Forward Tevatron Tops and Backward LHC Tops with Associates

Jure Drobnak,1,* Alexander L. Kagan,2,3,† Jernej F. Kamenik,1,4,† Gilad Perez,5,3,§ and Jure Zupan2,¶

1Josef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
2Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA
3CERN, Theoretical Physics, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
4Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
5Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
(Dated: March 10, 2013)

The anomalous forward-backward asymmetry ($A_{FB}$) in $t\bar{t}$ production at the Tevatron is in apparent contradiction with the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry ($A_C$) measurements at the LHC, which agree well with the standard model predictions. We argue that associated production of a state with $t\bar{t}$ flavor quantum numbers can lead to a sizable negative contribution to $A_C$. Exchange of such a resonance in the $t$–channel would lead to positive contributions to $A_{FB}$ and $A_C$, as has been extensively discussed in the literature. Given the additional negative $A_C$ contribution, both the Tevatron and LHC data can be naturally accommodated within this framework. A simple realization of this setup is the well known example of a $Z'$ with flavor off-diagonal up-top couplings. We provide a detailed study of this model, demonstrating that it indeed reproduces the $t\bar{t}$ asymmetry data, and is compatible with other constraints, e.g. $t +$ resonance searches, $t\bar{t}$ inclusive jet multiplicities, and atomic parity violation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The inclusive forward-backward asymmetry ($A_{FB}$) in the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame has been measured by both the CDF [1, 2] and DØ [3] collaborations and found to be significantly larger than the standard model (SM) prediction. The naive average in semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ events, adding the uncertainties in quadrature, is

$$A_{FB} = 0.174 \pm 0.038.$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

The NLO QCD predictions, including leading electroweak (EW) contributions [4–7], are [7]

$$A_{FB}^{SM} = 0.088 \pm 0.006,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

when using the LO total cross section in the denominator of the asymmetry. The error is due to scale variation with the central value evaluated at $\mu = m_t$ and the low and high values evaluated at $\mu = m_t/2$ and $2m_t$, respectively. Both CDF and DØ have also measured the asymmetry in bins of the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass and $t\bar{t}$ rapidity difference. Only CDF [1, 2], however, unfolds to the partonic (“truth”) level obtaining

$$A_{FB}^{low} \equiv A_{FB}(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) = 0.078 \pm 0.054,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3a)

$$A_{FB}^{high} \equiv A_{FB}(m_{t\bar{t}} > 450 \text{ GeV}) = 0.296 \pm 0.067,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3b)

to be compared with the SM (NLO QCD and EW) predictions [4, 5, 7]

$$(A_{FB}^{low})^{SM} = 0.069^{+0.004}_{-0.003}, \quad (A_{FB}^{high})^{SM} = 0.129^{+0.008}_{-0.006}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

again using the LO cross sections in the asymmetry denominators, with the errors due to scale variation as above. At the top reconstruction level, DØ does not observe a significant rise in the asymmetry vs. the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass, however an increase vs. the $t\bar{t}$ rapidity difference is observed [3].

A related observable at the LHC is the charge asymmetry in $t\bar{t}$ production, $A_C$. In contrast to the forward-backward asymmetries, the measurements of $A_C$ agree with the SM expectations. For example, the average of the inclusive ATLAS [8] and CMS [9] measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$,

$$A_C = (1.15 \pm 1.25) \cdot 10^{-2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

agrees within errors with the SM prediction [5, 7]

$$A_C^{SM} = (1.23 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

ATLAS collaboration also presented the first measurements of $A_C$ in bins of $m_{t\bar{t}}$ [8]

$$A_C^{low} \equiv A_C(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) = -0.053 \pm 0.088,$$ \hspace{1cm} (7a)

$$A_C^{high} \equiv A_C(m_{t\bar{t}} > 450 \text{ GeV}) = -0.008 \pm 0.047,$$ \hspace{1cm} (7b)

which are consistent with the SM predictions [5], $A_C^{low} = 0.015 \pm 0.001$ and $A_C^{high} = 0.026 \pm 0.001$ (all LHC asymmetry predictions correspond to LO cross sections in the denominators, and the errors are due to scale variation, as above). Compatible results, but in three $m_{t\bar{t}}$ bins, were presented by the CMS collaboration [9].

The discrepancy between the SM predictions and the measured asymmetries at the Tevatron could be due to an unknown QCD effect, or an unidentified experimental bias. Alternatively, it might be a hint of dynamics beyond the SM (for a review, see, e.g., [10]). However, new physics models are challenged by the requirement of achieving simultaneous agreement with the anomalously
large $A_{FB}$ measurements and the SM-like $A_C$ measurements, as the underlying candidate NP processes often yield correlated positive contributions to both [12–14].

