On the asymptotic giant branch star origin of peculiar spinel grain OC2
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ABSTRACT

Context. Microscopic presolar grains extracted from primitive meteorites have extremely anomalous isotopic compositions revealing the stellar origin of these grains. Multiple elements in single presolar grains can be analysed with sensitive mass spectrometers, providing precise sets of isotopic compositions to be matched by theoretical models of stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis.

Aims. The composition of presolar spinel grain OC2 is different from that of all other presolar spinel grains. In particular, large excesses of the heavy Mg isotopes are present and thus an origin from an intermediate-mass (IM) asymptotic giant branch (AGB) star was previously proposed for this grain. We discuss the O, Mg, Al, Cr and Fe isotopic compositions of presolar spinel grain OC2 and compare them to theoretical predictions.

Methods. We use detailed models of the evolution and nucleosynthesis of AGB stars of different masses and metallicities to compare to the composition of grain OC2. We analyse the uncertainties related to nuclear reaction rates and also discuss stellar model uncertainties.

Results. We show that the isotopic composition of O, Mg and Al in OC2 could be the signature of an AGB star of IM and metallicity close to solar experiencing hot bottom burning, or of an AGB star of low mass (LM) and low metallicity (≤0.004) suffering very efficient cool bottom processing. Large measurement uncertainty in the Fe isotopic composition prevents us from discriminating which model better represents the parent star of OC2. However, the Cr isotopic composition of the grain favors an origin in an IM-AGB star of metallicity close to solar.

Conclusions. Our IM-AGB models produce a self-consistent solution to match the composition of OC2 within the uncertainties related to reaction rates. Within this solution we predict that the $^{16}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{17}\text{F}$ and the $^{17}\text{O}(p, \alpha)^{14}\text{N}$ reaction rates should be close to their lower and upper limits, respectively. By finding more grains like OC2 and by precisely measuring their Fe and Cr isotopic compositions, it may be possible in the future to derive constraints on massive AGB models from the study of presolar grains.
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1. Introduction

Presolar grains were born in circumstellar regions around ancient stars, ejected into the interstellar medium, preserved during the formation of the Solar System, and trapped inside primitive meteorites from which they are now extracted and analysed by various microanalytical techniques. Their isotopic compositions are extremely anomalous compared to those found in the bulk of materials formed in the Solar System (e.g., Earth, meteorites, etc.). They represent a detailed record of the compositions of their parent stars, and, as such, provide major constraints for models of stellar structure and nucleosynthesis and of the chemical evolution of the Galaxy [Zinner 1998, Clayton & Nittler 2004, Lugaro 2003]. Until recently, Mg-rich presolar grains have been thought to be rare, as the dominant identified carbide (SiC, graphite) and oxide (corundum: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$) phases have low Mg contents. The situation changed with the advent of a new type of ion microprobe with improved spatial resolution and sensitivity, the Cameca NanoSIMS 50 [Hillion et al. 1994]. Using the NanoSIMS, Zinner et al. 2003 found that presolar spinel is, in fact, more abundant in meteorites than is presolar corundum, but has a finer grain size, with most grains having diameters less than 1 µm.

A presentation and discussion of the properties and the compositions of the more than 300 presolar spinel grains reported so far can be found in Zinner et al. 2003 and Nguyen, Zinner & Lewis 2003. Most presolar oxide as well
as silicate grains show enhancements in $^{17}$O and depletions in $^{18}$O similarly to what is observed in red giant and low-mass (LM) AGB stars, pointing to such an origin for the majority of these grains (Nittler et al., 1997). These compositions are explained by the effect of the first dredge-up and extra-mixing processes. We focus in this paper on the composition of a single extraordinary presolar spinel grain, named OC2. OC2, of size $\approx 0.8 \mu m$ (Fig. 1), was identified in a mixed acid residue of the Semarkona, Krymka and Bishunpur unequilibrated ordinary chondrites (Nittler & Alexander, 1999; Zinner et al., 2005). The isotopic composition of this grain (Table 1) is peculiar among presolar oxide grains. Its most remarkable feature are large excesses of the heavy Mg isotopes: $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg are enriched with respect to solar composition by 43% and 117%, respectively (Fig. 2). The Mg isotopic composition is represented in Table 1 by the $\delta$ notation:

$$\delta(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}) = \left(\frac{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_{\text{measured}}}{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_{\text{solar}}} - 1\right) \times 1000,$$

which we will use throughout this paper, where the abundant $^{24}$Mg, which represents about 79% of all magnesium in the Solar System, is used as the reference isotope.

