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Abstract. Recent observational and theoretical breakthroughs make this an exciting time to be working towards understanding the physics of galaxy formation. The goal of this review is to make the principles behind the hierarchical paradigm accessible to a wide audience by providing a pedagogical introduction to modern theories of galaxy formation. I outline the ingredients of the powerful approach called semi-analytical modelling and contrast this method with numerical simulations of the gas dynamics of baryons. Semi-analytical models have enjoyed many successes, but it is the observations which the models struggle to match which mark out areas where future progress is most likely to be made; these are also reviewed.
1. Introduction

These are exciting times to be studying how galaxies are made. After a decade of spectacular breakthroughs in physical cosmology, the focus is beginning to shift away from determining the values of the basic cosmological parameters towards attacking the problem of galaxy formation. A combination of factors is responsible for this change in emphasis. Firstly, the cold dark matter cosmological model has been placed on a much firmer footing by recent measurements of the cosmic microwave background radiation and galaxy clustering. Many of the fundamental cosmological parameters, such as the density of matter, are known to an uncertainty of around 10%. Secondly, the 1990s saw the first detections of sizeable populations of galaxies at high redshifts, allowing evolutionary trends to be established. Finally, the increase in readily available computing power coupled with the development of powerful new techniques, such as the subject of this review, semi-analytical modelling of galaxy formation, means that we are in a position to generate accurate predictions for the properties of galaxies in hierarchical cosmologies. We will elaborate on each of the above points in turn in the introduction. Given these favourable conditions, there is now a genuine chance of making real progress in the advancement of our understanding of the process of galaxy formation.

The aim of this review is to provide an introduction to the ideas and concepts that underpin modern ideas about galaxy formation in a universe in which cosmic structures build up hierarchically through gravitational instability. The main focus is the semi-analytical approach to modelling galaxy formation. As we shall see, this technique is currently the most developed theoretical tool available and can be used to make quite detailed predictions of galaxy properties. It has also enjoyed a large measure of success, though many problems and gaps remain as we will point out. The intention is to provide a pedagogical overview of this area, which will enable a reader with no prior knowledge of the subject to reach a position from which they are better placed to tackle the more advanced papers in the literature on the physics of galaxy formation. For this reason, I have sacrificed mathematical detail in favour of trying to provide a verbal description of the relevant physical processes, with the aim of providing a broad view of hierarchical galaxy formation. I have also deliberately avoided undertaking a detailed description of the implementations of various processes in the models, which would serve only to generate long lists of parameter definitions and confusion. Nor do I attempt to carry out a detailed comparison between the published results of different groups. There are many factors that conspire to make such an exercise largely futile. Papers presenting predictions from semi-analytical models stretch back over 15 years. Until very recently, a whole slew of different cosmological models were considered in the literature. Furthermore, different groups have different aims and priorities and set their model parameters according to different criteria. The models are dynamic entities and as such are continually being improved, as one would expect in a subject in which our current knowledge can at best be described as rudimentary. Instead of making a comparison of the different semi-analytical models, I will concentrate on expounding
the principles upon which the models are based, which will hopefully provide a more useful grounding in the physics of galaxy formation and of the philosophy behind the semi-analytical approach.

There are many topics related to hierarchical galaxy formation which I do not have space to cover at anything other than a cursory level in this review. Fortunately, there are now many excellent textbooks which give a formal introduction to the growth of cosmological density perturbations (for example Peebles 1993, Padmanabhan 1993, Peacock 1999 and Coles & Lucchin 2002). For those readers wanting to find out more about the cosmic microwave background radiation, it is hard to beat a visit to the website of Wayne Hu ([http://background.uchicago.edu/~whu/](http://background.uchicago.edu/~whu/)), which contains many lucid explanations, accompanied by neat animations. The recent review by Springel, Frenk & White (2006) provides an introduction to the large scale structure of the Universe and the latest attempts to model this using computer simulations. There are relatively few reviews of the theory of galaxy formation available. The article by Kauffmann & White (1994), the Les Houches lectures by White (1994) and the recent lecture notes by Avila-Reese (2006) cover many of the topics in this review (and more) often with a different emphasis and are valuable sources for researchers in galaxy formation.

This review is laid out as follows. In the remainder of the introduction, a few general comments are made to set the scene, building upon the points made in the first paragraph. The next two sections describe the basic physical processes that underpin models of galaxy formation. Section 2 deals with the less controversial aspects of the modelling which relate to the dark matter component of the universe. Section 3 introduces the more complex physics of the baryonic component. Section 4 compares and contrasts the semi-analytical approach to galaxy formation with direct gas dynamical simulations. In Section 5, I review some of the successes and failures of the models, the latter pointing to the areas in which future developments are most likely to be made.

1.1. The hierarchical cosmology

The cold dark matter (CDM) model has steadily gained acceptance since it was first mooted in the early 1980s (Peebles 1982; Blumenthal et al. 1984; Davis et al. 1985). The adoption of CDM as the theorist’s model of choice can be attributed to three features. Firstly, there are many candidates for the cold dark matter particle predicted by extensions to the standard model of particle physics; perhaps the most promising of these is the lightest stable supersymmetric particle, the neutralino (e.g. Gondolo 2004). Secondly, the model has tremendous predictive power. Collisionless N-body simulations of the growth of structure in a CDM universe are essentially straightforward to do, given a suitably big and fast computer and an efficient algorithm to compute the gravitational forces between particles. As we will see later on in this article, the state of the art in numerical simulations allows incredibly detailed predictions to be made for a wide range of properties of the dark matter at all epochs. The simulation results in turn can be used to calibrate analytical and phenomenological models. Thirdly, and most importantly,
many of these predictions have turned out to be impressively successful.

Perhaps the most convincing support for the CDM model comes from the measurement of temperature anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation. The pattern of hot and cold spots in the radiation can be related to density fluctuations present in the Universe a mere few hundred thousand years after the Big Bang, when the radiation and baryon fluids stopped interacting with one another at the epoch of recombination. Since the initial detection of these anisotropies on large angular scales by the COBE satellite in 1992 (Smoot et al. 1992), the power spectrum of the fluctuations has been gradually uncovered, culminating in the clear detection of three Doppler peaks due to acoustic oscillations in the photon-baryon fluid at the last scattering surface (de Bernardis et al. 2000; Hanany et al. 2000; Hinshaw et al. 2003; Jones et al. 2006; Hinshaw et al. 2006).

Further compelling support for the CDM model has come recently from two galaxy surveys which have revolutionised our view of the local Universe, the two-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS; Colless et al. 2001) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000). The unprecedented size of these maps of the galaxy distribution has permitted the most accurate measurements to date of the power spectrum of galaxy clustering (Percival et al. 2001; Tegmark et al. 2004a; Pope et al. 2004; Cole et al. 2005; Padmanabhan et al. 2006; Percival et al. 2006a; Tegmark et al. 2006). As an illustration of the accuracy of these measurements, the imprint of acoustic oscillations on the matter power spectrum, a much weaker signal than the Doppler peaks in the CMB, has now been firmly detected (Cole et al. 2005; Eisenstein et al. 2005; Padmanabhan et al. 2006; Percival et al. 2006b). By confronting the theoretical models with the combined CMB and galaxy power spectrum data, the constraints on cosmological parameters can be tightened, through the breaking of certain degeneracies (Efstathiou et al. 2002; Percival et al. 2002; Spergel et al. 2003; Tegmark et al. 2004b; Seljak et al. 2005; MacTavish et al. 2006; Sanchez et al. 2006; Spergel et al. 2006).

For the first time, many of the basic cosmological parameters can be constrained with accuracies approaching or better than 10% (see Fig. 1 for an illustration of how well the CDM model can reproduce the CMB and large-scale structure data). By combining the galaxy power spectrum measured from the final 2dFGRS by Cole et al. (2005) with a compilation of the CMB data available shortly after the release of the first year results from WMAP, Sanchez et al. found strong evidence in support of a tilt in the spectrum of primordial density fluctuations, away from the simple scale invariant model (i.e. a spectral index of scalar fluctuations described by \( n = 1 \), where the primordial spectrum is described by a power law form, \( P(k) \propto k^n \)), a conclusion which was confirmed upon the analysis of the third year of data from WMAP (Spergel et al. 2006).

Despite the burgeoning circumstantial evidence in support of the CDM model, it should be borne in mind that candidate particles for the non-baryonic dark matter have yet to be detected in the laboratory (Bergstrom 2000). In the current best fit CDM model, the universe is close to being spatially flat (e.g. Sanchez et al. 2006). However, less than 30% of the critical density required for this geometry is contributed
Figure 1. Top panel: The power spectrum of temperature fluctuations in the CMB as shown by a compilation of recent data (WMAP – Hinshaw et al. 2003; CBI – Readhead et al. 2004; VSA – Dickinson et al. 2004; ACBAR – Kuo et al. 2004). The solid and dashed lines show variants of the best fitting CDM model in which the spectral index of primordial fluctuations is held at $n = 1$ (solid) or allowed to float ($n < 1$, dashed line). Bottom panel: The power spectrum of density fluctuations. The solid line shows the best fit CDM model with $n = 1$. The circles show the galaxy power spectrum measured from the final 2dFGRS (Cole et al. 2005). The triangles show the first year temperature power spectrum measured by WMAP plotted in the same units. Adapted from Sanchez et al. (2006).
by matter. The remainder is thought to be in some form of “dark energy”, one limiting case of which is the cosmological constant (Carroll 2004). Compelling support for a dark energy component came from the deduction that the expansion of the universe is accelerating, based on the Hubble diagram of distant type-Ia supernovae (Riess et al. 1998, 2004; Perlmutter et al. 1999). Arguments in favour of a universe whose dynamics are currently dominated by a cosmological constant were made some time before the supernovae results, in order to reconcile the measured galaxy clustering with the predictions of CDM (Efstathiou, Sutherland & Maddox 1990) and to match faint galaxy counts (Yoshii & Takahara 1988; Fukugita et al. 1990). Theoretically, the density parameter of the dark energy, at the level implied by astronomical tests is many orders of magnitude smaller than can be motivated from particle physics considerations using dimensional arguments (Carroll 2004). This theoretical tension has led some authors to consider alternative models without any form of dark energy. Blanchard et al. (2003) present a model without dark energy that can reproduce the CMB and galaxy power spectrum data, albeit with an uncomfortably low value for the Hubble constant, thus leaving only the supernova observations as evidence in favour of cosmological constant. Another possibility is a modification to the law of gravity on large scales (Deffayet, Dvali & Gabadadze 2002; Carroll et al. 2006). The methodology described in this review is not wedded to CDM; the calculations can be carried out in any cosmological model in which structure grows hierarchically.

The conclusion is that, within the context of the CDM model, we now have a very good idea of the values of most of the fundamental cosmological parameters. The growth of structure in the dark matter is reasonably well constrained, as we shall see in Section 2. This provides a tremendous fillip to our efforts to understand how galaxies form, as it removes a whole swathe of parameter space, allowing us to concentrate on the more difficult and interesting physics of galaxy formation.

1.2. Observations of galaxies at high redshift

The second key advance that makes progress in understanding galaxy formation possible is the unveiling of the high redshift universe in the second part of the 1990s. Observations of galaxies over a range of redshifts allow us to compare their properties at different epochs in the history of the universe. (For reference, Fig. 2 shows the age of the canonical CDM model at different redshifts.) Such a comparison can be used to shed light on the formation and evolution of galaxies, and in particular can be used to establish whether galaxy formation is a steady process or if it took place much more vigorously at some earlier epoch. Perhaps the first major breakthrough in characterising the high redshift universe was the Hubble Deep Field (Williams et al. 1996; Ferguson, Dickinson & Williams 2000). The unprecedented faint imaging of galaxies, combined with the Lyman-break dropout technique to isolate high redshift \((z > 2)\) galaxies (Steidel et al. 1996) was essential in making possible the first determination of the cosmic star formation history over more than 80% of the age of the universe (Madau et al. 1996;
Ellis 1997; Steidel et al. 1999). This approach uses measurements of the rest frame ultra-violet flux from a galaxy to infer the instantaneous star formation rate. (The UV flux is dominated by stars with masses in excess of several times the mass of the sun; these stars are also short lived, producing the UV flux for timescales on the order of 10 Myr.) One problem with conducting such a census at these wavelengths is that the rest-frame ultra-violet can be strongly attenuated by dust extinction. Technological advances have opened up the electromagnetic spectrum. Of particular relevance is the detection of the emission from galaxies at sub-millimetre wavelengths (Smail et al. 1997; Barger et al. 1998; Hughes et al. 1998; for a review of the properties of sub-millimetre galaxies see Blain et al. 2002). Submillimetre observations offer the chance of uncovering up heavily extincted galaxies which are too faint to appear in optical surveys. This emission can arise from starlight or emission from an active galactic nucleus (AGN) that is absorbed by dust and re-radiated at longer wavelengths. The presence and relative importance of an AGN can be constrained by combining sub-millimetre observations with X-ray imaging. Alexander et al. (2005) report that practically all objects with sub-millimetre
emission contain an AGN, but that the star formation in these objects accounts for the bulk of the emission at sub-millimetre wavelengths.

1.3. The theory of galaxy formation: a brief historical overview

We now give a brief historical sketch of the some of the main ideas that underpin the current paradigm of galaxy formation and show how these led to the development of semi-analytical modelling. Many of these references will be revisited in later sections, where the ideas they put forward will be explained in more detail. However, it is instructive to point out the chain of key papers responsible for shaping our current understanding of galaxy formation.

The idea that cosmic structures grow through the mechanism of gravitational instability is the oldest part of the paradigm. The application of perturbation theory and numerical simulations to understanding this process started in earnest in the early 1970s (see Peebles 1980). Gunn & Gott (1972) looked at the growth of clusters through the infall of material, using the spherical top-hat model to track the evolution of the cluster overdensity (e.g. Peacock 1999). Press & Schechter (1974) used the top-hat model to compute the abundance of structures of different masses that form by gravitational condensation in a density field with a Gaussian distribution of fluctuations. The first calculation of the pattern of density fluctuations expected at early times in a cold dark matter universe was made by Peebles (1982; see also Bardeen et al. 1986). The first numerical simulations of the hierarchical growth of structures in a CDM universe were carried out by Davis et al. (1985).

Two of the core ideas underpinning today’s paradigm for galaxy formation can be traced back more than fifty years to Fred Hoyle (see Efstathiou 2003 for a review of Hoyle’s work in a modern context). Hoyle (1949) was the first to propose that the rotation of galaxies could be generated by the tidal torques which operate during their collapse. This idea was expanded upon by Peebles (1969) and White (1984), and tested with N-body simulations by Efstathiou & Jones (1979); Hoyle also argued that the observed range of galaxy masses could be explained by considering the time taken for gas to cool and condense into galaxies (Hoyle 1953). This idea was later developed by Rees & Ostriker (1977) and Silk (1977).

White & Rees (1978) presented a synthesis of the theory of Press & Schechter, which describes the hierarchy of gravitationally bound structures, and the gas cooling arguments used to motivate the observed sizes of galaxies, to produce a model of galaxy formation that set the foundations for today’s models. White & Rees proposed that galaxy formation was a two stage process, with dark haloes forming in a dissipationless, gravitational collapse, with galaxies forming inside these structures, following the radiative cooling of baryons. The additional condensation of the gas through dissipative cooling stabilized galaxies against the disruption caused by the merging of the dark haloes. White & Rees also argued that an additional process, feedback, was needed to make small galaxies more diffuse so that they would be less successful at surviving the
merging process, thus avoiding the production of more faint galaxies than are observed.

The first fully fledged semi-analytical model came over ten years after the work by White & Rees (1978). White & Frenk (1991) produced a galaxy formation model that included many of the ingredients of today’s models: cold dark matter, gas cooling, star formation, feedback and stellar populations (see also Cole 1991 and Lacey & Silk 1991). The first models to track the formation and evolution of galaxies in the setting of evolving dark matter haloes came a few years later (Kauffmann et al. 1993; Cole et al. 1994).

