In this review, I discuss briefly theoretical scenarios concerning the interpretation of recent results from indirect and direct dark matter searches, with emphasis on the former.

**Keywords**: Dark Matter; Theory and Phenomenology; Indirect Searches

1. Introduction

There is current evidence from a plethora of astrophysical measurements that the energy budget of our Universe consists of more than 70% of a mysterious Dark Energy component, responsible for its current accelerating expansion, and another 23% of Dark Matter (DM), also of unknown origin.

In this article I will concentrate on theoretical interpretations of recent results from indirect DM searches, that is excess of $\gamma$-rays and neutrinos from galactic sources or the Sun above the expected cosmic backgrounds, as well as matter-antimatter asymmetries (positron excess) around the Earth observed recently in the cosmic ray (CR) spectrum by PAMELA and confirmed by FERMI.\(^1\)

The structure of this article is as follows: In the next section 2, I review the properties of DM candidates in supersymmetric (SUSY)/supergravity (SUGRA) models, placing the emphasis on the (significant) dependence of the various predictions (in particular with relevance to indirect DM searches) on the specific theoretical model used. This discussion has particular relevance these days, where LHC results seem to disfavour large parts of the parameter space of simplest SUSY models. In sec.
I explain how WIMP models (including SUSY ones) can accommodate the results on positron excess in Cosmic Ray spectra. In sec. 4, I discuss sterile neutrinos as DM candidates in non supersymmetric models. In sec. 5, I describe other interesting particle physics candidates of DM, charged or neutral (fermionic), which may be strongly interacting with SM particles (Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMP)). Finally, in section 6, I discuss other ideas on DM, including axions as well as the possibility of having Dark Atoms in non supersymmetric extensions of the SM, or mediation of the interactions of DM with SM particles via the exchange of $Z'$ gauge bosons, pertaining to extra $U(1)'$ gauge groups.

### 2. Supersymmetry/Supergravity and indirect DM searches

The most extensively studied model so far, from the point of view of supersymmetry searches at colliders and in particular LHC, is the five-parameter Constrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (CMSSM) (and its minimal Supergravity (mSUGRA) variant) with R-symmetry conservation. The Cold DM candidate in this class of models is the neutralino, which is the Lightest SUSY Particle (LSP) in the spectrum and hence stable. Indirect searches for neutralinos $\chi$ are motivated by the fact that neutralino annihilation in the galaxies produces gamma ray excess in the relevant spectra, and this constitute a signal for this type of DM. Although there are attempts to provide model independent fits to such photon spectra, nevertheless due to the weakness of the signal there is significant sensitivity to the particular theoretical model for DM, as we now discuss. The most studied example are the photon spectra from neutralino $\chi$ annihilation at the core of our Galaxy. The total annihilation cross section rates of the mSUGRA or CMSSM have been studied in ref. 3 and the photon spectra from the core of our galaxy due to LSP ($\chi$) annihilation have been estimated in the region of the parameter space of the models that are compatible with the WMAP constraints: (i) the stau $\tau_1 - \chi$ co-annihilation strip, (ii) the focus point region (in which $\chi$ has an enhanced Higgsino component) and (iii) the funnel at large $\tan \beta$, in which the annihilation rate is enhanced by poles of nearby heavy MSSM Higgs bosons. The important point to notice is that, as the relevant calculations show, annihilation attenuates rapidly with decreasing $\tan \beta$ and increasing $m_{1/2}$. The analysis of ref. 3 has been quite thorough, involving detailed calculations of WMAP compatible branching fractions of $\chi - \chi$ annihilation into SM particle pairs at certain characteristic CMSSM benchmark points. The resulting CMSSM
total $\gamma$-ray flux as a function of the energy threshold has been computed.
The prospects for detection depend crucially on the astrophysical $\gamma$-ray
background, which has three known components so far: (a) diffuse galac-
tic emission (DGE), from nucleon-nucleon interactions producing $\pi^0$ which
subsequently decay to gamma rays, and electron bremsstrahlung as it it
scattered by a nucleus, (b) Isotropic Extragalactic (possibly) Contributions
(IGRB) from a plethora of sources, Active galactic Nuclei (AGN), Galaxy
Clusters, Ultra High Energy Cosmic rays, Blazars and Star forming Galax-
ies, and (c) Resolved Point Sources (RPS), which constitute an important
part of photon background from the direction of the Galactic Centre. The
current sensitivity of the FERMI satellite data is unfortunately hidden by
the above background components, especially if uncertainties in the effec-
tive area of the detector are taken into account. The situation will hopefully
improve in the next few years, with the reduction of systematic errors. However,
as the analysis of ref. 3 demonstrates, the prospects for CMSSM LSP
indirect detection are not great. In particular, in the low tan$\beta$ case, it will
be very difficult to detect a $\gamma$ ray signal along the co-annihilation strip but
the focus point region has better prospects of detection due to the larger
annihilations at that region. On the other hand, better prospects seem to
characterise the large tan$\beta$ case, due to larger annihilation cross section in
the co-annihilation, funnel and focus point regions. In general, it will al-
ways be more difficult to pin down the CMSSM than other supersymmetric
models via searches for energetic photons from astrophysical sources. Hence
collider searches are much superior in this respect for falsifying CMSSM,
mSUGRA models.

