First measurement of the total proton-proton cross section at the LHC energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
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Abstract

TOTEM has measured the differential cross-section for elastic proton-proton scattering at the LHC energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV analysing data from a short run with dedicated large $\beta^*$ optics. A single exponential fit with a slope $B = (20.1 \pm 0.2^{\text{stat}} \pm 0.3^{\text{syst}}) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ describes the range of the four-momentum transfer squared $|t|$ from 0.02 to 0.33 GeV$^2$. After the extrapolation to $|t| = 0$, a total elastic scattering cross-section of $(24.8 \pm 0.2^{\text{stat}} \pm 1.2^{\text{syst}}) \text{ mb}$ was obtained. Applying the optical theorem and using the luminosity measurement from CMS, a total proton-proton cross-section of $(98.3 \pm 0.2^{\text{stat}} \pm 2.8^{\text{syst}}) \text{ mb}$ was deduced which is in good agreement with the expectation from the overall fit of previously measured data over a large range of center-of-mass energies. From the total and elastic pp cross-section measurements, an inelastic pp cross-section of $(73.5 \pm 0.6^{\text{stat}} +1.8^{\text{syst}} -1.3^{\text{syst}}) \text{ mb}$ was inferred.

PACS 13.60.Hb: Total and inclusive cross sections
1 Introduction

The observation of the rise of the total cross-section with energy was one of the highlights at the ISR, the first CERN collider [1, 2, 3, 4]. Some indirect indications for this unforeseen phenomenon had already come earlier from high-energy cosmic ray showers [5, 6, 7]. A long series of total proton-antiproton cross-section measurements followed in the last decades both at the CERN SppS collider [8, 9] and at the TEVATRON [10, 11, 12, 13].

In this letter, we report the first measurement of the total and elastic proton-proton cross-sections at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) using the optical theorem together with the luminosity provided by the CMS experiment [14, 15]. With a dedicated beam optics configuration ($\beta^* = 90\text{ m}$) TOTEM has measured the differential cross-section of elastic scattering for four-momentum transfer squared values $|t|$ to $2 \times 10^{-2}\text{ GeV}^2$, making the extrapolation to the optical point at $|t| = 0$ possible. This allows the determination of the elastic scattering cross-section as well as the total cross-section.

2 The Roman Pot detectors

To detect leading protons scattered at very small angles, silicon sensors are placed in movable beam-pipe insertions – so-called “Roman Pots” (RP) – located symmetrically on either side of the LHC interaction point IP5 at distances of 215 – 220 m from the IP [16].

Each RP station is composed of two units separated by a distance of about 5 m. A unit consists of 3 RPs, two approaching the outgoing beam vertically and one horizontally. Each RP is equipped with a stack of 10 silicon strip detectors designed with the specific objective of reducing the insensitive area at the edge facing the beam to only a few tens of micrometers. The 512 strips with 66 $\mu$m pitch of each detector are oriented at an angle of $+45^\circ$ (five “$u$”-planes) and $-45^\circ$ (five “$v$”-planes) with respect to the detector edge facing the beam. During the measurement the detectors in the horizontal RPs overlap with the ones in the vertical RPs, enabling a precise ($10\text{ m}$) relative alignment of all three RPs in a unit by correlating their positions via common particle tracks. The precision and the reproducibility of the alignment of all RP detector planes with respect to each other and to the position of the beam centre is one of the most delicate and difficult tasks of the experiment [17].