1.4. The relation between semi-analytical modelling and gas dynamics simulations

At some level numerical simulations and semi-analytical models have more in common than most people perhaps realise. There are always aspects of a numerical calculation for which either the resolution becomes inadequate or a complete physical model is simply not available (e.g. star formation); such phenomena are described as “sub-resolution” physics (Springel & Hernquist 2003). These processes can only be dealt with using the same types of recipes employed in semi-analytical modelling.

In the past, numerical simulations have tended to be more “photogenic” than semi-analytical models in the sense that you can see what is happening in a simulation through animations and snapshots. The seductive power of a movie that shows the evolution of dark matter and galaxies in a simulation cannot be overstated, even in the face of the traditionally better developed semi-analytical predictions (e.g. generating galaxy luminosities with dust extinction as well as star formation histories), which allow more direct comparison with observations. Now that semi-analytical models are routinely grafted onto N-body simulations, parity between the two approaches will no doubt ensue in the motion picture industry at conferences.

Another problem with the perception of semi-analytical models probably lies with the name “semi-analytical”, which some in the community have clearly taken to imply some half-baked witches’ brew of ingredients, from which any result can be coaxed with a suitable incantation, as and when required to fit new observational data.

There are three points one can make to dispel this misguided notion. Firstly, criticising the models on the grounds that they appear to contain too many free parameters seems unfair. The models contain parameters simply because of our lack of understanding of the physics underpinning galaxy formation. Secondly, it is essential to be clear that the parameters are physical and not statistical. For instance, the galaxy luminosity function is reasonably well described by the three parameter fit proposed by Schechter (1976). In this case, the parameter values are set by demanding a good fit to the data. There is simply not the same freedom to adjust the values of the parameters in a physical recipe, because in this case changing the parameter value has consequences which extend beyond the prediction for the luminosity function. For example, if the efficiency of gas heating by supernova explosions is increased with the aim of reducing the abundance of faint galaxies, then gas tends to cool more effectively in
more massive haloes, with the consequence that the disks of bright spirals are predicted to be larger (see Fig. 8 of Cole et al. 2000). A more powerful model with a wider range of galaxy properties for which predictions can be made has a smaller parameter space available to it than a more naive model. Model parameters are set to match a range of observations as well as possible. The parameter values required to meet these targets can then be assessed critically, e.g. to see if the amount of energy injection required for a feedback prescription to work is consistent with the number of supernova explosions that have taken place. Thirdly, the recipes used in semi-analytic modelling can be tested against numerical simulations and improved as required. The results of such comparisons are the subject of Section 4. In that section, we will discuss an example, the calculation of galaxy sizes and the conservation of angular momentum, where results from semi-analytic modelling have influenced the astrophysics implemented in numerical simulations.

The level of sophistication attained by many current semi-analytical models is a double-edged sword. One person’s admiration at the power of the models and their ability to make a wide range of predictions is tempered by another’s impression of unnecessary complexity. Galaxy formation is complex, involving complicated, nonlinear physics, much of which, as we shall see in later sections, we are only just beginning to get to grips with. In the face of the scepticism the models naturally faced when they were first introduced, there was an onus to show how well the models reproduced different observations. Now that the semi-analytical approach is slowly gaining acceptance, the focus can shift to improving everyone’s understanding of how galaxies are made. One of the great advantages of semi-analytical modelling is that facets of the model can easily be varied or switched on and off to gain a better appreciation of which ingredients have the most bearing on a particular observation.

In the final section of this review, we will list some of the successes and failures of semi-analytical modelling. The fact that some observations stubbornly resist reproduction by the models should welcomed, not as an excuse to through away the whole machinery developed to model galaxy formation, but rather as an indication that we may need to include a new physical ingredient in the models. One such example which was uncovered by semi-analytical models is the difficulty in matching simultaneously the normalisation of the galaxy luminosity function and the zero-point of the luminosity-rotation speed scaling relation for spirals (Kauffmann, White & Guiderdoni 1993; Cole et al. 1994); this tension lead to variants of the cold dark matter model being explored and new physics being incorporated into the models, such as the calculation of the rotation curve of the model galaxies and the effects of dust extinction.

1.5. Galaxy properties: clues and challenges for a model of galaxy formation

Theories of galaxy formation are driven by observations. Any successful theory needs to explain certain basic measured properties of the galaxy population; these observations therefore set a challenge to the theorists but also contain important clues about the
nature of the galaxy formation process. To set the scene, we now give a brief list of some fundamental observed properties of galaxies. These observations are returned to and discussed in more detail in different parts of the review.

- Why is there a characteristic mass for galaxies? The most fundamental statistic describing the galaxy population is the luminosity function, a census of the number of galaxies per unit volume as a function of their luminosity. The luminosity function has a sharp break, brightwards of which the abundance of galaxies falls off exponentially (Norberg et al. 2002b; Blanton et al. 2003). The main process driving the growth of cosmic structures, gravitational instability, has no preferred scale, so processes in addition to gravity are responsible for the break.

- Why is star formation such an inefficient process? Only a small fraction of the baryons in the universe (on the order of 10%) is locked up in stars (Cole et al. 2001). Where are the remaining baryons (Fukugita, Hogan & Peebles 1998)?

- Why are there remarkably tight correlations between certain galaxy properties? Spiral and elliptical galaxies exhibit tight correlations between characteristic speeds of internal motion, a structural property, and luminosity, which depends upon the star formation history (Faber & Jackson 1976; Tully & Fisher 1977; Kormendy 1977; Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987).

- Another correlation is perhaps fundamental enough to merit its own bullet point: the correlation between the mass of the central supermassive black hole in a galaxy and the mass of the spheroidal component (Magorrian et al. 1998). Why is this relation so tight when there is such a huge difference in the spatial scale of these components? Does this correlation mean that bulges and black holes share a common formation mechanism? Did the energy released by the accretion of material onto the black hole play a role in the formation of the galaxy?

- What role does the environment play in galaxy formation? The mix of morphological types is strongly dependent on local density, with elliptical galaxies more prevalent than spirals in the cores of clusters (Dressler 1980). The fraction of galaxies contained in groups is expected to grow with time in hierarchical models. Are the physical processes which operate within groups, such as “strangulation” or ram pressure stripping, which acts to remove the supply of cold gas in a satellite galaxy, or dynamical effects such as tidal disruption or harassment, responsible for switching off the star formation these galaxies (Gunn & Gott 1972; Moore et al. 1996; Balogh et al. 2004b; Wilman et al. 2005a; Mayer et al. 2006).

- Why do we see significant changes in galaxy properties below a particular galaxy mass (Kauffmann et al. 2003)? Why are there distinct populations or a bimodality in properties such as colour (e.g. Baldry et al. 2004)?

- How can we reconcile observations of seemingly massive galaxies at high redshift, some of which are forming stars at prodigious rates, with a universe in which structures grow hierarchically? What do the galaxies seen at high redshift turn
into by the present day? Are we seeing the formation of today’s elliptical galaxies? When did the first galaxies begin to form?

2. The basic ingredients – Part 1: The dissipationless universe

In this section we review the set of ingredients in the recipe for hierarchical galaxy formation that are on the firmest footing and upon which the majority of modellers would agree. The physics behind the topics discussed in this section is the growth of fluctuations in the dark matter, due to gravitational instability. In the cold dark matter model, the process of perturbation growth is dissipationless. This means that the total kinetic and potential energy of a system of dark matter is retained, although energy can be converted from potential to kinetic. The candidates for cold dark matter experience only the weak and gravitational forces. Therefore, they cannot lose energy through electromagnetic interactions which generate radiation. Our treatment in this section is brief as there are many sources to which the reader can turn for a more rigorous exposition (e.g. the textbooks by Padmanabhan (1993) and Peacock (1999) give an excellent overview of cosmic structure formation, covering perturbation theory, the spherical top-hat model and Press-Schechter theory).

2.1. The cosmological model

Our starting point is to specify the background cosmology. The current “standard” model is a cold dark matter universe with a cosmological constant ($\Lambda$CDM). The initial fluctuations are assumed to follow a Gaussian random distribution. Once the values of the basic cosmological parameters have been set, such as the density parameter of matter ($\Omega_M$), the density parameter of baryons ($\Omega_b$) and the current amplitude of density fluctuations on some reference scale, the pattern of primordial density fluctuations is put in place, as described by the linear perturbation theory power spectrum (see Fig. 1) and the timetable for their collapse into gravitationally bound structures is set.

2.2. Dark matter haloes

Dark matter haloes are the cradles of galaxy formation. Hierarchical galaxy formation models require three basic pieces of information about dark matter haloes: (i) The abundance of haloes of different masses. (ii) The formation history of each halo, commonly called the merger tree. (iii) The internal structure of the halo, in terms of the radial density and their angular momentum.

These fundamental properties of the dark matter distribution are now well established, thanks mainly to the tremendous advances made possible by N-body simulations. The current state of the art in simulations of large scale structure is the Virgo Consortium’s Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005). Driven on by the spectacular increase in the available computing power and developments in the algorithms used to compute the gravitational forces between particles, the Millennium
simulation is a landmark in computational cosmology. Coming twenty years after the first calculations of hierarchical clustering in a CDM universe which employed 32,768 particles in a box of side $32.5h^{-2}\text{Mpc}$ (Davis et al. 1985), the Millennium simulation volume is $500h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ on a side and uses in excess of ten billion particles to represent the dark matter. The smallest haloes that can be identified have a mass around $10^{10}h^{-1}M_\odot$, much smaller than the expected mass of the Milky Way’s halo.

2.2.1. The abundance of dark matter haloes The first attempt to calculate the abundance of gravitationally bound structures was made by Press & Schechter (1974), long before the CDM model was introduced. Press & Schechter assumed a Gaussian density field and smoothed the field on different scales. By varying the radius, $R$, of the spherical top-hat smoothing window, structures of different mass, $M$, could be considered, where $M = 4/3\pi \rho R^3$. The abundance of haloes above a given mass simply depends upon the fraction of spheres put down in the density field for which the linear theory overdensity or density contrast ($\delta = \rho(x,t)/\bar{\rho}(t) - 1$) exceeds some critical value, $\delta_c$. Press & Schechter used the spherical top-hat collapse model to derive an appropriate value for $\delta_c$ (e.g. Peacock 1999). Thus, the fraction of the total mass that is contained within haloes of mass $M$ is obtained by integrating over the tail (i.e. $\delta > \delta_c$) of a Gaussian with zero mean and a variance appropriate for smoothing the field on a radius defined by $M$. The Press-Schechter derivation neglects underdense parts of the universe, and so omits half the mass. Press & Schechter adopted a pragmatic approach and multiplied their expression for the halo mass function by a factor of two. More convincing arguments have been put forward for the missing factor of 2, which led to the development of extended Press-Schechter theory (Peacock & Heavens 1990; Bond et al. 1991; Bower 1991; Lacey & Cole 1993; Jedamzik 1995; Yano, Nagashima & Gouda 1996, Nagashima 2001). A lucid exposition of the excursion set formalism behind extended Press-Schechter theory is given by White (1994). The extended version of the theory gives the distribution of masses of the progenitors of a halo at some earlier epoch, called the conditional mass function; this will be discussed in more detail in Section 2.2.2.

The mass function predicted by this simple calculation agrees surprisingly well with the results obtained from N-body simulations (e.g. Efstathiou et al. 1988; Lacey & Cole 1994; Gross et al. 1998; Governato et al. 1999; Somerville et al. 2000). The accuracy with which the mass function of haloes can now be predicted using N-body simulations has led to refinements in the Press Schechter ansatz. Sheth, Mo & Tormen (2001) presented a model in which the collapse of a fluctuation is allowed to proceed more quickly along one axis, replacing the spherical collapse model with an ellipsoidal collapse. Jenkins et al. (2001) established the mass function of haloes over four decades in mass using a suite of N-body simulations and proposed a fitting formula that encapsulates the numerical results. An extension of this work to five orders of magnitude in mass was carried out by Warren et al. (2006) (see also Reed et al. 2006a). The fraction of mass locked up in dark matter haloes is shown as a function of halo mass in Fig. 3. The upper panel shows $z = 0$ and the lower panel $z = 3$. The shift
Figure 3. The fraction of mass contained in haloes of different masses, at $z=0$ (top panel) and $z=3$ (bottom panel). The upper axes in each panel give the equivalent circular velocity for selected halo masses. The curves show various theoretical predictions for the mass function of dark matter haloes, as indicated by the key. The same range of halo masses is plotted in each panel to emphasize the hierarchical growth of the mass function between $z = 3$ and $z = 0$. Note that the Jenkins et al. fit is only plotted over the range of masses available in the suite of N-body simulations these authors used to determine their fit; this curve goes to zero outside the range in mass over which it is applicable.
in the positions of the peaks of the curves between the panels shows the hierarchical nature of structure formation in a CDM universe. Fig. 3 compares the Jenkins et al. fit for the mass function with the analytical predictions of Press-Schechter and Sheth, Mo & Tormen. One further point to note from Fig. 3 is that while clusters may be a useful laboratory for studying galaxy evolution, they are unrepresentative of the mass of the universe. Reed et al. (2006a) extended the range of applicability of the Jenkins et al. fit to lower masses (see also Yahagi, Nagashima & Yoshii 2004). The mass function can be probed at low masses by resimulating a region from a larger cosmological volume at ultra-high resolution. The high resolution region is surrounded by a volume in which the particle mass used is much larger; this ensures that the correct tidal torques act on the high resolution volume. Diemand et al. (2005) applied this multiscale technique to follow the collapse of structures in a CDM universe. Diemand et al. considered initial fluctuation power spectra for the cases in which the dark matter is made up of axions or a supersymmetric particle with a rest mass of $\sim 100\text{GeV}$; for the latter, the power spectrum is truncated at a scale equivalent to a mass of $10^{-6}$ times the mass of the Sun. Diemand et al. argue that the first structures to form in the dark matter have a mass similar to that of the Earth.

Until the late 1990s, numerical simulations of the growth of structure through gravitational instability suggested that dark matter haloes were smooth and featureless (e.g. Summers et al. 1995; Frenk et al. 1996). Subsequent advances in computing power and the development of techniques allowing the resimulation of selected volumes at greatly improved resolution showed that this phenomenon, dubbed ‘over-merging’, was in fact a numerical artefact (Ghigna et al. 1998; Moore et al. 1998; Moore et al. 1999a; Klypin et al. 1999; Colin et al. 2000). With the improved spatial and mass resolution afforded by packing more and more particles within the virial radius of the final resimulated halo (typically in excess of a few million particles), the gravitational potential of the progenitor haloes is better defined and these haloes are less diffuse. Fig. 4 shows the formation of a dark matter halo in a high resolution N-body simulation (courtesy of Chris Power). Once a halo enters within the virial radius of a more massive halo it is referred to as a satellite halo or substructure within the larger halo. There is a wealth of substructure apparent within the virial radius of the halo at the present day in Fig. 4. As the substructure halo orbits within the more massive halo, its mass is reduced as the more diffuse outer parts are stripped off by tidal effects and interactions with other substructures. Typically, around 15% of the total mass of a dark matter halo is in the form of identifiable substructures, with the bulk of this mass accounted for by a small number of substructures (Ghigna et al. 2000). The mass of the substructures can be reduced substantially from their original mass before infall into the larger halo. The circular velocity of the substructure is also affected by tidal effects, but to a lesser extent (Hayashi et al. 2003; Kazantzidis et al. 2004; Kravtsov, Gnedin & Klypin 2004). The cores of the substructure haloes survive due to their high density compared with the outer parts of the haloes.
Figure 4. The formation of a dark matter halo in a high resolution N-body simulation. The present day mass of the halo is $3 \times 10^{11} h^{-1} M_\odot$; the circle marks the present day virial radius $145 h^{-1} \text{Kpc}$. The panels are fixed in comoving size and show snapshots at redshifts in the interval $z = 8.5$ to $z = 0$, as indicated by the labels. The colours reflect the density of dark matter, with “warmer” or redder colours indicating higher density. Figure courtesy of Chris Power.
Figure 5. A schematic merger tree for a dark matter halo. The horizontal lines represent snapshots in the evolution of the history of the halo, corresponding to timesteps in an N-body simulation or Monte-Carlo realization of the merger tree ($t_1 < t_2$). The size of the circle indicates the mass of the halo. The haloes grow through merger events between haloes and by accretion of objects below the (halo) mass resolution (e.g. as depicted between steps $t_3$ and $t_4$). The final halo is shown at $t_5$.