The O isotopic composition of grain OC2 (Fig. 3) is also quite extreme with respect to typical compositions of presolar oxide grains. While its $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O ratio is enriched by a factor of 3.3 relative to solar, its $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratio is at least 26 times smaller than that of the Solar System. Presolar oxides with large $^{18}$O depletions have been classified as “Group 2” grains by Nittler et al. (1994, 1997), but these are in fact quite rare. Of some 600 known presolar corundum, hibonite (CaAl$_2$O$_3$) and spinel grains, only 10 have $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratios lower than $10^{-4}$, similar to that of OC2 (Fig. 3).

The Cr isotopic ratios were also measured in grain OC2, as well as the $^{57}$Fe/$^{56}$Fe ratio. From Table 1 we see that these values are typically solar within the error bars.

The large $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg excesses observed in OC2 led Zinner et al. (2005) to propose for this grain an origin in an
Table 1. The measured composition of presolar spinel grain OC2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OC2</th>
<th>solar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{28}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>433.0 ± 10.0</td>
<td>0.1266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{26}\text{Mg}/^{28}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>1170.0 ± 15.0</td>
<td>0.1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/Mg</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{15}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.07 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.83 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$</td>
<td>6.94 ± 1.34 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.00 x 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{54}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
<td>170 ± 191</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{52}\text{Cr}/^{56}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>26 ± 71</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{53}\text{Cr}/^{56}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>-56 ± 45</td>
<td>0.1135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{52}\text{Cr}/^{56}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>102 ± 117</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All errors are given at 1σ.

*Quoted here is the experimental statistical uncertainty, which does not take into account the possible effect of pollution of terrestrial material discussed in Sec. 4.*

Intermediate-mass (IM)-AGB star, with mass between 4 – 7 $M_\odot$ and undergoing hot bottom burning (Herwig 2005), instead of the usual low-mass origin assigned to the majority of the other presolar oxide grains. As for the late evolutionary phases of most stellar types, strong mass-loss and dust formation are observed around massive AGB stars. In fact, IM-AGB stars are part of the family of OH/IR stars, i.e. O-rich AGB stars extremely bright in the infrared, and astronomical observations indicates that OH/IR stars are the second most important source of dust in the Galaxy (Alexander 1997; Whittet 1992).

During the AGB phase, the H and He-burning shells are activated alternately in the deep layers of the star while the extended H-rich envelope loses mass through strong stellar winds. During each He-burning episode, the sudden thermal runaway triggers the whole region between the H- and the He-shell (He intershell) to become convective. After a thermal pulse (TP) quenches, the base of the convective envelope can sink into the He intershell (third dredge-up, TDU), thus carrying to the surface nuclear processed material (see Herwig 2005 for a review on AGB stars).

The nucleosynthesis occurring in IM-AGB stars is different from that occurring in LM-AGB stars because the temperature during thermal pulses can exceed ∼ 350 million degrees and thus the heavy Mg isotopes can be produced by α-capture reactions on $^{22}\text{Ne}$, which is abundantly present in the He intershell due to the conversion of $^{14}\text{N}$ from H-burning ashes into $^{22}\text{Ne}$ by double α captures during the early phases of a thermal pulse (Karakas et al. 2006a). The heavy Mg isotopes are then mixed to the envelope by the following TDU episode. In IM-AGB stars proton captures also occur at the base of the convective envelope (hot bottom burning, HBB). The MgAl chain is activated resulting typically in the destruction of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and the production of $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$. If the temperature exceeds ∼ 80 million degrees $^{24}\text{Mg}$ also suffers proton captures (see detailed discussion and models by Karakas & Lattanzio 2003; Karakas et al. 2006b). HBB also greatly affects the O isotopic composition, resulting in the production of $^{17}\text{O}$ and the destruction of $^{18}\text{O}$, as observed in OC2. HBB can also prevent the surface of the star from becoming carbon-rich (Boothroyd et al. 1993), by converting the dredged-up $^{12}\text{C}$ to $^{14}\text{N}$. Thus, IM-AGB stars might be expected to preferentially form oxide rather than carbonaceous phases like SiC and graphite, which require C>O. However, the formation of spinel cannot be completely ruled out for C/O ratios slightly above unity (see discussion in Zinner et al. 2005).