The main purpose of this paper is to point out that there is a class of models in which positive contributions to $A_{FB}$ and $A_C$ are correlated with an additional negative contribution to $A_C$. Therefore, the latter tends to be small, in agreement with current data. A state with $[\bar{t}u]$ or $[\bar{t}d]$ flavor quantum numbers is required. The possible examples are the $[\bar{t}u]$ flavored $Z'$ [17] (see also [18]) or $[\bar{t}d]$ $W^*$ [19] vector mediators, and the $[\bar{t}u]$ flavored $SU(2)_L$ doublet scalar mediator $\phi$ [20]. In this paper we focus on the $Z'$ color- and weak-singlet, with a coupling to the right-handed up and top quarks. Exchange of the $Z'$ in the $t$-channel, see Fig. 1a, would lead to an increase in $A_{FB}$ vs. $m_{t\bar{t}}$, and a positive contribution to $A_C$ in excess of the measurements [12, 14], due to forward peaking. However, associated production of the $Z'$ with a top-quark would produce an additional negative contribution to $A_C$. Presumably, this would also be the case for the $W^*$ and $\phi$. However, we leave the question of the overall viability of these models for future studies.

The leading order $u\bar{u} \to Z't \to u\bar{t}t$ Feynman diagrams are shown in Figs. 1b and 1c. The effect we are interested in is due to the top quark exchange diagram in Fig. 1b. The $Z'$ decay yields a top quark which tends to be boosted in the same direction as the incoming $u$ quark. Taking into account the harder $u$ quark vs. gluon PDF’s in the proton, one concludes that on average the $\bar{t}$ is produced with a larger rapidity than the $t$, thus yielding a negative contribution to $A_C$. The efficiency of this mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 2 for one of the $Z'$ benchmark points ($p_0$) listed in Table II. The $pp \to (Z't, Z'^0\bar{t}) \to ttX$ differential cross section plots in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, respectively, exhibit the dominance of $\bar{t}t$ ($t\bar{t}$) production at larger (smaller) rapidities and the dominance of $tt$ production for $|y|_{\bar{t}} - |y|_t < 0$. According to Fig. 2b, the charge asymmetry from associated vector mediator production alone can be large and negative, e.g., $A_C = -18\%$ for the example shown.

At the LHC, the cross section for the CP conjugate process, $\bar{u}g \to Z'0\bar{t}$, is typically an order of magnitude smaller, due to the $\bar{u}$-quark PDF in the initial state. This asymmetry has been studied previously in [21]. A corollary is that the negative contribution to $A_C$ does not depend on whether the $Z'$ is self conjugate or not. However, bounds on same sign top production can rule out a self conjugate $Z'$. In fact, flavor symmetric realizations of the vector $t$-channel models [22, 24, 26] proposed to trivially evade the bounds on same sign top or single top production and FCNC’s, e.g., $D - \bar{D}$ mixing, would contain a CP conjugate pair of $[\bar{t}u]$ and $[t\bar{u}]$ flavored $Z'$’s. At the Tevatron, associated production of the vector mediators produces a negative contribution to $A_{FB}$. However, this effect is suppressed relative to the positive $A_{FB}$ contribution from Fig. 1a by the smaller gluon vs. $u$-quark PDF’s inside the proton.

It is worth pointing out that in models for enhanced $A_{FB}$ in which a mediator is exchanged in the $u$-channel rather than the $t$-channel, e.g., a color triplet or sextet scalar $S$ [24, 26–29] with couplings $S t_R u_R$ (where the color indices have been suppressed), single mediator production via the analog of Fig. 1b would lead to a positive contribution to $A_C$ [30]. This is easily understood, as in this case the mediator would decay to a top quark rather than an anti-top quark.

Associated $Z'$ production is subject to several constraints which need to be checked in order to establish the viability of our mechanism for reducing $A_C$. The most relevant ones are: i) the LHC $t\bar{t}$ cross section measurement, which constrains the product $\sigma(pp \to Z't) \times \text{Br}(Z' \to t\bar{u})$ [31]; ii) the CDF, CMS and ATLAS collaborations $Z' \to t + \text{jet}$ resonance searches [32–34], that yield direct bounds on the above product [35]; iii) the CMS measurement of the jet multiplicity distribution in semileptonic $t\bar{t}$ events, which is consistent with SM Monte Carlo studies [36], thus potentially constraining NP models which produce extra jets [37].

Constraints ii) and iii) become weaker as the $Z'$ mass approaches $m_t$ from above. Because the $u$ quark becomes softer, the resonance searches lose sensitivity and the jet multiplicities become more SM like. Furthermore, a reduction of $\text{Br}(Z' \to t\bar{u})$ trivially reduces the impact of searches i)-iii). In fact, we will see that the ranges

---

1 However, in the case of a light $s$-channel axigluon, it has recently been shown that different couplings to the $u$ and $d$ quarks can lead to partial cancelations between the $u\bar{u}$ and $dd$ contributions, thus sufficiently suppressing $A_C$, with only a small impact on $A_{FB}$, which can be sizable and positive [15, 16].
Figure 2: The relative differential cross sections (a) $1/\sigma \, d\sigma/d|y_t|$ and (b) $1/\sigma \, d\sigma/d(|y_t|-|y|)$ for $pp \rightarrow Z'(t\bar{t}) \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$ at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV, for benchmark point $p_1$ in Table II. The subscripts $B$ and $F$ refer to $|y_t|-|y_t| < 0$ and $> 0$, respectively.

$M_{Z'} \sim 200 - 300$ GeV and $Br(Z' \rightarrow \bar{t}u) \sim 1/4 - 1/3$ are preferred, with the best overall fits occurring for $M_{Z'}$ near 220 GeV. A sufficient negative shift in $A_C$ can be obtained, after taking the branching ratio into account, due to the sizable negative charge asymmetry intrinsic to associated $Z'\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ production.