In a station, the long lever arm between the near and the far RP units has two important advantages. First, the local track angles in the $x$- and $y$-projections perpendicular to the beam direction are reconstructed to the IP. To maximise the sensitivity of the position measurement to the scattering angle while minimising its dependence on the vertex position, special optics are designed to have minimum beam divergence $\Delta \sigma^0$ at the IP (imposing large values of $\beta^* \text{ via } \sigma^0 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_n/\beta^*}$). Large values of $L$ and $v = 0$, and thus $\Delta \mu = \pi/2$ in at least one projection. In the ultimate TOTEM optics with $\beta^* = 1540\text{ m}$ [18] this so-called “parallel-to-point focussing” condition will be fulfilled in both $x$ and $y$. As a first step towards this goal,
the intermediate optics with $\beta^* = 90\,\text{m}$ was developed \cite{19, 20, 21}. Since this intermediate optics is reachable by gradually increasing $\beta^*$ from 11 m (the value at injection) to 90 m (“un-squeezing”), the commissioning is easier than for the ultimate optics. The $\beta^* = 90\,\text{m}$ exhibits parallel-to-point focusing only in the vertical plane ($\Delta \mu_y \approx \pi / 2$, $L_y \approx 260\,\text{m}$, $v_y \approx 0$), whereas in the horizontal plane $\Delta \mu_x \approx \pi$ and hence $L_x \approx 0$ which helps separating elastic and diffractive events. The beam divergence is $\sigma_x \approx 2.5\,\mu\text{rad}$. The vertical scattering angle $\Theta_y^*$ can then be directly reconstructed from the track position $y$, whereas due to $L_x \approx 0$ the horizontal component $\Theta_x^*$ is optimally reconstructed from the track angle $\Theta_x = \frac{dy}{dx}$ at the RP:

$$
\Theta_y^* = \frac{y}{L_y^*}, \quad \Theta_x^* = \frac{1}{\sigma_y} \left( \Theta_x - \frac{d\gamma}{dx} x^* \right),
$$

where the unmeasured vertex produces a smearing term $\propto x^*$. However this smearing is eliminated later in the analysis since the vertex term cancels due to the correlation between the collinear tracks of the two outgoing protons.

4 Data collection and event selection

The data presented here were collected in the first LHC run with the $\beta^* = 90\,\text{m}$ optics. Each beam had two bunches with populations of $1 \times 10^{10}$ and $2 \times 10^{10}$ protons. Given the normalised transverse emittances of $(1.8 \times 2.6)\,\mu\text{m}\,\text{rad}$ depending on the bunch, this filling scheme led to an instantaneous luminosity of about $8 \times 10^{26} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. Thanks to the low beam intensity, the RP detectors could safely approach the beam centre to a distance of 10 times the transverse beam size. After verifying that the beam orbit did not significantly differ from the one with nominal beam optics ($\beta^* = 1.5\,\text{m}$), the RP positions were defined relative to the reference beam centre determined one month earlier in a beam-based alignment exercise for $\beta^* = 1.5\,\text{m}$. Within the running time of 33 minutes, an integrated luminosity of 1.7\,\mu\text{b}^{-1} was delivered, and 66950 events were recorded with a very loose trigger requiring a track segment in any of the vertical RPs in at least one of the two transverse projections ($u$, $v$). The data sample relevant for this analysis consisted of 15973 events characterised by the elastic double-arm signature in the vertical RPs (top left of IP - bottom right of IP or bottom left of IP - top right of IP). Fig. 1 shows the intersection points of the selected tracks with the RP detectors at the RP 220 m stations on both sides of the IP.

5 Analysis

**Elastic tagging** Due to the purity of the data obtained in the conditions of this special run, the final refinement of the elastic event selection – requiring collinearity of the two outgoing protons reconstructed with full detector efficiency within 3 standard deviations in their scattering angle correlation (Fig. 2) – reduces the sample to 14685 elastic events. No further cuts, e.g. for excluding diffractive events, are necessary. The reconstructed and selected elastic events from the two allowed diagonal topologies (7315 events on top left of IP - bottom right of IP and 7370 events on bottom left of IP - top right of IP) showed that their acceptance was the same and that the RP system was well aligned. The $\Theta_y^*$ resolution was $1.7\,\mu\text{rad}$, originating directly from the beam divergence since detector effects are suppressed given the large value of $L_y$. In the $\Theta_x^*$ resolution the beam divergence contribution is convoluted with the detector resolution and the vertex distribution, but the vertex effect is factorised out once the pair of elastic protons are reconstructed together.

