Improving jet distributions with effective field theory
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We obtain perturbative expressions for jet distributions using soft-collinear effective theory (SCET). By matching SCET onto QCD at high energy, tree level matrix elements and higher order virtual corrections can be reproduced in SCET. The resulting operators are then evolved to lower scales, with additional operators being populated by required threshold matchings in the effective theory. We show that the renormalization group evolution and threshold matchings reproduce the Sudakov factors and splitting functions of QCD, and that the effective theory naturally combines QCD matrix elements and parton showers. The effective theory calculation is systematically improvable and any higher order perturbative effects can be included by a well-defined procedure.

Calculating the distribution of jets in collider experiments is essential for understanding the standard model and in looking for signals of new physics. Unfortunately, improving the accuracy of a calculation is not simply a matter of computing higher loop QCD diagrams by brute force. These calculations produce large logarithms, which must be resummed. Moreover, fixed order calculations are only feasible for a modest number of partons. For comparison with experimental data, however, it is desirable to obtain theoretical predictions for processes with a much larger number of final-state particles. The traditional method is to produce the required distributions using splitting functions, which are derived in the limit of small transverse momentum. In this limit, distributions factorize into lower order processes, multiplied by the classical probabilities for particles to branch. The probability for no branching is given by a Sudakov factor, which is simply an integral over the splitting functions, and sums the leading logarithmic (LL) terms. Several programs use this method of parton showers to generate distributions with an arbitrary number of final states and are valid at LL [1, 2]. Since the underlying assumption in parton showers is small transverse momentum, additional information from QCD matrix elements is required to properly describe jets which are widely separated.

The issue of consistently matching fixed order QCD calculations with parton shower evolution has been the source of active research over the past several years [3, 4, 5]. One must avoid double counting between emissions described by QCD matrix elements and those contained in the splitting functions, and cancel the infrared divergences between real and virtual QCD diagrams. Most programs include some hard matrix element corrections [6], however there is no systematic way to improve their accuracy.

Fundamentally, the problem of jet distributions is one of scale separation. These scales include the hard scale $Q$ of the underlying interaction, and the scales $p_T^{(i)}$ for the relative transverse momenta of additional partons. Thus, it seems natural to reformulate the problem in the language of effective field theory, where scales are naturally separated. The appropriate effective theory for this problem is the soft-collinear effective theory (SCET) [7]. As we will show, the information of fixed order QCD is contained in SCET by a matching calculation at the hard scale $Q$, while the summation of the large logarithms is achieved by renormalization group (RG) evolution within SCET. Furthermore, in contrast to other approaches, the effective theory allows us to systematically incorporate higher order effects by straightforward and well-defined calculations.

The matching at the hard scale involves determining the Wilson coefficients of SCET operators such that matrix elements in the effective theory reproduce the full theory up to a fixed order in $\alpha_s$. Because all the infrared physics of the full theory is reproduced in SCET, the matching coefficients will be finite at any order in $\alpha_s$. To reproduce QCD with $N$ partons requires operators with up to $N$ fields in the effective theory. To calculate this matching, of course, requires knowledge of QCD matrix elements with up to $N$ partons in the final state.

After the matching, full QCD is no longer needed. The next step is to evolve the operators in SCET to lower scales using the RG. This sums the large logarithms and, as we will show, it reproduces the Sudakov factors at LL. Lowering the renormalization scale in SCET corresponds to lowering the value of $p_T$ accessible to fields in the effective theory. As the scale gets lowered below the $p_T$ of one of the partons in the final state, the emission of this parton is no longer described by the interactions of SCET. To ensure that the theory still describes the same physics, a threshold matching is required which gives rise to new operators with additional collinear fields. This matching involves SCET matrix elements for emission, which give splitting functions in the collinear limit.