The favored range for $Br(Z' \rightarrow \bar{t}u)$ raises an immediate question: what are the viable candidates for the missing dominant $Z'$ decay? Some possibilities that come to mind are invisible decays, decays to light quark pairs, and decays to a pair of scalars which subsequently decay to quark pairs, which could include a virtual top quark.²

The first option yields mono-top events [39, 40]. The CDF collaboration performed a search for the monotop signal and obtains a bound on the cross section of 0.5 pb based on 7.7 fb⁻¹ of data [41] (for a $Z'$ mass between 0 and 150 GeV, while the bound would be weaker for a heavier $Z'$). This means that all of the benchmarks to be discussed below are allowed to decay predominantly into invisible states. The second and third options result in a $t + n$ jets final state ($n \geq 2$). Single top searches at the

Tevatron [42–45] and the LHC [46, 47] have not been optimized for the higher number of high $p_T$ jets compared to the SM. Nevertheless they may pose an important constraint. A detailed investigation of these issues is left for future work.

Finally, the $Z'$ model is subject to low energy constraints from atomic parity violation (APV) measurements [54], as are the $W'$ and $\phi$ models. As it stands, the $Z'$ model only contains massive vectors with flavor violating couplings to quarks. Thus, a UV completion would be required in order to render it renormalizable, and similarly for the $W'$ model. As we shall see, at the level of an effective theory in which one only considers the effects of the $Z'$, the severity of these constraints significantly weaken (we also comment below on possible UV completion of our model). A shift in the Cs weak nuclear charge $Q_W(133\text{Cs})$ due to the nuclear matrix element corrections recently discussed in [55] also ameliorate the APV constraints. Thus, one can not claim any tension with the APV data in the preferred region of parameter space for the $Z'$ model.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section II we discuss our fits to the Tevatron and LHC $t\bar{t}$ production data, thus arriving at the preferred region of parameter space for the $Z'$ model. We will demonstrate that the addition of associated $Z'$ production is crucial for obtaining a good fit, due to the LHC measurements of $A_C$. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, which is the main result of this paper. We also check that the predicted Tevatron and LHC $m_{t\bar{t}}$ spectra agree with the measured ones. In Section III we discuss the impact of the $tj$ resonance searches and jet multiplicity measurements. Atomic parity violation is discussed in Section IV. In Section V we briefly discuss the implications of the $Z'$ model for the leptonic forward-backward and charge asymmetries, and conclude in Section VI.

II. IMPORTANCE OF ASSOCIATED Z' PRODUCTION

The Lagrangian for the $Z'$ model is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = g_{ut} \, Z'_\mu \bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu t_R + \text{h.c.} + M_{Z'}^2 \, Z'^\dagger \, Z'^\nu . \quad (8)$$

As previously mentioned, the $Z'$ would not be self-conjugate if it transformed non-trivially under flavor symmetries introduced to suppress same sign top or single top production and FCNC’s [22, 24, 26]. However, a self-conjugate $Z'$ would not modify the results of our analyses for $t\bar{t}$ observables. We employ a $\chi^2$ function to search for optimal ranges of the coupling $g_{ut}$, the vector mass $M_{Z'}$, and $Br(Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t})$. Six $t\bar{t}$ production observables enter our fit: the total Tevatron and LHC cross sections, $\sigma_{\text{TOT}}^{\text{TEV}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{TOT}}^{\text{LHC}}$, the Tevatron inclusive forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}$, the ones for $m_{t\bar{t}} \geq 450$ GeV and $m_{t\bar{t}} < 450$ GeV denoted as $A_{FB}^{\text{low}}$ and $A_{FB}^{\text{high}}$ respectively, and the LHC inclusive charge asymmetry $A_C$. The

² The last option could be realized in flavor symmetric models based on new strong interactions, in which the $Z'$s are composite elements of a flavor nonet, analogous to the $K^{*\pm}$ of QCD, with dominant decays to pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons [38].
Experimental values are listed in the second column of Table I. Where more than one measurement is indicated we take the naive average, with errors added in quadrature.

The NP contributions to the above observables are evaluated at LO, whereas the SM contributions are taken at the highest order available. The total cross sections are expressed as

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{t\bar{t},Z'} + \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{Z't} \times \text{Br}(Z' \to t\bar{t}) + \sigma_{\text{SM}}^{\text{tot}},$$

where $\sigma^{t\bar{t},Z'}$ denotes contributions from t-channel Z' exchange, including interference with the SM amplitude at LO, and $\sigma^{Z't}$ denotes the sum of the cross sections for $Z't$ and $Z't'$ production. The inclusive t$\bar{t}$ asymmetries are expressed as

$$A = \frac{\Delta\sigma^{t\bar{t},Z'} + \Delta\sigma^{Z't} + \Delta\sigma_{\text{SM}}^{\text{tot},\text{LO}}}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{t\bar{t},Z'} + \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{Z't} \times \text{Br}(Z' \to t\bar{t}) + \sigma_{\text{SM}}^{\text{tot},\text{LO}}}.\tag{10}$$