2.2.2. The assembly of dark matter haloes The merger histories of dark matter haloes can be extracted from N-body simulations which have sufficiently frequent outputs (see Fig. 5 for a schematic merger tree). This requires typically around 50 outputs over a redshift interval of approximately $z=20$ to $z=0$. Haloes are identified in a given output using a percolation algorithm, such as friends-of-friends (Davis et al. 1985) or some other prescription designed to find a local overdensity (e.g. DENMAX, Gelb & Bertschinger 1994; Spherical-overdensity, Cole & Lacey 1996; SKID, Governato et al. 1997; Bound-density-maximum, Klypin, Nolthenius & Primack 1997; HOP, Eisenstein & Hut 1998). The percolation algorithm links together all particles that are within some specified distance of one another. The linking length is quoted as some fraction of the mean interparticle separation and is set to return objects of a particular overdensity (see White 2002). The indices of the particles that belong to a particular halo can then be tracked in the halo list generated from the preceding (in expansion factor) output. Merger trees can also be generated using a Monte-Carlo approach by sampling the distribution of progenitor masses predicted using extended Press-Schechter theory (Lacey & Cole...
1994; Somerville & Kolatt 1999; Cole et al. 2000; for a critique of extended Press-Schechter theory, see Benson, Kamionkowski & Hassani 2005). The Monte-Carlo approach generally gives a less faithful representation of the merger trees than those extracted from N-body simulations, particularly as the difference in expansion factor increases between the parent halo and the progenitor branches (e.g. Somerville et al. 2000). For example, if one generates merger trees for a representative sample of haloes at z=0, and we then attempt to construct the mass function of haloes at high redshift by combining the branches of the merger trees, with an appropriate weighting based on the abundance of the parent haloes, then the result will not agree with the mass function extracted from an N-body simulation at this epoch. The level of this discrepancy can be reduced by empirically tuning the progenitor distributions, though no theoretical justification exists for the form of such a correction (see e.g. Benson et al. 2001).

A fundamental assumption that underpins the Monte-Carlo approach to growing merger trees is that the formation history of the halo does not depend upon its environment. If this assumption holds, then one can generate a merger history for a dark matter halo based upon its mass alone. Early simulation results appeared to validate this assumption (Lemson & Kauffmann 1999; Percival et al. 2003). However, some of these results have recently been reanalysed and found to show evidence in favour of an environmental dependence of halo properties (Sheth & Tormen 2004). This effect was confirmed by recent analyses of the properties of dark matter haloes in large volume, high resolution simulations (Gao et al. 2005b; Harker et al. 2006; Wechsler et al. 2005; Reed et al. 2006b; Zhu et al. 2006). These authors found a dependence of the clustering amplitude of galactic mass haloes on their formation history, as quantified by the formation redshift, which is defined as the redshift at which one progenitor first contains half the mass of the final object. For haloes at the extremes of the distribution of formation times (the 10% which have either the highest or lowest formation redshifts), there is a strong change in clustering amplitude. Strictly speaking, this result invalidates the Monte-Carlo approach, at least in terms of using a one parameter model, halo mass, to assign a tree to a halo. On the other hand, the Monte-Carlo approach is correct 80% of the time for such haloes (and 100% of the time for higher mass haloes, for which no dependence of clustering signal on formation redshift is evident). Therefore, unless the galaxy population in question has extreme properties which lead to a strong correlation with the formation redshift of the halo, there will be little difference between results obtained from a Monte-Carlo approach and from the merger trees drawn directly from an N-body simulation.

Both approaches, extracting the trees directly from an N-body simulation and growing Montre-Carlo trees, have their pros and cons. The N-body trees allow one to connect galaxies directly between outputs in the simulation and give predictions for galaxy positions within haloes. On the whole, they are more accurate and incorporate the environmental effects discussed in the previous paragraph. However, the N-body trees are not without their problems. Objects that a group finder has identified as one structure in a given output may actually fly part in a later output; spatial proximity is
no guarantee that particles belong to a bound, self-gravitating structure. Furthermore, when objects do merge together, the mass of the remnant may not always equal the mass of the progenitors. These two effects mean that the mass of a halo in a merger tree extracted from an N-body simulation may not always increase monotonically with time. The smallest haloes that can be reliably identified as self-gravitating structures, do not, by definition, have a merger history that can be extracted from the simulation. The main drawback of N-body merger trees is their finite resolution (see Section 4.2 and Helly et al. 2003a). Monte-Carlo trees, on the other hand, can, in principle have arbitrarily high resolution, because the whole of the computer memory can be devoted to one tree at a time, rather than to all the haloes within some cosmological volume.

2.2.3. The structure of dark matter haloes

The internal structure of dark matter haloes is important for determining the rate at which gas can cool (Section 3.1) and the size and dynamics of galaxies (Section 3.6).

The structure of dark matter haloes has been studied extensively over the past decade and a half using computer simulations (Dubinski & Carlberg 1991; Navarro, Frenk & White 1996, 1997; Moore et al. 1998; Fukushige & Makino 1997, 2001; Klypin et al. 2001; Power et al. 2003; Hayashi et al. 2004; Navarro et al. 2004). One can either study well resolved (more massive) haloes within a standard N-body volume, or track selected objects with better resolution using either an adaptive scheme or a resimulation technique. In the case of resimulations, haloes are first identified in the output of a simulation of a cosmologically representative volume. The region containing the chosen halo is then resimulated using a much larger number of particles than was employed in the original calculation. At the same time, the input power spectrum is extended to higher wavenumbers to include the small scale power appropriate to the new, improved mass resolution. The remainder of the original simulation volume is represented using a number of high mass particles, so that the tidal torques which operate on the halo during its formation are reproduced. This high resolution resimulation technique now permits the structure of dark matter haloes to be resolved down to 0.5% of the virial radius (Power et al. 2003). The density profile of the dark matter varies with radius within the halo. Navarro, Frenk & White (1996) reported a density profile that is significantly shallower than $\rho \propto r^{-2}$ near the centre but which tends to $r^{-3}$ as the virial radius is approached. Over much of the radius, an isothermal halo density profile, $\rho \propto r^{-2}$, is a reasonable description. This work was extended to show that the density profile of dark matter haloes could be described by a simple, universal formula, with an inner scale or concentration parameter which depends upon halo mass (Navarro, Frenk & White 1997; see also Merritt et al. 2005a, 2005b). The concentration parameter displays appreciable scatter as a function of halo mass (Jing 2000; Bullock et al. 2001b; Eke, Navarro & Steinmetz 2001; Wechsler et al. 2002). The most recent resimulations follow the hierarchical formation of haloes with several million particles within the virial radius (e.g. Moore et al. 1999a, 1999b; Springel et al. 2001; Power et al. 2003).
Figure 6. Top: A simple prediction for the total luminosity function of galactic systems (solid line) compared with the group luminosity function estimated from the 2PIGG catalogue by Eke et al. (2006). The halo mass function of Jenkins et al. (2001) has been converted into a group luminosity function by assuming a constant mass to light ratio for each halo. Bottom: The mass-to-light ratio required to match the observed group luminosity function is plotted in the right hand panel. Note that the strength of the up-turn below $M \sim 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$ is affected by systematic errors in the determination of the total luminosity of groups in the 2dFGRS.

2.3. A simple model: Is this all we need?

Now that we have specified a cosmological model and can compute the abundance of dark matter haloes, we are in a position to make a very simple model of galaxy formation. This naive calculation will serve to reveal some basic facts about how the efficiency of galaxy formation must depend upon the mass of dark matter halo. The shortcomings of this toy model will motivate the more physical (and complicated) modelling that is the focus of this review.

The first calculation that we can do is to take each dark matter halo and assign to it a luminosity that scales linearly with the mass of the halo. Thus, each halo is given a fixed mass to light ratio. Note that we have not made any assumption about how this light is distributed between galaxies within the halo. We can compare this prediction with the abundance of galaxy groups as a function of their total luminosity. This quantity was measured recently for galaxy groups extracted from the two-degree field galaxy redshift survey by Eke et al. (2004a,b). The comparison is shown in Fig. 6. A fixed mass-to-light ratio ($\sim 80 h M_\odot / L_\odot$) was chosen such that haloes of mass $\approx 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$ match the break in the observed group luminosity function. We can see that this simple prediction gives a poor match to the observed luminosity function of groups. The predicted group luminosity function simply has the wrong shape, with too many faint groups and too many bright groups. Thus, if we are to retain the otherwise highly successful background $\Lambda$CDM cosmology, our assumption of a mass to light ratio
which does not vary with halo mass is seriously flawed.

We can of course choose the mass to light ratio of each dark matter halo more carefully. If we match the observed galaxy groups to dark matter haloes that are predicted to have the same space density, we can derive a mass to light ratio that guarantees a match between the theoretical prediction and the observed group luminosity function. The mass to light ratio obtained by this procedure is a strong function of halo mass, as shown by the right-hand panel of Fig. [3]. The mass to light ratio is lowest for haloes of mass \( \approx 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot \), and rises by a factor of \( \approx 6 \) to lower and higher mass haloes (Yang, Mo & van den Bosch 2003; Eke et al. 2004a, 2004b). (Note the sharpness of the increase in the mass to light ratio for haloes with masses below \( 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot \) is exaggerated somewhat by errors in the determination of the total group luminosity; see Eke et al. 2006 for a discussion.) Thus, galaxy formation is expected to be most efficient in haloes of mass \( \sim 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot \) (Eke et al. 2006); we expect that these haloes should produce the most luminosity per unit mass. For some reasons, which may differ depending upon the mass scale, the efficiency with which galaxies form drops as haloes of mass lower or higher than \( \sim 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot \) are considered.

This simple exercise reveals two key facts about galaxy formation. Firstly, the efficiency of galaxy formation is low. Most baryons do not end up as stars. Audits of the distribution of baryons in the Universe suggest that galaxy formation is not particularly efficient at turning hot gas into cold gas and stars (Persic & Salucci 1992; Fukugita, Hogan & Peebles 1998; Balogh, Pearce, Bower & Kay 2001). Cole et al. (2001) used their measurement of the K-band galaxy luminosity function and simple stellar population synthesis models (see later) to construct the local stellar mass function of galaxies (see also Kochanek et al. 2001 and Bell et al. 2003b). Integrating over the stellar mass function gives the density parameter in stars today. Cole et al. found that only a small fraction of baryons, around 10% depending upon the choice of stellar initial mass function, have been turned into stars. An even smaller fraction of baryons, around 1%, are in the form of cold gas in galaxies today (Zwaan et al. 2003). Secondly, the efficiency of galaxy formation is not the same in haloes of different mass. The mass of the dark matter halo plays an important role in shaping the galaxies that it contains. Direct observational evidence for this has been obtained using group catalogues derived from the two-degree field galaxy redshift survey (Eke et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2005).

3. The basic ingredients – Part 2: “gastrophysics”

In this section, we outline the more complicated elements of hierarchical galaxy formation. These processes are far more difficult to deal with than gravitational instability, and are often dissipative and nonlinear, which led Dick Bond to coin the apt umbrella label of “gastrophysics”. The physics behind the phenomena that are described in this section are in general poorly understood. To counter this, recipes or prescriptions which contain parameters are employed. The values of the parameters are set by demanding that the model reproduces a subset of the available observations,
Figure 7. A schematic overview of the ingredients of a hierarchical galaxy formation model. Adapted from Cole et al. (2000).
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3.1. The cooling of gas

The cooling of gas is central to the process of galaxy formation, as it sets the rate at which the raw material for star formation becomes available (Blumenthal et al. 1984).

Figure 8. A schematic of the basic cooling model used in semi-analytical models. Each line represents a stage in the cooling process. In the first step ($t_1$), baryons fall into the gravitational potential well of the dark matter halo. The presence of a photo-ionising background may reduce the fraction of baryons that fall into low mass haloes, as described in the text. This gas is assumed to be heated by shocks as it falls into the potential well, attaining the virial temperature associated with the halo ($t_2$). In the third step ($t_3$), the inner parts of the hot gas halo cool, forming a rotationally supported disc. At a later stage ($t_4$), the radius within which gas has had time to cool advances outwards towards the virial radius of the halo and the cold gas disc grows in size.

typically low redshift data. The form of the rule adopted to describe a process is motivated by a result from a more detailed numerical simulation or from observations. We give a generic description of how phenomena are modelled, rather than providing a detailed comparison between the implementations used in different models; such a comparison would be tedious and would soon be out of date, since the models are continually being improved and developed. An overview of the processes typically incorporated in semi-analytical models is shown in Fig. 7.
The basic model of how gas cools inside dark matter haloes was set out in detail by White & Frenk (1991; see also Cole 1991 and Lacey & Silk 1991); White & Frenk based their framework on the arguments about gas cooling set out in Rees & Ostriker (1977) and Silk (1977). White & Rees (1978) were the first to postulate that gas cooling took place within dark haloes.

A schematic picture of the standard gas cooling model used in semi-analytic models is presented in Fig. 8. The gas initially has the same spatial distribution as the dark matter \( t_1 \). As fluctuations in the dark matter separate from the Hubble expansion, turn around and collapse, the gas is assumed to be heated by shocks as it falls into the gravitational potential well of the dark halo, producing a hot gas halo that is supported against further collapse by the pressure of the gas \( t_2 \). The gas attains the virial temperature of the halo, which depends upon the mass of the halo:

\[
T_{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu m_H}{k} V_H^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \mu = 1/1.71 \) is the mean molecular mass of the gas, \( m_H \) is the mass of a hydrogen atom and \( k \) is Boltzmann’s constant. Dark matter haloes are supported against further gravitational collapse by a pressure created by the thermalized velocities of the dark matter particles. However, it is common practice to quote an equivalent circular velocity for the halo at the virial radius, \( r_{\text{vir}} \), using \( V_H = \sqrt{GM/r_{\text{vir}}} \); the halo has an angular momentum, but this should not be thought of as rotation with velocity \( V_H \). The relation between the circular velocity at the virial radius and halo mass is a function of redshift and cosmology \( \dagger \) (e.g. Mo & White 2002). Gas can subsequently cool from the hot halo, through the processes outlined in the next paragraph. The rate at which the gas can cool depends upon the temperature of the gas, which determines its ionisation state, the chemical composition of the gas and the density of the gas, which determines the rate at which collisions between electrons and ions take place. As the gas cools, the pressure of the gas drops and the removal of pressure support means that the gas sinks to the centre of the dark halo on the free-fall or dynamical timescale in the halo \( t_3 \). If the angular momentum of the cooling gas is conserved (see Section 4.7), the cold gas forms a rotationally supported disk. Hence, the rate at which cold gas is added to the galactic disc depends upon (i) how quickly the gas can cool (i.e. the cooling time) and (ii) how quickly the cooled gas can move from the halo to the disk (i.e. the free-fall time). In this simple model, the rate at which gas can cool is used to compute a cooling radius, \( r_{\text{cool}} \). The gas enclosed within \( r_{\text{cool}} \) has had sufficient time to cool since the formation of the dark matter halo. The cooling radius continues to propagate outwards \( t_4 \).

\( \dagger \) We caution the reader that the literature contains several definitions of the virial mass of a dark matter halo, quoted in terms of the mean overdensity contained within the virial radius times some reference density as calculated using the spherical collapse model (see Padmanabhan 1993). For a universe with the critical density in matter, the mean overdensity of mass within the virial radius is 178 times the background density. This value of 178 is often rounded up to 200. In a low density universe, haloes have a lower mean overdensity when expressed in units of the critical density e.g. for a flat, \( \Omega = 0.3 \) universe, the mean overdensity of a dark matter halo is around 100 times the critical density; see Fig. 1 of Eke, Cole & Frenk (1996).
until either all of the hot gas halo has cooled, or a merger with another halo results in the formation of a new halo.