For more details, we need to understand the basic construction of SCET. We want to describe the long distance behavior of QCD matrix elements with several energetic partons in well-separated directions. To achieve this, SCET requires collinear fields for each of these directions, as well as soft fields they can interact with. Collinear fields $\xi_n$ are labeled by a light-like direction $n^\mu$ and have energy much larger than the momentum transverse to $n^\mu$.
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$E \gg p^\perp$. Collinear fields in SCET appear in operators as jets $\chi_n$ which are fields wrapped in Wilson lines

$$\chi_n = W_n \xi_n.$$  

(1)

The Wilson lines ensure gauge invariance of the theory. For example, a two jet operator is

$$O_2 = \bar{\chi}_n \Gamma \chi_n = \xi_n W_n \Gamma W_n \chi_n,$$  

(2)

where $\Gamma$ denotes some Dirac structure. To correctly describe full QCD with up to $N$ jets in different directions requires operators in SCET with up to $N$ collinear fields, each with their own label $n^\mu_i$.

Since the sum of a number of collinear momenta is still collinear, there are interactions in SCET among particles collinear to each direction $n^\mu_i$. Particles collinear to different directions do not interact directly, but can exchange soft gluons. The RG evolution in the theory, from higher to lower $p^\perp$, resolves the transverse momentum of jets. It physically disassembles jets into their partonic constituents.

In this paper, we will study as an example the canonical process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ (for more details, see [10]), with center-of-mass energy denoted by $Q$. As mentioned above, the full matrix elements are matched onto SCET at the hard scale $Q$, while the RG evolution in the effective theory sums the logarithms. To begin, we only include the QCD matrix element with 2 partons in the final state, which allows us to focus on running. In this case, additional partons arise from SCET emissions through the threshold matching. Thus, the results will only be valid in the limit $Q \gg p_T^{(1)} \gg p_T^{(2)} \gg \ldots$, where $p_T^{(i)}$ denote the transverse momenta between particles in the final state. Note that this is the same limit in which parton showers are known to hold. Because the effective theory includes the RG evolution, it will correctly sum all the logarithms of ratios of these scales.

The matching condition is

$$\langle \text{QCD}|q\bar{q}\rangle_Q = [C_2(\mu) \langle O_2|q\bar{q}\rangle]_{\mu=Q},$$  

(3)

where $\langle \text{QCD}|q\bar{q}\rangle$ denotes the fixed order matrix element of the current $q\bar{q}$. For particular final state momenta $q^\mu$, we define $\xi_n[q] = 0$ if $n^\mu$ is not aligned with $q^\mu$. That is, the matrix element is non-zero only if $n^\mu = q^\mu/E_q$. Then the matching is trivial and

$$C_2(Q) = 1.$$  

(4)

Having performed this matching, we can focus on the resummation of the large logarithms. This is achieved by running the operator $O_2$ below the scale $Q$. The Wilson coefficients satisfy the RG equation $d\log C_n(\mu)/d\log \mu = \gamma_n(\mu)$, which has the solution

$$\frac{C_n(\mu_1)}{C_n(\mu_2)} = \Pi_n(\mu_2, \mu_1) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma_n(\mu) \right\}.$$  

(5)

$\Pi_n$ is an evolution kernel which is similar to the Sudakov factor in traditional parton showers. The anomalous dimension $\gamma_2$ can be calculated by simple one-loop diagrams in SCET [8], giving

$$\gamma_2(\mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} C_F \left( \log \frac{-\mu^2}{Q^2} + \frac{3}{2} \right),$$  

(6)

where $C_F = 4/3$. Note that it is only the logarithmic term in the anomalous dimension which gives rise to the LL resummation. The constant term $3/2$ gives rise to a subset of the subleading logarithms and can thus be omitted if only LL accuracy is desired. To obtain the complete NLL result, the coefficient of the $\log \mu/Q$ term is required at two loops. Higher orders in the resummation can straightforwardly be included by calculating the anomalous dimensions at even higher loop order.

At a scale $p_T$ a third parton can be resolved in one of the jets. Below that scale the matrix element of $O_2$ with the three partons vanishes and a threshold matching onto a new operator $O_3^{(1)}$ has to be performed (the superscript indicates that this operator arises through threshold matching). The matching condition is

$$[C_2(\mu) \langle O_2|q\bar{q}g\rangle]_{\mu=p_T+\epsilon} = [C_3^{(1)}(\mu) \langle O_3^{(1)}|q\bar{q}g\rangle]_{\mu=p_T-\epsilon}.$$  

(7)