For $A_{FB}$,

$$\Delta\sigma_{FB} = \sigma_{FB}^{\text{SM}} - \sigma_{FB}^{\text{SM}}, \quad \Delta\sigma^{t\bar{t},Z'} = \sigma_{FB}^{t\bar{t},Z'} - \sigma_{FB}^{Z't},\tag{11}$$

$$\Delta\sigma^{Z't} = \sigma_{FB}(pp \to Z't/Z't' \to t\bar{t}X) - (\sigma_{FB} \to \sigma_{FB}),\tag{12}$$

where the $F$ and $B$ subscripts denote events in which the top quark is emitted in the forward and backward hemispheres, respectively, with respect to the proton beam direction. Note that $\Delta\sigma^{Z't}$ scales as $\text{Br}(Z' \to t\bar{t})$. The expressions for $A_{FB}^{\text{LHC}}$ and $A_{FB}^{\text{LHC}}$ correspond to the restrictions $m_{t\bar{t}} < 450$ GeV and $\geq 450$ GeV, respectively, for the cross sections entering (9)–(12). For $A_C$, substitute $pp \to pp, F \to |y_t| - |y_{t'}| > 0$ and $B \to |y_t| - |y_{t'}| < 0$ in (11), (12), where $y_t$ and $y_{t'}$ are the $t$ and $t'$ rapidities.

The $t\bar{t}$ cross section for the $i$th $m_{t\bar{t}}$ bin is written as

$$\sigma_i = \left[\sigma_i^{t\bar{t},Z'} + \sigma_i(pp \to Z't/Z't' \to t\bar{t}X)\right] \epsilon_i + \sigma_i^{\text{SM}},\tag{13}$$

where the $\epsilon_i$ are acceptance corrections, relative to the SM ones, which account for a significant decrease in the CDF detector’s sensitivity to top quark production in the forward region. As the forward region features prominently at large invariant masses in the $Z'$ model, it is crucial to take these corrections into account when comparing the predicted $m_{t\bar{t}}$ spectra and the unfolded CDF spectrum [22–24]. The $\epsilon_i$ are evaluated using the table provided in [24] for the approximate CDF $t\bar{t}$ pair detection efficiencies, given in bins of $m_{t\bar{t}}$ and the rapidity difference, $\Delta y = y_t - y_{t'}$. Inclusion of constraints from the CDF $m_{t\bar{t}}$ spectrum would slow down our $\chi^2$ search procedure substantially, due to evaluation of the $\epsilon_i$. Fortunately, this turns out to be unnecessary, as the regions of parameter space favored by our current search are consistent with the CDF $m_{t\bar{t}}$ spectra, see examples below.

This is also the case for the predicted LHC $m_{t\bar{t}}$ spectra. However, we will see that the LHC is beginning to probe the high $m_{t\bar{t}}$ tail expected in the $Z'$ model.

In our fits, the NP $t\bar{t}$ cross sections, and the LO SM cross sections entering the denominators of the $t\bar{t}$ asymmetries, are evaluated for a wide range of renormalization scales, $\mu = m_t \times (1/2, 1, 2, 4)$, in order to capture the large theoretical uncertainty associated with scale variation at LO. The corresponding associated $Z'$ production cross sections are evaluated at the scales, $\mu = (m_{t\bar{t}} + m_{Z'}) \times (1/4, 1/2, 1, 2)$. The SM cross sections are listed in the third column of Table I. The total SM cross section at the Tevatron, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{SM}}$ (TEV), is identified with the NNLO + NNLL evaluation in [58] for $m_t = 173.3$ GeV, and the total SM cross section at the LHC, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{SM}}$ (LHC), is identified with the approximate NNLO + NNLL evaluation in [59] for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $m_t = 173.3$ GeV. At this order, the errors associated with scale variation are relatively small. Thus, in our fits they are absorbed into the overall theoretical uncertainty on the SM cross sections. The $\Delta\sigma^{\text{SM}}$’s entering the $t\bar{t}$ asymmetries are evaluated at the same renormalization scales as the NP and LO SM cross sections. For $\mu = m_{t\bar{t}}/2, m_t, 2m_t$ they are identified with the values given in [7] for $m_t = 173.1$ GeV, corresponding to the asymmetries given in Eqs. (2), (4) and (6). They are obtained at NLO including electroweak corrections.
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Figure 3: \( \chi^2 \) analysis for \( \mu = m_t/2, \text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow u\bar{t}) = 1, 1/3, 1/4 \) giving 1\( \sigma \) and 2\( \sigma \) preferred regions (red), see text. The gray area represents the region which is not excluded by ATLAS searches for top+jet resonances [34]. The black dot denotes the best fit point.

Figure 4: Same as Fig. 3 for \( \mu = m_t \), with the yellow cross corresponding to the higher \( m_{Z'} \) benchmark in Table II.

In those cases where only an asymmetry is provided in [7], we multiply by the corresponding LO SM cross section to obtain \( \Delta \sigma^{\text{SM}} \). For \( \mu = 4m_t \), the \( \Delta \sigma^{\text{SM}} \)’s are given by the sum of their NLO values, obtained using MCFM [62], and estimates of their EW corrections, obtained by extrapolating the ones given in [7].