Another set of indirect DM tests is that of neutrinos produced as a re-
sult of Capture and Annihilation of LSP in the Sun. The dominant process
for the production of neutrinos is the annihilation of the LSP into SM par-
ticles, mainly tau pairs, the subsequent decay of which produces neutrinos
and muons, and it is the detection of muons that eventually provides the
main indirect DM test: $\chi - \chi \rightarrow \tau \tau, \quad \tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \nu \nu$. Muon detection energy
threshold is an important parameter for these tests. The fluxes of neutrinos
(and hence muons) have been calculated again for CMSSM in ref. 4,
at the same benchmark points as for the $\gamma$-ray spectra, with the conclu-
sion that the detectability of CMSSM by the ICE CUBE/DEEP CORE
detector is not straightforward, given that the signal above the background
depends on the shape of the neutrino spectrum. The detailed mechanism
for the production of detectable neutrino and thus muon flux from the Sun
is the following: in the beginning we have the Gravitational Capture of LSP
from a galaxy by the Sun, then the LSP scatters off a nucleus in the Sun, loses energy and is captured (as it cannot escape Sun’s gravitational potential). Further scatterings in the Sun during the LSP’s fall towards the solar centre take place, resulting in thermalization (equilibrium situation) at the Solar Centre. The increase of thermalized LSP populations implies an increase in the LSP annihilation rates. There is significant dependence of the calculated LSP annihilation rates on the solar model used as well as the particle physics model (spin dependent and spin independent cross sections). Indirect Searches for LSP DM via annihilations yielding high energy neutrinos (and hence muons) is *not the most promising* route for discovering SUSY, at least within CMSSM. But, as the detailed analysis of refs. 4,5 has indicated, there are models beyond the CMSSM, such as the Non Universal Higgs Mass variants of CMSSM, with sensitivity close to that of ICE CUBE/DEEP CORE. In such models, ICE CUBE/DEEP CORE friendly fluxes there are in regions where the LSP has significant Higgsino component, which implies larger LSP masses as compared to the corresponding CMSSM case along the focus point regions.
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**Fig. 1.** Current SUSY exclusion limits from the latest LHC results. Left panel: CMS Experiment, Right panel: ATLAS Experiment (ATLAS Collaboration, arXive:1109.6572)