Gas can cool via a number of mechanisms (see, for example, the discussion in Kauffmann & White 1994). The relative importance of the various mechanisms depends upon the conditions in the universe at the time the gas is cooling and the temperature of the gas. The cooling channels are: (i) Inverse Compton scattering of CMB photons by electrons in the hot halo gas. The time for gas to cool via inverse compton scattering exceeds the age of the universe for redshifts $z < 10$ (Rees & Ostriker 1977), so this process is only important in the very early universe (see Fig. 2). (ii) The excitation of rotational or vibrational energy levels in molecular hydrogen through collisions. The subsequent decay of these levels removes energy from the gas, allowing it to cool. This channel is important in haloes with virial temperatures (see Eq. 1 below) below $T \sim 10^4$K (see e.g. Figure 12 in Barkana & Loeb 2001). (iii) Emission of photons following transitions between energy levels. Collisions between partially ionised atoms and electrons excite the atoms to higher energy levels. The gas cools when the excited level decays radiatively. This process is important for haloes with intermediate virial temperatures (i.e. $10^4$K $< T < 10^6$K). (iv) Bremsstrahlung radiation as electrons are accelerated in an ionized plasma. This the dominant emission mechanism in massive clusters ($T \sim 10^7$K).
The primary cooling processes relevant to the formation of galaxies are (iii) and (iv) in the list above (Kauffmann & White 1994). A cooling time can be specified by dividing the thermal energy density of the gas by the cooling rate per unit volume:

$$t_{\text{cool}}(r) = \left( \frac{3 \rho_{\text{gas}} k T_{\text{vir}}}{2 \mu m_{\text{H}}} \right) / \left( \rho_{\text{gas}}^2 \Lambda(T_{\text{vir}}, Z_{\text{gas}}) \right).$$

Here, $\rho_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas density. The function $\Lambda$ is a function of the temperature and metallicity of the gas, $Z_{\text{gas}}$. Primordial gas is partially ionised at $T \sim 10^4$K and fully ionised at a temperature of around $10^6$K; enriched gas becomes ionised when the temperature exceeds $10^7$K. For a plasma, the dominant cooling mechanism is Bremsstrahlung radiation by electrons experiencing acceleration in the electric field of ions, with an associated cooling rate $\propto T^{1/2}$. This explains the form of the cooling function at high temperatures in Fig. 9 which shows the model results from Sutherland & Dopita (1993). At intermediate temperatures, the dependence of the cooling rate on temperature is more complicated, particularly once the gas becomes enriched. In this temperature regime, electrons can recombine with ions emitting a photon or partially ionized atoms can be excited by a collision with an electron, and then emit radiation as they decay to the ground state. Decays following the excitation of ionised atoms by collisions dominate in primordial gas, causing the peaks seen in the cooling function at 15 000 K (Hydrogen) and 100 000 K (singly-ionised Helium). Gas with a solar abundance of metals has a much stronger peak at 100 000 K due to oxygen; other elements enhance the cooling rate around $10^6$K (Kauffmann & White 1994).

The standard cooling model can be modified in a number of ways which affect the rate at which gas cools in either low or high mass haloes. These changes to the cooling rate are the result of phenomena which depend upon gas cooling that took place in the past, and as such can be considered as feedback processes that regulate the rate at which star formation can occur.

The first of these phenomena is the suppression of cooling in low mass haloes due to the presence of a background of photo-ionising radiation (Couchman & Rees 1986; Efstathiou 1992; Babul & Rees 1992; Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Nagashima, Gouda & Sugiura 1999; Gnedin 2000). The background of high energy UV photons could be generated by quasars or by massive stars made in primitive galaxies. Two effects combine to reduce the rate at which gas can cool in low mass haloes. Firstly, the radiation heats the intergalactic medium to a temperature of $\sim 10^4$K, increasing the pressure of the baryons. This restricts the infall of baryons into haloes with virial temperatures below $10^4$K, with the consequence that these haloes accrete less than the universal mass fraction of baryons. Secondly, the radiation increases the ionisation of the hot gas, thereby removing channels for cooling following the excitation of atoms and ions in collisions. The low temperature peak in the cooling curve is effectively deleted by the radiation background, thus dramatically increasing the cooling time in low mass haloes. Benson et al. (2002a,b) produced a fully coupled model of the intergalactic medium and the formation of galaxies, in which the contribution to the photo-ionising background from star formation is treated self-consistently and the background from
quasars in included empirically (Haardt & Madau 1996). Benson et al. also discussed a simple model which encapsulates some of the main features of their more detailed calculation; in this simple scheme, haloes with a circular velocity below some threshold ($\approx 60\text{kms}^{-1}$) are not allowed to cool gas below the redshift at which the universe is reionised (see also Somerville 2002; Tully et al. 2002).

The cooling rate in massive haloes can be reduced by heating the hot halo gas. Three mechanisms have been discussed in the literature. In the first of these, the energy released by supernova explosions is injected into the hot gas halo, causing it to expand and become more diffuse, thus lowering the cooling rate (Bower et al. 2001). Bower et al. estimated that an energy of around $\sim 1\text{KeV}$ per particle in the hot halo is required to suppress cooling sufficiently in order to reproduce the break in the galaxy luminosity function (see also Wu, Fabian & Nulsen 1998, 2000). Benson et al. (2003) discuss the second mechanism which can diminish cooling flows in massive haloes, the thermal conduction of energy from the outer parts to the inner parts of the hot gas halo. Spectra of clusters taken by the Chandra and XMM-Newton satellites indicate a lack of cold gas (i.e. below 1-2 KeV) in the intra-cluster medium, suggesting that some form of heating mechanism may be preventing the gas from attaining these temperatures (Fabian et al. 2001; Kaastra et al. 2001; Peterson et al. 2001). If the ionised plasma in the halo has a conductivity of the order calculated by Spitzer (1962), this could explain the suppression of the cooling flow (Fabian et al. 2001, 2005). Benson et al. modelled the impact of thermal conduction on the cooling rate by preventing cooling in haloes with a rotation speed above a set value; note that the cut-off velocity scales with redshift as $(1 + z)^{3/4}$ (see Nagashima et al. 2005a). The value of the cut-off velocity required to produce a good match to the bright end of the luminosity function implies a thermal conductivity that is greatly in excess of the Spitzer value. The third mechanism is heating of the hot halo by energy released from the accretion of material onto a central black hole. Croton et al. (2006) and Bower et al. (2006) describe models in which the cooling rate is gradually reduced with halo mass. This model is described in more detail in Section 3.3.

A critical assessment of the standard cooling model is given in Section 4.4.

3.2. Star formation

One fairly safe prediction that can be made in writing this review is the following: we still have some considerable time to wait until a theory of star formation is developed such that the local properties of the interstellar medium can be fed into a subroutine which will return the star formation rate as a function of position within a model galaxy, without any free parameters. Despite this, there has been a huge amount of activity on several fronts: (i) Understanding the properties of the first generation of stars, such as when and where these objects are likely to have formed and what mass they had. (ii) The distribution of stellar masses produced in episodes of star formation as quantified by the stellar initial mass function (IMF). (iii) The conditions for star formation in
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The formation of the first stars from gas with a primordial composition is a problem with several features which combine to make it more amenable to simulation than tracking subsequent generations of stars (Abel, Bryan & Norman 2002; see also Bromm & Larson 2004; Yoshida et al. 2003; Ciardi & Ferrara 2005): (i) The gravitational potential wells most likely to host the first sites of star formation can be identified given a power spectrum of density fluctuations. (ii) The chemistry of the unenriched gas is much simpler than is the case for subsequent generations of stars that form from gas polluted with metals from supernova explosions (Tegmark et al. 1997). (iii) Magnetic fields are unimportant in primordial gas clouds (Abel et al. 2002). (iv) By definition, the first star forms from gas that has not been ionised by radiation or winds from other stars. Abel et al. were able to follow the formation of a proto-stellar core in a primordial gas cloud, up until the point at which radiation from the proto-star has an impact on the rate at which material can be accreted onto the growing star, since their calculation did not treat the radiative transfer of the photons from the proto-star. They argued that the masses of the first generation of stars could be much higher than expected from a standard IMF. Gao et al. (2005a) and Reed et al. (2005) extracted the most massive halo from a ΛCDM simulation at the present day and, using a resimulation technique, traced the progenitors of this structure back to $z \sim 50$. They postulated that the potential wells already in place by $z \sim 50$ could host the first stars, which is a considerably higher redshift than that proposed by Abel et al.

There are a wide range of theories of star formation from gas clouds which attempt to explain the slope of the IMF and the rate of star formation in galaxies. One issue that does not seem to have been resolved between the modellers is the nature of the dominant process for determining the masses of stars. Starting from a molecular gas cloud, there are top-down and bottom-up scenarios for star formation. In the bottom-up case, low mass stellar cores acquire gas from the cloud in a competitive accretion process (Bonnell et al. 1997). On the other hand, in the top-down model, the gas cloud simply fragments and the sub-clouds collapse to form stars (Krumholz, McKee & Klein 2005). Other physical processes considered include collisions between clouds (Tan 2000), the escape of magnetic fields by ambipolar diffusion (Tassis & Mouschovias 2004) and supersonic turbulence (Klessen, Heitsch & Mac Low 2000; Li et al. 2004). We do not attempt to go into the details of these processes here; instead we refer the interested reader to the review articles by Elmegreen & Scalo (2004) and Mac-Low & Klessen (2004).

The lack of a theory of star formation, may, at first sight, appear to thwart any attempt to produce a theory of galaxy formation. Semi-analytical modellers have instead been forced to take a more pragmatic, top-down approach. A simple estimate of the global rate of star formation in a model galaxy can be made on dimensional grounds:

$$\dot{M}_* \propto \frac{M_{\text{cold}}}{\tau},$$

where the star formation rate, $\dot{M}_*$, depends upon the amount of cold gas available, $M_{\text{cold}}$, and a characteristic timescale $\tau$. The timescale could be chosen to be proportional to the
dynamical time within the galaxy, $\tau_{\text{dyn}} = r_{\text{gal}} / v_{\text{gal}}$, or to be some fixed value. Typically, some additional dependence on the circular velocity is incorporated into the definition of $\tau$, which is important when attempting to reproduce the observed gas fractions in spirals as a function of luminosity (e.g. Cole et al. 1994; Cole et al. 2000). The effective star formation timescale is in practice a modified version of $\tau$, due to feedback processes, which deplete the reservoir of cold gas, and the replenishment of the cold gas supply by material that is recycled by stars.

Schmidt (1959) proposed a model in which the star formation rate per unit area of a galaxy ($\dot{\Sigma}_*$) scales with a power of the surface density of the cold gas, $\Sigma_g$: $\dot{\Sigma}_* \propto \Sigma_g^n$. Kennicutt (1998a) verified this form for a large sample of spiral and starburst galaxies, finding $n \sim 1.4$ (see also Kennicutt 1998b). The Schmidt law can be rewritten in the form of Eq. 3, with $\tau$ replaced by the dynamical time of the galaxy (see Kennicutt 1998b, Bell et al. 2003a).

3.3. Feedback processes

The need for physical mechanisms that are able to modulate the efficiency of galaxy formation as a function of halo mass, over and above the variation in the cooling
time of the hot gas with halo mass, was recognised from the first calculations of the galaxy luminosity function in hierarchical clustering cosmologies. White & Rees (1978) found that their prediction for the faint end of the luminosity function was steeper than the observational estimates available at the time, leading them to speculate that this discrepancy could be resolved if there was a process that would make “low-mass galaxies relatively more vulnerable to disruption”.

Such processes are included in modern models under the blanket heading of ‘feedback’. Feedback processes arguably have the largest impact on the form of the theoretical predictions for galaxy properties, whilst at the same time being amongst the most difficult and controversial phenomena to model; a detailed treatment should include a multiphase interstellar medium, with hot, cold and possibly warm gas components, tracking collisions between cold clouds and their evaporation by supernova heating (McKee & Ostriker 1977; Efstathiou 2000; Monaco 2004). Broadly speaking, two forms of feedback are considered in galaxy formation models: in the first, cold gas is heated and removed from a galactic disk and in the second, the rate at which gas cools from the hot halo is suppressed. Both modes of feedback diminish the reservoir of cold gas available to be turned into stars (see Fig 10).

The most common form of feedback used in hierarchical models is the ejection of cold gas from a galactic disk by a supernova driven wind (e.g. Larson 1974; Dekel & Silk 1986). The reheated cold gas could be blown out to the hot gas halo, from which it may subsequently recool (sometimes called “retention” feedback), or it may even be ejected from the halo altogether (which is naturally enough called “ejection feedback”), and left unable to cool until it is incorporated into a more massive halo at a later stage in the merger hierarchy. The distinction between the “ejection” and “retention” modes of feedback can have a significant impact on the form of the galaxy luminosity function (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 1999; Somerville & Primack 1999; de Lucia, Kauffmann & White 2004). There is now convincing observational evidence for the existence of supernova driven winds in dwarf galaxies (Martin 1997, 1998, 1999; Ott, Walter & Brinks 2005). Other forms of feedback act to modify the rate at which gas cools, either by altering the density profile or entropy of the hot gas halo (following the injection of energy into the hot gas halo) or by reducing the fraction of baryons that fall into dark matter haloes and changing the cooling rate (i.e. photo-ionization suppression of cooling in low mass haloes) or by stifling the cooling flow by injecting energy (Wu, Fabian & Nulsen 2000; Bower et al. 2001; Benson et al. 2003; Granato et al. 2004; Croton et al. 2006; Bower et al. 2006).

Initially, as remarked upon above, the motivation for invoking feedback was to reduce the efficiency of star formation in low mass haloes, in order to flatten the slope of the faint end of the predicted galaxy luminosity function, thus bringing it in line with the extant observations (Cole 1991; White & Frenk 1991). Cole et al. (1994) appealed to a feedback model in which the rate of ejection of reheated gas was a very strong function of the circular velocity of the host dark matter halo ($\propto v_c^{5.5}$) in order to bring their model predictions close to the flat faint end of the luminosity function.
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estimated by Loveday et al. (1992). The tension between the faint end of the observed galaxy luminosity function and the predictions of hierarchical models has been greatly reduced with the advent of much larger and deeper redshift surveys, which allow a more robust estimate of the faint end slope. Surveys such as the 2dFGRS and SDSS allow the measurement of the galaxy luminosity function with, for the most part, random errors (arising from the volume covered and the number of galaxies) that are smaller than systematic effects, such as the choice of band-shifting and evolutionary corrections (Norberg et al. 2002b; Blanton et al. 2003). Currently, the semi-analytic models do a good job of reproducing the faint end of the optical luminosity function as determined by recent measurements, with much more modest amount of supernova feedback (with a velocity dependence $\propto v^2$). This is due in part to the shift in the favoured cold dark matter from a universe with the critical density in matter to a low density universe (Heyl et al. 1995; Somerville & Primack 1999). The inclusion of a photo-ionising background, along with an unexceptional amount of supernova energy injection into the ISM results in the model predictions matching the observations (e.g. Benson et al. 2002b, 2003; Croton et al. 2006). There is still some debate over the agreement between models and observations in the K-band. The Cole et al. (2001) estimate of the near-IR luminosity function yields a relatively flat faint-end slope (see also Kochanek et al. 2001). These estimates are derived from relatively shallow 2MASS photometry (Jarrett et al. 2000). Huang et al. (2003) find a significantly steeper faint-end slope, using deeper photometry, but over a much smaller solid angle than was considered in the estimates made from the 2MASS catalogue.

In recent years, the focus has shifted to reproducing the break at the bright end of the luminosity function. The overproduction of bright galaxies is a problem that has dogged hierarchical galaxy formation models for more than a decade. We saw in Fig. 9 that the rate at which gas can cool peaks at the present day in haloes expected to host Milky Way like galaxies, and drops with increasing halo mass. However, by itself, the corresponding increase in the cooling time of the hot gas is not sufficient to account for the observed sharpness of the break in the luminosity function. Various fixes have been proposed to this problem. Kauffmann et al. (1993) suppressed the number of bright galaxies by simply turning off star formation by hand in the cooling flows present in high circular velocity haloes (see also Kang et al. 2005). Cole et al. (2000) proposed that the hot gas follows a different density profile from that exhibited by the dark matter. In particular, they proposed that the hot gas has a constant density core. Therefore, the gas in the central regions of the halo has a lower density than it would have had if it tracked the dark matter. A lower gas density means a longer cooling time. Furthermore, in the Cole et al. model, the radius of the constant density core grows with time, as low entropy gas cools from the central regions. This model produced a better match to the observed abundance of bright galaxies because the cooling radius for the dark matter haloes which host such galaxies is typically within the constant density core, thereby resulting in the suppression of the rate at which gas can cool.