We exhibit the results of our scans in the \( (m_{Z'}, g_{ut}) \) plane in Figs. 3–5, for three renormalization scales \( \mu = m_t/2, m_t, 2m_t \). For each scale choice, results are shown for three representative branching ratios, \( \text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow \bar{u}t) = 1, 1/3, 1/4 \). For each scan (fixed \( \mu \) and Br), the minimum \( \chi^2 \) and the corresponding values of \( (m_{Z'}, g_{ut}) \) are indicated. In the plots, the 1\( \sigma \) (2\( \sigma \)) region of coverage probability satisfies \( \chi^2 - \chi^2_{\text{min}} < 2.3 \) (5.99), corresponding to variation of 2 parameters, \( m_{Z'} \) and \( g_{ut} \). The plots also show the regions which are compatible with the recent ATLAS bounds on top+jet resonance production [34], to be discussed in the next section.
The best fit points tend to lie at low $m_{Z'}$, near 225 GeV. Table II lists our best fit points, together with a few examples at heavier $m_{Z'}$ near 300 GeV, which are marked with yellow crosses in the plots. The table also includes the best fit points for $\mu = 4m_t$, Br = 1/4, 1/3 and a heavier example at this scale for Br = 1/4 (the $\chi^2_{\min} = 3.1$ and 3.3 for Br = 1/4 and 1/3, respectively, and $\chi^2 = 5.4$ for the heavier example). For comparison, the SM we find the $\chi^2$ values 12.1 ($\mu = m_t/2$), 12.9 ($m_t$), 14.4 ($2m_t$), 15 ($4m_t$). Thus, we find that the $Z'$ model can significantly improve the fit. In particular, it can lower the $\chi^2$ by up to $\approx 5, 8, 10, 12$ for $\mu = m_t/2, m_t, 2m_t, 4m_t$.

Regardless of scale choice, we find that the $\chi^2$ is minimized for Br($Z' \to tu$) $\approx 1/4$. The quality of the fits improves as the renormalization scale is increased, as does the overlap with regions that are consistent with the ATLAS $tj$ constraint. To first approximation, this is because as the scale is increased: i) the LO NP quantities $\Delta \sigma_{\text{tot}}$, $\Delta \sigma_{Z't}$ decrease as one power of $\alpha_s$, while the LO SM cross sections in the denominators of the $A'_{FB,S}$ decrease like $\alpha_s^2$, leading to an overall increase in the forward-backward asymmetries. A byproduct is that at larger $\mu$ the observed asymmetries can be reproduced with smaller couplings; ii) the magnitudes of the LO NP cross sections $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$, $\sigma_{Z't}$ and $\sigma_{Z'^t}$ decrease as one power of $\alpha_s$, which, when combined with the possibility of smaller couplings, makes it easier to satisfy the total cross section bounds. For example, for Br($Z'$ $\to tu$) $\approx 1/4$ and $\mu = m_t$, the minimum $\chi^2$ per d.o.f. ($p_1$ in Table II) corresponds to a reasonable $p$-value of 17% or 1.4$\sigma$, whereas for $\mu = 2m_t$ it improves to 27% or 1.1 $\sigma$ ($p_3$ in Table II). Note that we have 3 d.o.f., as we fit 6 observables with 3 parameters, i.e., the coupling, the mass and the branching ratio. Reasonable fits are also possible for heavier $Z'$ masses. For example, for $m_{Z'} = 276$ GeV and $\mu = 2m_t$, Br = 1/3 ($p_5$ in Table II) the $p$-value is 23% or 1.2$\sigma$, and the ATLAS $tj$ bound is satisfied.

In Fig. 6 we compare the $d\sigma/dm_{tj}$ spectra for the SM and the five benchmarks $p_1,...,p_5$ listed in Table II with the measured Tevatron [11] and LHC [25] spectra. For the LHC the relative spectrum, $(1/\sigma) d\sigma/dm_{tj}$, is shown. The SM predictions are NLO for the Tevatron, and NLO+NNLL for the LHC [56]. The differences between the SM predictions and the four benchmarks are smaller than the experimental errors (grey bands), with the exception of the last bin in the SM $m_{tj}$ spectrum. The SM exceeds the ATLAS collaboration measurement by $\sim 1\sigma$ in this bin, and the five benchmark points exceed it by $\sim 1.5\sigma$ (whether the “deficit” relative to the SM is a genuine effect, or is just the result of the last bin typically being statistically challenged will become clearer once the 8 TeV data is analyzed). Thus, the LHC is beginning to probe the high mass tail that results from SM is a genuine effect, or is just the result of the last bin.
points tend to lie well inside the experimental 1σ band for $A_C$, the green points tend to lie above it.

