Short GRB and binary black hole standard sirens as a probe of dark energy
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Observations of the gravitational radiation from well-localized, inspiraling compact object binaries can measure absolute source distances with high accuracy. When coupled with an independent determination of redshift through an electromagnetic counterpart, these standard sirens can provide an excellent probe of the expansion history of the Universe and the dark energy. Short γ-ray bursts, if produced by merging neutron star binaries, would be standard sirens with known redshifts detectable by ground-based GW networks such as LIGO-II, Virgo, and AIGO. Depending upon the collimation of these GBs, a single year of observation of their gravitational waves can measure the Hubble constant h to ~ 2%. When combined with measurement of the absolute distance to the last scattering surface of the cosmic microwave background, this determines the dark energy equation of state parameter w to ~ 9%. Similarly, supermassive binary black hole inspirals will be standard sirens detectable by LISA. Depending upon the precise redshift distribution, ~ 100 sources could measure w at the ~ 4% level.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO), we are on the verge of an era of gravitational-wave astronomy [1, 2]. Among the most interesting expected sources for GW observatories are the inspirals and mergers of compact-object binaries. LIGO-II, a planned upgrade with tenfold increase in sensitivity, can detect the inspirals and mergers of stellar-mass binaries within several hundred megaparsecs, while the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) can study supermassive binary BHs (M ≃ 10⁴ − 10⁹M⊙) throughout the universe (z ≲ 10).

The idea of using GW measurements of coalescing binaries to make cosmologically interesting measurements has a long history. As originally pointed out by Schutz [3], observation of the gravitational radiation from an inspiraling binary provides a self-calibrated absolute distance determination to the source. Chernoff and Finn [4] and Finn [5] took advantage of this property to show how, by observing many inspiral sources, one can construct the distribution of observed binary mass and GW signal strength, and thereby statistically constrain the values of cosmological parameters. More recently, Holz and Hughes [6] have shown that LISA observations of well-localized supermassive binary black hole (SMBBH) inspirals allow cosmological distance determination with unprecedented accuracy, with typical errors < 1%. These GW “standard sirens” can precisely map out the expansion history of the Universe, offering a powerful probe of the dark energy.

The utility of standard sirens for constraining dark energy is quite similar to that of standard candles, like Type-Ia supernovae. One advantage of GW standard sirens is that the underlying physics is well-understood. The radiation emitted during the inspiral phase (as opposed to the merger phase) is well described using the post-Newtonian expansion of general relativity for the BH binary [7]. Hence some unknown systematic evolution of the standard sirens over time, mimicking a different cosmology, should not be of concern. Another advantage is that GW observatories directly measure absolutely calibrated source distances, whereas Type-Ia supernova standard candles provide only relatively calibrated distances.

A major drawback of GW standard sirens is that, although the gravitational waveforms measure distance directly, they contain no redshift information. To be useful as a standard candle, an independent measure of the redshift to the source is crucial. This can be determined through observation of an electromagnetic counterpart, such as the host galaxy of the source. Unfortunately, as GW observatories are essentially all-sky, they generally provide poor source localization, and the host galaxy is not always unambiguously identifiable [8]. In cases where source redshifts cannot be determined, the distribution of unlocalized events can be used to place statistical bounds on cosmological parameters [8]. However, in this paper we will focus upon GW sirens whose redshifts may be measured, as they can provide very tight constraints on cosmology.

Because standard siren distances are absolutely calibrated, even sources at low redshift (e.g. z ≲ 0.2) can constrain dark energy. This may seem surprising, since at low redshifts the distance-redshift relation is well-described by a linear Hubble relation D = cz/H₀, independent of dark energy parameters. As emphasized by Hu and Jain [9] and Hu [10], however, absolute distances to sources at low redshift tightly constrain dark energy, when combined with a determination of the absolute distance to the last-scattering surface of the cosmic microwave background. To understand this, note that cosmological distances are given by a redshift integral of the Hubble parameter, which in turn depends on the sum parameter w.
of energy densities at each redshift:

\[
D(z) = \frac{c}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_K}} \sinh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_K}{H^2}} \int_0^{z} \frac{H_0}{H(z)} dz \right) 
\]

\[
\frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \sqrt{\Omega_m (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_{de} (1 + z)^{3(1+w)} + \Omega_K (1 + z)^2}. 
\]