**Optics, t-scale** The LHC optics with $\beta^* = 90\,\text{m}$ is very insensitive to machine parameter variations. This led to systematic uncertainties on $\Theta_x^*$ and $\Theta_y^*$ of just 1.3% and 0.4%, respectively. Non-linearities were observed and corrected in the observable $\Theta_x^*$ as a function of the reconstructed $y$ position. The correction was benchmarked on the isotropy of the $\phi$ distribution of the elastic candidate events, and it was cross-checked by repeating the analysis using $L_x$ to reconstruct the relevant physics variables. The
Fig. 1: The intersection points of all reconstructed tracks in this data set with the RP silicon detectors (black lines indicate detector sensitive area) at the RP 220 m station. In order to represent the pp scattering configuration the tracks visualized in the bottom silicon detector refer to one side and in the top detector to the other side of the interaction point.

Fig. 2: The correlation between the reconstructed proton scattering angles $\Theta_x^*$ (left plot) and $\Theta_y^*$ (right plot) on both sides of the IP (“45” = left of IP5, “56” = right of IP5).

The overall propagated systematic uncertainty on the $t$ scale for one arm is 0.8% at low $|t|$ and 2.6% at large $|t|$.

Acceptance  The acceptance limitations at low $|t|$ have been corrected for the geometry and beam divergence related factors (Fig. 3). The TOTEM experiment has thus measured $|t|$ down to the limit of $2 \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ (constraining the total acceptance correction at low $|t|$ to be $\leq 3$ in order to minimise the systematics). The acceptance loss (due to aperture limitations) at the high end of the $|t|$ spectrum measurable with this optics has also been treated, thus allowing measurements of $|t|$ up to 0.42 GeV$^2$. Therefore a comparative analysis with the previously published TOTEM results [22] is possible.
Fig. 3: The acceptance correction factor and the $t$-limit of this analysis.

**Efficiency** The detector and reconstruction efficiency per pot has been evaluated directly from the data, by repeating the analysis of the elastic events using three pots out of four and permutating on the missing pot. The inefficiency of the near pots was about 1.5%, while the inefficiency of the far pots was about 3%: such a difference is expected due to the probability of a proton having a hadronic interaction in a near pot, inducing a shower onto its corresponding far pot. The overall inefficiency for both diagonals has been computed to be 8.9% and 8.7%, counting also the uncorrelated probabilities to have more than one pot inefficient at the same time. The special trigger combination with all detector components in OR, used for this data taking, has allowed checking and excluding all combinations of correlated inefficiencies.

**Background** The data did not show any measurable background ($< 0.1\%$) affecting the selection of elastic events. Single Gaussian fits precisely describe (without any non-Gaussian tails) the distributions resulting from the selection cuts, guaranteeing efficiency and purity of these cuts. In fact, the special optics run and the two colliding low-intensity bunches ensured the absence of pile-up from single diffraction; moreover, the data have shown that double pomeron exchange events could not satisfy the collinearity requirements in both dimensions at the same time even at very low $|t|$, as verified by selecting events with momentum loss $\Delta p/p > 1\%$.

**Resolution** After deconvolution of the vertex, the effective resolution in $\Theta_x^*$ has contributions of $1.7\,\mu$rad from the beam divergence and $4.0\,\mu$rad from the RP detector resolution. The resolution in $\Theta_y^*$ is $1.7\,\mu$rad from the beam divergence. Hence the bin migration correction as function of $t$ was contained between $+1\%$ and $-3\%$. This resolution unfolding correction has been computed with high precision (systematic uncertainty of $0.7\%$) taking into account the acceptance effects, given the different resolutions in the two angular components.