Stellar sources of dust in the Galaxy other than AGB stars (see, e.g., Table 1 of Alexander 1997) seem unlikely to be the site of origin of grain OC2. The ejecta of supernova explosions are enriched in $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$ when considering solar metallicity models. However, contrarily to the composition of OC2, $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{18}\text{O}$ are produced, while $^{17}\text{O}$ is typically much depleted (Rauscher et al. 2002; Limongi & Chieffi 2003). Wolf-Rayet stars, of which the WC type are also observed to generate dust (see e.g. Williams et al. 1987), could also produce the O isotopic composition of grain OC2 together with excesses in $^{26}\text{Al}$ at a time just before the transition from WN to WC occurs (Arnould et al. 1997). However, no production of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ is expected, at least until core He burning starts and the star moves into the WC/WO phases, at which point also large depletions of $^{17}\text{O}$ and possible enhancements in $^{18}\text{O}$ are predicted. Nova nucleosynthesis is predicted to produce high enhancements in $^{25}\text{Mg}$, $^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Al}$, however, these are typically accompanied by high enhancements also in both $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{18}\text{O}$ (Jose et al. 2004). Only model CO1 of Jose et al. (2004), a CO nova of low mass 0.6 $M_\odot$, produces a deficit in $^{18}\text{O}$ comparable to that observed in grain OC2. However, the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ in this model is three times higher than in OC2, and the excesses in the heavy Mg isotopes are: $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$=836 and $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$=19062 (without adding up the contribution of $^{26}\text{Al}$, with $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$=0.006)! Even if mixing between this type of nova material and material of solar-like composition were invoked, it would be impossible to avoid producing $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excesses much higher than those observed in grain OC2 at the time when the $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratio is matched.

The aim of this paper is to analyse the O, Mg, Al, Cr and Fe isotopic compositions predicted by detailed models of AGB stars of different masses and metallicities and discuss them in the light of the precise measurements of the composition of grain OC2. In this way, we can test the idea that grain OC2 originated in an IM-AGB star. There are few direct constraints available to test theoretical models of these stars mostly owing to the fact that they are much rarer than their lower-mass counterparts. There are observations available for Li, C, O, $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ and heavy elements abundances, in particular for AGB stars in the Magellanic Clouds (see e.g. Wood et al. 1983; Plez et al. 1993; Smith et al. 1995; van Loon et al. 1999). The Li, C and O abundances and the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios have been used as tests for the occurrence of HBB. Stellar models are able to explain the fact that the majority of AGB stars of high luminosity are O rich, as well as to reproduce the observed low $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratios and high Li abundances (e.g. Boothroyd et al. 1993; Mazzitelli et al. 1999).

The paper is structured as follows: in Sect. 2 we describe the numerical method and the structure features of the stellar models presented in this paper. In Sect. 3 we compare the composition of grain OC2 to the compositions derived from our stellar models and discuss the model uncertainties. In Sect. 4 we outline our conclusions.
2. Methods and models

The evolution of stars of different masses and metallicities was computed from the zero-age main sequence to the tip of the AGB using the Monash version of the Mt. Stromlo Stellar Structure code (see Frost & Lattanzio 1996 and references therein for details). The models of IM-AGB stars that are used throughout this paper have masses of 5 \( M_\odot \) with \( Z = 0.02 \) and 0.008 and 6.5 \( M_\odot \) with \( Z = 0.02 \) and 0.012. The \( Z = 0.02 \) computations were assumed to have initial abundances taken from Anders & Grevesse (1989), whereas the \( Z = 0.012 \) models have initial abundances taken from Asplund et al. (2005) for elemental abundances and Lodders (2003) for initial isotopic ratios. Most presolar grains recovered from meteorites have come from IM-AGB stars so we also present results from one low-mass low-\( Z \)-AGB model with 2.5 Msun and \( Z = 0.004 \). Models of AGB stars with \( Z < 0.004 \) (or \( Z = 0.004 \) in the case of IM-AGB stars) are not presented because presolar grains most likely originated in field stars from the solar neighborhood. While we do not have any reliable observational information on interstellar dust lifetimes, theoretical estimates give values < 1 Gyr (Jones et al. 1997).

