Entanglement of Fermi gases in a harmonic trap
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For both cases with and without interactions, bipartite entanglement of two fermions from a Fermi gas in a trap is investigated. We show how the entanglement depends on the locations of the two fermions and the total number of particles. Fermions at the verge of trap have longer entanglement distance (beyond it, the entanglement disappears) than those in the center. We derive a lower limitation to the average overlapping for two entangled fermions in the BCS ground state, it is shown to be $\sqrt{Q/2M}$, a function of Cooper pair number $Q$ and total number of occupied energy levels $M$.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 05.30Fk,74.20.Fg

As one of the most characteristic features of quantum systems, quantum entanglement lies at the heart of the difference between the quantum and classical multiparticle world. It is the phenomenon that enables quantum information processing and quantum computing. It is the phenomenon that enables quantum systems, quantum entanglement plays a crucial role in condensed matter. Entanglement seems to play a crucial role in condensed matter systems, and has shown of relevance to thermodynamical quantities such as the degeneracy pressure and the number density of the gas. Multiparticle entanglement is also promising to make breakthrough in solving unsolved problems such as high-$T_c$ superconductivity.

Entanglement for noninteracting Fermi gases in a free space has already been studied. It was found that all entanglement vanishes if the relative distance $|r - r'|$ between electrons is greater than the entanglement distance $1/k_F$, where $k_F$ denotes the Fermi momentum. In this situation, quantum entanglement is purely due to Fermi statistics and not due to any physical interaction. A natural question then arises, is this a general property of noninteracting Fermi gases? or is it special for the Fermi gas in a free space, and how the interactions among the fermions influence the entanglement?

In this paper, we will try to answer this question by studying the entanglement in noninteracting Fermi gases trapped in a harmonic trap, and examining the effect of inter-fermion interactions on the entanglement. As you will see, bipartite entanglement measured by Wootters concurrence depends not only on the relative distance between two fermions but also on the total number of particles, the larger the number of fermion $N$, the shorter the entanglement distance. The entanglement distance is no longer a constant $1/k_F$ as in the free space, it is related to locations of the two fermions. Our numerical simulations show that the entanglement distance is longer at the verge more than that at the center of the trap. Further, we show the effect of interactions on the bipartite entanglement for the Fermi gas. The model adopted is the reduced BCS Hamiltonian, it is shown that the bipartite entanglement of BCS ground state sharply depends on the overlapping of the time-reversed states, the minimum average overlapping is $\sqrt{Q/2M}$ depending on the ratio of Cooper pair number $Q$ to the total number of occupied energy levels $M$, this indicates bipartite entanglement in the conventional BCS ground state. These results clearly establish the fact that entanglement should be taken into account when studying macroscopic observable, even if the system is in its ground state and the constituents of the system are noninteracting as the fermions in a trap.

Suppose we have a collection of noninteracting Fermi gas in harmonic traps. The Fermi gas may be electrons in a metal or ultracold atoms. Unless stated otherwise, we treat the Fermi gas in this paper as ultracold atoms, but the representation is applicable for all Fermi gases. At zero temperature, the atom gas is in its lowest energy configuration. All energy states are occupied up to the level $M = N/2$, where $N$ is the total number of atom and assumed to be even (the case with odd number of particles will be discussed at the end of this paper). For simplicity, we consider throughout this paper a one-dimensional harmonic trap. This condition is met if the trapping frequencies in the other directions are considerable large. The ground state of this system is

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{n=1}^{M} b_{n\uparrow}^\dagger b_{n\downarrow}^\dagger |Vac\rangle,$$

where $|Vac\rangle$ denotes the vacuum, and $b_{n\sigma}^\dagger (\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow)$ creates an atom in state $\phi_n(x)$ with spin $\sigma$. We are interested in entanglement between two atom spins at different locations. The solution to this problem would answer the following question, suppose that one atom has spin up at $x$, can we infer the direction of the spin of the other atom at $x'$? In order to answer this question, the density matrix describing the spin state of two atoms at locations $x$ and $x'$ is needed. It can be defined by

$$\rho_{ss';tt'} = \langle \Psi_0 | \psi_s^\dagger (x) \psi_t^\dagger (x') \psi_{s'} (x') \psi_{t'} (x') | \Psi_0 \rangle,$$

where $\psi_s^\dagger (x)$ creates an atom of spin $s$ at the location $x$. This density matrix also may be calculated by

$$\rho_{ss';tt'} = \text{Tr}( |\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0 | \cdot |sst(x)\rangle \langle st(x')|),$$
with \( \ket{st(r)} \) standing for two-atom state with spins \( s \) and \( t \) at the location \( r \). Writing \( \psi_{st}(t) \) in terms of the annihilation operator \( \delta_{st} \) and the eigenfunctions of harmonic oscillator \( \phi_{st}(x) \), we obtain the density matrix in the following form,

