Perfect fluidity of sQGP core and dissipative hadronic corona
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**Abstract.** We can establish a new picture, the perfect fluid sQGP core and the dissipative hadronic corona, of the space-time evolution of produced matter in relativistic heavy ion collisions at RHIC. It is also shown that the picture works well also in the forward rapidity region through an analysis based on a new class of the hydro-kinetic model and is a manifestation of deconfinement.

The agreement of ideal hydrodynamic predictions \[1\] of integrated and differential elliptic flow and radial flow patterns with Au+Au data at RHIC energies \[2, 3, 4, 5\] is one of the main lines of the announcement, “RHIC serves the perfect liquid” \[6\]. We first study the sensitivity of this conclusion to different hydrodynamic assumptions in the hadron phase. It is found that an assumption of chemical equilibrium neglecting viscosity in the hadron phase in hydrodynamic simulations causes accidental reproduction of transverse momentum spectra and differential elliptic flow data. From a systematic comparison of hydrodynamic results with the experimental data, dissipative effects are found to be mandatory in the hadron phase. Therefore, what is discovered at RHIC is not only the perfect fluidity of the sQGP core but also its dissipative hadronic corona. Along the lines of these studies, we develop a hybrid dynamical model in which a fully three-dimensional hydrodynamic description of the QGP phase is followed by a kinetic description of the hadron phase. We show rapidity dependence of elliptic flow from this hybrid model supports the above picture. Finally, we argue that this picture is a manifestation of deconfinement transition.

A perfect QGP fluid is assumed in most hydrodynamic simulations. While one can find various assumptions in the hadron phase, e.g. (1) ideal and chemical equilibrium (CE) fluid, (2) ideal and chemically frozen fluid (or partial chemical equilibrium, PCE), or (3) non-equilibrium resonance gas through hadronic cascade models (HC). Hydrodynamic results are compared with the current differential elliptic flow data, \(v_2(p_T)\), in Fig. 20 in Ref. \[7\] with putting an emphasis on the difference of assumptions in the hadron phase. The classes CE and HC reproduce the pion data well. On the contrary, results from the second class, PCE, deviate from these hydrodynamic results and experimental data. To claim the discovery of perfect fluidity, we need to understand the difference among hydrodynamic results. \(v_2\) is roughly proportional to \(p_T\) in low \(p_T\) region for pions. In such a case, the slope of \(v_2(p_T)\) can be approximated by \(v_2/\langle p_T \rangle\). Integrated \(v_2\) is generated in the early stage of collisions. Whereas differential \(v_2\) can be sensitive to the late hadronic stage since mean transverse momentum \(\langle p_T \rangle\) continues to vary. In Fig. 1(left), thermal freezeout temperature \(T^\text{th}\) dependence of \(\langle p_T \rangle\) for pions...
including contribution from resonance decays are calculated at a RHIC energy by utilizing hydrodynamic simulations [8]. \( \langle p_T \rangle \) for pions in the chemically frozen hadronic fluid decreases with decreasing \( T^{th} \). This is due to longitudinal \( pdV \) work done by fluid elements. Whereas \( \langle p_T \rangle \) in chemical equilibrium increases during expansion. The total number of particles in a fluid element decreases due to the assumptions of chemical equilibrium and entropy conservation in the hadron phase. Then the total energy is distributed among the smaller number of particles as a fluid element expands. This is the reason why the different behavior of \( \langle p_T \rangle \) appears according to the assumption of chemical equilibrium/freezeout. Under the chemical equilibrium assumption, increase of \( \langle p_T \rangle \) is commonly utilized to fix \( T^{th} \) by fitting \( p_T \) slope. However, this is attained only by neglecting particle ratio. On the other hand, the slope of differential elliptic flow \( v_2/\langle p_T \rangle \) is reproduced by canceling increase behaviors of both \( v_2 \) and \( \langle p_T \rangle \) [8]. Agreement of the CE model with \( p_T \) spectra and \( v_2(p_T) \) data is merely an accident in the sense that this model makes full use of neglecting particle ratio to reproduce them. So the HC model turns out to be the only model which reproduces properly particle ratio, \( p_T \) spectra and \( v_2(p_T) \) data. Therefore a picture of the dissipative hadronic corona together with the perfect fluid sQGP core is consistent with these experimental data observed at RHIC.

According to the above discussion, we incorporate a hadronic cascade model, JAM [9], into our dynamical framework [10]. Details of the hybrid model is found in Ref. [11]. Figure 1 (right) shows pseudorapidity dependences of \( v_2 \) from this hybrid model and ideal 3D hydrodynamics with \( T^{th} = 100 \) and 169 MeV. Here critical temperature and chemical freezeout temperature are taken as being \( T_c = T^{ch} = 170 \) MeV in the hydrodynamic model. In the hybrid model, the switching temperature from a hydrodynamic description to a kinetic one is taken as \( T_{sw} = 169 \) MeV. Ideal hydrodynamics with \( T^{th} = 100 \) MeV which is so chosen to generate enough radial flow gives a trapezoidal shape of \( v_2(\eta) \) [12]. A large deviation between data [4] and the ideal hydrodynamic result is seen especially in forward/backward rapidity regions. When hadronic rescattering
effects are taken through the hadronic cascade model instead of perfect fluid description of the hadron phase, \( v_2 \) is not so generated in the forward region due to the dissipation and, eventually, is consistent with the data. So the perfect fluid sQGP core and the dissipative hadronic corona picture works well also in the forward region. It should be noted that, recently, this conclusion is found to depend on centralities and how to initialize hydrodynamic fields: There is a room for initial QGP viscous effects in peripheral collisions in CGC initial conditions. For a detailed discussion, see Ref. [11].

We can establish a new picture of space-time evolution of produced matter from a careful comparison of hydrodynamic results with experimental data observed at RHIC. What is the physics behind this picture? \( \eta/s \) is known to be a good measure to see the effect of viscosity where \( \eta \) is the shear viscosity and \( s \) is the entropy density. \( \eta/s \) might be small in the QGP phase, which is comparable with the minimum value \( 1/4\pi \) [13], and the perfect fluid assumption can be valid. While \( \eta/s \) becomes huge in the hadron phase and the dissipation cannot be neglected. Shear viscosities of both phases are found to give \( \eta \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV/fm}^2 \) around \( T_c \) [8]. So shear viscosity itself appears to increase with temperature monotonically. The “perfect fluid” property of the sQGP is thus not due to a sudden reduction of the viscosity at the critical temperature \( T_c \), but to a sudden increase of the entropy density of QCD matter and is therefore an important signature of deconfinement.
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