Prospects for early discoveries in final states with di-leptons, jets and no missing energy: LRSM and Leptoquarks...
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ATLAS Detector

- Calorimeter (|\eta|<5):
  1) EM : Pb-LAr
  2) HAD: Fe/scintillator (central), Cu/W-LAr (fwd).
- Muon Spectrometer (|\eta|<2.7):
  1) Air-core toroids which provides a toroidal magnetic field (0.5T).
  2) Muon momentum and trajectory are measured using MDT, CSC, RPC and TGC chambers.

Inner detector (|\eta|<2.5, B=2T) Si pixels and strips
Transition Radiation Detector (e/\pi separation).

There are three trigger levels, namely L1, L2 and EF.
Each trigger level is uncorrelated with the other two.
A candidate event is considered if it passes all three trigger levels.
For the analyses to be shown in this talk, we rely on single lepton trigger streams with relatively low thresholds in order to obtain high overall trigger efficiencies.

E, pT (GeV) | Resolution
---|---
Inner Det. | \(\sigma/pT \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} + 0.01\)
EM-Calos | \(\sigma/E \sim 0.1/\sqrt{E} + 0.007\)
HAD-Calos
  - Barrel | \(\sigma/E \sim 0.5/\sqrt{E} + 0.03\)
  - Forward | \(\sigma/E \sim 1.0/\sqrt{E} + 0.1\)
Muon | \(\sigma/pT \sim 0.07\) at 1TeV.
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Motivation for LQs...

Do leptons and quarks interact? Why such interaction is needed?

- Hypothesize an unusual colored boson (called “Leptoquark”), can be either scalar or vector.
- Couples to leptons and quarks, carries baryon and lepton numbers, color and fractional electric charge\(^\text{[1]}\).
- Provides an explanation for the symmetry between leptons and quarks.
- Three generations: favored by experimental limits from lepton number violation, flavor-changing neutral currents, and proton decay.

\(^\text{[1]}\)Pati and Salam 'Lepton number as the fourth "color".' Phys. Rev. D10 (1974).

How Leptoquarks are produced at Hadron colliders?

In pairs via the strong interaction.

In association with a lepton via the leptoquark-quark-lepton coupling, where the coupling constant is denoted by \(\lambda\).

Experimental signature for a LQ pair is: two high pT leptons and two high pT jets. (since LQs are relatively heavy objects).
Latest experimental limits are coming from Tevatron experiments (D0 & CDF), for β=1 (branching ratio of a leptoquark decaying to a charged lepton and a quark), the 95%CL limits are:

1) First generation scalar LQ(eq), \( m_{LQ1} > 256 \text{GeV} \) and \( m_{LQ1} > 236 \text{GeV} \), from D0[1] and CDF[2] based on integrated ppbar luminosities of ~ 250pb\(^{-1}\) and 200pb\(^{-1}\) respectively.

2) Second generation scalar LQ(μq), \( m_{LQ2} > 251 \text{GeV} \) and \( m_{LQ2} > 226 \text{GeV} \), were obtained with 300 pb\(^{-1}\) and 200 pb\(^{-1}\) by the D0[3] and CDF[4] experiments, respectively.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{MC generator} & \text{ Pythia was used to simulate 1st and 2nd generation scalar leptoquarks. (4 mass points)}
\end{align*}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( M(LQ) ) (GeV)</th>
<th>( \sigma(pp \rightarrow LQ \ LQ) ) (NLO) (pb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>10.1±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.24±0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.225±0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.0378±0.0105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motivation for LRSMs...

Why do neutrinos need right handed partners?

- In Standard Model neutrinos are massless, but neutrinos are proven to oscillate (Super-K, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) ), therefore they should have mass ➔ direct indication for new physics beyond SM.
- In many models $M_N$ appears naturally, most attractive one is LR Symmetric Models $(SU(3)_C\times SU(2)_L\times SU(2)_R\times U(1)_{B-L})$.

Will this explain parity violation?

- Left-right models were introduced in 1974-1975 (R. N. M., Pati, Senjanovic) mainly to understand the origin of $P$-violation, but later interesting properties emerged:
  - Generate Majorana neutrino states $N_i$ (partners of light neutrinos) ($l=e, \mu, \tau$), together with new gauge bosons $W_R$ and $Z'$.
  - Neutrino masses generated via “See-Saw” mechanism ($M_N\sim0.1-1$ TeV).
  - Parity violation is generated naturally.
  - Baryogenesis via Leptogenesis ($B-L$ conservation).

How $W_R$ and $N_R$ are produced at Hadron colliders?

- $W_R$ is produced via quark-antiquark interaction.
- Majorana neutrino produced through the $W_R$ decay.

Experimental signature is two high pT leptons and two high pT jets. (Since $W_R$ is a heavy object)
Indirect limit: $K_L - K_S$ mass difference\(^{[1]} \) which implies $M(W_R) > (1.6^{+1.2}_{-0.7}) \text{TeV}$.

Latest experimental limits from D0\(^{[2]} \) experiment, a lower mass for the $W_R$ limit of $739\text{GeV}$ assuming it decays to both lepton pairs and quark pairs and $768\text{GeV}$ assuming the $W_R$ would decay to quark pairs.

