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COLLIMATION SYSTEM

The CLIC collimation system was fully revised in [1, 2]. Since then the efficiency of this system has been slightly optimized by a precise adjustment of the phase advance between the collimators and the FD [3]. The tapering angle of the collimators is being optimized to reduce the luminosity loss for off-axis beams due to collimator wakefields [4]. Reducing this angle significantly increases the luminosity performance when taking into account the natural beam jitter of 0.2σ. However this implies the use of longer collimators increasing the energy deposition of the beam in the event of an impact [5, 6]. The later reference also addresses the effect of impact shock waves in the collimators.

Various alternatives are being investigated for the CLIC collimation system. The use of dielectric materials could provide very resistant collimators [7, 8]. Swapping the energy and the betatron collimation system could reduce the muon background in the detector [9].

BDS LAYOUTS

The Beam Delivery Systems (BDS) for the 3 TeV and the 500 GeV extend over almost 3 km and 2 km, respectively. Figure 1 shows the BDS original layouts at both energies placed inside the 4.5 m diameter tunnel. The original layout for the 500 GeV BDS deviates too much from the 3 TeV layout requiring modifications in the tunnel. A modification of the 500 GeV BDS is needed to bring its footprint closer to that of the 3 TeV BDS. The easiest modification has been identified by allowing for a slightly different angle at the Interaction Point (IP) and a reduction of the bending angle in the dipoles of the collimation section [10], see Fig. 2. The 0.7 mrad rotation around the IP reduces the deviations between both BDS while the reduction of the bending angle aligns the BDS entry to the main linac. The rotation around the IP implies a reduced crossing angle, from 20 mrad to 18.6 mrad. This does not pose any major problem for the detector, the Machine Detector Interface (MDI) or the post-collision line.

BDS APERTURES

The CLIC BDS beam pipe aperture are assumed to be round. They have to be large enough to accommodate the beam with 10σx and 55σy. Figure 3 shows the current apertures for 3 TeV and 500 GeV lattices. The curve for the 3 TeV case also contains the collimator apertures.

The multi-bunch effect of the beam pipe resistive wall wakefield has been checked by computing the excursion of the last bunch of the train [11]. It was verified that the
resistive wall wakefield is negligible at 3 TeV and 500 GeV. However the CLIC experiments have requested to use the 3 TeV BDS to collide beams at 1 TeV. From simulations at 1 TeV a reference beam pipe aperture of 10 mm would be required no make the resistive wall negligible, see Fig. 4.

Lastly, it has to be verified that the quadrupoles in the BDS have a peak magnetic field lower than 1.5 T with the current aperture in order to be feasible with normal conducting technology. Few quadrupoles do not respect this constraint at the moment but there is enough space to lengthen these quadrupoles.

**FFS WITH L*=6M**

In [12] it was proposed to use a longer L* to ease the QDO stabilization challenge by supporting the FD on the tunnel. The initial lattice featured a L*=8m with about 30% lower luminosity than the current design and tighter pre-alignment tolerances to guarantee a successful tuning [2]. In the meantime the CLIC experiments have proposed to reduce the length of the detector to 6 m [13]. Consequently a new FFS has been designed with an L*=6m by scaling the old CLIC FFS with L*=4.3 m [14]. This lattice currently features IP rms beam sizes of $\sigma_x = 0.8 \text{ nm}$ and $\sigma_y = 1.9 \text{ nm}$. Table 1 shows the total and energy peak luminosities for the different available FFS systems. Luminosity clearly decreases as L* increases. The L*=6 m case has a 16% lower peak luminosity than the nominal one (L*=3.5 m). Figure 5 displays the luminosity versus relative energy offset for all the FFS designs, showing a similar energy bandwidth in all cases.

**Tuning the FFS**

Tuning the FFS under realistic conditions to bring it to its design performance remains as a major challenge. Simulations show that assuming 10 $\mu$m pre-alignment for the nominal CLIC FFS there is an 80% probability of reaching 80% of the luminosity [2]. The requirement on this figure of merit is a probability of 90% for reaching 90% of the luminosity. A large effort has been launched to improve the alignment tolerances to guarantee a successful tuning [2].

![Figure 3: 3 TeV BDS & 500 GeV BDS apertures.](image1)

![Figure 4: Effect of resistive wall in the excursion of the last bunch with respect to the first bunch of a CLIC nominal train for different beam pipe radius at 1 TeV.](image2)

![Figure 5: Relative luminosity versus relative momentum deviation for different FFS designs. Similar energy bandwidths are observed for all cases.](image3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L*</th>
<th>Total luminosity [10^{34}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>Peak luminosity [10^{34}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Total and Peak luminosities for different L* lattices.** The target peak luminosity is $2 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ plus a 20% margin to cope with the BDS dynamic and static imperfections, 10% and 10%, relatively.
tuning algorithms [16] with emphasis on new beam-based alignment techniques.

A first tuning study of the L* = 6 m case showed that if only the transverse position of the quadrupoles in the FF were used as correctors a pre-alignment of 4 µm would be required. This prealignment tolerance is increased to 8 µm if the transverse positions of sextupoles is also used, preserving the same number of iterations needed. Figure 6 shows the luminosity probability after tuning the L* = 6 m case with 8 µm pre-alignment. It is planned to apply the same lattice optimizations used for the new FFS to the nominal one since it has been observed that a slight reduction in luminosity can increase the tuning performance.

**ATF2 ULTRA-LOW β**

It has been proposed to use ATF2 to test CLIC-like chromaticities by further reducing the IP β* [17]. To avoid the emittance blow-up by multipoles in the FD few solutions have been studied: (i) to replace the normal-conducting focusing quadrupole of the final doublet (QF1FF) by a superconducting one, (ii) to reduce the emittance by the insertion of super-conducting wigglers in the ATF damping ring, and (iii) to decrease the beta function at QF1FF in order to minimize the impact of its multipole to the IP beam size [18]. Thanks to new measurements of Ground Motion (GM) it has been possible to improve the GM model. However the new model still overestimates the relative motion at short distances. This model predicts a beam jitter of 12.1 nm at the IP [19]. This number should be compared to the expected beam size after tuning between 25 and 30 nm [18]. Further improvements of the GM model in the short distances to better reproduce the measurements could reduce the jitter.

**CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

The CLIC BDS is ready for the Conceptual Design Report (CDR) in the end of 2010. Its collimation system fully meets the specifications and the current efforts are being put in addressing the technical issues and investigating alternative designs or materials that could improve the performance or the robustness of the system. The FFS is still challenged by the need of tight pre-alignment tolerances in the order of 10 µm and the lack of beam-based tuning algorithms that could guarantee 90% of the luminosity with a 90% probability. The current performance is not far from these values but a large effort is being put in this subject to finally meet all FFS requirements.
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