Here \( \Omega_m + \Omega_{de} + \Omega_K = 1 \), \( H_0 = 100 \text{ km/s/Mpc} \) is the Hubble constant today, and we have assumed a constant equation of state parameter \( w \). If we assume a flat universe \( (\Omega_K = 0) \), then \( \Omega_{de} = 1 - \Omega_m \), and the only parameters describing the global expansion are \( h \), \( \Omega_m \), and \( w \). Observations of the primary anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) provide two constraints on these three parameters. First, the heights of the acoustic peaks determine the matter density \( (\text{in } \text{g/cm}^3) \), which fixes \( \Omega_m h^2 \). Second, the angular scale of the peaks (their location in \( t \)-space) precisely measures the angular diameter distance to the CMB last-scattering surface, in Mpc. Absolute distances to low-redshift sources measure the Hubble constant \( h \), which then allows all three parameters to be determined \[11\] \[12\]. Clearly the constraints we present would be substantially degraded if the curvature were not fixed to zero; see \[11, 12\] for the prospects for precise constraints on curvature.

In addition to the low redshift standard sirens, those at higher redshifts also help constrain dark energy, in the same manner as high-redshift standard candles. Holz and Hughes \[6\] discuss how \textit{LISA} observations of SMBBH inspirals can help constrain cosmology. For a dark energy model which is not dramatically different from a cosmological constant \( \Lambda \), the interesting redshift range is when the dark energy density is significant \((z \lesssim 1)\), although note that gravitational lensing degrades the constraints from the highest redshift standard sirens (or candles) \[13\].

As mentioned above, the gravitational waves from standard sirens measure source distances, but do not measure source redshifts. Some sort of electromagnetic counterpart associated with the merger event will generally be required to use GW sources to determine cosmology. One potential class of GW sources guaranteed to have electromagnetic counterparts are short \( \gamma \)-ray bursts (GRBs). These sources are thought to arise in the mergers of neutron star (NS) binaries, and hence should be strong GW emitters in the frequency band accessible to ground-based observatories. The GRB counterpart to these GW source provides a precise sky localization, which is useful both for determining the redshift to the source, and for significantly improving the GW determination of absolute distance. As we discuss below, short GRBs occur at a range large enough for them to provide interesting cosmological constraints.

\section{Distance Determination for Inspiring Binaries}

In this section we briefly review how distances to inspiraling binaries may be determined; see Ref. \[14\] for more detail. An inspiraling binary at direction \( \hat{n} \) on the sky, with orbital angular momentum axis \( \hat{L} \), generates gravitational waves with strain tensor

\[
h(t) = h_+(t) e^+ + h_\times(t) e^\times, \]

where the basis tensors are

\[
e^+ = e_x \otimes e_x - e_y \otimes e_y \]

\[
e^\times = e_x \otimes e_y + e_y \otimes e_x \]

with

\[
e_x = \frac{\hat{n} \times \hat{L}}{|\hat{n} \times \hat{L}|} \]

\[
e_y = e_x \times \hat{n}. \]

Our convention is that \( \hat{n} \) points towards the source, hence the waves propagate in the direction \( -\hat{n} \). We can express the amplitudes of the two polarizations \( h_+(t) \) and \( h_\times(t) \) in the frequency domain as

\[
\tilde{h}_+(f) = (1 + v^2) \tilde{h}_0(f), \quad \tilde{h}_\times(f) = -2iv \tilde{h}_0(f) \]

where \( v \equiv \hat{n} \times \hat{L} \) is the cosine of the inclination angle of the binary, and

\[
\tilde{h}_0(f) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{96\pi} 2^{-2/3} \left[ \frac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^3} \right]^{5/6} \frac{c}{D} f^{-7/6} \exp[i\Psi(f)]. \]

In this expression, \( D \) is the luminosity distance to the source, and \( \mathcal{M} = (1 + z) (m_1 m_2)^{3/5}/(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5} \) is the redshifted chirp mass of the binary. The phase \( \Psi \) is given by

\[
\Psi(f) = 2\pi ft_c - \phi_c - \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{8\pi G M f}{c^3} \right)^{-5/3}, \]

where \( t_c \) is the time at coalescence, and \( \phi_c \) is the orbital phase at coalescence.