All models include mass loss on the AGB according to the prescription of Vassiliadis & Wood (1993), show deep TDU and with the exception of the 2.5 \( M_\odot \) case, HBB, which prevents them from becoming C rich. For the 5 \( M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.02 \) model we tested the effect of using the mass-loss rate from van Loon et al. (2005). We find that the two formulas produce the same mass-loss rate in the final phases of the evolution (the last 8 out of 24 pulses), which is consistent given that the formula of van Loon et al. (2003) is valid for the superwind phase of extreme mass loss in O-rich AGB stars. In Table 1 we present some features of the stellar models, where MS202 shows the 5 \( M_\odot \) model with \( Z = 0.02 \). We include the number of TPs, the core at the first TP, the total amount of matter dredged-up into the envelope, \( M'_{\text{dred}} \), and the maximum dredge-up efficiency, \( \lambda \) (for the definition, see Karakas et al. 2002), where all masses are given in solar units. We also present the maximum temperature at the base of the convective envelope, \( T_{\text{BCE}}^{\text{max}} \), and in the He-intershell, \( T_{\text{He}}^{\text{max}} \), both in units of \( 10^6 \) K; and the core and envelope mass at the final time step. In all IM-AGB models, HBB was shut off as a consequence of mass loss. Once the envelope mass drops below about 1.5 \( M_\odot \) the temperature rapidly drops below that required to sustain proton capture nucleosynthesis (Karakas et al. 2006b). Dredge-up may continue, adding further \( ^{13}\text{C} \) to the envelope and, depending on the initial metallicity, increase the C/O to \( > 1 \) (Frost et al. 1998, Karakas et al. 2006b). From Karakas et al. (2006b), however, this only occurs in IM-AGB stars with \( Z \leq 0.004 \).

We performed detailed nucleosynthesis calculations using a post-processing code which includes 74 species, 506 reactions and time-dependent diffusive mixing in all convective zones (Cannon 1993). Details on the post-processing code and network are outlined in Lugaro et al. (2004b) and Karakas et al. (2006b) and will not be repeated here. Details on the reaction rates can be found in Lugaro et al. (2004b), where it is outlined which of the proton, \( \alpha \), and neutron capture reaction rates we have updated (from the REACLIB data tables Thielemann et al. 1986, 1991 version), according to the latest experimental results. More recent updates include the \( ^{22}\text{Ne} + \alpha \) reaction rates (Karakas et al. 2006b) and the NACRE rates (Angulo et al. 1999, NACRE) for the proton captures rates of the NeNa and MgAl chains. The case of the \( ^{17}\text{O}(p, \alpha)^{14}\text{N} \) reaction, of particular importance for the present work, is discussed in Sect. 3.1.

For the 5 \( M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.008 \) and 2.5 \( M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.004 \) models, we assume an initial \( \alpha \)-enhanced mixture for the elements O, Ne, Mg, Si and S typical of thin-disk stars (Reddy et al. 2003). The initial composition of the O and Mg isotopes are determined by the \( \alpha \)-enhancement of \( ^{16}\text{O} \) and \( ^{24}\text{Mg} \), so that \([\text{O/Fe}] = +0.4 \) and \([\text{Mg/Fe}] = +0.27 \) at \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1 \), while the abundances of the neutron-rich isotopes are scaled with the initial metallicity. Thus, the \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) and \( ^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratios are lower than their solar values (see Table 1), by factors 1.44 and 1.91 at \( Z = 0.008 \) and 0.004, respectively. With this choice we obtain, at any given metallicity, higher O ratios than suggested by Timmes et al. (1995) by following the Galactic chemical evolution, and where the O ratios are scaled with the metallicity. However, there are still large uncertainties in the evolution of the O isotopic ratios with time (Prantzos et al. 1996, Romano & Matteucci 2003). Most importantly for the discussion here, the effect of the first and second dredge-up mixing events and HBB almost completely erases any record of the initial O ratios at the surface during the TP-AGB phase.

3. Comparison of model predictions and the composition of OC2

In Fig. 4 the Mg isotopic composition of grain OC2 is compared to that predicted by our calculations of IM-AGB models. The predictions shown in this plot are calculated by including the abundance of \( ^{26}\text{Al} \) multiplied by 25, taking into account the fact that Al was incorporated in spinel grains during their formation approximately 25 times more preferentially than Mg, given that stoichiometric spinel by definition is \( \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 \), i.e. it has \( \text{Al}/\text{Mg}=2 \), while this ratio is 0.079 in the Solar System (Zinner et al. 2003). We have explored the uncertainty related to this \( ^{26}\text{Al} \) multiplication factor by considering the Al/Mg ratios predicted at the stellar surface in our models at the time when \( \delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}_{\text{OC2}} \) is matched, which are 5% to 20% lower than in the Solar System, and the error bars of the Al/Mg ratio measured in OC2 (see Table 1). The resulting uncertainties are represented by the error bars connected to the prediction lines in Fig. 4.