Unfortunately, at present there seem to be stringent exclusion bounds from the LHC experiments (cf. fig. 1) for the CMSSM, mSUGRA models, excluding low SUSY partner masses of the type that constitute interesting regions of the CMSSM and its variants in the above indirect DM searches. Nevertheless, since the current exclusion limits from LHC (cf. fig.
1) pertain to missing transfer energy in interactions involving energetic jets and may be leptons, there are still regions of the parameter space that allow for minimal SUSY extensions of the SM: electroweak production, e.g. gaugino-gaugino production, compressed spectra (low sparticle mass differences, which imply low-momentum jets) and third-generation sparticle production. Further exclusion will require improvement of systematic uncertainties, higher energies and relevant optimisation of analyses. However, if the physical SUSY is realised through other models, then the conclusions may be completely different. Below we shall discuss two such departures from mSUGRA. The first concerns SUSY models with broken R-parity, in which a long lived Gravitino ($\tilde{G}$), with life time longer than the age of our Universe, plays the rôle of LSP. In some interesting variants of this class of models, with bilinear R parity Violation (RPV), neutrino masses are generated in an intrinsically supersymmetric way. The most promising (indirect detection) signal of the gravitino DM are monochromatic gamma-rays as a result of the gravitino decay modes $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \nu \gamma$, where $\nu$ indicates neutrinos. The model can be constrained LHC data, neutrino oscillations, the WMAP astrophysical constraints on the relevant relic abundance $\Omega_\chi h^2$, $\gamma$-ray line searches (via Fermi, EGRET satellites). The allowed gravitino masses are below 1 GeV, with the corresponding life times longer than about $10^{28}$ sec.

The second simplest class of models beyond the CMSSM, mSUGRA is provided by their coupling to cosmic time dependent scalar fields (dilatons) $\phi(t)$. The motivation for the use of such extensions is that they entail relaxing to zero Dark Energy asymptotically in cosmic time, compatible with the current astrophysical data. Such couplings affect DM thermal species abundances, as they modify the Boltzmann Equation by appropriate source terms dependent on the dilaton cosmic rates, $d\phi/dt$. The presence of the source and the associated corrections to $\Omega_\chi h^2$ may result in an O(10) dilution of the thermal relic abundance of the neutralino LSP in the CMSSM, while the baryon density remains unchanged. This results in more room for supersymmetry being available in the $(m_0, m_{1/2})$ parameter space of the model, compatible with the WMAP data, and thus heavier partners. The latter feature leads to new LHC signatures, for instance $h(\rightarrow bb) + \text{jets} + \text{MET}$, $Z(\rightarrow \ell\ell) + \text{jets} + \text{MET}$ and 2$\tau$ + jets + MET, (MET= missing transverse energy) are favoured in new regions. Such regions may be probed in the short future by the LHC detector. The fact that in such extensions of the CMSSM heavier partners are allowed, also implies larger annihilation cross section, and thus the above mentioned indirect DM signals via gamma rays and neutrinos from LSP annihilation have better prospects of detection, in
comparison with the CMSSM case. However, the coupling of the dilaton to the relevant gravitino terms in the (conformal) supergravity Lagrangian will affect the gravitino decays rates, for instance $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \chi + Z^0$, where $\chi$ is the neutralino of the CMSSM, thus affecting the DM relic density and therefore implying stronger Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) constraints. It is therefore important that detailed cosmological studies of such dilaton extended mSUGRA/CMSSM models are performed.\textsuperscript{8}

A more complicated version beyond the mSUGRA comes from string theory on compactified manifolds. Such compactifications entail scalar fields with only gravitational couplings to ordinary matter (moduli), of which the above-mentioned dilaton is only one species. The stabilization of moduli is still an open issue in string theory, nevertheless there are consistent compactification schemes where such stabilization has been demonstrated consistently. One such example is the so-called G\textsubscript{2}-MSSM, a stringy extension of the minimal supersymmetric standard model in G\textsubscript{2} string manifolds,\textsuperscript{9} with hidden-sector induced moduli stabilization at TeV scale. The G\textsubscript{2}-MSSM framework (which may or may not be characterised by an exact R-parity) gives rise to mostly Wino LSP (as opposed to mostly Bino LSP of the mSUGRA/CMSSM, where the current LHC experimental constraints are placed (cf. fig. 1)). The predicted (physical) partner masses in this class of models are compatible with the corresponding current LHC exclusion bounds. Consistent cosmology also requires the moduli to dominate the energy density of the Universe before BBN, with a gravitino mass in the range 20-30 TeV. It is argued that a Wino-like LSP with large annihilation cross sections (of order $(\sigma v) \sim 3 \times 10^{-24}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$) and mass in the range 140-200 GeV arises from moduli decays prior to BBN and constitutes most of the (non-thermal) Dark Matter, consistently with current cosmological observations as well as direct dark matter searches. In particular, the model predicts\textsuperscript{9} spin independent cross sections which are (at most of order $10^{-45}$ cm$^2$) beyond the reach of the current XENON 100 Experiment, but falsifiable in the next upgrade.