Cole et al. (2000) were also helped by using a baryon density parameter which,
by comparison with the constraints available today, would be considered too low. The current best fitting value for the cosmological density in baryons ($\Omega_b \approx 0.04$) is twice the value adopted by Cole et al. in their fiducial model (e.g. Sanchez et al. 2006). Increasing the baryon density from the value used by Cole et al. exacerbates the problem of matching the bright end of the luminosity function.

An additional feedback mechanism is required that is effective in more massive haloes, acting either to prevent gas from cooling in the first place or to eject cold gas before it forms stars. Benson et al. (2003) carried out a systematic study of the impact of various feedback mechanisms on the form of the predictions for the galaxy luminosity function. The standard supernova driven winds, whilst helping to reduce the number of faint galaxies to match observations, were found to have little effect at the bright end. The strength of this mode of feedback cannot be increased with impunity; excessive amounts of supernova feedback result in galactic disks bigger than are observed (Cole et al. 2000; de Jong & Lacey 2000) and introduce curvature into the predicted Tully-Fisher relation for spirals (Cole et al. 1994; Somerville & Primack 1999). Moreover, such a change would also tend to weaken the break in the predicted luminosity function rather than enhance it; stronger supernova feedback would wipe out galaxies around $L_*$ and instead cause more gas to cool in more massive haloes (unless the heated gas is expelled altogether and is not allowed to recool), thus boosting the luminosity of the galaxies in these haloes. The resulting luminosity function would be closer to a power-law rather than a Schechter function form.§

Benson et al. considered two more promising mechanisms: thermal conduction in the hot halo and superwinds (the “ejection” mode of supernova feedback). The thermal conduction model was discussed in Section 3.1; this model results in a break in the luminosity function but requires an unphysically high conductivity in the halo gas. The superwind model is motivated by the observations of outflows of gas from large, star forming galaxies at high and low redshift (Pettini et al. 2001, 2002; Dawson et al. 2002; Adelberger et al. 2003; Shapley et al. 2003; Martin 2005; Wilman et al. 2005b). The analysis of the profile of spectral lines in the spectra of Lyman-break galaxies supports mass ejection rates comparable to the star formation rate in the galaxy, with the material moving at speeds on the order of several hundreds of kilometres per second. In the Benson et al. scheme, the superwind is most effective at removing cold gas from intermediate mass haloes. This is the case because the galaxies in such haloes have appreciable star formation rates, but do not have the high escape velocities of more massive haloes. The ejected gas can be recaptured at a later stage in the merger hierarchy; the circular velocity threshold for a halo to be able to entrain the gas removed by superwinds from its progenitors is treated as a parameter of the model. It turns out

§ The Schechter function is three parameter function which gives a reasonable fit to the observed galaxy luminosity function. The parameters are the normalisation, $\phi_*$, the slope of the power law $\alpha$ and the characteristic luminosity at which the function changes from a power law to an exponential, $L_*$ (Schechter 1976). The abundance of faint galaxies is described by a power law, whereas the number of galaxies brighter than $L_*$ drops exponentially with increasing luminosity.
that, in order to produce a good match to the exponential break in the luminosity function, the superwind is required to be extremely efficient (perhaps implausibly so) at coupling the energy released by supernovae into driving cold gas from the disk. Benson et al. remarked that such a superwind may be feasible if it is driven by the energy released by the accretion of material onto a black hole at the centre of the galaxy.

Building upon previous work which examined the impact of AGN on aspects of galaxy formation (e.g. Granato et al. 2004; Monaco & Fontanot 2005; Cattaneo et al. 2005; Di Matteo et al. 2005), Croton et al. (2006) and Bower et al. (2006) have implemented simple AGN feedback schemes into the Munich and Durham semi-analytical galaxy formation models respectively. The first enhancement required to the standard galaxy formation model is to track the formation and evolution of black holes. This is done using the model introduced by Kauffmann & Haehnelt (2000). Motivated by the observed correlation between black hole mass and bulge mass (Magorrian et al. 1998; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000), Kauffmann & Haehnelt tied the formation of black holes to the same process, galaxy mergers, which is responsible for building spheroids and bulges.

It is instructive to briefly compare and contrast the implementations of “AGN feedback” in the models of Croton et al. (2006) and Bower et al. (2006). Croton et al. refer to the build up of black hole mass due to mergers of existing black holes and the accretion of cold gas during starbursts as the “quasar mode”. They also consider a new mode, the “radio mode”, during which the black hole accretes gas directly from the hot halo. In their model, the quasar mode is the more important channel for building up the mass of the black hole. However, the accretion of mass in the radio mode releases energy into the hot halo and is therefore responsible for suppressing the cooling flow in more massive haloes. Croton et al. introduce a parametric form for the radio mode suppression of cooling flows, which depends upon the virial temperature of the halo and the mass of the central black hole, and then present arguments to motivate this recipe. Bower et al. use the model of black hole growth described by Malbon et al (2006). Bower et al. use the mass of the black hole to compute the Eddington limit, i.e. the maximum luminosity at which the black hole can radiate energy. They argue that if the rate at which energy is released by gas cooling is less than some fraction of the Eddington luminosity (where the fraction is a model parameter), then cooling is suppressed. In both cases, AGN act to suppress gas cooling only in those haloes in which a quasi-static hot halo has formed, i.e. where the cooling time of the gas exceeds the free-fall time.

The new models reproduce the observed break in the present day luminosity and the bimodality of the colour distribution of local galaxies (as measured by Kauffmann et al. 2003; Baldry et al. 2004; Balogh et al. 2004a). Croton et al. also find that their model displays the luminosity dependent clustering seen in the 2dFGRS and SDSS (Norberg et al. 2001, 2002a; Zehavi et al. 2002). De Lucia et al. (2006) find a clear increase in the luminosity weighted age of elliptical galaxies with velocity dispersion in the Croton et al. model. Bower et al. examined the predictions of their model with AGN feedback...
at high redshift. They find remarkably good agreement with observational estimates of the stellar mass function to $z \sim 5$ (Fontana et al. 2004; Drory et al. 2005). There is also evidence for the “downsizing” of star formation, insofar as galaxies with high stellar masses were forming stars more vigorously in the past than they are today; we shall return to this point in the last section. Other groups have also developed semi-analytical models in which AGN act to suppress cooling (Cattaneo et al. 2006; Fontanot et al. 2006; Kang et al. 2006; Menci et al. 2006; Monaco et al. 2006a; Monaco et al. 2006b).

3.4. Chemical evolution

The formation of stars changes the metal content of the interstellar medium (ISM) of a galaxy. The act of forming stars removes cold gas and associated metals from the ISM. Also, as stars evolve, they return material to the ISM with an enhanced metallicity. The return mechanism can take the form of stellar winds or supernova explosions. Mass loss through stellar winds becomes more important as the star evolves away from the main sequence. The amount of gas returned to the ISM per unit mass of stars formed, called the recycled fraction, is therefore dependent on the form of the IMF. The chemical evolution of the gas and stars in a galaxy is important for a number of reasons: (i) The rate at which gas cools from the hot halo depends upon the metallicity of the gas; a higher metallicity results in a shorter cooling time (Fig 9). (ii) The metallicity with which stars are born has an impact on the luminosity and colour of the stellar population. (iii) The optical depth of a galaxy, which determines the extinction of starlight due to dust, scales linearly with the metallicity of its cold gas.

There are two broad categories of supernova explosions, type I and type II, characterized by their spectra (see Binney & Merrifield 1998). The spectra of type I supernova do not contain any lines signifying the presence of hydrogen, whereas type II supernova spectra do display such lines. Further sub-division of the type I class is made according to the presence (type Ia) or absence (type Ib) of absorption lines in the spectra from silicon ions. The most pertinent difference between the various types of supernova from the semi-analytic modeller’s viewpoint is the timescale on which the supernova explosion, and hence the metal enrichment, take place. Both type II and type Ib supernovae occur on a short timescale (on the order of 10 Myr) after an episode of star formation has taken place; type Ia supernovae happen on a much longer timescale (on the order of 1Gyr). Type II and type Ib supernovae occur when massive stars experience core-collapse; type Ib supernovae are believed to mark the end point of the evolution of more massive stars than those leading to type II supernovae, and so are less numerous than type IIs for most choices of IMF. Type Ia supernovae are thought to originate in the explosion of white dwarfs upon the accretion of material from a binary companion. The frequency of both types of supernovae depends upon the form of IMF adopted. Stars with masses in excess of $5-8M_\odot$ are thought to end in core-collapse, whereas type Ia are sensitive to the form of the IMF around one solar mass.

Type Ia supernovae dominate the production of iron (Fe), whereas type II...
supernovae are primarily responsible for the production of nuclei formed by $\alpha$ particles (the $\alpha$ elements: O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Ti) and also nitrogen and sodium. The relative proportions of these metal species, quantified by the $\alpha$/Fe abundance ratio, therefore tells us about the relative importance of type II and type Ia supernovae in a galaxy and the timescale over which star formation took place. Two examples of how abundance ratios can contain clues about galaxy formation are worthy of mention at this point. Elliptical galaxies display metal abundances which increase with galaxy luminosity and velocity dispersion (Faber 1973; Bender et al. 1993). Their super solar total metallicities and Mg/Fe ratios are described as $\alpha$-enhancement. The observed trend in Mg line strength and velocity dispersion can be reproduced with a standard IMF in a single burst model, if the timescale of the burst is an appropriate function of galaxy mass (Thomas, Greggio & Bender 1998). In this simple picture, all of the stars in the elliptical form in a single burst at high redshift which is terminated by the ejection of gas in a wind (Larson 1974, 1975). Also, the intracluster medium (ICM) shows $\alpha$-enhancement, with comparable amounts of $\alpha$ elements to those found in the solar neighbourhood, but with only 30% of the iron content (Mushotzky et al. 1996). The metal content of the ICM depends on the star formation histories of the cluster galaxies and the ejection of metals from them into the cluster gas. The $\alpha$-enhancement of the ICM in hot X-ray emitting clusters could be explained if the IMF of star formation in the cluster galaxies is biased towards high mass stars (e.g. Renzini et al. 1993).

The first attempts to follow the chemical evolution of galaxies in semi-analytical models considered type II supernovae, using the instantaneous recycling approximation introduced by Tinsley (1980). In this case, as stars are formed in a given timestep, a quantity of metals, determined by the yield for the chosen IMF, is generated instantaneously. The effective yield of metals depends on a number of factors and is different from that expected in a simple closed-box chemical evolution model (see Cole et al. 2000 for a discussion): (i) Halo mergers mix hot gas reservoirs of differing metallicities. (ii) Gas cooling adds gas of one metallicity to a galactic disk which could have a different metallicity. (iii) Feedback processes can remove gas from galactic disks, depleting the metal content of the disk.

The inclusion of type Ia supernovae is a much more recent development of semi-analytical models. Thomas (1999) was the first to consider the delayed enrichment due to type Ia supernovae in conjunction with semi-analytical models, using star formation histories extracted from the models of Kauffmann et al. (1999), but neglecting any inflow or outflow of gas and metals (see also Thomas & Kauffmann 1999). Nagashima & Okamoto (2006) produced the first semi-analytical model which genuinely integrated the impact of type Ia. These authors used the simplification of assuming a fixed time delay for all type Ia explosions. Nagashima et al. (2005a,b) carried out the first fully consistent calculation including both type II and type Ia supernovae in the semi-analytical model of Cole et al. (2000).
A schematic of a merger between two dark matter haloes. The progenitors of the final halo each contain a galaxy. After the haloes merge, the more massive galaxy is placed at the centre of the newly formed halo. Any hot gas that cools would be directed onto the central galaxy (for simplicity, in this illustration, the haloes have exhausted their supply of hot gas). The smaller galaxy becomes a satellite of the central galaxy. The orbit of the satellite galaxy decays due to dynamical friction. The satellite may eventually merge with the central galaxy.

3.5. Galaxy Mergers

In the two-stage model of galaxy formation proposed by White & Rees (1978), dark haloes are assumed to grow through mergers and accretion, with dynamical relaxation effects erasing any trace of the progenitor haloes at each stage of the merging hierarchy (see Press & Schechter 1974). The halo resulting from a merger or accretion event is assumed to be smooth and devoid of any substructure. White & Rees argued that galaxies survive the merger of their parent haloes as a result of them being more concentrated than the dark matter, due to the dissipative cooling of gas.

The White & Rees picture of galaxy formation leads naturally to a scenario in which a dark halo contains a massive central galaxy surrounded by smaller satellite galaxies. These satellites were formerly central galaxies in the progenitors of the current halo which were present in the earlier stages of the merger hierarchy. The satellite
galaxies retain their identity after their parent halo merges with a more massive object due to their high concentration. However, as the satellites orbit the central galaxy in their common dark halo, they gradually lose energy through dynamical friction, an effect originally calculated for star clusters by Chandrasekhar (1943). The gravitational attraction exerted by the mass of the satellite galaxy on its surroundings draws the material in the halo towards it. This produces a wake of higher density material along the path of the satellite. The satellite therefore feels a stronger gravitational pull from the region of the halo that it has just passed through compared with the region it is about to travel through, which acts as a break on its motion. The orbital energy of the satellite decays as a result and it spirals in towards the central galaxy (Binney & Tremaine 1987). A timescale can be computed for the dynamical friction process to remove the orbital energy of the satellite completely. If this timescale is shorter than the lifetime of the dark halo, then the satellite merges with the central galaxy (see Fig. 1).

In addition to providing an alternative mechanism to gas cooling for increasing the mass and luminosity of the central galaxy, the accretion of a satellite galaxy can have more dramatic consequences. The impact of a galaxy merger is usually quantified by the ratio of the mass of the accreted satellite galaxy to the mass of the central galaxy. Some numerical work exists in which satellites of different mass and gas content have been fired at central galaxies (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1991, 1992; Mihos & Hernquist 1994, 1996; Walker, Mihos & Hernquist 1996). However, the range of possible orbits and the parameter space of mass ratios and gas fractions in both the satellite and central galaxies is enormous; such calculations are computationally expensive and much numerical work remains to be done. Typically semi-analytical modellers treat the mass ratio that sets the threshold for a merger to be termed a violent or major merger as a parameter in their models, using the extant numerical simulation results as a guide (Baugh et al. 1996a,b; Kauffman 1996; Somerville, Faber & Primack 2001). In a violent merger, the disk of the central galaxy is assumed to be destroyed and all of the stars involved in the merger event form a spheroidal remnant. In some models, a major merger can also trigger a burst of star formation, in addition to changing the morphology of the stars (Baugh et al. 1996b; Somerville, Faber & Primack 2001; Baugh et al. 2005). More sophisticated analytic schemes have been devised that can track the loss of mass from the satellites as they move through the dark halo (Taylor & Babul 2001; Benson et al. 2002a; Zentner & Bullock 2003). Benson et al. (2002a) implemented a similar scheme to that developed by Taylor & Babul in the Cole et al. (2000) model and used this to study the impact of satellites on the disk of Milky Way like galaxies (Benson et al. 2004). In variations on the simple galaxy merging scheme set out above, some models also consider collisions between satellite galaxies, in addition to mergers of satellites onto the central galaxy due to dynamical friction (Somerville & Primack 1999; Van Kampen, Jimenez & Peacock 1999; Somerville, Primack & Faber 2001; Menci et al. 2002; Enoki et al. 2003; Menci et al. 2004).
3.6. Galaxy sizes

An estimate of the size of the galactic disk or bulge is an important input into the model for star formation if the prescription used depends upon the dynamical time of the disk \( t_{\text{dyn}} = \frac{r_{\text{disk}}}{v_{\text{disk}}} \). The disk scale length is also required to compute its optical depth in order to calculate the extinction of starlight due to dust. Comparison of the model predictions for the sizes of the disks and bulges of galaxies with observations can constrain the models of gas cooling and merging that build up these components.