Emissions in SCET can be collinear or soft, and the collinear gluon can either be radiated from the collinear quark (anti-quark), $\xi_n(\xi_i)$, or it can be emitted by one of the Wilson lines, $W_n(W_i)$. There are some ambiguities in calculating the matrix elements in SCET, which will only affect higher order contributions if incorporated consistently. Here, we will take the additional gluon in the final state to be collinear, since soft gluons have much smaller $p^\perp$ than collinear gluons. We also use the fact that the emission of a collinear gluon from the collinear Wilson line will give rise to longitudinally polarized gluons (up to higher orders in $p_T/Q$). Thus, to calculate the matrix element of $O_2_3$ in Eq. (7) we only include the emission from the collinear fermions and keep only transverse gluon polarizations $\epsilon^\perp$. With these conventions Eq. (7) is satisfied by $C_3^{(1)}(p_T) = C_2(p_T)$ and

$$\langle O_3^{(1)}|q\bar{q}g\rangle = g_s \chi_{n_q} \left\{ \frac{\gamma^\perp}{\vec{n}_q \cdot (p_g + p_q)} - \frac{\gamma^{\perp\perp}}{\vec{n}_q \cdot (p_g + p_q)} \right\} 
\chi_{n_q}.$$  

(8)

Note that we choose to integrate out emissions from both the quark and the anti-quark at the same scale $p_T$. In general, the transverse momentum of the gluon with respect to the quark can differ from the one with respect to the anti-quark, and one could choose to integrate out each emission at these different scales. However, the difference between these scales is subleading in $p_T/Q$, and thus beyond the order we are working.

This procedure of matching and running is continued for each value of $p_T^{(i)}$. A closed form expression can be obtained for the anomalous dimension of a general operator $O_n$ which contains $n_q$ quark and $n_g$ gluon fields. At
leading order
\[ \gamma_n = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} \log \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \left[ \frac{n_f}{2} C_F + \frac{n_g}{2} C_A \right], \]
and the solution to the RG equation is given by Eq. 5. At leading order accuracy the evolution kernels for the Wilson coefficients are directly related to the Sudakov factors for quarks and gluons, \( \Delta_q \) and \( \Delta_g \), used in traditional parton showers \(^2\). Now that we have seen how SCET sums the large logarithms and showes additional collinear particles, we can go back and incorporate the QCD matrix element with an additional gluon in the final state. Thus, we should include \( O_2 \) and an operator \( O_3 \) in the matching at \( \mu = Q \). This allows us to describe the differential rate with three well-separated jets, for any value of \( p_T^{(1)} \), and will improve our previous results such that the resulting distributions are valid in the limit \( Q, p_T^{(1)} \gg p_T^{(2)} \gg p_T^{(3)} \ldots \). In order to obtain the correct normalization of the total cross section at \( O(\alpha_s) \), the one loop matching onto the operator \( O_2 \) is required at the hard scale as well.

To match onto \( O_3 \) we calculate matrix elements in QCD and SCET with three partons in the final state
\[ \langle QCD|q\bar{q}g\rangle = C_2(O_2|q\bar{q}g\rangle + C_3(O_3|q\bar{q}g\rangle. \]
The operator \( O_2 \) and its Wilson coefficient \( C_2 \) at order \( \alpha_s^2 \) have already been determined from the matching with two partons. With our convention for emissions
\[ \langle O_3|q\bar{q}g\rangle = g_s \sum_{n\geq 0} \left[ \frac{f_{\perp}}{g_q} \langle \frac{\Gamma_u}{\Gamma_{qg}}(p_q + p_g) - \frac{\Gamma_{qg}}{\Gamma_u} \rangle \chi_{2s}(1) \right], \]
and then Eq. (15) is satisfied for \( C_3(Q) = 1 \). This matrix element vanishes in the limit that the gluon is soft or is collinear to either the quark or the anti-quark. This is again due to the fact that SCET reproduces the IR of QCD.

To obtain \( C_2 \) to order \( \alpha_s \) we again use Eq. (3), but now calculate the matrix elements in QCD and SCET at one-loop. Since the IR divergences of QCD are reproduced in SCET, the Wilson coefficient is finite \(^\S\)
\[ C_2(Q) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{7\pi^2}{6} + 3\pi i \right]. \]
Even higher order corrections to these Wilson coefficients can be included by performing the matching at higher loop order.