3. PAMELA/FERMI $e^+$ excess and WIMPs

Before proceeding to other DM candidates and their indirect searches, we should mention that the observed asymmetries between matter and antimatter by PAMELA, which have been confirmed by FERMI, in particular the observed positron excess in the Cosmic Ray (CR) spectra, but the absence of antiproton ($\bar{p}$) excess, can be accommodated\textsuperscript{10} within existing models of SUSY neutralino DM, although their most likely explanation
may be astrophysical (pulsar emission\(^1\)). Neutralino DM interactions with SM particles produce charginos (next to lightest), whose subsequent decay can produce peak in the spectrum of cosmic leptons, yielding a signal analogous to that seen by PAMELA, ATIC and FERMI (peak in the CR positron spectrum). The example studied in ref. 10 considered masses of neutralino of order 110 GeV and chargino of order 250 GeV. Such values are excluded by the current LHC data, and the question arises whether such scenarios survive the full LHC exclusion data, after four years of running.

However, in general, the PAMELA data may be compatible with generic WIMP DM. In particular, it has been argued in ref. 11 that heavy \((m_\chi \gg 1\, \text{GeV})\) DM annihilation can produce a jet structure which may result in antideuteron \((\bar{d})\) excess that can explain the lack of antiproton peak in the CR spectra, as observed by PAMELA and FERMI. The result seems pretty robust in the sense that astrophysical uncertainties do not affect significantly the ratio of concentrations \(p/d\). The antideuteron signal is significantly enhanced for DM masses above 1 TeV. Moreover, in models where the Cosmology is modified, e.g. by considering low reheating temperatures of the Universe after inflation in certain quintessence models,\(^1\) the relic density of DM WIMPs is found significantly enhanced compared to standard cosmology. In such models the calculated induced fluxes of \(e^-, e^+\) in CR, produced by LSP annihilation into \(e^-e^+, \mu^-\mu^+, \tau^+\tau^-\), indicate agreement with the results of PAMELA and FERMI as far as positron peak is concerned. Finally, we mention that the stringy moduli models of ref. 9, characterised by Wino LSP, are also compatible with the PAMELA data.

Thus, although pulsar emission seems adequate to explain the current astrophysical data on observed ring of antimatter around the Earth, nevertheless several DM model explanations are also at play. Further astrophysical searches are therefore essential in order to settle this. In particular, if the pulsar explanation is the natural mechanism, then as we have heard in this meeting,\(^1\) proton asymmetries in the CR spectra should be observed. This will hopefully be settled in the near future.

4. Sterile Neutrinos as DM

The SM CP violation cannot explain the observed matter-antimatter (baryon-antibaryon) asymmetry in the Universe. Several ideas beyond the SM (such as GUT models, Supersymmetry, Extra Dimensions \(etc.\)) have been proposed in an attempt to resolve this issue. Right-handed supermassive neutrinos may provide extensions of SM with extra CP Violation
that can explain the origin of the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe. Such a scenario has been proposed in ref. 13 as a non-supersymmetric minimal extension of the SM, called $\nu$MSM. The model may have several species $N$ of right handed singlet Majorana neutrinos. The Model with one extra singlet fermion is excluded by the data, while models with 2 or 3 singlet fermions work well in reproducing the Baryon Asymmetry and are consistent with experimental data on neutrino oscillations. In particular, the Model with $N=3$ works fine, and in fact it allows one of the Majorana fermions to almost decouple from the rest of the SM fields, thus providing a candidate for light ($\text{keV}$ region of mass) sterile neutrino Dark Matter. The other two right-handed neutrinos are degenerate in mass, and in fact much heavier than the third, specifically one has: Mass $N_2$ ($N_3$) / (Mass $N_1$) $= O(10^5)$, with the masses of $N_{2,3} < M_W = O(100)\text{GeV}$, with $M_W$ the electroweak symmetry breaking mass scale. The light neutrino masses are determined by the sea saw mechanism in the model. The lightest singlet neutrino is not stable, but its life time can be longer than the life time of the Universe, since its coupling with the SM matter can be extremely weak. Under such conditions, its contributions to the mass of light neutrinos are well within experimental errors, and hence there is a consistent oscillation phenomenology of $\nu$MSM with light sterile neutrino DM. Taking into account the interactions of the light neutrino with its heavier sterile partners in the $\nu$MSM, one may derive detailed constraints on the mass and couplings of the light sterile neutrino DM.$^{13}$ The reader should bear in mind that the decaying light sterile neutrino will produce narrow spectral lines in the spectra of DM dominated astrophysical objects, such
as halos of galaxies etc. This will constitute a means of its detection. The \( \nu \)MSM model is found consistent with constraints from BBN, structure formation data in the universe and other astrophysical constraints. The allowed mass ranges and mixing angles \( \theta_1 \) are depicted in fig. 2.\(^{13}\)