First, let us consider the 5 \( M_\odot \), \( Z = 0.02 \) model, which does not reach the excess in \( ^{25}\text{Mg} \) shown by OC2. The \( ^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg} \) ratio is determined by the combined effect of the He intershell temperatures and the total amount of material mixed to the surface by the TDU. An increase in either of those two quantities would lead to higher \( ^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg} \) ratios, so the OC2 data indicate that an IM-AGB parent star of this grain must have experienced either temperatures higher than 352 million degrees, or a TDU mass higher than 0.05 \( M_\odot \), or both. Second, it appears that a narrow range of HBB temperatures is required in order to produce enough \( ^{26}\text{Al} \) to match the excess at mass 26 shown by OC2 at the given \( \delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg} \) value, avoiding an increase
of the $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ value itself. This occurs in the models of $5\ M_\odot$ and $Z = 0.008$ metallicity and $6.5\ M_\odot$ and $Z = 0.02$ metallicity (in this latter case within reaction rate uncertainties, see Sect. 3.1), where the maximum temperature at the base of the convective envelope reaches 81 and 87 million degrees, respectively. For the $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.02$ case the temperature is too low, $\leq 64$ million degrees, to produce $^{26}\text{Al}$, while in the $6.5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.012$ case the temperature is too high, $\leq 90$ million degrees, so that also $^{25}\text{Mg}$ is produced by HBB. In principle, it is possible that for temperatures somewhat higher than 90 million degrees one could achieve again the composition of OC2 via HBB, as more $^{25}\text{Mg}$ is converted into $^{26}\text{Al}$. However, these temperatures are not achieved in our models, and, moreover, they would pose a problem to match the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio of the grain (see discussion below and in Sect. 5.1). Note that the $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ values for the $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.008$ model reach values higher than 2000 and 5000, respectively, outside the range shown in Fig. 4 (For the $6.5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.012$ model, also outside the range of the figure, $\delta$-values reach $\approx 2000$ and $\approx 2500$, respectively). This could represent a difficulty for matching the composition of grain OC2 using the $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.008$ model. It is much more likely for a grain to be produced with a composition that corresponds to abundances in the envelope at the end of the AGB. This is because radio and infrared observations confirm that mass-loss rates of AGB stars increase with time and that these stars expel a large part of their envelope towards the end of their evolution via a strong superwind, with $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-4}\ M_\odot/\text{yr}$ (e.g. Vassiliadis & Wood, 1993; van Loon et al., 2005), leading to the formation of a planetary nebula. In the case of our $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.008$ model, about 40% of the mass is lost in the last few TPs when the Mg isotopic ratios are well above those observed in OC2. Instead, the mass lost in between the TDU episodes that cover the composition of OC2 is only $\approx 0.005\ M_\odot$, hence, the probability of a grain forming with such composition is much smaller, $\sim 0.1\%$, even if this occurrence cannot be ruled out. From this point of view, the $6.5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.02$ model could be favored for the parent star of OC2, since it reaches the observed $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ towards the end of its evolution and the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ value is within reaction rate uncertainties (see Sect. 5.1). However, it should be also kept in mind that the mass-loss prescription is one of the largest uncertainties in AGB models, as discussed in Sect. 5.2.

In Fig. 4 the O isotopic composition of grain OC2 is compared to that predicted by our IM-AGB models, and in Fig. 6 the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio is plotted as a function of the $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ value. These figures show that the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio of grain OC2 is not matched by any of the models, as they always produce too high a ratio. As discussed by Nollett et al. (2003), the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ equilibrium ratio during proton captures is mainly determined by the ratio of the rates of the nuclear reactions that produce and destroy $^{17}\text{O}$, i.e. the $^{16}\text{O}(p,\gamma)^{17}\text{F}$ and the $^{17}\text{O}(p,\alpha)^{14}\text{N}$ reactions, respectively. This ratio reaches a minimum of $\approx 0.0011$ around 50 million degrees and then increases again for higher temperatures reaching 0.008 at 100 million degrees (see Fig. 8 of Nollett et al. 2003). During HBB, the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ equilibrium ratio is reached at the base of the convective envelope and the envelope material is efficiently replaced by material with the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ equilibrium ratio after $\approx 5$ TPs. The $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.02$ model comes closest to producing the needed ratio because of its lower temperature, however, this model is the furthest from matching the measured $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ value. The high temperatures at which HBB operates in the $5\ M_\odot\ Z = 0.008$ and in the