\[
\rho_{ss';tt'} = N(x)N(x')\delta_{tt'}\delta_{ss'} - \delta_{tt'}\delta_{ss'}F^2(x,x'),
\]

where \( F(x,x') = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^*(x')\phi_\alpha(x) = F \), \( N(x) = \sum_\alpha |\phi_\alpha(x)|^2 = N_x \). Term \( F \) represents a sum over overlapping of the two atoms at locations \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. \( N(x) \) is the number density of atom at the location \( x \). In basis \( \{ |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \} \), the density matrix takes the form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( F(x,x') = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^*(x')\phi_\alpha(x) = F \), \( N(x) = \sum_\alpha |\phi_\alpha(x)|^2 = N_x \). Term \( F \) represents a sum over overlapping of the two atoms at locations \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. \( N(x) \) is the number density of atom at the location \( x \). In basis \( \{ |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \} \), the density matrix takes the form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( F(x,x') = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^*(x')\phi_\alpha(x) = F \), \( N(x) = \sum_\alpha |\phi_\alpha(x)|^2 = N_x \). Term \( F \) represents a sum over overlapping of the two atoms at locations \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. \( N(x) \) is the number density of atom at the location \( x \). In basis \( \{ |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \} \), the density matrix takes the form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( F(x,x') = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^*(x')\phi_\alpha(x) = F \), \( N(x) = \sum_\alpha |\phi_\alpha(x)|^2 = N_x \). Term \( F \) represents a sum over overlapping of the two atoms at locations \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. \( N(x) \) is the number density of atom at the location \( x \). In basis \( \{ |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \} \), the density matrix takes the form,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & N_xN_x' - F^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( F(x,x') = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^*(x')\phi_\alpha(x) = F \), \( N(x) = \sum_\alpha |\phi_\alpha(x)|^2 = N_x \). Term \( F \) represents a sum over overlapping of the two atoms at locations \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively. \( N(x) \) is the number density of atom at the location \( x \). In basis \( \{ |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \} \), the density matrix takes the form,
Up to now, we have not considered the interaction between the atoms. In the following, we study how the interaction influences the entanglement of the two atoms. For repulsive interactions, perturbation theory tells us that weak interactions modify the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of the free Hamiltonian, this results in a level shift to every eigenstate of the free Hamiltonian, and consequently there are more eigenfunctions participating in the summations in $H_{\text{BCS}}$. So, the damping in the dependence of entanglement on the relative distance would faster than that without interactions. For attractive interaction, we will investigate the entanglement in trapped atoms by using the reduced BCS model. The reduced BCS Hamiltonian has received much attention as a result of effort to understand pair-\cite{12}ing correlations in nanoscale metallic system \cite{11}. The model Hamiltonian is\cite{11},

$$H_{\text{BCS}} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \varepsilon_j n_j - d \sum_{j \neq k}^{M} b_{j+}^\dagger b_{j+} b_{k-} b_{k-},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $j,k = 1,\ldots,M$ labels a set of doubly degenerate single particle energy levels with energies $\varepsilon_j$, $\lambda$ is the dimensionless coupling, and $d$ is the mean level spacing. $b_{j+}$ ($b_{j-}$) represent the annihilation (creation) operators for atoms at level $j$ with the labels $\pm$ referring to pairs of time-reversed states, and $n_j$ was defined as $n_j = b_{j+}^\dagger b_{j+} + b_{j-}^\dagger b_{j-}$, the atom number operator for level $j$. The ground state entanglement (called ALC - average local concurrence) share among different energy levels in this model was investigated in \cite{12}, it shown a simple relation between the ALC and the order parameter. The conventional BCS theory employs a grand canonical ensemble, by the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\rho_{12}^{\text{BCS}}(x,x') = \frac{1}{4(\sum_j v_{j}^{2})^2 - 2\text{Re}(f v^2)} \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_{j} v_{j}^{2} - \text{Re}(fv^2) \\
0 \\
0 \\
-\text{Re}(fv^2) \delta_{j} v_{j}^{2}
\end{pmatrix},$$

(12)