These expressions describe a binary’s waves only in the Newtonian, quadrupole approximation — treating the binary’s kinematics as due to Newtonian gravity and using the quadrupole formula to estimate its GW emission. Because the phase parameters are essentially uncorrelated from the amplitude parameters, this approximation is good enough to estimate the expected signal-to-noise ratio from a source, and provides a good estimate of the distance measurement accuracy, but is not accurate enough to reliably model the detailed GW waveform \[14\]. Higher order post-Newtonian templates (see Ref. \[5\] for detailed discussion) should be sufficiently accurate, and are used for the actual data analysis.
Given $h(t)$, the measured strain is given by

$$h_M(t) = h_{ab}(t)d_{ab},$$

where the detector response tensor for an interferometer with arms $l$ and $m$ is $d = (l \otimes l - m \otimes m)/2$. In the notation of Ref. [14], a detector at colatitude $\theta$ and longitude $\phi$ with orientation $\alpha$ has response tensor

$$d = \cos(2\alpha)[e_\theta \otimes e_\phi + e_\phi \otimes e_\theta]/2$$

$$-\sin(2\alpha)[e_\theta \otimes e_\theta - e_\phi \otimes e_\phi]/2.$$  

(11)

To recap, the source parameters determining the measured signal are distance $D$, chirp mass $M$, coalescence time $t_c$, coalescence phase $\phi_c$, source direction $\hat{n}$, and orbital axis $\hat{L}$. These are the 8 parameters to be determined from the data timeseries $h_M(t)$. If the detector has strain noise with spectral density $S_h(f)$, then the incident strain is measured with signal-to-noise ratio SNR (assuming Wiener filtering):

$$\text{SNR}^2 = 4 \int \frac{\tilde{h}_M(f)^2}{S_h(f)} df.$$  

(12)

The complicated angular dependence is hidden within the measured strain $\tilde{h}_M$. This dependence can be made more explicit by rewriting the above equation as

$$\text{SNR}^2 = 4 \int \frac{f^{-7/3}}{S_h(f)} df.$$  

(13)

where $f_{\text{low}} \approx 10$ Hz is the frequency below which the detectors’ sensitivities are badly degraded by ground motions. In the optimal case, the binary is face-on ($v = 1$) and directly overhead, so that $F^2_{+} + F^2_\times = 1$. This gives

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{opt}} = 4\frac{A}{D} t_{1/2}^{1/2}.$$  

(15)

If instead we average over all sky positions and binary orientations, we find

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{ave}} = 8\frac{A}{5D} t_{1/2}^{1/2},$$

(16)

where we have made use of $\langle F^2_+ \rangle = \langle F^2_\times \rangle = 1/5$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 + v^2)^2 dv = \frac{28}{15}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} 4v^2 dv = \frac{3}{3}.$$  

(17)

(18)

Note that the SNR in the optimal geometry is a factor $5/2$ times larger than that for the average geometry. Also note that face-on sources, when averaged over all sky positions, have SNR a factor $\sqrt{5}/4 \approx 1.12$ larger than $\text{SNR}_{\text{ave}}$.

We can estimate how well the parameters $p$ are measured using the Fisher matrix

$$F_{ij} = 4 \int \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\partial_{ij} \tilde{h}_M(f)}{S_h(f)} \right] df,$$  

(19)

where $\partial_i \equiv \partial / \partial p_i$, and $^*$ denotes complex conjugation. Approximating the likelihood as

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{|F|}{(2\pi)^{n_p}}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta p \cdot F \cdot \Delta p \right),$$  

(20)

then the error on parameter $p_i$ is given by $\sqrt{(\text{SNR}_{\text{ave}})^2}$.