5. **Strongly Interacting Massive Particles (SIMP)s as DM candidates**

Strongly interacting Massive particle (SIMP) matter may be (part) of DM, although much more severely constrained. A rather old idea\(^{14}\) for a DM candidate is that the latter consists of Charged Massive Particles (CHAMP). If the whole of DM, as originally assumed,\(^{14}\) consists of such charged particles, then cosmological compatibilities require them to be heavy, \( 20 \text{ TeV} < M_{\text{Ch}} < 1000 \text{ TeV} \). Indeed, if of charge + 1, they will result in Superheavy remnants of H isotopes in the Universe. CHAMPs are assumed particle-antiparticle symmetric, so charge -1 anti-CHAMP may bind with \(^4\)He nuclei and after BBN. Mostly, however, they bind to protons to behave like superheavy stable neutrons. Such bound states bring severe constraints in their relic populations. Less severe constraints are imposed if CHAMPS constitute only (a small) part of DM: if neutral DM decays (at later eras) to CHAMPs then the above-mentioned stringent bounds may be re-evaluated, for instance it has been estimated\(^{15}\) that consistently with all current astrophysics constraints, the fraction of CHAMP in the galactic halo may be less than \( 0.414 \times 10^{-2} \). Also, it has been argued recently\(^{16}\) that Galactic magnetic fields parallel to the disc prevent CHAMPS from entering the disc (hence their non detection on Earth), if their charge \( q_X \) and mass are in the range: \( 10^2 (q_X/e)^2 \leq m_X/(\text{TeV}) \leq 10^8 (q_X/e)^2 \). Such CHAMPs exert important influence on the DM density profiles: they interact with ordinary matter via magnetic field mediation and hence affect the visible Universe in the sense that their density profiles depend on the Galaxy: moderate effects appear in large elliptical galaxies and the Milky Way, while there is expulsion of CHAMPS with moderate charge (Coulomb Interactions not important) from spherical Dwarf Galaxies in agreement with observations. Moreover, their DM Annihilation patterns are different from those of Cold Dark Matter (CDM) model: due to the attractive Coulomb potential between \( X^+ \) and \( X^- \) there is an increased annihilation cross section (relative to CDM models) by a factor \( c/v \) (Sommerfield-Sakharov effect); after CHAMP becomes non relativistic, the annihilation rate falls off slower than in CDM, their kinetic energies scale as \( (1 + z) \) with redshift \( z \), and their present annihilation rate depends on the fraction of \( X^- \) bound to baryons.
There are various experimental searches that impose stringent constraints on charged SIMPs: as already said, the most important of them is associated with the formation of Bound States SIMP-Nucleons. Indeed, if these are formed then the associated constraints exclude the models. Hence one should avoid such bound states, e.g. by invoking repulsive forces between SIMPS and nucleons. To this end one may assume that SIMPS are fermionic and electrically neutral, since charged SIMPS of the same charge as nucleons (protons) could affect the Universe neutrality. By assuming scalar field \( \phi \) mediators, as well as that the scalar force is less than that of two pions, so that bound states due to the scalar mediator do not form, the pertinent part of the interacting Lagrangian is

\[
L_{\text{int}} = -g_X \phi \overline{XX} - g_N \phi \overline{NN}, \quad \text{with} \quad g_N g_X < 0, \quad m_X, m_\phi > 0.
\]