**Extrapolation to $t = 0$** The elastic differential cross-section has been measured down to $|t| = 2 \times 10^{-2}\,$GeV$^2$. The data were then extrapolated to $t = 0$ assuming the functional form

$$\frac{d\sigma_{el}}{dt} = \left. \frac{d\sigma_{el}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e^{-B|t|}.$$  

(3)

The statistical and the propagated systematic uncertainties of the extrapolation are given separately in Tab. 1.
Luminosity, Trigger, Normalisation  This data analysis was based on a large fraction of the data taken during run 5657, excluding the period of initial beam adjustment, in fill 1902 on 29 June 2011. The luminosity was recorded by CMS with an uncertainty of 4% [14, 15]; the additional uncertainty contribution due to pile-up, found by CMS in 2011 [23] does not apply to the very low luminosity discussed here. The trigger efficiency for elastic events was greater than 99.9%. Thus the effective integrated luminosity was equal to $1.65 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$.

6 Results

After including all the analysis corrections described above, the final differential cross-section for the elastic proton-proton scattering with its statistical errors is shown in Fig. 4.

![Graph showing the measured proton-proton elastic scattering differential cross-section dσ/dt.](image)

**Fig. 4:** The measured pp elastic scattering differential cross-section dσ/dt. The superimposed fits and their parameter values are discussed in the text.

The new data can be described by a single exponential fit ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 0.8) over the complete $|t|$ range of $[0.02 \pm 0.33 \text{ GeV}^2]$ with the slope $B = (20.1 \pm 0.2\text{(stat)} \pm 0.3\text{(syst)} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. The TOTEM result for $B$ at low $|t|$ confirms the trend of an increase with $\sqrt{s}$ [10, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. As a comparison, the exponential fit at the lower end of the $|t|$ range of our previous measurement [22] which covered $(0.36 \pm 2.5) \text{ GeV}^2$ is also shown in Fig 4. The agreement between the two measurements that were done
with different optics is excellent. It is worth noting that the slope in the $|t|$ interval of $(0.36 \div 0.47) \text{ GeV}^2$ is significantly larger: $(23.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (syst)}) \text{ GeV}^{-2}$.

Assuming a constant slope $B$ for the nuclear scattering, the differential cross-section at the optical point $t = 0$ was determined to be $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}|_{t=0} = (503.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 26.7 \text{ (syst)}) \text{ mb/GeV}^2$. Integrating the differential cross-section yields a total elastic scattering cross-section of $(24.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.2 \text{ (syst)}) \text{ mb}$, out of which 16.5 mb were directly observed.

The total proton-proton cross-section is related to the elastic cross-section via the optical theorem

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 = \frac{16\pi(h\rho)^2}{1+\rho^2} \left. \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt} \right|_{t=0}. \quad (4)$$

Taking the COMPETE prediction [30] of $0.14^{+0.01}_{-0.08}$ for the parameter $\rho = \frac{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}(0)}{dt}}{\frac{d\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{dt}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{el}}(0)$ is the forward nuclear elastic amplitude, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ was thus determined to be

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \left( 98.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ (stat)} + 2.8 \pm 2.7 \text{ (syst)} \right) \text{ mb}. \quad (5)$$

The errors are dominated by the extrapolation to $t = 0$ and the luminosity uncertainty.

Subtracting the elastic scattering cross-section, we obtain a value for the inelastic cross-section which can then be compared with the measurements of the CMS [31], ATLAS [32], and ALICE [33] experiments. The results (Tab. 1) are consistent within the quoted errors of CMS, ATLAS, and ALICE, which took into account the uncertainties of the model predictions for the unobserved very-forward diffractive processes.

In Fig. 5, the values of the TOTEM total and elastic cross-sections are compared with results at lower energies and from cosmic rays together with an overall fit of the COMPETE collaboration [30]. The TOTEM total cross-section is in excellent agreement with the extrapolation from lower energies. To guide the eye, a parabolic fit was used for the energy dependence of the elastic cross-section. The ratio of the elastic to total cross-section, $\sigma_{\text{el}}/\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 0.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ stat} \pm \text{ syst}$.

Table 1 lists the values of the measured observables and the final results for the physics quantities along with their statistical and systematic uncertainties.