Prior constraints, or the constraints from multiple detectors are implemented by multiplying the respective likelihoods, which in this approximation reduces to summing the respective Fisher matrices. In our calculations, we compute the partial derivatives numerically by finite differencing.

In practice, the ‘phase’ parameters $M$, $t_c$, and $\phi_c$ are determined with exquisite precision. The ‘amplitude’ parameters $D$, $\hat{L}$, and $\hat{n}$ are determined less well, in large part due to parameter degeneracies. By using multiple detectors many of these degeneracies can be broken. For example, timing information from a network of detectors helps determine the source direction $\hat{n}$. Similarly, if the detectors have different response tensors $d$, then the polarization of the GW signal may be measured, which constrains the orbital axis $\hat{L}$ (c.f. Eq. 17).

### III. GRBS OBSERVED BY GW NETWORKS

Short GRBs are an extremely promising source of gravitational waves [12]. These sources have been of great interest recently, due to the prompt localization of the events by the Swift [16, 17] and HETE-2 [18] satellites, allowing their detection in X-ray, optical, and radio frequencies. Particularly exciting has been the identification of several galaxies hosting short bursts [16, 18, 19]. While the nature of short GRBs is not yet known, a leading candidate is the merger of neutron star binaries [20], although other models have been proposed as well [21]. The detection or non-detection of GRBs in gravitational waves would of course be extremely useful [22], for example confirming or refuting the NS-NS merger scenario, or determining the extent of collimation of the $\gamma$-ray emission [22].

Additionally, as mentioned above, short GRBs can also be very useful for determining the background cosmology.

1. http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/swift/swiftsc.html
by acting as GW standard sirens. One immediate advantage offered by GRBs is that their bright electromagnetic emission allows a precise localization of the source on the sky, pinpointing the source direction \( \hat{n} \) and lifting some of the degeneracies which limit distance determination. The extent of collimation in short GRBs is not well known, though indications of beaming are claimed in at least one short GRB so far \[15\]. The theoretical expectation is that emission should be beamed preferentially along the orbital angular momentum axis where baryon loading is minimized. If this is the case, then we might expect short GRBs to be nearly face-on, \( v = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{L} \approx 1 \). As can be seen from Eq. (7) this maximizes the amplitudes of both polarizations and hence maximizes the SNR of the detection for a given source direction \( \hat{n} \). In what follows, we will compute distance errors for two cases: (1) isotropic distribution of \( \hat{L} \), and (2) collimation, assuming an inclination probability distribution \( dP/dv \propto \exp(-(1-v^2)/2\sigma_v^2) \) for \( \sigma_v = 0.05 \), corresponding to a roughly 20° jet angle.

The expected chirp mass for GRBs, \( M \approx 1.2 M_\odot \), places them favorably in the frequency band accessible to ground-based GW observatories. Several such observatories are now operating or are planned for construction in the near future. LIGO is already operational, and its sensitivity should increase by an order of magnitude in a planned upgrade (LIGO-II) \[24\]. A detector of similar scale, Virgo \[25\], is under construction in Italy, and there are plans for a similar detector, AIGO \[26\], in Australia. The locations and orientations of these observatories are listed in Table I. The two LIGO detectors are oriented to have very similar response tensors, and therefore have limited ability to independently measure polarization (and hence inclination). Determining \( \hat{L} \) will thus require the combination of LIGO with other observatories.

Henceforth, we assume that all four detectors will observe GRB events; in subsequent work we intend to investigate how the distance errors degrade if one or more elements of this network are removed. Preliminary results indicate that reducing the size of the detector network does not substantially degrade our ability to determine distance (aside from the loss in total SNR) assuming that we can set a prior on the beaming factor (and hence on the inclination angle). If we cannot set such a prior, then losing sites in this network badly degrades our ability to determine distance to these sources. We emphasize this point to highlight the importance of modeling bursts, and the importance of having widely separated GW detectors around the globe.