III. CONSTRAINTS FROM TOP+JET RESONANCE SEARCHES, AND JET MULTIPLECITY DISTRIBUTIONS

A. top+jet resonance

The $Z'$ models we discuss can be searched for by hunting for a bump in the $t+Jet$ distribution in the $ttj$ final state [35]. Such searches have been performed both at the LHC [33, 34] and the Tevatron [32]. The ATLAS search obtains a bound of $\sigma(pp \rightarrow tZ') + \sigma(pp \rightarrow tZ') < 23, 14, 7$ pb for $m_{Z'} = 200, 300, 400$ GeV respectively. For a comparison we list in Table III the two cross sections, $\sigma(pp \rightarrow tZ')$ and $\sigma(pp \rightarrow tZ')$, for the four benchmark points. We see that for $\text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow ut) = 1/4$ the benchmark points are not excluded. The comparison of the ATLAS bound with the regions in the $g_{ut}, m_{Z'}$ parameters space preferred by the $tt$ asymmetry and cross section measurements are also shown in Figs. 3-5. The CDF search [32] is slightly less sensitive so that for the four benchmarks no bound on $\text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow ut)$ is obtained. The hard cuts in the CMS analysis [33] lead to a loss of sensitivity for light $Z'$'s with masses below 400 GeV, making the analysis irrelevant for our case.

The “wrong flavor” $Z' \rightarrow ut$ decay could also give a contribution to the same-sign top pair cross section from $pp \rightarrow Z't$ production. By our assumption $Z'$ only decays into $ut$, as is the case in the flavor symmetric models, so this is not a problem. The experimental bounds from [48] are saturated for $\text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow ut) < 3 - 5\%$, depending on the benchmark (the bounds in [49] and [50] are even less severe). They thus need to be an order of magnitude smaller than the allowed decay channel, $\text{Br}(Z' \rightarrow ut) \simeq 25\%$.

B. Jet multiplicities

Associated $t+Z' \rightarrow ttjf$ production would lead to some deviation in the jet multiplicity distribution for $tt$ events relative to the SM prediction [37]. While it would be negligible at the Tevatron (cf. Table III), some sensitivity to such deviations might be expected at the LHC. In Ref. [36] such a study was made for $tt$ semileptonic candidate events characterized by the number of $b$-tags, lepton flavor and the number of jets. We focus on the double $b$-tagged subsample since it is subject to smaller background contamination. The subsample is binned in terms of the number of jets. In the experimental analysis [36] a fit to the theoretical prediction was made, allowing the different backgrounds as well as the SM $tt$ contributions to float in their normalization. Following this procedure, reasonable agreement with the SM Monte Carlo prediction was observed. The statistical error in bins of different jet multiplicities is of order a few percent. However, the background contamination is $O(30\%)$ or more in the bins with three or fewer jets and is $O(10\%)$ for the bins with four jets, and five or more jets.

In order to check the viability of our mechanism we have performed the following semi-quantitative analysis. Using MadGraph5 [51], Pythia6.425 [52] and FastJet [53] we have produced an MLM matched $tt+ttj$ sample with and without the new physics contributions, mimicking the jet reconstruction and cuts employed by CMS. The computed jet multiplicities are compared with the experimental values obtained from Ref. [36] for the lepton plus jets channel (third column of Table 2). The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma(pp \rightarrow Z't)$ [pb]</th>
<th>$\sigma(pp \rightarrow Z't)$ [pb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>LHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_2$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_3$</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_4$</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_5$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: The LO $pp \rightarrow Z't$ and $pp \rightarrow Z't$ cross sections at the Tevatron (TEV) and the LHC for Table II benchmarks.
Figure 7: Scatter plots in the \((A_{FB}, A_C)\) plane [left] and \((A_{FB}^{\text{high}}, A_C)\) plane [right], for variation over \(m_{Z'}\), \(g_{ut}\) and (i) \(\mu = m_t/2\), \(Br = 1/4\), (ii) \(\mu = m_t\), \(Br = 1/4\), (iii) \(\mu = 2m_t\), \(Br = 1/4\), (iv) \(\mu = 2m_t\), \(Br = 1/3\). Points compatible with the 1\(\sigma\) (2\(\sigma\)) regions in Figs. 3-5 are shown in red (black). 1\(\sigma\) region points that are compatible with the ATLAS top+jet resonance bound are shown in blue. The green points are obtained from the 1\(\sigma\) points by setting \(Br = 0\). A yellow circle corresponds to the \(\chi^2_{\text{min}}\) point, and its shift upon setting \(Br = 0\). The yellow crosses correspond to a second heavier benchmark point, if indicated in Figs. 4, 5, and its shift for \(Br = 0\). The gray bands are the experimental 1\(\sigma\) intervals.
results for the SM and for the five benchmark points in Table II are shown in Fig. 8. In none of the bins is the difference between the SM only and the SM + NP samples larger than a few percent.

Of special interest for $t + Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}j$ searches are the bins with large jet multiplicities. We thus analyze in more detail the fraction of events with 5 or more jets, with the results collected in Table IV. The fraction of events that have more than 5 jets is 0.12 for the SM, and is between 0.13 and 0.14 for the five Table II benchmark points $p_1, ..., p_5$, well within the experimental errors. Isolating only the events with $Z'$ associated production, the fraction is significantly larger, between 0.22 and 0.36 depending on the benchmark point. Because the $pp \rightarrow Z't \rightarrow t\bar{t}X$ cross sections are substantially smaller than the total $t\bar{t}$ cross section, one sees that the impact on the combined SM+NP jet multiplicity is small.