### Table 2. Structural properties of the AGB models, see the text for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models: M5Z02</th>
<th>M6.5Z02</th>
<th>M6.5Z12</th>
<th>M5Z08</th>
<th>M5Z004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. TP</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_f$ (1)</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_f$ tot</td>
<td>5.027(-2)</td>
<td>4.696(-2)</td>
<td>6.483(-2)</td>
<td>1.745(-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{max}$</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{BCE}$</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{env}$</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>1.389</td>
<td>1.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{env}$ tot</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. The Mg isotopic compositions of grain OC2 is compared to our models of IM-AGB stars. The $2\sigma$ uncertainties for OC2 are roughly within the symbol. Each symbol for model predictions represents the composition after a TDU episode. As indicated in the x-label the $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ measured in OC2 is compared to prediction lines calculated by including the abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ multiplied by a factor of 25 to take into account the fact that Al is preferentially included in spinel by such factor (see text). The uncertainty range in the predictions derived from the calculation of this factor are represented by the error bars connected to each prediction line at $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}=433$. Solar composition is represented by ticked axis at $\delta = 0$. 
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If the grain actually had an $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratio of zero, this low measured $^{18}$O signal would correspond to a 2% level of terrestrial contamination, which is perfectly reasonable. Thus, we consider it likely that the true $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratio of OC2 was indeed lower, and do not consider the mis-match with HBB models to be a major problem.

### 3.1. The effect of varying the reaction rates

As discussed above, the $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O ratio only depends on the ratio of the $^{16}$O($p,\gamma$)$^{17}$F and the $^{17}$O($p,\alpha$)$^{14}$N reaction rates, so it is important to carefully evaluate the uncertainties related to these reaction rates in the temperature range of HBB, $\approx 60$ to 100 million degrees. The $^{17}$O($p,\alpha$)$^{14}$N reaction rate in this temperature range is almost completely determined by a resonance at 70 keV. In the models presented above we have used the rate from Blackmon et al. (1993) and Landrè et al. (1990), which is the same as in the NACRE compilation. We have also calculated a new rate for this reaction on the basis of the latest available experimental information (Chafa et al. 2005, Fox et al. 2005). We have found a rate close to NACRE (within a few percent, for the temperature of interest in this study) with an uncertainty range of $\approx +25\%$ and $-30\%$, compared to the NACRE ranges of $\approx +33\%$ and $-22\%$. The $^{16}$O($p,\gamma$)$^{17}$F rate is mostly determined by direct capture and the astrophysical S-factor at zero energy is extrapolated from the available data using a given potential model (Angulo et al., 1999). At low energy the experimental data show large error bars and the NACRE compilation adopts a 30% uncertainty for the derived S-factor. This results in lower and upper limits for the rate a factor 1.43 lower and 1.30 higher, respectively, than the recommended value at the temperature of interest. As shown in Fig. 5, the use of the upper limit for the $^{16}$O($p,\gamma$)$^{17}$F rate together with the lower limit for the $^{17}$O($p,\alpha$)$^{14}$O rate is predicted to provide a good match to OC2, using the same models ($5\,M_\odot, Z = 0.008$ and $6.5\,M_\odot, Z = 0.02$) that match its Mg isotopic composition.

The Mg isotopic composition is affected by the uncertainties in the $^{22}$Ne$+\alpha$ reaction rates as well as proton-capture reaction rates. The uncertainties of the $^{22}$Ne$+\alpha$ reaction rates have been recently re-evaluated by Karakas et al. (2006b), and are relatively small (of the order of $\approx 60\%$), while the uncertainties on the $^{25}$Mg($p,\alpha$)$^{22}$Al and $^{26}$Al($p,\gamma$)$^{27}$Si reaction rates are large (a factor of 3 and a factor of 1200, respectively) and affect, in particular, the production of $^{26}$Al. If we apply the uncertainties found by Izard et al. (2006), we find that for the $^{26}$Al yield, is incompatible with the composition of OC2, while the upper limit for the $^{26}$Al yield would allow up to $\approx 50\%$ more $^{26}$Al production. Combining this effect with the uncertainties of the $^{22}$Ne$+\alpha$ reaction rates (i.e. using the upper limit for the $^{22}$Ne($\alpha,\gamma$)$^{26}$Mg and the lower limit for the $^{22}$Ne($\alpha,\gamma$)$^{26}$Mg reaction rates, respectively), we find that our $6.5\,M_\odot, Z = 0.02$ model can also provides a match to the Mg isotopic composition of OC2.