Figure 1 plots the noise spectral density forecasted for LIGO-II \[24\]. Projected noise curves for the advanced detector configurations are not yet available for Virgo or AIGO, so for simplicity we use the LIGO-II curve for all the observatories in the network. For comparison, we also show the sensitivity for the currently operating LIGO observatories.

With the response tensors for the elements in our network, and their noise spectra, we can now compute the Fisher matrices and parameter errors for GRBs as a function of distance and location on the sky. For convenience, when computing the Fisher matrix we re- place the parameter pair \( \{ D,v = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{L} \} \) with the pair \( \{(1 + v)^2/D,(1 - v)^2/D\} \), to avoid singularities in the limit \( v \to 1 \) when \( v \) and \( D \) become degenerate \[14\]. Another difficulty that arises in the face-on limit is that the position angle of \( \hat{L} \), denoted \( \psi \) by Ref. \[13\], becomes meaningless as \( v \to 1 \). Including it as a parameter would cause the Fisher matrix to become singular in the face-on limit; we circumvent this difficulty using singular value decomposition to invert the Fisher matrix, zeroing any eigenvalue whose magnitude is \( 10^{-10} \) times that of the largest eigenvalue.

Because the antenna response of each detector varies strongly with source direction \( \hat{n} \), the parameter errors at any given distance \( D \) also depend strongly on \( \hat{n} \). We are interested only in average errors as a function of \( D \); hence, for each \( D \) we average over 100 different orientations \( \hat{L} \) and \( \hat{n} \). For example, Fig. 2 shows the expected constraints for sources at distance \( D = 250 \) Mpc. Note that the errors significantly improve if it is assumed that

![FIG. 1: Noise curve for the LIGO detectors, for initial (dotted) and advanced (solid) sensitivity.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( \phi )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIGO (Hanford)</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>-119.4</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGO (Livingston)</td>
<td>59.44</td>
<td>-90.77</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgo</td>
<td>46.37</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>115.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIGO</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>115.7</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TABLE I: Coordinates of GW observatories, in the notation of Ref. \[14\]. All values are in degrees. |
sources are beamed towards us.

Given the likelihood distribution \( dP/dD \), we define the distance error as \( \sigma_D = \langle dD \rangle - \langle D \rangle \), where averages are with respect to \( dP/dD \). Figure 3 plots \( \sigma_D \) as a function of \( D \). Our results appear roughly consistent with \( \sigma_D/D \propto D \propto 1/\text{SNR} \). Our best-fit linear scaling for unbeamed GRBs is \( \sigma_D/D = D/(1.7 \text{ Gpc}) \), and \( \sigma_D/D = D/(4.4 \text{ Gpc}) \) for collimation \( \sigma_v = 0.05 \). Henceforth, we will assume these scalings when estimating cosmological constraints from GW network observations of short GRBs.

IV. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS FROM STANDARD SIRESNS

As discussed in §1, a measurement of the Hubble constant \( h \) using GRBs, when combined with CMB constraints, also constrains dark energy parameters. We use two measurements from the CMB: determination of the angular scale of the acoustic peaks \( l_A \), and determination of the matter density \( \Omega_m h^2 \) from the peak heights. Currently, the WMAP satellite has measured \( l_A = 300 \pm 3 \) and \( \Omega_m h^2 = 0.14 \pm 0.02 \). The error on \( \Omega_m h^2 \) will soon decrease by a factor \( \sim \sqrt{3} \) with the 3rd year release of WMAP data. The Planck satellite is expected to measure \( \Omega_m h^2 \) to a fractional error of \( \sim 1\% \).