The starting point for a calculation of the radius of a galaxy is the angular momentum of the host dark matter halo. If the halo is asymmetric and surrounded by a lumpy distribution of matter, then it can acquire an angular momentum or spin through a net tidal torque which acts to spin up the halo as it forms (Hoyle 1949). The spin of the halo can be quantified in a dimensionless number \( \lambda \):

\[
\lambda = \frac{J}{GM^{5/2}},
\]

where \( J \), \( E \) and \( M \) are the total angular momentum, energy and mass of the dark matter halo. The halo gas is assumed to have the same specific angular momentum as the dark matter. If the further assumption is made that this angular momentum is conserved in the dissipative collapse of the gas (this assumption will be discussed further in Section 4.7), then the factor by which the radius of the gas is reduced is \( \approx \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{H} \) (Fall & Efstathiou 1980). N-body simulations indicate \( \lambda \sim 0.04 \) (Efstathiou & Jones 1979; Barnes & Efstathiou 1987; Frenk et al. 1988; Warren et al. 1992; Cole & Lacey 1996; Bullock et al. 2001a), which means that the gas collapses to a rotationally supported disk with a radius over an order of magnitude smaller than the virial radius of the dark halo. This simple argument has been used to estimate the sizes of galaxies in many semi-analytic models (Lacey et al. 1993; Kauffman & Charlot 1994; Poli et al. 1999; Somerville & Primack 1999; Firmani & Avila-Reese 2000; Hatton et al. 2003). Mo, Mao & White (1998) presented a more physical calculation in with the following improvements: (i) realistic density profiles for the dark matter and the gas (ii) a distribution of spin-parameter values (\( \lambda \)), motivated by the results of N-body simulations (iii) the gravity of the disk and bulge (iv) the reaction of the halo to the gravity of the disk and bulge, which causes a contraction of the dark matter (see also Dalcanton, Spergel & Summers 1997). Mo, Mao & White (1998) treated the mass and angular momentum of the baryonic components and the mass-to-light ratio of the disk as input parameters to their model. Cole et al. (2000) used a similar model to Mo, Mao & White to compute galaxy sizes, but with the replacement of the parameters in the model of Mo et al. with the predictions of the semi-analytical model of galaxy formation. Cole et al. also gave a model for the size of the bulge component, considering the conservation of energy and the virial theorem to compute the size of the merger remnant.
Figure 12. The star formation history of a selection of massive galaxies, as predicted by the model of Baugh et al. (2005). The horizontal axis gives the age of the universe. The star formation history is divided into quiescent star formation in galactic disks (blue) and starbursts triggered by galaxy mergers (red). The total star formation rate is shown by the green curve. These curves are calculated by summing over all of the progenitors of the present day galaxy at each redshift. The black dashed lines show exponential curves, a typical assumption for the star formation history of galaxies used in other approaches, for reference.
3.7. The generation of a spectral energy distribution for model galaxies

The final step required to connect the theoretical predictions of a model of galaxy formation to observations is the production of a synthetic spectral energy distribution for each model galaxy, i.e. the amount of energy emitted by the galaxy as a function of wavelength or frequency. Semi-analytical models predict the complete star formation history of a galaxy, taking into account all mergers between the progenitors of the galaxy, star formation in bursts triggered by mergers and quiescent star formation in galactic disks (some examples of the model predictions are given in Fig. [12]). The star formation history of a single galaxy is stored in a table that records how many stars of a given metallicity were formed in each timestep of the calculation, taking into account all the branches of the galaxy merger tree. This information is then combined with a stellar population synthesis model to compute a composite stellar population for the whole galaxy (Bruzual & Charlot 1993; Worthey 1994; Devriendt, Guiderdoni & Sadat 1999; Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1999; Leitherer et al. 1999; Girardi et al. 2000; Bruzual & Charlot 2003). The stellar population model provides a look-up table of the spectral energy distribution of a single-age population of stars as a function of the time elapsed since the stars were made; the stars are born with a distribution of masses set by an assumed initial mass function (IMF) and have a given metallicity. As a simple stellar population ages, hot, massive stars evolve off the main sequence most rapidly, with the result that the flux of ultra-violet photons declines with increasing age.

Stellar population synthesis models are traditionally treated as trusted black boxes by semi-analytical modellers. If a particular prediction does not match an observation, the suspicion generally falls on the details of the galaxy formation model rather than on the accuracy of the population synthesis model. Charlot, Worthey & Bressan (1996) carried out a comparison of their respective stellar population synthesis models and reached the following cautionary conclusion, quoting directly from the abstract of their paper: “There appear to be persistent problems in virtually every ingredient of population synthesis models”. Whilst the accuracy of population synthesis models has improved since the mid-1990s, there are still areas where there are discrepancies, particularly in the near-infrared; this can be traced to the way in which the models attempt to follow the thermal pulsations of stars which have left the main sequence for the asymptotic giant branch – AGB stars, (Maraston 1998; 2005; Bruzual & Charlot 2003). Bruzual & Charlot (2003) produced an update of their earlier models with higher spectral resolution (3 Å), the ability to incorporate some absorption lines and a treatment of AGB stars. Vazdekis (1999) produced models with even higher resolution over a limited range of wavelengths (see also Le Borgne et al. 2004).

The majority of the early semi-analytical models did not explicitly take into account the impact of dust on the spectral energy distribution of stars. By allowing themselves the freedom to rescale the luminosity of the model galaxy by a fixed factor in order to match the observed luminosity function at $L_*$, some of the resulting reduction in luminosity could be blamed on dust extinction, although the attenuation factor would
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necessarily be the same at all wavelengths (e.g. Cole et al. 1994; Baugh et al. 1998). Lacey et al. (1993) were the first to incorporate extinction, invoking a screen of dust sitting between the galaxy and the observer, with the optical depth of the slab scaling with the metallicity of the cold gas, as computed self-consistently from a chemical evolution model (see also Guiderdoni et al. 1998). In other models, the optical depth of the slab is modelled empirically (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 1999; Somerville & Primack 1999). In reality, the stars and dust are mixed together. The propagation of starlight through the interstellar medium of a galaxy therefore requires a radiative transfer calculation, which takes into account the geometry and size of the galaxy (Silva et al. 1998; Ferrara et al. 1999). The Cole et al. (2000) model uses the results of the radiative transfer calculations carried out by Ferrara et al (1999) to compute a self-consistent optical depth for each galaxy, based on the model predictions for the metallicity of the cold gas and the size of the disk and bulge components.

The energy absorbed by the dust heats the dust grains, resulting in emission at longer wavelengths, in the far-infra red and sub-millimetre ranges of the electromagnetic spectrum. A simple estimate of the luminosity at these wavelengths can be made by assuming a dust mass and temperature for each galaxy. Kaviani, Haehnelt & Kauffman (2003) took this approach to generate number counts of sub-millimetre selected galaxies using the star formation histories predicted by the semi-analytic galaxy formation model of Kauffmann et al. (1999). However, the resulting luminosity is extremely sensitive to the assumed dust temperature, scaling as the sixth power of the dust temperature for a standard choice of dust emissivity. Guiderdoni et al. (1998) were the first to compute the dust emission in a semi-analytical model, though their model did not follow galaxy mergers explicitly. Devriendt & Guiderdoni (2000) combined their semi-analytic model with empirical templates for the spectral energy distribution in the far-infrared. Granato et al. (2000) combined the semi-analytic model of Cole et al. (2000) with the spectro-photometric model developed by Silva et al. (1998). Baugh et al. (2005) applied the machinery developed by Granato et al. to devise the only model at the time of writing which has been able to provide a reasonable match to the present day galaxy luminosity function in the optical and near and far-infrared at the same time as matching the observed number of sub-millimetre sources at high redshift, along with the luminosity function of Lyman-break galaxies.

4. Semi-analytical modelling or direct simulation?

We now compare the ways in which semi-analytical models and direct numerical simulations of gas and dark matter treat the key ingredients of galaxy formation as set out in Section 3, highlighting both the common ground and the differences between the two approaches. For completeness, we first give a brief overview of the techniques used in gas dynamics simulations and explain how they are applied to address various problems (section 4.1). We then discuss two aspects of galaxy formation modelling that are carried out in different ways if a semi-analytical model is incorporated into a
high resolution N-body simulation: the construction of dark matter halo merger trees (section 4.2) and the merging of galaxies (section 4.3). After this, we turn our attention to the physics of the baryonic component and assess how the prescriptions used in semi-analytic models compare with the results of direct simulations, considering gas cooling (section 4.4), star formation (section 4.5), feedback processes (section 4.6) and the angular momentum of galactic disks (section 4.7).

4.1. Gas dynamics techniques

There are two principle algorithms in common use to follow the hydrodynamics of gas in an expanding universe: particle based, Lagrangian schemes, which employ a technique called smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH, Monaghan 1992; Couchman, Thomas & Pearce 1995; Gnedin 1995; Springel & Hernquist 2003; Wadsley, Stadel & Quinn 2004) and grid based, Eulerian schemes (e.g. Ryu et al. 1993; Cen & Ostriker 1999).

In an SPH simulation, two sets of particles are used, one to trace the dark matter and one to represent the baryonic component of the universe. The dark matter particles are collisionless responding only to the gravitational force exerted by the other particles, whereas the baryonic particles can also feel pressure and dissipate energy through cooling. The local thermodynamic properties of the gas particles are computed by averaging over a number of neighbours, typically around 32 particles (see Springel & Hernquist 2003 for a recent discussion of an SPH scheme).

The SPH technique has traditionally achieved superior resolution compared with fixed grid schemes, due to its Lagrangian nature. The SPH particles move to the regions of interest, giving improved spatial resolution in regions where it is required, and, consequently, poorer resolution in voids. The spatial resolution attained using fixed-grid schemes typically lags more than ten years behind the standards of SPH simulations (Pearce et al. 1999). The SPH method does have a number of drawbacks, however. In addition to the limited description of low density regions, SPH algorithms require numerical aids such as artificial viscosity to improve the handling of shock waves and strong density gradients, because the smoothing inherent in the approach smears out these features; the scheme may also violate conservation of various quantities (Okamoto et al. 2003). Grid based codes deal much better with shocks and discontinuities (e.g. Ryu et al. 1993; Quilis, Ibanez & Saez 1994). Springel & Hernquist (2002) introduced a new formulation of SPH in which they integrated the entropy as a function of time rather than the thermal energy. This new implementation enjoys improved conservation of energy and entropy compared with the traditional SPH scheme and also deals better with cooling flows in less well resolved haloes.

To circumvent the spatial resolution problem faced by fixed-grid codes, much work has been done to develop adaptive-mesh refinement (AMR) codes (Bryan & Norman 1997; Teyssier 2002; Kravtsov 2003; Quilis 2004; Nagai & Kravtsov 2005). The mesh used to solve the hydrodynamic equations is refined in regions where better resolution is desirable, e.g. within dark matter haloes. Several levels of refinement can be used as
required.

Gas dynamics simulations are run in two regimes. In the first, a large representative volume of the universe is simulated, with the goal of following the properties of a population of galaxies (e.g. Blanton et al. 1999; Pearce et al. 1999). In the second, a single halo is extracted from a large volume, dark matter only simulation and resimulated at much higher resolution with gas (e.g. Frenk et al. 1996). The region of interest is simulated with much lower mass particles than used in the original simulation. In the resimulation, the region surrounding the high resolution volume is represented using higher mass particles, so that the tidal forces exerted on the high resolution structure are properly included. Early work on the formation of single galaxies used static haloes without cosmological initial conditions.

Various tests have been conducted of the gas dynamic codes on the market. Frenk et al. (1999) supplied a standard set of initial conditions, the so-called “Santa-Barbara” cluster, to the writers of a wide range of SPH and grid-based codes in order to compare their performance in modelling the adiabatic evolution of the cluster mass and gas. The best agreement was found between the predictions for the dark matter in the cluster and the worst agreement for its X-ray luminosity. Quantities such as the gas temperature and the mass fraction of gas within the virial radius were found to agree to within 10%. Thacker et al. (2000) applied standard gas simulation tests (e.g. the handling of shocks) to twelve different implementations of the SPH technique and concluded that the implementation of artificial viscosity was the main factor responsible for producing different results. O’Shea et al. (2005) compared two specific SPH and AMR codes; the entropy-conserving formulation of SPH in the GADGET code (the latest release, GADGET II is described in Springel 2005) and the adaptive mesh code ENZO (O’Shea et al. 2004). As in the case of the Santa Barbara project, good agreement was found for the dark matter, provided that a fine resolution grid was used in ENZO. The gas was allowed to evolve adiabatically. A good match was obtained between the predictions of the two approaches for the temperature, entropy and pressure of the gas in regions of high density; significant differences were reported, however, in low density regions. Kay et al. (2002) compared different implementations of recipes for star formation and feedback using the same N-body/SPH code. In the absence of any feedback, they found that the various prescriptions for star formation produced galaxies with similar stellar masses. However, as expected, these stellar masses were too high. This problem was diminished by invoking a kinematic form of supernova feedback, or by using a thermal feedback in which the reheated gas is not allowed to recool immediately.

4.2. Dark halo merger trees

As we discussed in Section 2.2.2, merger trees describing the assembly of dark matter haloes can either be extracted from an N-body simulation or grown using a theory for the distribution of progenitor masses and a Monte Carlo algorithm. N-body merger trees are generally regarded as the benchmark. As we remarked in Section 2.2.2, Monte
Carlo trees based on extended Press-Schechter theory tend to become progressively more inaccurate as they are followed over a longer interval in time. However, it turns out that the construction of a merger tree from the outputs of an N-body simulation is not trivial. The mass of a halo can decrease with time, as haloes that overlap spatially at one output time (and which could therefore be identified as a single object by a halo finding algorithm) are not necessarily gravitationally bound, and so can move apart again by a subsequent output. N-body merger trees, due to disk-space limitations, typically have poorer time resolution than a tree grown with a Monte-Carlo scheme. This means that additional care is needed when applying recipes for gas cooling and star formation, to ensure that the model predictions are insensitive to the number of timesteps. (Typically, N-body merger trees have around 50 outputs, whereas semi-analytic calculations typically use 150-300 timesteps.) The main deficiency of N-body merger trees is, however, limited mass resolution. The Millennium Simulation, despite being by far the best available in terms of providing high resolution merger trees over a wide range of masses within a single computational box, is still only able to yield trees whose mass resolution is a factor of three poorer than the standard Monte Carlo trees used, for example, in the Cole et al. model.

Several groups have used merger trees drawn from N-body simulations in their semi-analytical models, primarily to add information about the spatial distribution of galaxies to the predictions made by the galaxy formation model (Roukema et al. 1997; Kauffmann et al. 1999; Okamoto & Nagashima 2001; Hatton et al. 2003; Helly et al. 2003a; Croton et al. 2006; de Lucia et al. 2006; Kang et al. 2005; Nagashima et al. 2005c; Bower et al. 2006; Lanzoni et al. 2005). Helly et al. (2003a) carried out a systematic study of the impact of using N-body merger trees on the predictions of the semi-analytic model. The calculation using N-body merger trees does not resolve galaxies down to the same luminosity as in the case with the Monte-Carlo trees. Helly et al. found that the two approaches produced very similar predictions for the luminosity function for objects brighter than around one tenth of \( L_* \); the mass resolution of the Millennium Simulation is around an order of magnitude better than that of the simulation used in the study of Helly et al., so the discrepancy in the predictions would only become apparent at even fainter luminosities. The predicted star formation rates per unit volume are similar over the bulk of the history of the universe, diverging only at \( z > 1 \) (the precise redshift depends upon the resolution of the simulation), beyond which the N-body trees underestimate the amount of star formation. This comparison can also be used to establish the accuracy of the Monte Carlo trees. Helly et al. found that they could reproduce the results obtained with N-body trees if two adjustments were made to the Monte-Carlo trees. Firstly, they set the resolution of the Monte-Carlo trees to match the minimum halo mass available in the N-body trees. Secondly, they applied an empirical correction to the distribution of progenitor masses expected in extended Press-Schechter model, in order to boost the number of massive halo progenitors (see Tormen 1998; Benson et al. 2001).