As a final remark we note that our stellar structure calculations have been performed using a $^{14}$N($p,\gamma$)$^{15}$O reaction rate very similar to NACRE. However, direct experimen-

---

**Fig. 5.** The O isotopic compositions of grain OC2 is compared to predictions from our IM-AGB stars. The 2σ uncertainties for the $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O ratio of OC2 are indicated by the error bar, while for the $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratio they are roughly within the symbol. The arrow indicates the effect of possible contamination of terrestrial material, as discussed in the text. Solar ratios are indicated by long-dashed lines.

**Fig. 6.** The $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O ratio is plotted as function of the $\delta^{25}$Mg/$^{24}$Mg for our IM-AGB models.
3.2. The effect of model uncertainties

One of the main uncertainties in AGB models is the choice of mass loss. A definitive agreement on the description of this phenomena is still missing. Recently, Ventura & D’Antona (2005) calculated models with different choices of mass loss and showed that a stronger mass loss leads to less efficient HBB nucleosynthesis. In this case it may be more difficult to produce enough $^{26}$Al to match the $\delta(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})$ of OC2.

Another uncertainty in AGB star models relates to the possible mixing of protons from the H-rich envelope into a tiny region at the top of He intershell (partial mixing zone, PMZ) at the end of each TDU episode, leading to the formation of a $^{13}$C pocket. This mixing is needed to reproduce the enhancements observed in AGB stars of heavy elements produced by slow neutron captures. The amount of mixing is needed to reproduce the enhancements observed in AGB stars of heavy elements produced by slow neutron captures.

3.3. The case for a low-mass low-metallicity AGB star

A LM-AGB star of mass $\approx 2.5$ to $3.5 \, M_\odot$ and low metallicity, $Z \approx 0.004$ to $0.008$ can also produce the $^{25}$Mg excess shown by OC2 because the He intershell temperature and the TDU efficiency are high enough in these stars. As an example, in Fig. 8 we present different models for a 2.5 $M_\odot$ star of $Z=0.004$. As in the other figures, the predictions presented in this plot are calculated by including the abundance of $^{26}$Al multiplied by the chosen factor of 25, however in these models the contribution at mass 26 comes mostly from $^{26}$Mg. In two runs of the post-processing of this model we artificially included the mixing of protons into the He intershell at the end of each TDU episode and we tested two different values for the extent in mass of the PMZ: 0.001 and 0.002 $M_\odot$. More $^{26}$Mg is produced when the pocket is included because of neutron captures. Since this star is just not hot enough for HBB to occur.
Fig. 8. The Mg predictions are shown for a 2.5 $M_\odot$ $Z = 0.004$ model with different choices of the extension of the partial mixing zone (PMZ).

cur we have to assume that some extra-mixing process at the base of the convective envelope, such as the cool bottom processing (CBP) studied by Nollett et al. (2003), is at work during the AGB phase, so that enough $^{26}\text{Al}$ is produced and the observed $\delta(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})$ is matched. In order to reproduce the $\delta(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})$ of OC2 a $^{26}\text{Al}^{27}\text{Al}$ ratio in the range 0.06 to 0.036 is required, which can be produced with CBP temperatures $\approx$ 54 million K (Nollett et al. 2003). The low $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio in OC2 is consistent with efficient CBP and the $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratio would be 0.00115 at the CBP temperature derived from the $^{26}\text{Al}^{27}\text{Al}$ ratio. Detailed calculations for CBP at low metallicities are required to test our present analysis before any strong conclusion can be drawn. We plan to include this process in our nucleosynthesis code in the future.

3.4. The Fe and Cr isotopic composition

In AGB stars the Fe isotopic composition is modified because the heavy Fe isotopes are produced by neutron captures occurring during the convective thermal pulses when the $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}$ neutron source is activated. This production is rather insensitive to the neutron captures occurring in the $^{13}\text{C}$ pocket (Lugaro et al. 2004b). The $\delta(^{53}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe})$ value, at the time when $\delta(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})=433$, is $\approx$ 80 in our IM-AGB models and $\approx$ 370 in the 2.5 $M_\odot$ $Z = 0.004$ model. There are a couple of reasons for this difference: first, the integrated neutron flux increases with decreasing the metallicity as less material means that more free neutrons are available. Second, the dilution factor of the intershell material carried to the envelope by the TDU is about a factor of two higher in IM- than in LM-AGB stars. Unfortunately, the large uncertainty of the measured $\delta(^{53}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe})$ prevents us from determining which models represent the best match.