The acoustic scale \( l_A = \pi D_*/s_* \), where \( D_* \) is the distance to the last-scattering surface at \( z = 1089 \), and \( s_* \) is the sound horizon at decoupling, approximately given by \( s_* = 144.4 \text{ Mpc} (\Omega_m h^2/0.14)^{-0.252} \). Given the dependence of these observables on the cosmological parameters \( p = \{ h, \Omega_m, \omega \} \), we can then estimate parameter errors using the Fisher matrix:

\[
F_{ij} = \frac{\partial_i l_A \partial_j l_A}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{\partial_i \Omega_m h^2 \partial_j \Omega_m h^2}{\sigma_{\omega m}^2} + \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}} dN \frac{\partial_i D_L(z) \partial_j D_L(z)}{\sigma_D(z)^2 + (\sigma_z \frac{dD}{dz})^2} dz,
\]

where redshift errors \( \sigma_z \) are caused by peculiar velocities\(^3\) with assumed rms of 300 km/s. The luminosity distance \( D_L(z) = (1+z)D(z) \), and its error \( \sigma_D \) includes both GW errors, as computed in the previous section, and gravitational lensing errors [28], computed using an approximate nonlinear power spectrum [29].

For the source redshift distribution \( dN/dz \), we assume that short GRBs occur at a constant comoving rate of 10 Gpc\(^{-3} \) yr\(^{-1} \). We found in the previous section that the SNR in distance determination per source scales roughly like \( 1/D \). Since the number of sources scales with volume \( \propto D^3 \), we expect the SNR on the Hubble constant \( h \) to scale like \( D_{\text{max}}^{1/2} \), where \( D_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum distance to which GRBs may be detected as gravitational wave sources.

The standard threshold used in the GW literature for detection has been SNR > 8.5 [14, 31]. The reason for this high threshold is that sources are detected by correlating the data timesream with large numbers (e.g. 3 It may be preferable to measure redshifts of the host galaxies rather than the GRBs themselves, whose progenitors may suffer kicks which will add in quadrature to the redshift noise from peculiar velocities.)
10^{15}$) of templates corresponding to different parameter values, and therefore the detection threshold must be set high to avoid excessive numbers of false detections. Such large numbers of templates are required in order to fully explore parameter space. For GRB sources, however, the parameter space to be searched is considerably reduced: the $\gamma$-ray burst itself determines the source direction $\hat{n}$ and time $t_c$. Depending upon one’s confidence in theoretical models for GRBs, the chirp mass $M$ and orientation $\hat{L}$ may also be constrained. Because many fewer templates need to be run for GRB sources, we should set the detection threshold correspondingly lower. We conservatively estimate that knowledge of the GRB time reduces the number of required templates by a factor $\sim 10^5$, corresponding to a reduced threshold SNR $> 7$. Note that this is the total SNR; since we have assumed a network of four detectors with identical noise, this translates into a threshold SNR $> 3.5$ per detector. From this, we can determine the maximum distance to which sources may be detected using Eq. (16). For chirp mass $M = 1.2M_\odot$, we have $A = 4.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{5/6}$, and for our assumed noise spectral density (Fig. 1), $I_7 = 8.33 \times 10^{14} \text{Hz}^{-1/3}$. Therefore the maximum distance for which $\text{SNR}_{\text{ave}} > 3.5$ is $D_{\text{max}} = 600$ Mpc.

Assuming default cosmological parameters $h = 0.72$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, and $w = -1$, the resulting parameter errors computed from Eq. (21) are shown in Figure 4 as a function of the time and sky area over which GRBs are observed. While errors on the Hubble constant scale like $\sigma_h \propto N^{-1/2}$, the errors on $w$ scale this way only in the limit of small numbers of sources. Quite rapidly, the limiting error on $w$ becomes the uncertainty in CMB (in this figure, fractional errors of 1% on $\Omega_m h^2$ were assumed). Unless CMB errors can be significantly improved, it will be difficult for low-redshift GW sources to constrain $w$ to better than the $\sim 10\%$ level.