The advent of large volume, high resolution simulations such as the Millennium,
with around twenty million dark haloes at the present day, means that careful studies can be carried out to reveal any environmental influences on the growth of dark matter haloes. The analysis of the correlation function of haloes in the Millennium has revealed a dependence of the clustering strength on the formation time of the halo (Gao et al. 2005b; Harker et al. 2006; see also Wechsler et al. 2005; Reed et al. 2006b; Zhu et al. 2006).

4.3. Galaxy mergers

Semi-analytical models use dynamical friction arguments to compute the time needed for the orbit of a satellite galaxy to decay, causing it to merge with the central galaxy within a dark matter halo, as discussed in Section 3.5 (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 1993; Cole et al. 1994). More detailed analytical techniques have also been incorporated into the models, which include the stripping of mass from the satellites and other effects which promote the loss of orbital energy (Benson et al. 2002b).

Modern high resolution N-body simulations are able to resolve substructure within dark matter haloes. These substructures are the high density cores of the progenitors of the halo, which retain their identity after the more diffuse outer parts of their haloes have been tidally stripped. The resolution of substructure therefore offers an alternative to the analytic schemes to track galaxy mergers. A galaxy is assigned to the most bound particle in the halo in which it first forms. When this host halo merges with a more massive halo, the galaxy is assumed to track the most bound particle in the progenitor halo, which itself is part of the substructure that persists after the halo merger. As the substructure orbits within the more massive halo its mass is stripped until the point is reached where it can no longer be identified as a substructure, which occurs when the number of particles associated with the structure falls below the resolution limit of the group finder. At this point, a dynamical friction clock is started to monitor the final stages of the galaxy merger. Several such “hybrid” merger schemes have been implemented, either in high resolution simulations of individual dark haloes, or more recently, within cosmological volumes (Springel et al. 2001, Kang et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006; de Lucia et al. 2006; Bower et al. 2006).

4.4. Gas cooling

The recipe for the cooling and accretion of gas lies at the very heart of semi-analytical modelling of galaxy formation. The calculation of the cooling rate is carried out under specialized conditions and depends upon a number of assumptions and approximations, as set out in Section 3.4. It is therefore essential to test the accuracy of the cooling model against the results of gas dynamics simulations, which treat the problem under less restrictive conditions.

The sceptical reader may question if it is wise to calibrate a simple recipe against the results of numerical simulations, which themselves may not give an accurate description of how gas cools and accumulates in galaxies. One could argue that since the gas
Figure 13. The distribution of cold gas and dark matter in two “stripped-down” calculations of gas cooling (Helly et al. 2003b). The image on the left shows the results from an SPH simulation and the one on the right the predictions of a semi-analytical model which used the same dark matter haloes and merger trees. The dark matter is shown in grey. The different colour circles indicate different gas masses, with red indicating the highest mass. Based on a similar figure by Helly et al. (2003b).

simulations tend to overproduce massive galaxies, they must be considered to be incorrect at some level. However, the problem of “super-sized” galaxies is intimately connected to a number of processes in addition to gas cooling, such as star formation and feedback, so it is not clear that the treatment of gas cooling in the simulations is to blame. On the contrary, the infall of baryons into the gravitational potential wells of dark haloes and the radiative cooling of the gas is the one process which different numerical simulators, using different codes and algorithms, seem to agree upon.

The semi-analytic cooling model can be tested by designing a numerical simulation in which the less well understood phenomena, namely star formation and feedback, are simply omitted. These conditions are easily replicated in a semi-analytical model, due to its modular nature. The resulting calculation will not produce a galaxy mass function that agrees with observations. However, this is not the aim of the experiment. Such a test has been carried out by the Durham and Munich semi-analytic modellers. Benson et al. (2001) compared the cold gas mass functions in a SPH simulation with radiative cooling and a “stripped-down” semi-analytical model using Monte-Carlo merger trees. Yoshida et al. (2002) and Helly et al. (2003b) extended this work to an object-by-object comparison, using halo merger trees drawn from an N-body simulation in the semi-analytical model. The level of agreement found by these studies is reassuring. The semi-analytical models have the flexibility to explore different density profiles for the hot gas halo. Good agreement with the simulation results was found for a particular choice of gas profile, which is not necessarily the one used in the “bells and whistles” semi-analytic models in which the goal is to reproduce the observed luminosity function.
Despite these encouraging results, there has been much debate in the literature regarding the validity of the cooling recipe used in semi-analytical models. Tracking the thermal history of particles in SPH simulations reveals that a significant fraction of the gas never reaches the virial temperatures typical of galactic haloes, $\approx 10^5 - 10^6$ K (Katz & Gunn 1991; Navarro & White 1994; Kay et al. 2000; Keres et al. 2005). Kay et al. (2000) found that only 11% of the particles found in galaxies in their simulations experience temperatures in excess of $10^5$ K. Binney (2004) remarks that shocks would not be efficient enough to heat the gas at a sufficient rate to reach the virial temperature whilst competing with radiative cooling, pointing out that his earlier work on this topic (Binney 1977) had been widely misquoted as supporting the standard cooling picture set out in Section 3.1. Birnboim & Dekel (2003) reached a similar conclusion using spherically symmetric analytic calculations to compute the halo mass below which a quasi-static hot halo does not form. The picture could be even more complicated. Maller & Bullock (2004) argued that the hot gas halo is prone to thermal instabilities which can result in fragmentation of the hot halo into warm, pressure-supported clouds, similar to high velocity clouds. This process leads to a reduction of the cooling rate in massive haloes, offering another route to the suppression of the formation of massive galaxies (see also Sommer-Larsen 2006; Fukugita & Peebles 2006; Kaufmann et al. 2006).

Keres et al. (2005) addressed the question of how galaxies acquire their gas in detail using SPH simulations. These authors characterize their results in terms of two cooling regimes: a cold mode in which gas is funnelled down filaments onto galaxies and a hot mode in which gas cools from a quasi-static halo. The cold mode is found to dominate in low mass haloes ($3 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$) and at high redshift ($z > 3$). Keres et al. present an interesting discussion of the implications of their results, speculating that the formation of disks could be linked to gas acquired during the cold accretion mode and that bulges could result from the “traditional” hot accretion mode. In this scenario, most of the energy radiated by gas cooling to form galaxies is emitted at around $10^5$ K and appears in Ly-$\alpha$ rather than in the X-rays expected if the gas was cooling from higher virial temperatures (Haiman, Spaans & Quataert 2000; Fardal et al. 2001). Thus, this model may explain the general lack of X-ray emission observed from galactic haloes which host spiral galaxies; such emission would be expected in the standard cooling model (Benson et al. 2000; we note, however, that a recent detection of an extended X-ray halo around a spiral galaxy implying gas cooling has been reported by Pedersen et al. 2005).

Keres et al. suggest that the cooling recipe used in semi-analytic models requires significant revision in light of their simulation results. Croton et al. (2006) counter this argument by pointing out that the cooling model of White & Frenk (1991), as set out in Section 3.1 contains two timescales which set the rate at which the gas is incorporated into a galactic disk; the cooling time ($t_{\text{cool}}$) and the free-fall time ($t_{\text{ff}}$). At high redshift, the cooling times are short and it is the free fall time that determines how quickly gas can accure in the disk. There is little change in the amount of gas added to the disk even if the cooling time is arbitrarily set to zero in this regime. At later times, the cooling time lengthens as the density of the gas drops. The first scenario,
where $t_{\text{cool}} \ll t_{\text{ff}}$ can be identified with the cold accretion mode and the second, in which $t_{\text{cool}} \gg t_{\text{ff}}$, can be associated with the hot accretion mode. Keres et al. argue that the halo mass marking the transition between these regimes in the semi-analytical models is different from that found in their simulations. However, Croton et al. call into question the density estimation used by Keres et al. to compute their gas cooling rates. One outstanding difference, however, is the geometry of the accretion in the two modes. It will be interesting to investigate if this really does alter the amount of angular momentum added to the disk by the gas accumulated in the cold accretion mode. It will also be instructive to track the thermal history of halo gas in an AMR calculation (e.g. Quilis 2004), which treats the shocks in a different way to that used by SPH.

Numerical and semi-analytical simulations suffer from an overcooling problem, if there is no attempt to include some form of feedback process in the calculation. A good example of this is given by the comparison of the galaxy mass functions predicted by a semi-analytical model and a SPH simulation run using the same dark matter merger trees, as shown by Fig. 1 of Berlind et al. (2003). Part of this problem can be traced to the way in which the local density is estimated once gas starts to cool within a halo. If hot and cold particles are in close proximity, the presence of the dense cold gas can lead to an overestimate of the hot gas density, thus enhancing the cooling rate. Pearce et al. (1999) used a “decoupling” technique to estimate the hot gas density, in which particles with temperatures below 12 000K are ignored when computing the density of gas particles with temperatures in excess of $T = 10^5$K. Scannapieco et al. (2006) describe a SPH code with a co-spatial treatment of the hot and cold phases of the interstellar medium.

Finally, we note that, in the interests of simplicity and speed, older numerical simulations tended to adopt either a fixed metallicity when computing the rate at which gas cools (e.g. a primordial gas composition or some fraction of solar metallicity) or, in some cases, used a fixed global metallicity with some ad-hoc time evolution. We saw in Section 3.1 that the metallicity of the gas can make a significant impact upon the cooling time. Scannapieco et al. (2005) demonstrated that adopting a self-consistent calculation of the timescale for gas cooling in a model in which the gas metallicity evolves according to a chemical evolution model produces galaxies which are 25% bigger than if a fixed, primordial metallicity is assumed.

4.5. Star formation

Kay et al. (2002) present a useful review of the various prescriptions used in gas dynamical simulations to turn cold gas into stars (see also Thacker & Couchman 2000). The simulators have more information at their disposal than the semi-analytical modellers, which they can incorporate into the star formation recipe, such as the overdensity of cold gas, the motion of the gas and its temperature. Some simulators adopt a rule based upon the Schmidt law, which is closer to the approach taken in the semi-analytical models (e.g. Okamoto et al. 2005). However, the prescriptions used in
the simulations are still nevertheless recipes, because of the lack of a detailed theory of star formation and the impossibility of achieving the resolution necessary to follow the full range of physics that such a theory is likely to involve. Typically, once a packet of cold gas satisfies the conditions for star formation, the SPH particle spawns a star particle, and the mass of the SPH particle is reduced accordingly. The star particle is collisionless, i.e. it only responds to the gravity of the other particles and not to pressure forces. Kay et al. tested the various implementations of star formation and found that, in the absence of some form of feedback to regulate the star formation rate, all of the algorithms produce too many bright (or massive) galaxies. When the parameters of the various schemes were adjusted to produce the same global stellar mass density, Kay et al. found little difference between the results obtained with a Schmidt law prescription and those from the more complicated recipes.

4.6. Feedback

Kay et al. (2002) review and test different implementations of feedback used in numerical simulations. Two classes of feedback are considered, thermal and kinetic, which differ in the way in which the energy released by supernova explosions influences the interstellar medium. In thermal feedback, the energy from the supernova heats the interstellar medium (Katz 1992). At high redshift when gas densities are very high, such heating has little effect upon the ISM, which simply radiates the additional energy away very rapidly. The impact of thermal feedback can be increased if the heated gas is only allowed to cool after a delay corresponding to the timescale of stellar associations (∼30Myr; Gerritsen 1997) or if the supernova heating causes turbulence that adds to the pressure of the gas (Springel 2000). Navarro & White (1993) considered both thermal and kinetic feedback, parameterising the fraction of the supernova energy put into each mode. In kinetic feedback, the energy released by the supernova is used to boost the kinetic energy of the ISM. Navarro & White found that simulations with a higher proportion of kinetic feedback resulted in lower star formation rates, whereas the star formation rates were unaffected in the runs where thermal feedback dominated. Springel & Hernquist (2003) used an even more extreme form of kinetic feedback, in the same spirit as the superwinds described in Section 3.3.

Semi-analytical models effectively use kinetic feedback, as they assume that energy injection results in cold gas being moved out of galactic disks. Cole et al. (1994) used a feedback recipe based upon the simulation results of Navarro & White (1993).

4.7. The angular momentum of galactic disks

The production of realistic disk galaxies has been a long standing problem for numerical simulations. Due to the high efficiency with which gas can cool at the high densities encountered at early times, disks tend to form by the coalescence of lumps of cold gas. As these lumps merge together, dynamical friction drains them of angular momentum, which is transferred to the outer parts of the dark matter halo (Navarro & White 1994).
The resulting disk retains an order of magnitude less angular momentum than originally possessed by the gas, resulting in a linear size for the disk that is much smaller than is observed for typical disk galaxies (e.g. Sommer-Larsen, Gelato & Vedel 1999; Abadi et al. 2003).

Understanding and preventing the loss of angular momentum is the key to obtaining disks that look like real spiral galaxies. In semi-analytical modelling, the assumption that the angular momentum of the cooling gas is conserved leads to disk scale-lengths that agree well with observations (Mo, Mao & White 1998; de Jong & Lacey 2000; Cole et al. 2000; Firmani & Avila-Reese 2000). Cole et al. demonstrate how changing the strength of supernova feedback causes a change in the scalelength distribution of disks. If gas is allowed to cool in low mass haloes, then the predicted median sizes are too small.

A range of possible solutions have been proposed to the angular momentum problem in numerical simulations, ranging from numerical to astrophysical. Limited mass or force resolution and other numerical artefacts in the SPH method itself may contribute to the loss of angular momentum (Sommer-Larsen, Gelato & Vedel 1999; Okamoto et al. 2003; Governato et al. 2004). Governato et al. (2004) point out that gas dynamic simulations, due to the added computational overhead, have traditionally employed far fewer particles to study the formation of disks than are used to attack the far simpler problem of establishing the internal structure of dark matter haloes. Sommer-Larsen & Dolgov (2001) simulated the formation of disk galaxies in a warm dark matter universe and obtained galaxies whose properties were in better agreement with those of observed galaxies. Replacing cold dark matter by warm dark matter reduces the amount of small scale power which means that there are fewer low mass haloes in which gas may cool at high redshift and there are also fewer satellite galaxies to heat any disks that do form (see also Governato et al. 2004).

Most attention, however, has been directed towards astrophysical solutions which involve putting some form of feedback into the simulations to prevent gas from cooling in low mass haloes. Weil, Eke & Efstathiou (1998) prevented the cooling of gas by hand until the bulk of the mass of the galactic halo had been assembled ($z \approx 1$) to obtain disk galaxies which have the required specific angular momentum and which are not too centrally concentrated. Sommer-Larsen, Gelato & Vedel (1999) found that including strong feedback resulted in disks with scale lengths approaching those observed. Thacker & Couchman (2001) used a feedback scheme in which the energy injected by supernovae persists in the interstellar medium for around 30Myr, before the gas is allowed to radiate the energy away. Sommer-Larsen, Gotz & Portinari (2003) found that simulations with a strong early burst of star formation responsible for blowing away much of the cold gas in the halo before it is turned into stars produced disks with only a factor of two less specific angular momentum than observed. Okamoto et al. (2005) used the top-heavy IMF in starbursts proposed in the semi-analytical model of Baugh et al. (2005) as a solution to the problem of matching the number counts of galaxies detected at sub-millimetre wavelengths to produce disk galaxies. Robertson et al. (2004) use a
“subresolution” (semi-analytical) model to implement star formation and feedback in a multiphase interstellar medium. They find that the pressure support provided to the ISM by the processes of star formation and feedback allows the gas to retain more of its angular momentum, leading to a realistic disk galaxy, without a bulge component. Robertson et al. (2006) develop this idea further to propose a merger driven scenario in which disks are the remnants of mergers between gas rich progenitors.

Governato et al. (2004) have argued that much of the angular momentum loss can be traced to limited mass or force resolution in previous simulations. These authors are able to produce viable disk galaxies without resorting to a significant injection of energy through feedback. However, the gas in the Governato et al. simulations has a primordial composition, which reduces the rate at which it cools by almost an order of magnitude in haloes with circular velocities around 60 kms$^{-1}$.

5. Successes and failures of hierarchical galaxy formation: areas for future improvement

To complete this review, we discuss some of the areas in which the semi-analytical approach has enjoyed some notable successes and also those areas in which it has struggled or even failed to match observations. The continued evolution of the models is driven by the datasets for which the model predictions are at odds with the observations. Hence, the topics below in which difficulties are highlighted are likely to be those which will lead to future improvements to the modelling of the physics of galaxy formation.