After the matching at \( \mu = Q \), the operators are run down to a low scale. The running of \( O_3 \) is identical to the running of \( O_3(2) \), since running in SCET depends only on the field content of an operator, not on its tensor structure. We run both \( O_2 \) and \( O_3 \) down to the scale \( \mu \sim p_T \), at which point we match \( O_2 \) onto \( O_3(2) \) as before.

At \( \mu \sim p_T \)
\[ (SCET(3))_{p_T} = C_2 \Pi_2 (O_3(2)) + C_3 \Pi_3 (O_3), \]
After that, the sequence of running and matching continues as before, but now with two series of operators: \( O_m(2) \), populated by branchings descended from \( O_2 \), and
$O^{(3)}_3$, which are descended from $O_3$. The final result at the scale $\mu_0$ is

$$
\langle \text{SCET}^{(3)} \rangle_{\mu_0} = \Pi_3 (p_T^{(1)}, p_T^{(2)}) \cdots \Pi_{m-1} (p_T^{(m-3)}, \mu_0) \times \left( C_2(Q) \Pi_2 (Q, p_T^{(1)}), (O_m^{(2)}), C_3(Q) \Pi_3 (Q, p_T^{(1)}), (O_3^{(3)}) \right).
$$

Note that this is very similar to what we had in Eqs. (12) and (13). But it is correct to $O(\alpha_s)$ and for values of $p_T$ that satisfy $Q, p_T^{(1)} \gg p_T^{(2)} \gg p_T^{(3)} \ldots$. It reproduces the three jet differential cross section at NLO, but also sums all leading logarithms.

The SCET result interpolates between fixed order QCD and a parton shower. To demonstrate this, it is enough construct observables based on 3-jet final states. Since we only need information about the first branching we should evaluate the matrix elements at a scale of order $p_T$, and the result is given by Eq. (18). It is not hard to see that Eq. (18) has the right limits. For $p_T \ll Q$, SCET is an excellent approximation to QCD and the matrix element of $O_3$, which represents the difference between QCD and SCET, vanishes. Also, $|\langle O_3^{(3)} | q \bar{q} g \rangle|^2$ reduces to a splitting function in this limit, as indicated in Eq. (14), and $\Pi_2$ reproduces the LL Sudakov factor, as shown in Eq. (10). Thus, for $p_T \ll Q$, SCET reduces to the product of a Sudakov factor and a splitting function, as in a parton shower. In contrast, for $p_T \sim Q$, SCET$^{(3)}$ is very close to QCD, since SCET has not evolved far from the scale where we matched it to QCD exactly. Given the results in these two limits, the effective theory will smoothly interpolate between QCD and the parton shower. To see this, in Fig. 1 we show the differential thrust distribution for $Q = 91$ GeV. For three partons, $T = \text{max}\{E_q, E_{\bar{q}}, E_g\}/2Q$. The SCET result is given by squaring (18) and integrating over the remaining phase space, while for QCD we use the leading tree level differential cross section. For the parton shower, we use the splitting functions $1/(p_q + p_g)^2 P(z)$, multiplied by the LL Sudakov factor. We have chosen the value of the scale $\mu \sim p_T$ such that the maximum value of the Wilson coefficients and Sudakov factors is unity.

We have shown that SCET reproduces QCD at NLO, including full parton showering. There are many ways the results presented here can be improved: 1) Include more operators at $\mu = Q$. 2) Include the matching at $\mu = Q$ to higher order in $\alpha_s$. 3) Include the running of the operators at subleading order. 4) Include the threshold matching in SCET at higher order. 5) Include power corrections from the SCET expansion. It is important to bear in mind that all these improvements are straightforward calculations in the effective theory. For example, to include more operators at $\mu = Q$ simply requires additional tree level calculations in QCD and the effective theory, while to go beyond NLO only requires the calculation of well-defined loop diagrams in QCD and SCET. No new formalism needs to be developed to achieve any of these results. Thus, SCET is a powerful tool for improving the theoretical understanding of jet distributions. It provides a convenient systematically improvable framework for performing higher loop calculations, and should be straightforward to implement in an event generator, allowing direct comparison to data.
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