Important information is included in the SIMP(X) annihilation cross section \( \sigma_{XX} \), which must be less than \( \sigma_{XX} \leq 3m_X \text{GeV}^{-3} \) in order not to affect the shape of Galactic halo (the above upper bound is placed by an analysis of merging galaxies data, such as those of the Bullet Cluster\(^{17} \)). Such upper bounds allow for sufficiently strongly interacting particles. Another important constraint comes from SIMP(X)-nucleon(N) cross section \( \sigma_{XN} \) which must be less than \( \sigma_{XN} \leq \frac{4g_X^2}{g_N^2} \frac{m_X}{(1 \text{GeV})^4} \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^2 \) so as not to affect the galactic halo shape. The resulting constraints from a plethora of astrophysical measurements, including X-ray quantum calorimetry (XQC) and Cosmic Rays are depicted in fig. 3, indicating that light neutral fermionic SIMPs with masses less than 1 GeV are allowed by the current constraints. An important collider signature of neutral fermionic SIMPS are DM di-jets produced in colliders, such as LHC. Indeed, as discussed in ref. 17, the scattering length of a neutral fermionic SIMP \( L_X = \frac{n_X}{n(\text{p})} \), where \( n(p) \) indicates neutron (proton), can be smaller than the calorimeter size, so the
SIMP can deposit energy in the form of DM jets. If the DM is neutral, then such jets would be trackless, and hence very different from the QCD one.

6. Other Interesting DM Possibilities

**Axions** are also interesting candidates for DM, with a theoretical motivation, since their presence is associated with a resolution of the strong CP problem in QCD. In this talk, due to lack of time, I will not discuss them in detail. I will simply mention that the axion pseudoscalar field $\tilde{a}$ couples to the electromagnetic U(1) part of a GUT gauge group via terms of the form $\tilde{a} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$, where $E$ and $B$ are the electric and magnetic fields respectively. Such couplings imply that an axion field can be converted to a photon in the presence of an external magnetic field (Primakoff effect), which is the basis for their potential observation. The CAST experiment at CERN\(^{18}\) has placed the most stringent limits today to the QCD axions, given that no signal over background has been observed in the experiment. The preliminary limit on the axion couplings and masses are $g_a < 2 - 2.5 \times 10^{-10}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ for the mass range $0.39\text{eV} < m_a < 0.65\text{eV}$. The experiment, in addition to the QCD axions, has also placed bounds on the couplings and compactification radius of Kaluza-Klein axions in extra dimensional theories.

In ref. 19, **Dark Atoms** from stable charged fundamental constituents of matter beyond the Standard Model (new quarks and leptons) have been conjectured to exist. Severe constraints from anomalous isotopes in the Universe imply that only charge -2 object ($X^{--}$) is allowed, not charge +1 or -1, hence the relevant models are necessarily non supersymmetric. There are bound states of $X^{--}$ with primordial Helium 4 He$^{++}$ to form neutral O-He atoms of warm DM. The non trivial (but unclear) nuclear physics of such bound states has been argued in ref. 19 to provide resolutions to various DM puzzles. The O-He atoms may be responsible for the observed constant and annual modulation of underground detectors (like DAMA, CoGENT), while their decays to stable constituents may also explain the observed PAMELA and FERMI excess events. A clear signature of such models would be the appearance in the matter of DAMA detectors of anomalously heavy (> 1 TeV) Sodium Isotopes.

Another interesting DM scenario has been presented in ref. 20. DM (which, in the model, is a right-handed sneutrino) communicates with SM particles via mediating light particles, e.g. $Z'$ bosons of extra U(1)' groups that appear in extensions of the SM. Such $Z'$ couple to the DM elastic cross sections, and can lead (via DM annihilation) to excess of $\gamma$-rays from, say, the Galactic Centre, which are compatible with observations.
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