5.1. Small scale fluctuations: problems for the cold dark matter model?

First, we assess the health of the background cosmological model in which the semi-analytical models discussed in this review are set. We have already seen in the introduction that the cold dark matter model gives an impressively good description of the large scale fluctuations in the universe, as measured in the CMB and the large scale clustering of galaxies (e.g. Sanchez et al. 2006). How does the CDM model fare on smaller scales which are of direct relevance for galaxy formation? Over the past decade, two serious challenges have emerged to the cold dark matter model: the abundance of sub-haloes in galactic sized haloes (the “satellite problem”) and the shape of the inner density profile of cold dark matter haloes (the “cuspy core problem”). The satellite problem is the result that high resolution simulations of galactic sized dark matter haloes reveal a plethora of substructures, and look liked scaled down versions of cluster mass dark haloes (Moore et al. 1999a). Clusters contain many galaxies, so should we expect galactic haloes to also contain numerous satellite galaxies in the cold dark matter model? The number of satellite galaxies detected to date in the local group is over an order of magnitude fewer than would be expected from simple predictions based on the mass and number of dark matter substructures. The cuspy core problem relates to the steepness of the central density profiles of cold dark matter haloes (e.g. Power et al.
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2003). Simple predictions of the rotation curves of cold dark matter haloes fail to match measurements made for a sample of low surface brightness galaxies dominated by dark matter, implying that the CDM haloes are too cuspy (Moore et al. 1999b). These two challenges to the cold dark matter model have generated a huge amount of interest. Two types of solution have been proposed. The first class focuses on modifying the properties of the dark matter particle. The problem of the over-abundance of low mass haloes and the cuspy inner density profiles of dark matter haloes can both be addressed by reducing the amount of small scale power below that predicted by the cold dark matter model. Possible ways to achieve this which have been put forwards include self-interacting dark matter (Spergel & Steinhardt 2000) and replacing the cold dark matter by warm dark matter (e.g. Bode, Ostriker & Turok 2001). Changing the nature of the dark matter has the drawback that it will be harder to account for the reionisation of the universe at high redshift (e.g. Spergel et al. 2003); if the level of density fluctuations is reduced on small scales, then there will be fewer low mass haloes at high redshift, delaying the formation of the more massive structures which host the galaxies that produce large quantities of ionising photons. The second type of solution is to retain the cold dark matter framework whilst improving the treatment of the astrophysical phenomena which may influence the predictions of the model on these scales. For example, the number of luminous satellites within galactic haloes can be strongly affected by a number of processes: supernova feedback, the impact of a photoionising background on galaxy cooling and dynamical processes such as ram pressure stripping (e.g. Benson et al. 2002a, 2002b; Somerville 2002).

5.2. The present day galaxy luminosity function

The luminosity function is the most fundamental description of the galaxy population. Current determinations are able to measure the luminosity function to exquisite accuracy, with, for the most part, random errors which are smaller than the remaining systematic errors (Norberg et al. 2002b; Blanton et al. 2003). This observational breakthrough has led to a vigorous overhaul of the cooling and feedback prescriptions used in semi-analytical models. The faint end of the luminosity function can be matched with a combination of supernova feedback and the suppression of gas cooling in low mass haloes as a result of the presence of a background of photo-ionising radiation (e.g. Benson et al. 2002a). Matching the bright end of the luminosity function has proven to be a more challenging problem, with a variety of phenomena proposed, such as the injection of energy into the hot gas halo to change its density, the heating of the hot gas to balance cooling and superwinds, which drive cold gas out of quite large galaxies (see Benson et al. 2003 for a comparison of the effectiveness of different prescriptions; see also Kauffmann et al. 1999; Somerville & Primack 1999; Bower et al. 2001; Hatton et al. 2003). Any phenomenon which leads to a model successfully matching the observed break in the luminosity function uses up a dangerously high fraction of the energy released by supernova explosions. This led to the consideration of other possible energy
sources and the implementation of AGN feedback into the models (Croton et al. 2006; Bower et al. 2006; see also Granato et al. 2004). These models yield exceptionally faithful reproductions of the observed luminosity function. In view of the success of these first attempts to explicitly include the impact of AGN on galaxy formation, the energetics and the mechanics of the feedback required need to be considered in more detail. Do we actually observe the amount of AGN activity implied by the models and does this activity occur in the same type of objects that are observed to have AGN? One feature of the new models which gives cause for concern is the need for extremely strong supernova feedback (e.g. the model of Bower et al. requires effective supernova feedback in galaxies with large circular velocities). Future work could focus on developing the cooling model further by looking at the response of the gas profile to entropy floors (Balogh, Babul & Patton 1999; McCarthy et al. 2004). The next generation of the implementation of AGN feedback in semi-analytical models will be guided by detailed, high resolution simulations of the effects of energy input from AGN (e.g. Quilis, Bower & Balogh 2001; Dalla Vecchia et al. 2004; Ruszkowski, Bruggen & Begelman 2004; Springel, di Matteo & Hernquist 2005).

5.3. The scaling relations of galaxies

A number of scaling relations are observed for spiral and elliptical galaxies. Some of these reveal common properties of the stellar populations of galaxies across a wide range of galaxy masses whilst others reveal connections between the stellar populations and the structural properties of galaxies. The existence and remarkable tightness of these relations point to clues about the star formation history and assembly of galaxies.

5.3.1. Matching the zero point of the Tully Fisher relation and the normalisation of the luminosity function

A long standing problem for hierarchical models has been to match the zero-point of the Tully-Fisher relation, the observed correlation between the rotation speed and luminosity of spiral disks (Tully & Fisher 1977), at the same time as reproducing the luminosity function (Heyl et al. 1995). We caution the reader to check carefully, when presented with model predictions for the Tully-Fisher relation, as to how the circular velocity of the model galaxy has been calculated. Some models use the effective rotation speed of the dark matter halo at the virial radius as a proxy for the rotation speed of the galaxy. Other models attempt to model the rotation curve of the galaxy, including the gravity of the baryons and dark matter. In the concordance ΛCDM model, the use of the effective circular velocity of the host dark matter halo measured at the virial radius tends to produce a very good match to the observed Tully-Fisher zero-point. To date, no model with a realistic calculation of galaxy size has been able to match the zero-point using the circular velocity of the disk measured at the half mass radius. This could imply that the model galaxies are either too condensed or contain too much mass. The first possibility points to a problem with the concentrations predicted for dark matter haloes in the cold dark matter model or calls into question
some of the approximations used in the size calculation, such as adiabatic contraction of the baryons and dark matter (although this prescription has been tested successfully against numerical simulations e.g. Choi et al. 2006).

5.3.2. The fundamental plane of elliptical galaxies
The radii, velocity dispersions and luminosities of elliptical galaxies show tight correlations which can be described by the so-called “fundamental plane” (Djorgovski & Davies 1987; Dressler et al. 1987). Semi-analytical models have been able to produce a reasonable match to the local fundamental plane (Hatton et al. 2003; Almeida et al. 2006). However, the models do not reproduce the evolution seen in the zero-point of the plane, despite matching the evolution inferred in the mass-to-light ratios of ellipticals (Almeida et al. 2006). This implies that another property of ellipticals is evolving at a rate which is at odds with observations to cancel the evolution in the mass-to-light ratios. Furthermore, one of the projections of the fundamental plane, between radius and luminosity is too shallow in the models (Almeida et al. 2006). This could point to a problem in the way in which the size of merger remnants is computed in the models.

5.3.3. The sizes of galaxies
The scaling relations discussed in the preceding two subsections rely upon the calculation of the scalelengths of galaxies. Although sophisticated algorithms are now in use to compute sizes in some models, the problems experienced in matching the Tully-Fisher relation for spirals or the radius luminosity relation for ellipticals suggest the need for further improvements to these calculations. One area in which the semi-analytical recipe is undoubtedly oversimplified is in the tracking of the angular momentum vector or spin of a galaxy during mergers. In the models, the total angular momentum of the gas is conserved as it cools to form a disk, but no attention is paid to the direction of the angular momentum vector. In numerical simulations, the angular momentum vector of a galaxy is seen to change orientation after merger events, which could explain some of the difficulties in finding galaxies of the observed radius (Okamoto et al. 2005). The first steps towards a more accurate modelling of the accretion of angular momentum have already been taken (van den Bosch 2001; Bullock et al. 2001a; van den Bosch 2002; Chen & Jing 2002; Maller & Dekel 2002).

5.4. The metal enrichment of the intracluster medium and the abundance ratios of elliptical galaxies
Semi-analytical models can now follow the delayed enrichment of the ISM and IGM due to type Ia supernova explosions (Nagashima & Okamoto 2006). By explicitly tracking the ejecta of type Ia and type II supernovae, predictions can be made for the production of $\alpha$ elements (e.g. O, Mg) and for iron-peak elements. The gas in hot, X-ray emitting clusters shows an $\alpha$ to iron ratio in excess of the solar value. The metal content of the ICM depends upon the star formation histories of the galaxies in the clusters and
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the way in which metals are expelled from the galaxies. The semi-analytical models do not reproduce the \( \alpha \)-enhancement of the ICM when star formation takes place with a standard IMF. However, if a top-heavy IMF is adopted in star bursts, the models can match the observed abundances of \( \text{O, Mg, Si and Fe} \) (Nagashima et al. 2005a). The same model with a top-heavy IMF can also match the abundance of \( \alpha \)-elements observed in elliptical galaxies (Nagashima et al. 2005b). However, this model does not reproduce the observed trend of \( \alpha/\text{Fe} \) increasing with the velocity dispersion of the galaxy; if anything, the models display a decrease of \( \alpha \)-enhancement with increasing velocity dispersion.

5.5. Tracing galaxy formation and evolution over the history of the universe

The first observations of significant numbers of high redshift galaxies provided an important challenge to galaxy formation modellers. Could the models, whose parameters were set with reference to the local universe, produce predictions which also matched the high redshift universe? Baugh et al. (1998) showed that the Cole et al. (1994) model gave a remarkably close match to the star formation history of the universe inferred by Madau et al. (1996) and the luminosity function of Lyman break galaxies at \( z \sim 3 \) (Steidel et al. 1999; see also the model of Somerville, Primack & Faber 2001). As the models become more sophisticated, it is unlikely that the predictions for the high redshift universe are unique, once the model parameters have been set against a local reference point. Nevertheless, useful conclusions can still be reached, provided that the high redshift observations are not treated in isolation, but instead in the context of a model which still aims to explain the local universe. For example, using the superwind version of the Durham model, Baugh et al. (2005) were able to reproduce the number counts of sub-millimetre selected galaxies, the luminosity function of Lyman-break galaxies at \( z = 3 \) and \( z = 4 \), whilst retaining a fair match to the present day optical and far infrared luminosity functions. However, this was only possible with the controversial assumption of a top-heavy initial mass function for star formation in merger driven starbursts. This model can also account for the metallicity of the intra-cluster medium and elliptical galaxies (Nagashima et al. 2005a,b). Granato et al. (2004) are able to account for the abundance of dusty galaxies without resort to changing the stellar initial mass function, but only considered the formation of spheroids. It will be interesting to see the predictions of the Croton et al. (2006) and Bower et al. (2006) models for the number of sub-millimetre emitting galaxies.

5.6. Galaxy downsizing: which galaxies are actively forming stars?

Observations indicate that the bulk of star formation since \( z \sim 1 \) has taken place in intermediate mass galaxies, rather than in the most massive galaxies (e.g. Cowie et al. 1996; Kodama et al. 2004). Coupled with estimates of the stellar mass function which appear to show substantial numbers of massive galaxies in place at \( z > 1 \) (e.g. Drory et al. 2005), this has been interpreted by some as evidence against hierarchical models
and in favour of a monolithic collapse scenario. The naive expectation is that since the more massive haloes are assembled at relatively recent epochs in hierarchical models, then the most massive galaxies should also be acquiring their stellar mass at the same time. In practice this is not the case. Baugh et al. (1999) showed that the star formation history of galaxies in clusters is shifted to earlier epochs relative to the field. A galactic halo which will ultimately become part of a cluster will begin to collapse at an earlier epoch than the same mass halo in a more average density environment, which in turn means that stars form earlier in the progenitor of the cluster halo. A significant fraction of the mass of massive galaxies is brought in through galaxy mergers. Typically, these are gas-poor mergers that occur since $z \sim 1$, which simply reassemble pre-existing stars into a spheroid (Baugh et al. 1996b; an excellent discussion of how brightest cluster galaxies acquire their mass can be found in De Lucia & Blaizot 2006). Observational evidence exists for these gas-poor or “dry” mergers (van Dokkum 2005; Bell et al. 2006). It is true however, that without some means of suppressing the cooling of gas in massive haloes, there is too much star formation activity in massive galaxies at the present day in the models, which could obscure the observational signatures of “downsizing”. The AGN feedback models help to redress this (Croton et al. 2006; de Lucia et al. 2006, Bower et al. 2006). Croton et al. and de Lucia et al. show that in the model with AGN feedback, high velocity dispersion galaxies have older stellar populations. Bower et al. demonstrate that, for massive galaxies, the star formation rate in objects of a given mass declines to the present day, giving a reasonable match to observational estimates (Juneau et al. 2005; Bauer, Drory & Hill 2005). There still appears to be additional recent suppression of star formation observed in massive galaxies over and above that predicted by the models, which suggests than the onset of AGN feedback may need to be felt more keenly at earlier epochs, perhaps by more rapid growth of the black hole mass.

5.7. Massive galaxies at high redshift

There is now a significant amount of deep, multiband photometry which has been exploited to estimate the stellar mass function of galaxies across a wide range of redshifts (e.g. Fontana et al. 2004; Glazebrook et al. 2004; Drory et al. 2005). Several models are on the market which attempt to explain these observations of the high redshift universe. Nagamine et al. (2004, 2005) use numerical simulations to argue that hierarchical models do not have a problem in accounting for massive red galaxies at high redshift. However, these authors do not present predictions for the luminosity function at the present day, which, as we have argued is an important constraint on the gas cooling and feedback prescriptions used in the semi-analytical models. Granato et al. (2004) reproduce the high redshift evolution of the K-band luminosity function and the abundance of sources detected at sub-millimetre wavelengths. However, their model does not treat the disk population and does not extend to the present day, so the high redshift successes may come at a high price. Bower et al. (2006) find good agreement with the estimated stellar
mass function up to $z \sim 5$, with more massive objects in place at earlier times than is predicted by previous versions of the Durham model. Again, caution should be exercised when comparing model predictions for the stellar mass function with observational estimates. Drory, Bender & Hopp (2004) showed how estimates of the stellar mass from broad band photometry at low redshift compare with dynamical estimates. Eke et al. (2006) repeated this test for the Durham semi-analytic model, in which case the true stellar mass is known a priori. Both studies show that there is a scatter and systematic differences between the mass estimated from photometry and the dynamical (Drory, Bender & Hopp 2004) or true stellar mass (Eke et al. 2006). Both effects are likely to increase in the case of optical and near infra-red photometry of high redshift galaxies, as a result of the wavelength coverage shifting towards the rest frame ultraviolet as the redshift at which the galaxy is observed increases. The model predictions should be convolved with the estimated errors in the stellar mass determination, which could have a significant impact upon the high mass end of the mass function, before comparing with the observational estimates.

6. Summary

The goal of this review was to provide an overview of the physics of hierarchical galaxy formation and, in doing so, to convince the reader that semi-analytical models provide a powerful, complementary approach to studying galaxy formation to that offered by numerical simulations. The semi-analytical models currently provide the most detailed and complete predictions for the properties of the galaxy population in cold dark matter universes. The modular framework of the models means that it is straightforward to revise the description of the various phenomena, as required to reproduce the results of more detailed (and expensive) numerical simulations or as motivated by the need to reproduce new observations. In the future, the semi-analytical models will become more intimately linked with dark matter only N-body simulations, either the next generation of post-Millennium, high resolution simulations of cosmologically significant volumes or ultra-high resolution resimulations of individual dark matter structures. There is still a long way to go, but armed with this theoretical machinery and the ever increasing data on the high redshift data universe, these are promising times for advancing our understanding of how galaxies are made.
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