in eq. (1), is set equal to 0.18 in order to have a good fit in the case of models $a$ and $f$. For comparative purposes, we run a model in which we use a lower value for $A$ (Table 1, model $b+A=0.06$), namely we chose $A=0.06$ so that the present-day SNIa rate for model $b$ becomes 0.19 SNe. This leads to luminosities and colours essentially unchanged, whereas the $\left< Mg/Fe >_V \right>$ ratio rises toward values more similar to Paper I’s best model predictions, as a consequence of the lower Fe abundance in the ISM when the merger-induced starburst occurs. However, a systematic variation of $A$ with the properties of the merger, would be in contrast with the a-priori definition of $A$.

Another possible solution can be a variation either with time or metallicity of the fraction of binary systems (e.g. De Donder & Vanbeveren, 2002). It should be remarked, however, that, in elliptical galaxies, the metallicity increases very fast in the first hundreds of Myr, reaching the solar value at the time of the galactic wind, and being higher than solar in the wind regime. Therefore, the final (i.e. present-day) value for $A$ should be achieved well before the occurrence of the second burst of star formation. In any case, we do not consider this as a viable solution for reconciling star formation histories ruled by random gas accretion episodes with the observed features of elliptical galaxies.

We also tried to change the IMF, by using a typical flat one (Arimoto & Yoshii 1987, models $a+AY87$ and

---

**Figure 6.** Star formation rate for some representative cases. Upper panel: Solid: Paper I’s best model ($f$). Dotted: The SFH during the main burst and during the merger have similar intensity and duration (as in Case 2, model $h$). Two models in which $M_{acc} = 0.1M_{tor}$ are shown by dashed (model $i$) and long-dashed (model $j$) lines, respectively. All these models have the same SFH during the initial burst. Lower panel: Solid: The SFH during the main burst is more intense than during the merger (model $g$). Dotted: The SFH during the main burst is less intense than during the merger (as in Case 3, model $v$).
This IMF was ruled out in Paper I because it predicts a too high metallicity in the stellar populations and too high values for $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$. The merging-induced starburst, when occurring in these models, pushes the $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$ down to a reasonable value for galaxies of that given mass. On the other hand, the Fe and Mg abundances exceed the solar value by a factor of ten, at variance with what is suggested by the observations. The possibility for a flat IMF during a merging process was explored by Thomas (1999), who concluded that a significantly flat exponent (i.e. $x < 0.8$) is needed to satisfy the constraints on $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$.

Finally, we tested the effect of changing the composition of the accreted gas. In particular we focused on a solar composition, as given by Anders & Grevesse (1989). When this option is applied to model $d$, the differences with the predictions shown in Table 1 are negligible. This is a straightforward consequence of the fact that the total mass of the accreted gas which is turned into stars is very small compared to the mass of the dominant stellar population. A stronger effect is expected for models in which $M_{\text{acc}} = M_{\text{lum}}$. In this case, in fact, model $c$ would exhibit $[\text{Mg/Fe}] = 0.258$, owing to the great importance of the youngest stellar populations in the weighted average. Also the colours become redder (by $\sim 0.1$ mag), but not enough to match the CMRs. On the other hand, the assumption of $Z_{\text{acc}} = Z_\odot$ on model $b$ predictions is milder for the chemical aspect, whereas the colours are $\sim 0.1$ mag redder. This implies that, in any case, the time of the occurrence of the merger-induced starburst is more important than the chemical composition of the accreted gas.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we completed the analysis of the photochemical properties of elliptical galaxies begun in Paper I, where we tested the high redshift formation of ellipticals. We showed that a photo-chemical diagnostic, namely the CMRs and the $[\text{Mg/Fe}] - M_{\text{bul}}$, is needed in order to verify whether star formation histories differing from one of the simple monolithic one lead to the same good agreement with observations. This diagnostic allowed us to quantify Paper I’s best model response to perturbations, represented by merger-induced starbursts. Here we summarise our main conclusions:

- Paper I’s best model seems to preserve the consistency with observations, within the observed scatter, only when small merging events occur. These results reinforce Paper I findings, namely that the star formation process must be more efficient and faster in the more massive galaxies in order to reproduce the largest possible set of optical observables. The measured scatter in these relations allows only small and early perturbations, i.e. $M_{\text{acc}} \sim 0.1 M_{\text{lum}}$ and $z_{\text{acc}} \geq 3$.

- Either bursts lasting more than 0.5 Gyr and involving a gas mass comparable to the mass already transformed into stars during the first episode of star formation, or occurring at low redshift (i.e. $z=0.2$) are ruled out. Only cases in which the burst duration is limited to 0.1 Gyr are marginally consistent with observations, if $t_{\text{acc}}$ is small.

- Furthermore, these merging models fail in matching the above relations in the case in which the initial infall hypothesis is relaxed, and the galaxies form through the classical monolithic paradigm (e.g. Larson 1974). Among these models, galaxies accreting a small amount of gas at high redshift produce a spread in the model results, with respect to Paper I’s best model, which is consistent with the observational scatter of the CMRs, but they fail in reproducing the $[\text{Mg/Fe}] - \sigma$ relation.

- On the other hand, models in which the SFH during the merger leads to the creation of many more stars with respect to what happened during the initial burst, do not match the observational constraints on ellipticals.

- The possibility of intermediate cases is appealing, but, because of the tightness of the observed colour-magnitude, mass-metallicity and $[\text{Mg/Fe}] - \sigma$ relations, it seems to be inconsistent with a systematic presence of merging episodes, given the stochastic nature of the accretions along the galactic lifetime.

Finally, we remark that the strongest constraints to the galactic formation mechanism and to the occurrence of late time merging events are provided by the chemical abundances.
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