### IV. CONSTRAINTS FROM ATOMIC PARITY VIOLATION

New massive bosons with parity violating couplings to light quarks can modify at one-loop the nuclear weak charge ($Q_W$) measured in atomic parity violation (APV) experiments. Most constraining are the precise measurements with cesium ($^{133}$Cs) [63], which are in reasonable agreement with the most recent SM predictions [55]

$$Q_W^{\exp}(Cs) - Q_W^{SM}(Cs) = 0.65(43).$$

This result can be interpreted in terms of constraints on the effective couplings of the SM $Z$ boson to the light $u$ and $d$ quarks. It was argued in [54] that this leads to severe constraints on the $Z'$ explanation of the $A_{FB}$ puzzle. The argument is based on a calculation performed in a toy $SU(2)_X$ model [17], which contains two new spin-1 states coupling to right-handed up-type quarks: $Z'$ ($V'$ in the notation of [54]) with mostly flavor violating ($\bar{u}-t$) couplings, and $Z''$ ($Z''$ in the notation of [54]) with mostly flavor diagonal ($\bar{u}-u$ and $\bar{t}-t$) couplings. In this setup only the $Z'$ is directly relevant for $A_{FB}$. On the other hand, the strong APV constraints on the model are dominated by the $Z''$ contributions.

To demonstrate this we evaluate the modification of $Q_W$ in the minimal model (8). This can be viewed as the limit of the $SU(2)_X$ model with $Z''$ heavy enough that it decouples (another possibility is to enlarge the symmetry group to include a $U(1)$ factor, see below). We denote by $\Delta a_R(u)$ the shift in the effective $\bar{u}_R Z u_R$ coupling induced by the $Z'$ loop, cf. Fig. 9. Since the setup is non-renormalizable we impose a hard cut-off scale, $\Lambda$. This very roughly corresponds to the mass scale of the new degrees of freedom that will regulate the loop divergences found in the effective theory. In this way we
obtain \[54\]

$$\Delta a_R(u) = \frac{-|g_{ut}|^2 m_t^2}{16\pi^2 m_Z'} \left[ F(m_t^2/m_Z'^2) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right) \right],$$

(15)

where $F(x) \equiv (x - 1 - \log x)/(1 - x)^2$. The resulting shift to the nuclear weak charge is given by

$$\Delta Q_W = -2(2Z_n + N_n)\Delta a_R(u),$$

(16)

where $Z_p$ and $N_n$ are the number of protons and neutrons in a nucleus, respectively. One can thus translate Eq. (14) to a 1-$\sigma$ preferred region for $\Delta a_R(u) = -0.17(11) \times 10^{-2}$. This is to be compared with the predictions in the minimal model as shown in Fig. 10. We have factored out the trivial dependence on the coupling $g_{ut}$ (note that in our best-fit regions $|g_{ut}| \lesssim 1$) and plot $\Delta a_R(u)/|g_{ut}|^2$ as a function of the effective theory cut-off $\Lambda$ for several representative $Z'$ masses. We see that for $m_{Z'} \gtrsim 300$ GeV the APV bound can be satisfied even for cut-off scales above 1 TeV.

The conclusions drawn in the effective non-renormalizable model can be further verified in a renormalizable ultraviolet completion of the $SU(2)_X$ model \[54\], where the $Z'$ (and $Z''$) loop divergences are cut-off by contributions of an additional heavy vector-like quark ($t'$) with the SM gauge quantum numbers of the right-handed top. This gives

$$\Delta a_R(u) = \frac{-|g_{ut}|^2 m_t^2}{16\pi^2 m_Z'} F_1(m_t^2/m_Z'^2, m_t^2/m_Z'^2) + \ldots.$$  

(17)

The dots denote additional $Z''$ contributions, while

$$F_1(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ 2 + \frac{6 - 3x - 3y}{(1 - x)(1 - y)} + \frac{(x^2 - 2x + 4)\log x}{(1 - x)^2} + \frac{(2x^2 - 8x)\log x}{(1 - x)(x - y)} + \frac{(y^2 - 2y + 4)\log y}{(1 - y)^2} + \frac{(2y^2 - 8y)\log y}{(1 - y)(y - x)} \right].$$

(18)

In the $m_{t'} \gg m_{Z'}$ limit the above expression reproduces the $\log \Lambda$ dependence in Eq. (15) with $\Lambda \equiv m_{t'}$. Again considering this as an illustrative setup (equivalent to the full $SU(2)_X$ model with a decoupling flavor diagonal $Z''$) we plot $\Delta a_R(u)/|g_{ut}|^2$ as a function of the $t'$ mass ($m_{t'}$) for several representative $Z'$ masses in Fig. 11. We observe that the $Z'$ contributions in the renormalizable model are even smaller than estimated in the effective theory and that the current APV constraints can be perfectly satisfied even for $m_{Z'} \approx 200$ GeV (where the $A_{FB}$ fit prefers $|g_{ut}| \sim 0.6$) and $t'$ masses well above 1 TeV.