Signals have been simulated using MC generator Pythia.

Two mass points have been simulated namely: $\text{LRSM}_18_3 = (W_R = 1800\text{GeV} \& N_R = 300\text{GeV})$ and $\text{LRSM}_15_5 = (W_R = 1500\text{GeV} \& N_R = 500\text{GeV})$, where the LO cross-section is $24.8\text{pb}$ and $47.0\text{pb}$ respectively.

Assumptions:
1. The SM axial and vector couplings.
2. The CKM matrix for the quark sector.
3. No mixing between the new and SM intermediate vector bosons.
4. Phase space isotropic decays of Majorana neutrinos.

The Majorana nature of the new heavy neutrinos allows for same-sign and opposite-sign di-leptons. The same-sign di-leptons will be used to make a low background cross-check.

\(^{[1]}\) G. Barenboim, J. Bernabeu, J. Prades, M. Raidal PRD55,1997
Basic Object/Event Selection And Reconstruction...

### Basic Selection Criteria

**Electron candidates are identified as:**
1) Energy clusters reconstructed in the EM calorimeter matched to tracks in the inner detector.
2) Satisfy various shower-shape and track-quality cuts.
3) $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$.
4) $|\eta| < 2.5$.

**Muons candidates are identified as:**
1) Tracks in the inner tracking detector matched with tracks in the muon spectrometer and satisfy muon energy isolation in the calorimeter
2) $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$.
3) $|\eta| < 2.5$.

**Jets are identified as:**
1) Energy clusters reconstructed in the calorimeters using a $\Delta R=0.4$ cone algorithm.
2) $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
3) $|\eta| < 4.5$
4) $\Delta R$ (between a jet and any electron candidate) $\geq 0.1$ (to avoid electrons being misidentified as jets).

**Event selection:** require at least two leptons (where $M(ll) > 70 \text{ GeV}$) and at least two jets. **For LQs:** Require that the two leptons are oppositely charged.

**LQs reconstruction:**
1) Combine any of the two high $p_T$ jets with any of the two high $p_T$ leptons.
2) Accept only the two combinations that have the smallest difference.

**$N_R$ reconstruction:**
1) Combine the two high $p_T$ jets with either of the two high $p_T$ leptons
2) Accept only the combination that gives the smaller invariant mass (99% correct).
3) For dielectron-channel: cases where $0.1<\Delta R$ (electron, jet)< 0.4, only jets are used to avoid double counting (cases where $M(W_R) \geq 2* M(N_R)$). The di$\mu$-channel does not have such problem.

**$W_R$ reconstruction:** Combine the above result with the remaining high $p_T$ lepton.

**Overall offline trigger efficiency for di-lepton events that satisfy all selection criteria exceeds 95%.**
MC Study: Background Contribution/Suppression

- The main sources of background are:
  1) TTbar
  2) Z/DY+jets
  3) Di-Bosons (WW, WZ, ZZ) → Small contribution
  4) Multi-jet production → No contribution to the $\text{di}\mu$-channel, but affects the dielectron-channel
  5) Other potential background sources, such as single-top production, were studied and found to be insignificant.

- The kinematics property of the new physics events (large expected masses) implies simple background selection criteria.

- Variables used for background suppression, in both analyses:
  1) $S_T=(p_T_{\text{jet}1}+p_T_{\text{jet}2}+p_T_{\text{lep}1}+p_T_{\text{lep}2})$
  2) Dilepton mass: $M(\ell\ell)$

- For LQ,
  1) $M(\text{lepton+jet})$: For the dielectron-channel, background due to jets misidentified as electrons is greatly reduced by requiring each $M(\text{e+jet})$ to be close to the tested LQ mass. However, this is not used in the di$\mu$-channel but a requirement that the average mass is consistent with the tested LQ mass.
  2) Charge correlation: Lepton charge correlation was used for LQs in the event selection.

- The background suppression criteria were optimized for 5σ discovery at the lowest possible luminosity. But special care was taken to make sure we do not bias against relatively low masses.
The background is dominated by ttbar for relatively light Leptoquarks and will be dominated by Z/DY for higher masses.

Reconstruction efficiency after background suppression ~24% for 400GeV goes down to 20% for the 800GeV case.
The background is dominated by Z/DY processes and ttbar for relatively light Leptoquarks but for higher masses, Z/DY will be the dominating source.

No Multi-jet contribution.

Reconstruction efficiency after background suppression ~44% for 400GeV goes up to 57% for the 800GeV case.
Background Suppression: LRSM (dielectron-channel).

- Di-elec. invariant mass
- $S_T$:pT scalar sum

Distribution background suppression variables.