**Table 1:** Results of the TOTEM measurements at the LHC energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Statistical uncertainties</th>
<th>Systematic uncertainties</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>$\pm (3.4 \pm 11.9%)$</td>
<td>$\pm (0.2 \pm 1.8)%<em>{\text{optics}} \pm &lt; 1%</em>{\text{alignment}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$</td>
<td>$5% / \text{bin}$</td>
<td>$\pm 4%<em>{\text{luminosity}} \pm 1%</em>{\text{analysis}} \pm 0.7%_{\text{unfolding}}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>$\pm 1%$</td>
<td>$\pm 1%<em>{\text{scale}} \pm 0.7%</em>{\text{unfolding}}$</td>
<td>$(20.1 \pm 0.2)<em>{\text{stat}} \pm 0.3</em>{\text{syst}} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}</td>
<td>_{t=0}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.3%$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.3%<em>{\text{optics}} \pm 4%</em>{\text{luminosity}} \pm 1%_{\text{analysis}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\int \frac{d\sigma}{dt}$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.8%_{\text{extrapolation}}$</td>
<td>$\pm 4%<em>{\text{luminosity}} \pm 1%</em>{\text{analysis}}$</td>
<td>$(98.3 \pm 0.2)<em>{\text{stat}} \pm 2.8</em>{\text{syst}} \text{ mb}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sigma_{\text{el}} = \int \frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ | $\pm 0.8\%$ | $\pm 5\%$ | $(24.8 \pm 0.2)_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.2_{\text{syst}} \text{ mb}$ |

$\sigma_{\text{inel}}$ | $\pm 0.8\%$ | $\pm 2.4\%_{\text{model}} \pm 1.8\%_{\text{data}}$ | $(73.5 \pm 0.6)_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.8_{\text{syst}} \text{ mb}$ |

$\sigma_{\text{inel}} \text{ (CMS)}$ | $\pm 0.8\%$ | $\pm 2.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.4_{\text{sys}} \pm 4_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ | $(68.0 \pm 2.0)_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.4_{\text{sys}} \pm 4_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ |

$\sigma_{\text{inel}} \text{ (ATLAS)}$ | $\pm 0.8\%$ | $\pm 2.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 6_{\text{sys}} \pm 6_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ | $(69.4 \pm 2.4)_{\text{stat}} \pm 6_{\text{sys}} \pm 6_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ |

$\sigma_{\text{inel}} \text{ (ALICE)}$ | $\pm 0.8\%$ | $\pm 2.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 5_{\text{sys}} \pm 5_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ | $(72.7 \pm 1.1)_{\text{stat}} \pm 5_{\text{sys}} \pm 5_{\text{extrap}}_{\text{mb}}$ |

$^{(*)}$corrected after unfolding

$^{\text{analysis}}$(includes tagging, acceptance, efficiency, background)
Fig. 5: Compilation of total ($\sigma_{\text{tot}}$), inelastic ($\sigma_{\text{inel}}$) and elastic ($\sigma_{\text{el}}$) cross-section measurements [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35].

7 Outlook

TOTEM foresees taking data in dedicated runs with $\beta^* = 90\text{m}$ still in 2011. It is expected that the RP detectors can approach the beam centre as close as 5 times the transverse beam width. The lowest accessible $|t|$-values will then be around $0.005\text{GeV}^2$, improving the measurement of the slope $B$ and the extrapolation of the differential cross-section to $|t| = 0$. Furthermore, with a running time of at least 5 hours the statistics can be considerably improved. Inclusion of the TOTEM inelastic telescopes, T1 and T2, will allow a luminosity-independent measurement of the total cross-section as well as a detailed study of low-mass diffraction. If larger values of $\beta^*$ (around 1 km) can be reached during the year 2012, the acceptance for low-t elastic scattering can be extended into the Coulomb-Nuclear-Interference region below $10^{-3}\text{GeV}^2$. A measurement of the $\rho$ parameter might then come into reach.
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