$\sum_j v_j^2$ equals the total number $Q$ of Cooper pairs in state $|\text{BCS}\rangle$, while $f$ characterize the overlapping of the two particles. The state Eq.\cite{12} is entangled iff the Peres-Horodecki condition \cite{13} is met, i.e., $2\text{Re}(f v^2) - Q^2 > 0$. Assume $\phi_j^2(x) \phi_j(x') = y$, $y$ is a constant, the Peres-Horodecki condition leads to $|y| > \sqrt{Q/2M}$ ($< 1/\sqrt{2}$). It is the restriction to the overlaping for bipartite entanglement. With the assumption $\phi_j^2(x) \phi_j(x') = y$, it is easy to write down the concurrence $C_{12}^{\text{BCS}}(x,x') = \max(0, \frac{2(2y^2 - Q/M)}{2y^2/M - |y|^2}, 0)$. The maximal entanglement $C_{12}^{\text{BCS}}(x,x') = 1$ is obtained at $|y|^2 = Q/M$. For conventional BCS state, $\phi_j(x)$ may have the form of $e^{i\gamma_j x}$, choose $x = x'$, $|y| = 1 > 1/\sqrt{2}$. So two electrons in the conventional BCS state are entangled as long as the separation $L$ is less than the entanglement distance satisfying $|\phi_j^2(x) \phi_j(x + L)| = |y| = \sqrt{Q/2M}$. However, it is unsure for a system with $\phi(x)$ taking the eigenstates of harmonic oscillator. It is easy to show that $|\phi_j^2(x) \phi_j(x')| < 1/\sqrt{2}$ for any $j$, $x$ and $x'$, which indicates that small ratio of the Cooper pair number to the total number of occupied levels might guarantee the entanglement existing in such a system.

We now consider the case when the total number of
particles is odd. Intuitively, for a large number (say, \(2N+1\)) of atom, the bipartite entanglement would behave like that with \(2N\) particles. This is the case indeed as you will see. Let us first analyze the case without inter-particle interactions. Assume the \((2N+1)\)th atom is of spin up, the state of this \(2N+1\) atoms may be written as \(b_{M+1}^\dagger |\Psi_0\rangle\), where \(|\Psi_0\rangle\) was defined by Eq.\(^1\) for the \(2N\) particles. Following the calculation performed for Eq.\(^5\), we find that the density matrix \(\rho_{12}(x, x')\) can be divided into two matrices, the first represents contributions from the \(2N\) atoms, which takes the same form as in Eq.\(^5\), and the second is a correction due to the \((2N+1)\)th atom. The elements of the second matrix \(\sigma\) are,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{22} &= 2N_x |\phi_{M+1}(x')|^2 + 2N_{x'} |\phi_{M+1}(x)|^2, \\
\sigma_{23} &= -4F(x, x')\phi_{M+1}(x)\phi_{M+1}(x')
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

\(\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{23}, \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33}, \sigma_{32} = \sigma_{23}^*,\) and the others are zero. For a large system \((N >> 1)\), \(|\phi_{M+1}(x)|^2 << N_x\), and \(\phi_{M+1}(x)\phi_{M+1}(x') << |F(x, x')|\). Therefore, the correction \(\sigma\) to the density matrix \(\rho_{12}(x, x')\) can be neglected. However, this is not the case if the system only consists of few atoms, the correction due to the \((2N+1)\)th atom should be taken into account to compute the bipartite entanglement. Note that exchanges of spin up with spin down do not change \(|\Psi_0\rangle\), but \(b_{M+1}^\dagger |\Psi_0\rangle\). So matrix \(\sigma\) is of relevance to the spin of the \((2N+1)\)th atom. But this does not affect the bipartite entanglement under consideration, i.e., the bipartite entanglement is independent of the spin of the \((2N+1)\)th atom. In the case of attractive interaction, the situation is similar if the BCS ground state is simply \(b_{M+1}^\dagger |\text{BCS}\rangle\). The situation becomes complicated when \(b_{M+1}^\dagger |\text{BCS}\rangle\) is not the ground state of the system\(^14\). In order to calculate the entanglement in BCS ground state, we have to find the ground state first, it is beyond the scope of this paper.

Before concluding, it is worth mentioning that bipartite (multipartite) entanglement as a properties between quantum systems depends on definition of the two degrees (many degrees) which share the entanglement. Some properties of entanglement in the BCS model were studied in Ref.\(^12, 15\), where the entanglement was defined among particles in different energy levels\(^12\). The entanglement presented in this paper is for two fermion spins at different locations \(x\) and \(x'\), it characterizes two-fermion’s correlation at the two locations.

In conclusion, we have shown that the bipartite entanglement in noninteracting fermions trapped in harmonic traps depend on particle number, relative distance and the locations of the two fermions. The entanglement distance which characterize the maximum separation of two entangled particles is longer at the verge of the trap than that at the center; the larger the number of trapped particles, the shorter the entanglement distance. For interacting Fermi system, we have adopted the reduced BCS model to study the entanglement in the BCS ground state. Reduced density matrix and Wootters concurrence have been presented, the restriction on the average overlapping \(y\) has been derived to be \(\sqrt{Q / 2M} < |y| \leq \sqrt{Q / M}\), the lower limitation \(\sqrt{Q / 2M}\) corresponds to concurrence zero, while the upper one \(\sqrt{Q / M}\) corresponds to maximal entangled states.
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