Finally, let us briefly discuss the viability of decoupling $Z''$ contributions to $\Delta a_R(u)$ in the $SU(2)_X$ model used above. The first approach to obtain this limit is to make the $Z''$ heavy. However, the required hierarchical $SU(2)_X$ breaking pattern requires large scalar representations \[22\]. Another possibility is to impose an OZI-like alignment of flavor diagonal vector mass eigenstates such that they couple predominantly to the $\bar{u} - u$ or $\bar{t} - t$ current and not both simultaneously, thus suppressing the flavor diagonal contributions to $\Delta a_R(u)$. For example, one can enlarge the symmetry group to include extra $U(1)$ factors (i.e., to $SU(2)_X \times U(1)_X$ or $U(2)_X$). An explicit realization of the OZI alignment is then possible already with two scalar doublets breaking the symmetry group completely, by judiciously choosing their $U(1)_X$ charges. The desired OZI alignment would also follow dynamically from “$\omega - \phi^*$” mixing in a strong interaction.
realization of the $Z'$ model [38] in which, as previously noted, the $Z'$ is the composite analog of a $K^*$ meson residing in a flavor nonet. The mixing of the $[t\bar{t}]$ flavored “$\phi$” and the $[u\bar{u} + c\bar{c}]$ flavored “$\omega$” would be suppressed, as in QCD.

V. LEPTON BASED ASYMMETRIES

An interesting Tevatron observable that is sensitive to the chiral nature of the NP model is the lepton based asymmetry

$$A_l \equiv \frac{\sigma_{l+}(\eta_l > 0) - \sigma_{l-}(\eta_l < 0)}{\sigma_{l+}(\eta_l > 0) + \sigma_{l-}(\eta_l < 0)},$$

(19)

where $\sigma_{l\pm}(\eta_l > 0)$ are the $t\bar{t}$ cross sections for semileptonic $t$ decays in which the $l^\pm$ lepton pseudorapidity is positive. Similarly, the di-lepton asymmetries can be defined. The inclusive asymmetry has been measured to be $A_l = (15.2 \pm 4.0)\%$ by the D0 collaboration [3], and $A_l = (6.6 \pm 2.5)\%$ by the CDF collaboration [2]. The CDF collaboration has also measured the asymmetry in two $m_{t\bar{t}}$ bins, obtaining $A_l(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) = (3.7 \pm 3.1)\%$ and $A_l(m_{t\bar{t}} > 450 \text{ GeV}) = (11.6 \pm 4.2)\%$. The SM predictions are $A_l^{SM} = (3.6 \pm 0.2)\%$, $A_l^{SM}(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) = (6.4 \pm 0.5)\%$ and $A_l^{SM}(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) = (1.9 \pm 0.1)\%$ [7].

The lepton based asymmetry is an important observable because it gives an independent probe of the NP model explanations for the $A_{FB}$ measurements. In order to understand the physics that determines $A_l$ in a qualitative manner it is best to consider two kinematical regions for the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass: near threshold, and well above threshold. Near threshold $A_l$ is predominantly controlled by the chirality of the initial state quarks [67]. Well above the threshold it is determined by the polarizations of the tops [68–70]. In our framework the $Z'$ couples to right-handed quarks. Thus, we expect a negative value for $A_l$ near threshold, from the interference between the SM amplitude and the NP $t$-channel amplitude. The contribution to $A_l$ from associated $Z'$ production is small due to its small cross section at the Tevatron. At high $m_{t\bar{t}}$ we expect a positive value of $A_l$ because more right-handed tops are produced from the NP interactions. This implies that there will be some cancelation between these two kinematical regions in the inclusive $A_l$. Therefore, the exact value of the inclusive asymmetry will be relatively sensitive to the details of the NP parameter space.

Numerically, employing the prescription in (10), we find that the inclusive values of $A_l$ lie between 4% to 6% for all of our benchmark points $(p_1, \ldots, p_5)$, consistent with the CDF measurement and somewhat lower than the D0 measurement. We also find that $A_l(m_{t\bar{t}} < 450 \text{ GeV}) \sim -7$ to $-8$% and $A_l(m_{t\bar{t}} > 450 \text{ GeV}) \sim 20 - 23$% for all of our benchmark points $(p_1, \ldots, p_5)$. Thus, the tendency of $A_l$ to increase with $m_{t\bar{t}}$ is indeed present in the $Z'$ models, but the rise is more pronounced than observed by CDF.

VI. DISCUSSION

In conclusion, we have shown that associated production of a vector state, $pp \to tV$, where $V \to tj$, gives a negative contribution to the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry $A_C$ at the LHC, while it has a negligible effect on the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}$ measured at the Tevatron. As is well known, the same underlying interaction also gives rise to positive contributions to $A_{FB}$ and $A_C$, via exchange of the vector in the $t$-channel. Thus, $A_C$ can have SM like values, while $A_{FB}$ can be anomalously large and positive, in agreement with experiment. We have discussed this quantitatively for the example of a $Z'$, where the $Z'$ decays to $u\bar{u}$ roughly 25% of the time. The main result is summarized in Fig. 7, showing a substantial negative shift in $A_C$ and a much smaller shift in $A_{FB}$, bringing the $Z'$ models into agreement with their measured values.

Finally, we have shown that the $Z'$ model is not ruled out by other searches: the top+jet resonance search in the $t\bar{t}j$ final state, the jet multiplicity distributions in $t\bar{t}$ production, and atomic parity violation measurements. It would be interesting to search for the dominant $Z'$ decay channel candidates, e.g., invisible final states, or $t+n$ jet final states. A test of the $t$-channel $Z'$ exchange is provided by lepton based asymmetries.

Note added: While our work was being submitted to the arXiv, similar conclusions appeared in Ref. [71].
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