Higher redshift standard sirens would probe departures of the cosmic expansion from linear Hubble scaling, and thereby directly constrain parameters like $\Omega_m$ and $w$. Unfortunately, stellar-mass inspirals at high redshift are not sufficiently luminous to be detected by any existing or planned GW observatory. Inspirals involving supermassive black hole binaries, however, are sufficiently luminous in gravitational waves to be detected at cosmological distances. As discussed by Holz and Hughes, LISA observations of SMBBH inspirals can in principle measure distances to better than 1% accuracy. This precision is degraded, however, by gravitational lensing caused by density fluctuations from large-scale structure along the line of sight to the source. Another difficulty in using LISA observations is that, unlike in the case of short GRBs, for SMBBHs there are no guaranteed electromagnetic counterparts. However, it has been argued that many SMBBH mergers will be followed by bright quasar-like activity or possibly preceded by optical emission, which will localize the GW source on the sky and provide a source redshift.

Due to lensing errors, small numbers of LISA sources will generally be unable to constrain dark energy parameters significantly. The effects of lensing diminish significantly at lower redshifts, so a single SMBBH inspiral at $z < 0.5$ observed by LISA could measure the Hubble constant to $\lesssim 1\%$ and $w$ to $\lesssim 10\%$. Although such a source is unlikely, the low redshift regime should already be well-determined by ground-based GW observations of short GRBs. On the other hand, if large numbers of SMBBH mergers occur during LISA’s lifetime, then LISA should provide quite interesting constraints on dark energy, despite the lensing noise. To illustrate this, Figure 5 plots expected constraints in the $\Omega_m$ vs. $h$ plane for a sample of 100 SMBBH inspirals observed by LISA, distributed in redshift assuming a constant comoving density between $0 < z < 2$, combined with constraints from Planck-quality CMB data. The $1 - \sigma$ errors on $w$ are $\sigma_w = 0.04$; these are competitive with ambitious Type-Ia supernova surveys like JDEM. Note that these errors improve considerably if the main source of noise, gravitational lensing, can be cleaned out by reconstructing the lensing mass distribution using other probes. Dalal et al. argue that cosmic shear measured from optical surveys would not allow mass reconstruction with sufficient angular resolution. Cosmic magnification measured in the radio could conceivably offer an alternative route (e.g., ).

Our discussion has focused on gravitational lensing only as a source of noise, but in principle there is cosmological information which can be extracted from the lensing fluctuations themselves. With large numbers of sources, LISA observations of cosmic magnification can provide constraints complementary to other probes. We
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V. DISCUSSION

We have shown that observations of the gravitational
waves emitted by binary compact object inspirals can be

a powerful probe of cosmology. In particular, short γ-ray
bursts appear quite promising as potential GW standard
sirens. The presently observed rate of short GRBs is
sufficiently high that within a few years of observation
by the next generation of ground-based GW observato-
ries (e.g. LIGO-II, Virgo and AIGO), strong constraints
on dark energy parameters may be derived (σw < 0.1).
These inspiraling NS-binaries should be clean sources
of gravitational waves; possible sources of contamination,
such as tidal effects, magnetic torques, or gasdynamical
forces from circumbinary gas, should all be negligible
during the crucial inspiral phase (where v/c ≲ 0.3). We
emphasize again that the best information about distance
measurements comes from combining multiple GW data
from instruments that are widely separated. Good inform-

ation about the collimation of the gamma rays and thus
on the likely inclination of the binary progenitor will also
improve the utility of these standard sirens. Given the
great cosmological potential of GW observations of short
GRBs, there is strong incentive to extend the lifetime of
GRB satellites such as Swift or HETE-2 to overlap with
next-generation gravitational wave observatories.

The inspirals of SMBBH binaries observed by LISA
can also provide interesting constraints on dark energy,
if the rate of such mergers is high enough to average away
noise caused by gravitational lensing. At present, the to-
tal rate and redshift distribution of SMBBH mergers are
not well understood, with estimates ranging from a few
(or zero) per year, up to hundreds per year, depending
upon assumptions [36, 37, 38, 39]. If the rates are at the
high end of these estimates, with a significant fraction at
redshifts z < 2, then w may be constrained at the few
percent level.
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