- The background is dominated by $t\bar{t}$.
- Multi-jets do not contribute to the signal region.
- Reconstruction efficiency after background suppression $\sim 32\%$ for LRSM$_{18\_3}$ goes up to $39\%$ for the LRSM$_{15\_5}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physics sample</th>
<th>Sample Selection</th>
<th>$M(e+\text{dijet})$</th>
<th>$M(e\text{+dijet})$</th>
<th>$M(ee)$</th>
<th>$S_T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After basic selection but before background suppression</td>
<td>After basic selection and after background suppression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSM$_{18_3}$</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.0882</td>
<td>0.0861</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
<td>0.0786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSM$_{15_5}$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z/\gamma$ &gt; 60 GeV</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>49.77</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.0422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB pairs</td>
<td>69.94</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet</td>
<td>$10^8$</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.0414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Background Suppression: LRSM (di\(\mu\)-channel).

Di-\(\mu\) invariant mass

\[ S_T: p_T \text{ scalar sum} \]

Distribution background suppression variables.

- The reconstruction efficiency is higher in the \(\mu\)-channel than in the e-channel due to the cut on the angular distance between electrons-jets though the peak resolution is wider in the \(\mu\)-channel (mainly because of worsening in the muon pt measurement resolution).
- The background is dominated by Z/DY processes and \(tt\bar{t}\).
- No Multi-jet contribution.
- Reconstruction efficiency after background suppression ~52% for LRSM_18_3 goes up to 58% for the LRSM_15_5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physics sample</th>
<th>Before selection</th>
<th>Baseline selection</th>
<th>(M(\mu\mu) \geq 100\text{ GeV})</th>
<th>(M(\mu\mu) \geq 1000\text{ GeV})</th>
<th>(M(\mu\mu) \geq 300\text{ GeV})</th>
<th>(S_T \geq 700\text{ GeV})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRSM_18_3</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSM_15_5</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z/DY \geq 60\text{ GeV})</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(tt)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB pairs</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A preliminary estimation of systematic uncertainties is summarized (conservative estimate for early data based on ~ 100pb⁻¹):

1) Luminosity: 20%.
2) Lepton identification, trigger and reconstruction efficiencies: electron (2%), muon (5%).
3) Lepton energy scale: 1%
4) Lepton resolution (pT (GeV)): electron (0.66*(0.1/√pT +0.007)) and muon (0.011/pT+0.00017).
5) Jet energy scale: 10% for |η|≤3.2 and 20% for |η|>3.2
6) Jet energy resolution a trade off between pessimistic and optimistic estimates (E(GeV)):
   [0.6,0.75]/E + [0.05,0.07] for |η|≤3.2 and [0.9,1.10]/E + [0.07,0.10] for |η|>3.2
7) Ttbar (DY) cross-section: 12% (10%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Syst. effects</th>
<th>for signal events</th>
<th>for background events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>di-elec</td>
<td>di-μ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LQ</td>
<td>±27%</td>
<td>±29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W_R</td>
<td>±23%</td>
<td>±25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±53%</td>
<td>±51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±45%</td>
<td>±40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The systematic effects are dominated by uncertainty in integrated pp luminosity (20%), the jet energy scale (16%-35%), jet resolution (6%-28%), and the limited statistics of background MC samples (15%-30%).
Discovery Potential for Leptoquarks...

- Minimum $\beta^2$ of scalar leptoquark needed for a $5\sigma$ discovery at 100 pb$^{-1}$ of total integrated pp luminosity (background systematic uncertainty included):
  - $\beta^2$ Vs. LQ mass

- $5\sigma$ discovery plot for 1st and 2nd generation scalar LQs:
  - $\beta^2$ Vs. total integrated luminosity

If we take the case where $M(LQ) < 500$GeV and branching ratio into a charged lepton and a quark is 100%, the discovery can be made with the first 100pb$^{-1}$ of integrated pp luminosity.
Discovery Potential for $W_R$ and $N_R$...

- Discovery plot for $W_R$: “electron channel”
  Significance Vs. total integrated luminosity

- Discovery plot for $W_R$: “muon channel”
  Significance Vs. total integrated luminosity

- Discovery at the two mass points namely LRSM_15_5 and LRSM_18_3 would require integrated pp luminosities of 40pb$^{-1}$ and 150pb$^{-1}$ respectively.

- 5σ discovery partial cross-section vs. luminosity (“di-electron channel”)

- The lower is the “real” cross-section, the higher is the total integrated luminosity needed to make a discovery.

- 5σ discovery partial cross-section vs. luminosity (“di-muon channel”)
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Studies of the detection possibilities of Leptoquarks, Majorana Neutrinos and Heavy right handed W-bosons have been studied at great length with the ATLAS detector. The signature considered is two high pT leptons (electrons, $\mu$'s) and two high pT jets.

We have used common basic lepton and jet selections for both analyses and have achieved a better understanding of the event topology and the corresponding reconstruction.

Evaluated the background contribution to both analyses and found that $t\bar{t}$ background and $Z$/DY backgrounds have the most important contribution with some variation depending on the lepton channel of the decay and event topology.

The background contribution and trigger efficiencies will be measured/checked using real data.

Calculated the systematic uncertainties and were used to evaluate the discovery potential for Leptoquarks, $N_R$ and $W_R$.

A discovery potential for Leptoquarks, $W_R$ (hence $N_R$) is possible within the first $200\text{pb}^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity.

ATLAS status: CLOSED...
Looking forward for the first collisions to happen....