We cannot directly compare the Cr isotopic composition as we do not have the Cr isotopes in the network used to compute the present models. We can draw some general features on Cr isotopes in AGB stars considering Fig. 2 of (Lugaro et al. 2004b), where results are presented for LM-AGB models of solar metallicity. The $\delta(^{50}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ and $\delta(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ are barely modified by neutron fluxes in AGB stars while the $\delta(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ values are slightly higher than the $\delta(^{57}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe})$ values for a given stellar model. Since we are in the process of extending our network (Karakas et al. 2006a), using preliminary models we have checked that these results also apply to our IM-AGB models. In fact, for the 5 $M_\odot$ $Z = 0.02$ model we obtain no changes in $\delta(^{50}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ and $\delta(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$, while $\delta(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ is 170, compared to $\delta(^{57}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe})$=71. For the 6.5 $M_\odot$ $Z = 0.012$ model we obtain $\delta(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$=40, at pulse number 25. Our IM-AGB models would match the $\delta(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ value measured in OC2, while the 2.5 $M_\odot$ $Z = 0.004$ model would produce $\delta(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ values close to the 2$\sigma$ upper limit of OC2.

Finally, we note that in low metallicity stars the initial $\delta(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})$ could be largely negative because of the effect of the Galactic Chemical Evolution of the Cr isotopes (see discussion in Zinner et al. 2005). This represents a hint against a LM-AGB star of low metallicity as the parent star for OC2, even though detailed models for the evolution of the Cr isotopes in the Galaxy should be performed to confirm this analysis.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the peculiar isotopic composition of O, Mg and Al in presolar spinel grain OC2 could be the signature of an AGB star of intermediate mass and metallicity close to solar (roughly higher than 0.008) suffering TDU and HBB, or of an AGB star of low mass and low metallicity (roughly lower than 0.008) suffering TDU and very efficient CBP. While HBB occurs in our IM-AGB models, we do not simulate any extra-mixing in the LM-AGB model. Thus, the LM-AGB origin for grain OC2 has still to be tested against detailed models including CBP in low metallicity AGB stars. The large uncertainty in the Fe isotopic composition of OC2 does not allow us to determine which model better represents the parent star of OC2, but the Cr isotopic composition favors an origin in an IM-AGB star of metallicity close to solar. In this case, the model conditions to reproduce the composition of OC2 are well defined: a TDU mass $> 0.05$ $M_\odot$ and/or a maximum He-intershell temperature $> 360$ million degrees, and a temperature at the base of the convective envelope in the range $\approx$ 80 to 85 million degrees. These conditions are satisfied by our 5 $M_\odot$, $Z = 0.008$ and 6.5 $M_\odot$, $Z = 0.02$ models. Within this solution, we predict that the $^{16}\text{O}(p,\gamma)^{17}\text{F}$ and the $^{17}\text{O}(p,\alpha)^{14}\text{N}$ reaction rates should be close to their lower and upper limits, respectively. It remains to be seen if the proposed rate changes are consistent with other constraints, such as future nuclear experiments, new grains similar to OC2, or the production of $^{17}\text{O}$ in other stellar types.

We note that using Salpeter’s initial mass function, stars with mass from 5 to 7 $M_\odot$ represent $\approx$ 5% of all stars. Thus, one might expect such a proportion of presolar grains from IM- relatively to LM-AGB stars. In principle, any grain with $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} < 10^{-5}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excesses is a candidate for an IM-AGB origin. There are 69 oxide grains in our database found by measurements of both $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ (thus representing an unbiased sample) and showing $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excesses. Of these, three have
$^{18}$O/$^{16}$O lower than $10^{-4}$. Thus, at most $\approx 4\%$ of presolar oxides appear to have even the possibility to have formed in IM-AGB stars. Bernatowicz et al. (2005) discuss detailed calculations of graphite and TiC grain growth in carbon stars. Their Fig. 8 indicates that larger grains form in lower mass stars. Nuth et al. (2006) propose a mechanism for the growth of crystals in AGB stars and red giants and show that only in low-mass ($< 3 M_\odot$) stars it is possible to form the large crystals found in primitive meteorites. Most of the oxide grains with both O and Mg measurements are larger than 1 micron, thus it is quite possible that our dataset is biased towards grains from low mass stars.

Further analysis of presolar spinel grains may identify additional grains with isotopic compositions similar to OC2 and give more precise measurements of their Fe and Cr isotopic compositions. This will provide the opportunity to test the findings of the present work as well as the possibility to constrain massive AGB models using presolar grains.
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